

RECEIVED
FEDERAL ELECTION
COMMISSION
SECRETARIAT

MAR 2 3 02 PM '00



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20463

March 2, 2000

AGENDA ITEM
For Meeting of: 3-16-00

MEMORANDUM

TO: The Commission

THROUGH: James A. Pehrkon
Staff Director

FROM: Lawrence M. Noble
General Counsel

N. Bradley Litchfield
Associate General Counsel

Jonathan M. Levin
Senior Attorney

SUBJECT: Tally Vote Final Draft for AO 2000-3

Attached for Commission approval is the final draft of Advisory Opinion 2000-3. This final draft has the revisions to Agenda Document No. 00-27 that were discussed at the Commission meeting yesterday. The changes appear in bold on page 4, lines 1-2, and page 5, lines 2-5. This document is being distributed for tally vote and is subject to the 72 hour voting deadline process.

Attachment

1 ADVISORY OPINION 2000-03

2
3 Michael Scott, Director
4 Government and Legal Affairs
5 American Society of Anesthesiologists
6 1101 Vermont Avenue, N.W.
7 Suite 606
8 Washington, D.C. 20005

9
10 Dear Mr. Scott:

11 This responds to your letter dated January 24, 2000, on behalf of the American
12 Society of Anesthesiologists ("ASA"), requesting an advisory opinion concerning the
13 application of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and
14 Commission regulations to payments for receptions involving appearances by Federal
15 candidates.

16 ***Background***

17 ASA is a national medical society which consists of 34,000 physicians and other
18 scientists engaged in or especially interested in the medical practice of anesthesiology. It
19 is a not-for-profit corporation exempt from income tax under 26 U.S.C. §501(c)(6). To
20 become an ASA member, an individual must be a member of a State component society
21 chartered by ASA's board of directors. Since 1991, ASA has maintained the American
22 Society of Anesthesiologists Political Action Committee ("ASAPAC") as a separate
23 segregated fund ("SSF"). It is registered with the Commission as a multicandidate
24 committee.

25 In an effort to increase its visibility with the ASA membership, ASAPAC
26 proposes to host a series of receptions for the members which would be held in
27 conjunction with meetings and conventions of ASA members. Only members of ASA
28 would be invited to these receptions. ASAPAC proposes to pay for the reception
29 invitations, refreshments, and room rental.

30 ASAPAC proposes to invite candidates for the U.S. House or Senate to make
31 appearances at these receptions. The candidates would be permitted to ask for
32 contributions to their campaigns. Before and during the reception, ASAPAC will
33 expressly advocate support for the candidacy of the invited speaker and suggest that ASA

1 members contribute to the candidate's campaign. Any contributions to the candidate's
2 campaign would be collected by the candidate or her campaign staff, not by
3 representatives of ASAPAC.

4 ***Questions Presented***

5 In view of a corporation's ability to allow candidates to address its restricted class
6 and advocate support for the candidate to its restricted class in connection with the
7 reception, you ask whether the same allowances extend to the SSF of the corporation;
8 namely, ASAPAC. Assuming ASAPAC may pay for the above expenses, you also ask
9 whether either ASA or ASAPAC should report the disbursement as an internal
10 communication pursuant to 11 CFR 104.6(a), or whether ASAPAC alone should report
11 the disbursements as operating expenditures pursuant to 11 CFR 104.3(b)(3)(i).

12 ***Legal Analysis***

13 ***Act and Commission regulations***

14 The Act prohibits corporations from making any contribution or expenditure in
15 connection with a Federal election. 2 U.S.C. §441b(a); 11 CFR 114.2(a). Contributions
16 and expenditures include direct or indirect payments or gifts of money, or any services, or
17 anything of value to any Federal candidate. 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(2); 11 CFR 114.1(a)(1).
18 As an exception to the prohibition, the Act and Commission regulations provide that a
19 corporation or membership organization may make communications on any subject,
20 including communications expressly advocating the election or defeat of a Federal
21 candidate, to a restricted class of persons. 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(2)(A); 11 CFR 114.3(a)(1)
22 and (2), 100.8(b)(4). For communications by membership organizations, the restricted
23 class is comprised of the organization's members, its executive and administrative
24 personnel, and the families of such persons. 11 CFR 114.7(h), 114.1(j).¹

25 Commission regulations at 11 CFR 114.3(c) describe the circumstances under
26 which express advocacy communications may be made to a corporation's restricted class.
27 The requirements at 11 CFR 114.3(c)(2) for an appearance by a candidate apply

¹ The status of ASA as a "membership organization" and the status of ASA members as "members" eligible to receive communications from ASA on any subject is governed by Commission regulations, 11 CFR 100.8(b)(4)(iv)(A), (B), and (E); 114.1(e)(1), (2), and (5); and 114.8(g). The Commission assumes that ASA and its members so qualify. (See also Advisory Opinion 1994-19 where the Commission concluded that ASA was a federation of trade associations composed of the State component societies.)

