

Appendix A

Base Case Water Control System Description Tables



This page intentionally left blank.

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| Table A-01 | General Project Characteristics | 1 |
| Table A-02 | Reservoir Operating Characteristics | 3 |
| Table A-03 | Minimum Flows, Techniques, Requirements, and Commitments | 5 |
| Table A-04 | Ramping Constraints by Project..... | 9 |
| Table A-05 | Fishery Types, Dissolved Oxygen Targets, and Type of Aeration Facilities at Reservoir Tailwaters..... | 10 |
| Table A-06 | Year 2030 Additional Net Water Supply Demand by Project..... | 11 |
| Table A-07 | Drawdown Limits for Tributary Reservoirs | 12 |
| Table A-08 | Fill and Drawdown Dates | 13 |
| Table A-09 | Hydro Modernization Projects To Be Completed by 2014..... | 15 |

This page intentionally left blank.

Table A-01 General Project Characteristics

| Project | Year Completed | Length of Reservoir (miles) ² | Miles of Shoreline | Navigation Facilities | Turbine Units (rated capacity in MW) ⁶ | Turbine Discharge Capacity ⁶ (total cfs for all units) | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Most Efficient Load (MEL) | Maximum Sustainable Load (MSL) |
| Mainstem Projects | | | | | | | |
| Kentucky | 1944 | 184.3 | 2,064.3 | 2 Locks, canal ³ | 5 (223) | - ⁸ | 70,000 |
| Pickwick | 1938 | 52.7 | 490.6 | 2 Locks, canal ⁴ | 6 (240) | - ⁸ | 89,000 |
| Wilson | 1924 ¹ | 15.5 | 166.2 | 2 Locks | 21 (675) | - ⁸ | 115,000 |
| Wheeler | 1936 | 74.1 | 1,027.2 | 2 Locks | 11 (412) | - ⁸ | 120,000 |
| Guntersville | 1939 | 75.7 | 889.1 | 2 Locks | 4 (135) | - ⁸ | 50,000 |
| Nickajack | 1967 | 46.3 | 178.7 | Lock | 4 (104) | - ⁸ | 45,000 |
| Chickamauga | 1940 | 58.9 | 783.7 | Lock | 4 (160) | - ⁸ | 45,000 |
| Watts Bar | 1942 | 95.5 [*] | 721.7 | Lock | 5 (192) | - ⁸ | 47,000 |
| Fort Loudoun | 1943 | 60.8 [*] | 378.2 | Lock | 4 (155) | - ⁸ | 32,000 |
| Total Mainstem | | 663.8 | 6,699.7 | 14 Locks | 64 (2,296) | | |
| Tributary Projects | | | | | | | |
| Norris | 1936 | 129.0 | 809.2 | | 2 (131) | 6,900 | 9,100 |
| Melton Hill | 1963 | 44.0 | 193.4 | Lock | 2 (72) | 17,000 | 22,000 |
| Douglas | 1943 | 43.1 | 512.5 | | 4 (156) | 19,000 | 24,600 ⁹ |
| South Holston | 1950 | 23.7 | 181.9 | | 1 (39) | 2,700 | 3,300 ¹⁰ |
| Boone | 1952 | 32.7 [*] | 126.6 | | 3 (92) | 10,900 | 13,200 |
| Fort Patrick Henry | 1953 | 10.4 | 31.0 | | 2 (59) | 6,100 | 9,000 |
| Cherokee | 1941 | 54.0 | 394.5 | | 4 (160) | 15,700 | 17,800 |
| Watauga | 1948 | 16.3 | 104.9 | | 2 (58) | 2,700 | 3,300 |
| Wilbur | 1912 ¹ | 1.8 | 4.8 | | 4 (11) | 2,500 | 2,900 |
| Fontana | 1944 | 29.0 | 237.8 | | 3 (294) | 9,000 | 11,300 |
| Tellico | 1979 | 33.2 | 357.0 | Canal ⁵ | 0 ⁷ | - | - |
| Chatuge | 1942 | 13.0 | 128.0 | | 1 (11) | 1,500 | 1,650 |
| Nottely | 1942 | 20.2 | 102.1 | | 1 (15) | 1,420 | 1,900 |
| Hiwassee | 1940 | 22.2 | 164.8 | | 2 (176) | 8,100 | 9,800 |
| Apalachia | 1943 | 9.8 | 31.5 | | 2 (100) | 2,700 | 2,900 |
| Blue Ridge | 1930 ¹ | 11.0 | 68.1 | | 1 (22) | 1,600 | 1,800 |
| Ocoee #1 | 1911 ¹ | 7.5 | 47.0 | | 5 (19) | 3,200 | 3,800 |

