

July 10, 2006

NOTICE TO ALL OFFERORS

REFERENCE: AMENDMENT NO.2
DOL061RP20079

Additional information has been requested by prospective offerors and it has been determined by the Contracting Officer that this information is made available to all offerors. The closing date for the receipt of proposals has been extended to a new closing date and time of July 24, 2006, 2:00 p.m. local time.

Chari A. Magruder
Contracting Officer

Attachment(s)

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 2	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 7/10/2006	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. LEP 45-061A-86/128885	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)
------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	--------------------------------

6. ISSUED BY U.S. Department of Labor, ETA/OGCM Division of Contract Services 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Room N-4655 Washington DC 20210	CODE	ETA	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) U.S. Department of Labor, ETA/OGCM Division of Contract Services 200 Constitution Avenue, NW Room N-4655 Washington DC 20210	CODE
--	------	-----	---	------

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code) To all Offerors/Bidders	(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. DOL061RP20079
	X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 06/9/2006
		10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
		10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE		FACILITY CODE

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, is not extended. Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
 (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 0 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. **FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.** If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.
 7/24/06 2:00pm EST

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS, IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

(X)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not, is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

(Please See Attached)

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)	16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Chari A. Magruder Contracting Officer
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR _____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)	15 C. DATES SIGNED
	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)
	16 C. DATES SIGNED

AMENDMENT NO.2

CONTINUATION PAGE

14. DISCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT (CONT'D)

ANSWERS TO REQUESTS FOR CLARIFICATION

1. In the response to Question No. 30 of Amendment 1, DOL indicates that the estimated level of effort is for the "base year" of the project. Our understanding from the RFP is that the estimated level of effort, 30-35 PPY, is for the entire 45-month project, since the RFP does not break the project down into base year and additional years. Please advise whether our understanding of the level of effort as pertaining to the entire project is correct, or whether the estimate is for the first year of the project alone?

Answer: The level of effort, 30-35 professional person years, pertains to the entire project (45 months).

2. If the principal investigator or project director also serves as a task leader, does this result in a cumulative required time commitment? For example, if designated as a task leader is the PI required to spend 25% of his/her time on that specific task and an additional 35% on performing other duties (cumulatively a 60% total time commitment)? Or, could he/she spend 25% of their time as a task leader on a specific task and an additional 10% of time on other tasks, resulting in a 35% total time commitment for the project?

Answer: (A) The time commitment for both the Principal Investigator and the Project Director assumes that they will play other roles in the project and, therefore, other roles for them are cumulative if they lead more than one task. In that case, task leader time commitments are cumulative (e.g. if the PD or PI leads two tasks, his or her time commitment is 50%). In this case, where the required commitment is 35% of total time, 25% of total time could be served as the task leader on a specific task. This time commitment is over the life of the project. (B) The time commitments are for the task leader's total commitment to the project over its period of performance. Since the period of performance is 3.5 years, a 25% time commitment means that a task leader must spend a total of at least 1875 hours on the project over the 3.5 years, with an appropriate portion of that devoted to the specific task for which he/she is the task leader. The RFP provides examples of tasks around which the staffing plan is expected to be developed. Subsequently, it states that organization of the project does not have to conform to the examples provided but must clearly show all tasks and deliverables and who will be responsible for them. It is up to each offeror to identify the most appropriate project organization and staffing plan, including designated tasks, for the proposed evaluation design.

3. The answer to Question 10 appears to state that each proposed Task Leader must devote 25% of his/her time to that particular task, with the time commitment calculated as over the contract's entire period. In some cases, though, devoting so much time to a single task would not seem sensible. For example, the RFP suggests (page M-5) that the project plan should specify the project design as one of the tasks, so we have structured our management plan that way. However, devoting 25% time to this task alone (or nearly 2,000 hours) would scarcely seem

sensible given that the design task will be carried out and entirely completed during the project's first few months. Could you clarify? Should we read this as 25% time on all tasks during the life of the project? Or 25% time on the task the individual is leading during the time the task is in process?

Answer: Percent time commitment is over the life of the project.

4. Attachment J.2 COST AND PRICE ANALYSIS, ETA 8555, does not address the multi-year period of performance, is it necessary to use the specific form or can a identical spreadsheet that provides multi-year detail be used in its place?

Answer: Form 8555 should encompass the cost and pricing information for the duration of the project.