1 specifically to your situation. A corporation may allow a candidate or a candidate's
2 representative to address its restricted class at a meeting, convention, or other corporate
3 function, and may bar other candidates from making a similar appearance. 11 CFR
4 114.3(c)(2)(i). The candidate or her representative may ask for contributions to her
5 campaign and may accept such contributions before, during, or after the appearance. 11
6 CFR 114.3(c)(2)(ii). The corporation may suggest that members of the restricted class
7 contribute to the candidate, but the collection of contributions by any officer, director, or
8 other representative of the corporation before, during, or after the appearance while at the
9 meeting would be a prohibited facilitation of contributions under 11 CFR 114.2(f). 11
10 CFR 114.3(c)(2)(iii).² Moreover, in order to avoid corporate facilitation, the corporation
11 may not provide materials for the purpose of transmitting or delivering contributions such
12 as stamps, envelopes addressed to a candidate or political committee (other than the SSF),
13 or other similar items which would assist in transmitting or delivering contributions, but
14 not including providing the address of the candidate or political committee. 11 CFR
15 114.2(f)(2)(ii). See Advisory Opinions 1997-22, 1996-21, and 1996-1.

16 A corporation is subject to reporting requirements with respect to express
17 advocacy communications that it makes to its restricted class. The corporation must
18 report costs directly attributable to those communications on FEC Form 7 if those costs
19 exceed \$2,000 for any election. 2 U.S.C. §431(9)(B)(iii); 11 CFR 104.6(a). See also 11
20 CFR 104.6(b) and (c) for related disclosure requirements.

21 *Application to ASA's proposal*

22 The proposed candidate receptions appear to fit within the exception to the
23 definition of contribution and expenditure for communications by a membership
24 organization to its restricted class. See 11 CFR 114.3(c)(2). ASAPAC may engage in the
25 same activities permitted to ASA under the Commission regulations and may, therefore,
26 pay for the costs of the receptions as well as costs for any related communications to ASA
27 members that are made before the reception. Expenses that can lawfully be paid with

² See also 11 CR 114.3(c)(2)(iv) which provides that if the corporation permits more than one candidate (or her representative) for the same office to address its restricted class and permits the news media to cover an appearance by one candidate, it must permit the news media to cover the appearances by the other candidates (or their representatives) under conditions of "equal access." "Equal access" is further defined by the regulation.

1 **general treasury funds of a corporation** ("soft dollars") may also be paid by the
2 **corporation's SSF with funds raised under the limits and prohibitions of the Act** ("hard
3 **dollars").**

4 The fact that the SSF, rather than the corporation, is paying the reception expenses
5 does not remove these payments from the cited exemptions to the definition of
6 contribution or expenditure and require that they be treated as in-kind contributions by the
7 SSF, subject to the limit of 2 U.S.C. §441a(a)(2)(A). The Act and Commission
8 regulations provide that any payment which is exempt from the definitions of
9 contribution or expenditure, if incurred by a corporation under 2 U.S.C. §441b(b) or 11
10 CFR Part 114, would not constitute an expenditure by such corporation. 2 U.S.C.
11 §431(8)(B)(vi) and (9)(B)(v); 11 CFR 100.7(b)(10) and 100.8(b)(11). In addition, 11
12 CFR 114.1(a)(2)(x) provides that the terms "contribution" and "expenditure" do not
13 include any activity permitted by 11 CFR Part 114. Commission regulations also state
14 that a corporation (including an incorporated membership organization) may exercise
15 control over its SSF. 11 CFR 114.5(d).³ Therefore, if an SSF of a corporation finances
16 activity that is permissible for a corporation to finance itself, then in essence the SSF is
17 making those payments on behalf of the corporation, or as a part of the corporation. This
18 is tantamount to payments by the corporation and the legal consequences should be the
19 same; such payments would not be contributions by the SSF subject to the statutory
20 limits.

21 Because ASAPAC's disbursements come within the exception to the definition of
22 "expenditure" pursuant to 2 U.S.C. §441b(b)(2)(A) and 11 CFR 114.3, they should be
23 reported as "other disbursements." Since such disbursements will be reported by the SSF,
24 and not by the corporation, the \$2,000 threshold, at 11 CFR 104.6, for reporting such
25 costs does not apply. ASAPAC should disclose the name and address of each person who
26 receives payment from the PAC aggregating in excess of \$200 within the calendar year,
27 and the date, amount, and purpose of the disbursement. 2 U.S.C. §434(b)(6)(B)(v) and 11

³ This is consistent with the concept set out in *Pipefitters Local Union No. 562 v. United States*, 407 U.S. 385 (1972) that while the funds of an SSF are segregated from other funds of the connected organization, the SSF is a part of the organization. See 407 U.S., at 414, 426; see also Federal Election Commission Regulations, Explanation and Justification, House Document No.95-44, at 108 (1977), citing *Pipefitters* in its discussion of 11 CFR 114.5(d).

1 CFR 104.3(b)(3)(ix). In stating the purpose of each such itemized disbursement,
2 ASAPAC should state the type of expense, the candidate making the appearance, and
3 a statement that this is an expense for an internal communication to the members.
4 An example would be "reception room rental for House candidate Jane Doe (2d CD
5 NY)/internal communication to members." Payments that do not meet the \$200
6 threshold must still be included in ASAPAC's total of "other disbursements." 11 CFR
7 104.3(b)(1)(ix)(B). Because of the somewhat unusual manner in which these costs will
8 be paid, any ASAPAC report that includes these disbursements should include a brief
9 reference to this advisory opinion in the pertinent Schedule B entry.

10 This response constitutes an advisory opinion concerning the application of the
11 Act and Commission regulations to the specific transaction or activity set forth in your
12 request. See 2 U.S.C. §437f.

13 Sincerely,

14
15
16 Darryl R. Wold
17 Chairman
18

19 Enclosures (AOs 1997-22, 1996-21, 1996-1, and 1994-19)
20
21