Table A-01 General Project Characteristics (continued)

| Project | Year Completed | Length of Reservoir (miles) ² | Miles of Shoreline | Navigation Facilities | Turbine Units (rated capacity in MW) ⁶ | Turbine Discharge Capacity ⁶ (total cfs for all units) | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Most Efficient Load (MEL) | Maximum Sustainable Load (MSL) |
| Tributary Projects (continued) | | | | | | | |
| Ocoee #2 | 1913 ¹ | – | – | | 2 (23) | 900 | 1,050 |
| Ocoee #3 | 1942 | 7.0 | 24.0 | | 1 (29) | 1,100 | 1,500 |
| Tims Ford | 1970 | 34.2 | 308.7 | | 1 (45) | 3,700 | 4,000 |
| Normandy | 1976 | 17.0 | 75.1 | | 0 ⁷ | – | – |
| Great Falls | 1916 ¹ | 22.0 | 120.0 | | 2 (34) | 2,700 | 3,700 |
| Upper Bear Creek | 1978 | 14.0 | 105.0 | | 0 ⁷ | – | – |
| Bear | 1969 | 12.0 | 52.0 | | 0 ⁷ | – | – |
| Little Bear Creek | 1975 | 6.0 | 45.0 | | 0 ⁷ | – | – |
| Cedar Creek | 1979 | 9.0 | 83.0 | | 0 ⁷ | – | – |
| Total Tributary | | 622.1 | 4,307.9 | 1 Lock | 45 (1,546) | | |
| Total Projects | | 1,285.9 | 11,007.6 | 15 Locks | 109 (3,842) | | |

Notes:

cfs = Cubic feet per second; MW = Megawatts.

- ¹ Projects acquired from others.
- ² Normal summer pool. *Fort Loudoun—49.9 miles on the Tennessee River, 6.5 miles on the French Broad River, and 4.4 miles on the Holston River; Watts Bar—72.4 miles on the Tennessee River and 23.1 miles on the Clinch River; Norris—73 miles on the Clinch River and 56 miles on the Powell River; Boone—17.4 miles on the South Fork Holston River and 15.3 miles on the Watauga River.
- ³ Includes new main lock chamber (110 feet wide and 1,200 feet long) and the Barkley Canal.
- ⁴ Tennessee—Tombigbee Waterway; Bay Springs Reservoir is connected to Pickwick Reservoir by a navigation canal.
- ⁵ River diversion through a canal increases energy generation at Fort Loudoun.
- ⁶ Actual capacity and turbine flows at any time depend on several factors, including operating head, turbine capability, generator cooling, water temperature, and power factor. Capacities and turbine flows include modernization of turbine units (HM0Ds) already performed, as well as those in the design, construction, or authorization phase. Turbine discharge assumes availability of all units at maximum discharge.
- ⁷ Project design does not include power generation capacity.
- ⁸ Mainstem projects can be operated well below MSL values but are predominately operated at MSL values because of higher capacities that can be achieved with acceptable loss of efficiency.
- ⁹ Primarily operated at this flow rate during flood control operations or emergency power demands.
- ¹⁰ Limited to a flow rate of 3,000 cfs during non-flooding situations to minimize downstream streambank erosion.

Source: TVA file data.

Table A-02 Reservoir Operating Characteristics

| Project | Reserved Flood Storage January ¹ to Top of Gates ² (1,000 acre-feet) | Top of Gates Elevations (feet above mean sea level) | Flood Guide Elevations (feet above mean sea level) | | | Minimum Targeted Summer Level (feet above mean sea level) | Operating Range of Elevations for Run-of-River Projects ⁴ (feet above mean sea level) |
|---------------------------|--|---|--|--------|-------|---|--|
| | | | Jan 1 | Mar 15 | Jun 1 | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Mainstem Projects | | | | | | | |
| Kentucky | 4,008 | 375 | 354 | 354 | 359 | – | |
| Pickwick | 493 ³ | 418 | 408 | 408 | 414 | – | |
| Wilson | 0 | 507.88 | – | – | – | – | 504.5–507.8 |
| Wheeler | 349 | 556.28 | 550 | 550 | 556 | – | |
| Guntersville | 162 | 595.44 | 593 | 593 | 595 | – | |
| Nickajack | 0 | 635 | – | – | – | – | 632–634 |
| Chickamauga | 345 | 685.44 | 675 | 675 | 682.5 | – | |
| Watts Bar | 379 | 745 | 735 | 735 | 741 | – | |
| Fort Loudoun ¹ | 111 | 815 | 807 | 807 | 813 | – | |
| Total Mainstem | 5,847 | | | | | | |
| Tributary Projects | | | | | | | |
| Norris | 1,473 | 1,034 | 985 | 1,000 | 1,020 | 1,010 | |
| Melton Hill | 0 | 796 | – | – | – | – | 790–796 |
| Douglas | 1,251 | 1,002 | 940 | 958.8 | 994 | 990 | |
| South Holston | 290 | 1,742 | 1,702 | 1,713 | 1,729 | 1,721 | |
| Boone | 92 | 1,385 | 1,358 | 1,375 | 1,382 | 1,382 | |
| Fort Patrick Henry | 0 | 1,263 | – | – | – | – | 1,258–1,263 |
| Cherokee | 1,012 | 1,075 | 1,030 | 1,042 | 1,071 | 1,060 | |
| Watauga | 223 | 1,975 | 1,940 | 1,952 | 1,959 | 1,949 | |
| Wilbur | 0 | 1,650 | – | – | – | – | 1,635–1,650 |
| Fontana | 580 | 1,710 | 1,644 | 1,644 | 1,703 | 1,693 | |
| Tellico ¹ | 120 | 815 | 807 | 807 | 813 | -- | |
| Chatuge | 93 | 1,928 | 1,912 | 1,916 | 1,926 | 1,923 | |
| Nottely | 100 | 1,780 | 1,745 | 1,755 | 1,777 | 1,770 | |

Table A-02 Reservoir Operating Characteristics (continued)

| Project | Reserved Flood Storage January 1 to Top of Gates ² (1,000 acre-feet) | Top of Gates Elevations (feet above mean sea level) | Flood Guide Elevations (feet above mean sea level) | | | Minimum Targeted Summer Level (feet above mean sea level) | Operating Range of Elevations for Run-of-River Projects ⁴ (feet above mean sea level) |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--------|-------|---|--|
| | | | Jan 1 | Mar 15 | Jun 1 | | |
| Tributary Projects (continued) | | | | | | | |
| Hiwassee | 270 | 1,526.5 | 1,465 | 1,482 | 1,521 | 1,515 | |
| Apalachia | 0 | 1,280 | - | - | - | - | 1,272-1,280 |
| Blue Ridge | 69 | 1,691 | 1,668 | 1,678 | 1,687 | 1,682 | |
| Ocoee #1 | 0 | 830.76 | 820 | 820 | 829 | - | |
| Ocoee #2 | 0 | 1115.2 | - | - | - | - | Not applicable ⁶ |
| Ocoee #3 | 0 | 1,435 | - | - | - | - | 1,428 -1,435 |
| Tims Ford | 220 | 895 | 873 | 879 | 888 | - ⁵ | |
| Normandy | 48 | 880 | 864 | 866.7 | 875 | - | |
| Great Falls | 0 | 805.3 | - | - | - | - | 785-800 |
| Upper Bear Creek | 0 | 797 | - | - | - | - | 790-797 |
| Bear Creek | 37 | 602 | 565 | 572.8 | 576 | - | |
| Little Bear Creek | 25 | 623 | 603 | 615 | 620 | - | |
| Cedar Creek | 76 | 584 | 560 | 574.2 | 580 | - | |
| Total Tributary | 5,979 | | | | | | |
| Total Projects | 11,826 | | | | | | |

Notes:

- 1 Projects are operated in tandem because of diversion canal to increase power generation at Fort Loudoun.
- 2 The observed flood storage varies, depending on rainfall and runoff.
- 3 Includes additional storage volume from Bay Springs Reservoir.
- 4 The observed range varies, depending on demands on the river system.
- 5 Tims Ford has no August 1 target level; it does have a minimum elevation requirement of 883 feet above sea level from May 15 through October 15.
- 6 Does not have a permanent pool.

Source: TVA file data.

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-03 Minimum Flows, Techniques, Requirements, and Commitments

| Project | Techniques | Minimum Flows (cfs) | Frequency and Duration of Flows | Operating Objective |
|--------------------------|--|---------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| Mainstem Projects | | | | |
| Kentucky | Appropriate daily scheduling | 18,000 | Bi-weekly average: June–August | Water supply, water quality |
| | | 15,000 | Bi-weekly average: May and September | |
| | | 12,000 | Daily average: October–April | |
| | | 5,000 | Year-round instantaneous flows if Paducah, Kentucky, stage on Ohio River is greater than 16 feet (occurs about half the time) | Navigation |
| | | 15,000 | Continuous when Paducah stage is between 14 and 16 feet (occurs about half the time) | Navigation |
| | | 20,000 | Continuous when Paducah stage is less than 14 feet (occurs about 2% of time) | Navigation |
| Pickwick ¹ | Appropriate daily scheduling | 15,000 | Bi-weekly average: June–August | Water supply, water quality |
| | | 9,000 | Bi-weekly average: May and September | |
| | | 8,000 | Daily average: October–April | |
| | | 16,000 | Instantaneous when Kentucky headwater is at 354-foot elevation | Navigation |
| | | 8,000 | Instantaneous when Kentucky headwater is at 355-foot elevation | Navigation |
| Wilson | Appropriate daily scheduling | 8,000 | Instantaneous when Pickwick headwater is at or below 409.5-foot elevation | Navigation |
| Wheeler and Guntersville | Appropriate daily scheduling (45% Wheeler plus 55% Guntersville flows) | 10,000 | Daily average: July–September | Operation of downstream nuclear plant |
| | | 11,000 | Daily average: December–March | |
| | | 7,000 | Otherwise | |
| Chickamauga | Appropriate daily scheduling | 13,000 | Bi-weekly average: June–August | Water supply, water quality |
| | | 7,000 | Bi-weekly average: May and September | |
| | | 3,000 | Daily average: October–April | |

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-03 Minimum Flows, Techniques, Requirements, and Commitments (continued)

| Project | Techniques | Minimum Flows (cfs) | Frequency and Duration of Flows | Operating Objective |
|--|--|---------------------|--|---|
| Mainstem Projects (continued) | | | | |
| Watts Bar | No more than 15 hours of zero flow for holding pond drainage | 1,200 | Daily average | Operation of downstream nuclear plant |
| Douglas and Cherokee flows for Knoxville | Appropriate daily scheduling of Cherokee and Douglas along with local inflow | 2,000 | Daily average | Water supply, water quality |
| Norris | Turbine pulsing and reregulation weir | 200 | Daily average: pulse every 12 hours for 30 minutes | Water supply, water quality |
| For Bull Run fossil plant | Appropriate daily scheduling | 800 | Daily average: February–March | Thermal compliance–operation of downstream fossil plant |
| | | 1,000 | Daily average: April–May | |
| | | 1,200 | Daily average: June | |
| | | 1,500 | Daily average: July–September | |
| | | 2,000 | Daily average: October | |
| | | 600 | Daily average: November–January | |
| Melton Hill | Appropriate daily scheduling | 400 | Daily average | Water supply, water quality |
| Douglas | Turbine pulsing | 585 | Daily average: every 4 hours for 30 minutes | Water supply, water quality |
| Douglas for Knoxville | Appropriate daily scheduling of Cherokee and Douglas along with local inflow | 2,000 | Daily average | |
| South Holston | Turbine pulsing and reregulation weir | 90 | Daily average: pulse every 12 hours for 30 minutes | Water supply, water quality |
| Boone | Turbine pulsing | 400 | Daily average | Water supply, water quality |

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-03 Minimum Flows, Techniques, Requirements, and Commitments (continued)

| Project | Techniques | Minimum Flows (cfs) | Frequency and Duration of Flows | Operating Objective |
|--|--|---------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| Tributary Projects | | | | |
| Fort Patrick Henry ² | Turbine pulsing | 800 | Average 3-hour discharge—year round | Water supply, water quality |
| | | 1,250 | Instantaneous: January | Operation of downstream fossil plant |
| | | 1,300 | Instantaneous: February–March | |
| | | 1,500 | Instantaneous: April–May | |
| | | 1,833 | Instantaneous: June–September | |
| | | 1,450 | Instantaneous: October–November | |
| | | 1,350 | Instantaneous: December | |
| Cherokee | Turbine pulsing | 325 | Daily average: every 6 hours for 30 minutes | Water supply, water quality |
| Cherokee for Knoxville | Appropriate daily scheduling of Cherokee and Douglas along with local inflow | 2,000 | Daily average | |
| Watauga measured from Wilbur ³ | Turbine pulsing | 107 | Daily average: small unit every 4 hours for 1 hour or large unit every 4 hours for 15 minutes | Water supply, water quality |
| Fontana measured from Chilhowee ⁴ | Appropriate daily scheduling | 1,000 | Daily average: May–October Fontana and Santeetlah plus local inflow | Water supply, water quality |
| Chatuge | Turbine pulsing and reregulation weir | 60 | Daily average: every 12 hours for 30 minutes | Water supply, water quality |
| Nottely | Small hydro unit when large unit is not generating | 55 | Continuous | Water supply, water quality |
| Apalachia ⁵ | Turbine pulsing | 200 | Daily average: every 4 hours for 30 minutes | Water supply, water quality |
| | Appropriate daily scheduling of discharges from Apalachia and Ocoee #1 | 600 | Daily average | |
| Blue Ridge ² | Small hydro unit when large unit is not generating | 115 | Continuous | Water supply, water quality |

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-03 Minimum Flows, Techniques, Requirements, and Commitments (continued)

| Project | Techniques | Minimum Flows (cfs) | Frequency and Duration of Flows | Operating Objective |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| Tributary Projects (continued) | | | | |
| Ocoee #1 | Turbine pulsing | 140 | Daily average: every 4 hours for 1 hour | Water supply, water quality |
| | Appropriate daily scheduling of discharges from Apalachia and Ocoee #1 | 600 | Daily average | |
| Tims Ford | Small hydro unit when large unit is not generating | 80 | Continuous | Water supply, water quality |
| For Fayetteville | Appropriate daily scheduling | 120 | Continuous | |
| Normandy for Shelbyville | Appropriate daily scheduling | 40 | Continuous | Water supply, water quality |
| | | 155 | | |
| Upper Bear Creek | | 5 | Continuous | Water quality, water supply |
| Bear Creek for Red Bay | | 21 | Continuous | Water quality, water supply |
| Little Bear Creek | | 5 | Continuous | Water quality, water supply |
| Cedar Creek | | 10 | Continuous | |

Notes:

cfs = Cubic feet per second.

- ¹ Minimum tailwater below Pickwick is maintained at or above a 355-foot elevation for navigation. Continuous minimum discharge from Pickwick is used to maintain this minimum elevation whenever Kentucky headwater is at or below a 355-foot elevation. These discharges vary as the Kentucky headwater varies between elevations of 354 and 355 feet.
- ² Fort Patrick Henry is required to supply a minimum flow for the John Sevier Steam Plant that equals the plant cooling water intake plus a minimum bypass flow for the current time of year. The minimum bypass flow is defined as follows in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit for John Sevier:
To the maximum extent practicable (considering only the short and long term availability of water for release from upstream impoundments and alternative sources of generation to meet the public demand for power), not less than 350 cfs nor one-third of the plant cooling water flow, whichever is greater, shall be passed over the dam during the period from June 1 to September 30 at any time the plant is in operation. During the winter months, or during the period of October 1 to May 31, the minimum bypass flow shall be 100 cfs. These are the minimum volumes of cold-water to be provided which will ensure the protection of spawning, development and survival of fish eggs, larvae, and fry and to provide living space for fish consistent with classified uses downstream from the diversion dam.
- ³ Watauga minimum flow is met at downstream Wilbur.
- ⁴ Fontana minimum flow is met at downstream Chilhowee Dam.
- ⁵ Apalachia plus Ocoee #1 must meet a combined minimum flow of 600 cfs as the combined daily average.

Source: TVA file data.

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-04 Ramping Constraints by Project

| Project | Number of Turbine Units | Ramping Rate |
|---------------|-------------------------|---|
| Watauga | 2 | Ramp units up and down a maximum of one unit per hour for downstream safety |
| Cherokee | 4 | Ramp units up and down a maximum of two units per hour to minimize downstream bank erosion |
| Douglas | 4 | Ramp units up and down a maximum of two units per hour to minimize downstream bank erosion |
| Apalachia | 2 | Ramp units up a maximum of one unit per hour for downstream safety |
| South Holston | 1 | Maximum turbine flow of 3,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) (below Maximum Sustainable Level [MSL] flows) for hydropower needs required to minimize downstream bank erosion; MSL flows allowed for flood control |
| Pickwick | 6 | Turbines limited to a ramp rate of 60 megawatts (MW) per hour when ramping up and a maximum of 40 MW per hour when ramping down for downstream navigation and bank stabilization |
| Kentucky | 5 | When Paducah stage is greater than 16 feet—maximum hourly discharge variation of one unit per hour When Paducah stage is less than 16 feet but greater than 14 feet—maximum hourly discharge variation of one unit per hour If Kentucky is not spilling—maximum daily discharge variation of 35,000 cfs per day |
| Chickamauga | 4 | From November through April, ramp units up and down a maximum of one unit per hour for Sequoyah Nuclear Plant thermal compliance |

Source: TVA file data.

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-05 Fishery Types, Dissolved Oxygen Targets, and Type of Aeration Facilities at Reservoir Tailwaters

| Project | Fishery Type | DO Target (mg/L) | Type of Aeration Facilities |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--|
| Mainstem Projects | | | |
| Watts Bar | | 4 | Oxygen injection |
| Fort Loudoun | | 4 | Oxygen injection |
| Tributary Projects | | | |
| Norris | Cold-water | 6 | Turbine venting |
| Douglas | Warm-water | 4 | Turbine venting, surface water pumps, oxygen injection |
| South Holston | Cold-water | 6 | Turbine venting, aerating weir |
| Boone | Cold-water | 4 | Turbine venting |
| Fort Patrick Henry ¹ | Cold-water | 4 | Upstream improvements |
| Cherokee | Warm-water | 4 | Turbine venting, surface water pumps, oxygen injection |
| Watauga | Cold-water | 6 | Turbine venting |
| Fontana | Cold-water | 6 | Turbine venting |
| Chatuge ² | Warm-water | 4 | Aerating weir |
| Nottely | Warm-water | 4 | Turbine air injection |
| Hiwassee | Cold-water | 6 | Turbine venting, oxygen injection |
| Apalachia ³ | Cold-water | 6 | Turbine venting |
| Blue Ridge | Cold-water | 6 | Oxygen injection |
| Tims Ford | Cold-water | 6 | Turbine air injection, oxygen injection |

Notes:

mg/L = Milligrams per liter.

¹ The first 4 miles below Fort Patrick Henry are classified as a cold-water fishery; below this point, the tailwater is classified as a warm-water fishery.

² Chatuge is classified by state standards as a warm-water fishery but has a trout fishery in its tailwater.

³ Below the powerhouse.

Source: TVA file data.

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-06 Year 2030 Additional Net Water Supply Demand by Project

| Project | Additional Net Water Demand (cfs) |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Mainstem Projects | |
| Kentucky | 49.91 |
| Pickwick | 42.39 |
| Tennessee–Tombigbee Waterway flows | 968.80 |
| Wilson | 23.99 |
| Wheeler | 132.45 |
| Guntersville | 17.15 |
| Nickajack | 21.70 |
| Chickamauga | 31.12 |
| Watts Bar | 14.44 |
| Fort Loudoun | 16.92 |
| Tellico | 1.44 |
| Tributary Projects | |
| Norris | 5.44 |
| Melton Hill | 21.99 |
| Douglas | 43.22 |
| South Holston | 3.79 |
| Boone | -8.62 |
| Fort Patrick Henry | 167.60 |
| Cherokee | -133.87 |
| Watauga | 23.84 |
| Wilbur | – |
| Fontana | 1.42 |
| Chatuge | 3.32 |
| Nottely | 0.66 |
| Hiwassee | 0.30 |
| Apalachia | 0.69 |
| Blue Ridge | 16.91 |
| Ocoee #1 | -9.02 |
| Ocoee #2 | – |
| Ocoee #3 | – |
| Tims Ford | 24.01 |
| Normandy | 0.00 |
| Great Falls | – |
| Upper Bear Creek | 0.00 |
| Bear Creek | – |
| Little Bear Creek | – |
| Cedar Creek | 0.00 |

Note:

cfs = Cubic feet per second.

Source: TVA file data.

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-07 Drawdown Limits for Tributary Reservoirs

| Project ¹ | Description | Drawdown Limits ² |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Apalachia | Concrete | 3 feet per day not to exceed 12 feet per week |
| Blue Ridge | Hydraulic fill | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per week |
| Chatuge | Impervious rolled fill | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per week |
| Cherokee | Concrete and impervious rolled fill | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per week |
| Douglas | Concrete and impervious rolled fill | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per week |
| Fontana | Concrete | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per day not to exceed 12 feet per week |
| Great Falls | Concrete | 2 feet per day not to exceed 12 feet per week |
| Hiwassee | Concrete | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week |
| Norris | Concrete and earth fill | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per week |
| Nottely | Impervious rolled fill | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per week |
| South Holston | Impervious rolled fill | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per week |
| Watauga | Impervious rolled fill | 2 feet per day not to exceed 7 feet per week for 28 feet; then 3 feet per week |

Notes:

¹ For those reservoirs not shown, the drawdown rate would follow the rate shown for Blue Ridge.

² Restrictions are based on dam safety and erosion considerations.

Source: TVA file data.

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-08 Fill and Drawdown Dates

| Mainstem Project | Operating Mode | Reservoir Fill Target Date | Target Date for Start of Reservoir Drawdown |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| Kentucky | Storage | May 1 | July 5; sloped to December 1 |
| Pickwick | Storage | April 5 | July 1; 1-foot fluctuation for mosquito control from mid May to mid-September |
| Wilson | Run-of-river | Mid-April | December 1 |
| Wheeler | Storage | Mid-April | August 1; 1-foot fluctuation for mosquito control from mid-May to mid-September |
| Guntersville | Limited drawdown | Mid-April | July 1; with 1-foot drawdown to November 1; 1-foot fluctuation for mosquito control from mid-May to mid-September |
| Nickajack | Run-of-river | – | – |
| Chickamauga | Storage | Mid-April | July 1; with 1.5-foot drawdown to mid-August, remainder of winter drawdown begins on October 1; 1-foot fluctuation for mosquito control from mid-May to mid-September |
| Watts Bar | Storage | Mid-April | August 1; 1-foot drawdown to September 1, then begin remainder of winter drawdown |
| Fort Loudoun ¹ | Storage | Mid-April | November 1 |
| Tributary Project | Operating Mode | Reservoir Fill Target Date | Date for Start of Unrestricted Reservoir Drawdown |
| Norris | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Melton Hill | Run-of-river | – | – |
| Douglas | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| South Holston | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Boone | Storage | Mid-May | Labor Day (follows guide curve) |
| Fort Patrick Henry | Run-of-river | – | – |
| Cherokee | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Watauga | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Wilbur | Run-of-river | – | – |
| Fontana | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Tellico ¹ | Storage | Mid-April | November 1 |

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-08 Fill and Drawdown Dates (continued)

| Tributary Project | Operating Mode | Reservoir Fill Target Date | Date for Start of Unrestricted Reservoir Drawdown |
|------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|--|
| Chatuge | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Nottely | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Hiwassee | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Apalachia | Run-of-river | – | – |
| Blue Ridge | Storage | June 1 | August 1 |
| Ocoee #1 | Storage | May 1 | November 1 |
| Ocoee #2 | Run-of-river | – | – |
| Ocoee #3 | Run-of-river | – | – |
| Tims Ford ² | Storage | Mid-May | October 15 |
| Normandy | Storage | May 1 | November 1; usually falls throughout summer to meet downstream minimum flows |
| Great Falls | Storage | August 1 | October 1 |
| Upper Bear Creek | Run-of-river | – | – |
| Bear Creek | Storage | Mid-April | November 15 |
| Little Bear Creek | Storage | Mid-April | November 1 |
| Cedar Creek | Storage | Mid-April | November 1 |

Notes:

¹ Tellico, connected by canal to Fort Loudoun, has a pool elevation the same as Fort Loudoun. Because Fort Loudoun is targeted to reach its summer pool level by April 15 and its drawdown does not begin until November 1, Tellico has a flat summer pool.

² Tims Ford, by design and original project allocation, has always been operated with a minimum summer pool level of 883 feet, which applies until October 15.

Source: TVA file data.

Appendix A Water Control System Description Tables

Table A-09 Hydro Modernization Projects To Be Completed by 2014

| Power Plant | Status in October 2001 ^{1,2} | Runner Performance Planned | Increased Flow ³ |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Phase 2 and Phase 3 Projects | | | |
| Douglas (Units 1–4) | Phase 3 | High efficiency and capacity | Yes |
| Guntersville (Units 1–4) | Phase 3 | Increased efficiency and capacity | No |
| Raccoon Mountain (Units 1–4) | Phase 3 | High capacity | Yes |
| Fort Loudoun (Units 3–4) | Phase 3 | Increased efficiency and capacity | Mix |
| Boone (Units 1–3) | Phase 2 | High efficiency, low flow | Insignificant |
| Chatuge (Unit 1) | Phase 2 | High capacity | Yes |
| Apalachia (Units 1–2) | Phase 2 | Increased efficiency and capacity | Insignificant |
| Watts Bar (Units 1–5) | Phase 2 | Increased efficiency and capacity | Yes |
| Phase 1 and Not Started Projects | | | |
| Cherokee (Units 1–4) | Phase 1 | High efficiency, low flow | Yes |
| Wheeler (Units 1–8) | Phase 1 | High efficiency, low flow | Not expected |
| Wilson (Units 19–21) | Phase 1 | Increased efficiency and capacity | Expected |
| Fort Loudoun (Units 1–2) | Not started | Increased efficiency and capacity | Mix |
| Wilson (Units 1–4) | Not started | High efficiency | Yes |
| Wilson (Units 5–8) | Not started | High efficiency | Yes |
| Ocoee #3 (Unit 1) | Not started | Increased efficiency and capacity | Yes |
| Nickajack (Units 3–4) | Not started | Increased efficiency and capacity | Yes |
| South Holston (Unit 1) | Not started | Increased efficiency and capacity | No |
| Melton Hill (Units 1–2) | Not started | Increased efficiency and capacity | No |
| Watauga (Units 1–2) | Not started | Increased efficiency and capacity | Yes |
| Blue Ridge (Unit 1) | Not started | Increased efficiency and capacity | Yes |
| Wilbur (Units 1–4) | Not started | Increased efficiency and capacity | Insignificant |

Notes:

HMOD = Hydro Modernization.

Phase 1 = No plans developed to date; Phase 2 = Design; Phase 3 = Construction.

¹ HMOD projects that have been completed or are scheduled to start soon include:

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Tims Ford (Unit 1) | Wheeler (Units 9–11) |
| Chickamauga (Units 1–4) | Kentucky (Units 1–5) |
| Wilson (Units 9–18) | Nottely (Unit 1) |
| Norris (Units 1–2) | Fontana (Units 1–3) |
| Fort Patrick Henry (Units 1–2) | Hiwassee (Units 2) |
| Guntersville (Units 1 and 4) | Douglas (Units 2, 3, and 4) |
| Douglas (Unit 1) | Guntersville (Unit 3) |
| Raccoon Mountain (Unit 3) | Fort Loudoun (Unit 4) |
| Guntersville (Unit 2) | Hiwassee (Unit 1) |

² HMOD projects that were in Phase 2 (design) and Phase 3 (construction) in October 2001 are included in the Base Case. Projects that were in Phase 1 or not started in October 2001 are addressed in the cumulative effects analysis.

³ HMOD flows for completed projects and those in Phase 2 (design) and Phase 3 (construction) are included in Table A-01.

Source: TVA file data 2001.

This page intentionally left blank.