

required to contain a minimum amount of meat or poultry, provided that they contain a sufficient amount of these components to make the product subject to USDA jurisdiction.

To allow consumers to become familiar with variations in the meat or poultry content permitted in meat or poultry pizzas as non-standardized foods, the final rule requires that, for three years, the labeling of meat or poultry pizzas declare the percent of meat or poultry in the product in a parenthetical statement contiguous to the ingredients statement (9 CFR 317.8(b)(40) and 9 CFR 381.129(f)). This labeling requirement is a transitional step to allow consumers to understand the nature of the food. To minimize costs associated with the new labeling requirement, FSIS allowed pizza manufacturers to exhaust their remaining packaging inventories so that they would not have to discard any unused labels.

However, according to the National Frozen Pizza Institute (NFPI), the ability to exhaust remaining packaging inventories may not provide enough flexibility for small pizza manufacturers. According to information that NFPI recently shared with the Agency, in an effort to minimize operating costs and maintain a sound cash flow, small pizza manufacturers generally do not keep large label inventories. To free resources, these companies keep a small inventory and order labels frequently. Hence, NFPI has explained that, for most small pizza makers, there is no "stockpile" of labels. Consequently, the requirement to change labels at the next printing will impact these companies within the next few months.

Moreover, although FSIS requested comments on whether the Agency should require that the product name of non-standardized pizza products disclose the percent of meat or poultry in the product in the preamble to the proposed rule, the proposed text of the regulation did not include new labeling requirements. Therefore, because the labeling requirement in the final rule was not included in the proposed text of the regulation, most small manufacturers of pizza products did not budget for costs associated with "label changes" resulting from the final rule. The NFPI stated that, accordingly, label costs for the small pizza makers will be taken from company profits concentrated over a short time period. This is especially true for private label processors who generally cannot include the cost in existing contracts; have low profit margins; have the smallest amount of labels on hand; and

have the largest number of individual labels affected.

The recent data submitted to FSIS by NFPI explains that, because most small companies that produce packaged pizza products do not change label designs on a regular basis nor do they maintain large stocks of product labels, the costs for changing branded and private label UPC codes will be incurred more quickly than anticipated. Thus, to comply with the final rule, many small manufacturers of packaged pizzas that otherwise would not have modified their current label designs because they have not changed the formulation of their products, are required to redesign and print new product labels. NFPI suggested that an effective method to minimize the financial impact of the final regulation is to permit these companies to spread costs over a longer period of time. With a longer period to accomplish the label changes, the companies may spread costs over a longer period of time, thus enabling them to stretch the costs from profits over a longer period or to modify their pricing to incorporate the costs of the label changes. In response, in order to minimize the label redesign and printing costs to these small businesses, FSIS has decided to provide additional time to comply with the new labeling requirement.

FSIS is extending until July 31, 2004, the date that manufacturers of packaged pizza products must comply with the meat or poultry labeling requirement in 9 CFR 317.8(b)(40) and 9 CFR 381.129(f) for those manufacturers that have not changed the formulation of their products since the final rule became effective and that continue to use their current product label designs without change. To ensure that consumers are not adversely affected by the extension of the compliance date, companies that take advantage of the extension must continue to use labels that include a declaration of the percent of meat or poultry in the product for three years from the date that such new labels are first applied to their products. All manufacturers must begin to comply with the meat or poultry content declaration requirement by the new compliance date.

Additional Public Notification

Public awareness of all segments of rulemaking and policy development is important. Consequently, in an effort to better ensure that the public, and in particular minorities, women, and persons with disabilities, are aware of this notice, FSIS will announce it online through the FSIS Web page located at <http://www.fsis.usda.gov>. The

Regulations.gov Web site is the central online rulemaking portal of the United States government. It is being offered as a public service to increase participation in the Federal government's regulatory activities. FSIS participates in Regulations.gov and will accept comments on documents published on the site. The site allows visitors to search by keyword or Department or Agency for rulemakings that allow for public comment. Each entry provides a quick link to a comment form so that visitors can type in their comments and submit them to FSIS. The Web site is located at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

FSIS also will make copies of this **Federal Register** publication available through the FSIS Constituent Update, which is used to provide information regarding FSIS policies, procedures, regulations, **Federal Register** notices, FSIS public meetings, recalls, and other types of information that could affect or would be of interest to our constituents and stakeholders. The update is communicated via Listserv, a free e-mail subscription service consisting of industry, trade, and farm groups, consumer interest groups, allied health professionals, scientific professionals, and other individuals who have requested to be included. The update also is available on the FSIS Web page. Through Listserv and the Web page, FSIS is able to provide information to a much broader, more diverse audience.

Done at Washington, DC, on May 13, 2004.

Barbara Masters,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 04-11215 Filed 5-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-DM-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 70

Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards; Notice of Issuance of Final Backfit Guidance

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Final issuance; effective date announcement.

SUMMARY: The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) has issued the final document, NMSS 10 CFR Part 70 Backfit Guidance.

The final document provides guidance for implementing the backfit provisions in 10 CFR 70.76. As a result of this final issuance and as discussed in 10 CFR 70.76, backfit provisions are now effective for all part 70

requirements, except for subpart H, and following NRC approval of a licensee's Independent Safety Analysis (ISA) Summary, the requirements of 10 CFR 70.76 become effective for subpart H requirements.

DATES: The effective date of 10 CFR 70.76 is May 18, 2004.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the final document is available for public inspection and copying from the Publicly Available Records (PARS) component of NRC's document system (ADAMS). ADAMS is accessible from the NRC Web site at www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. The ADAMS Accession Number is ML040980122. Documents can also be viewed electronically on the public computers located at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), O-1F21, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852. The PDR reproduction contractor will copy documents for a fee. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1 (800) 397-4209, or (301) 415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Gleaves, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Mail Stop T-8 A33, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Telephone (301) 415-5848, or by e-mail at bcg@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 30th day of April, 2004.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Joseph J. Holonich,

Deputy Director, Division of Fuel Cycle Safety and Safeguards, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 04-11183 Filed 5-17-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NM-19-AD; Amendment 39-13632; AD 2004-10-02]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B4-600, A300 B4-600R, and A300 F4-600R (Collectively Called A300-600), A310, A319, A320, A321, A330, and A340-200 and -300 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A300-600, A310, A319, A320, A321, A330, and A340-200 and -300 series airplanes, that requires a one-time inspection to determine if certain Thales pitot probes are installed, a check for certain part numbers and serial numbers of the affected pitot probes, and cleaning of the drain hole of any affected pitot probes if obstructed. This action is necessary to prevent obstruction of the air intake of the pitot probes, which could result in misleading information being provided to the flightcrew. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective June 22, 2004.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of June 22, 2004.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Airbus Model A300-600, A310, A319, A320, A321, A330, and A340 series airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on February 6, 2004 (69 FR 5787). That action proposed to require a one-time inspection to determine if certain Thales pitot probes are installed, a check for certain part numbers and serial numbers of the affected pitot probes, and cleaning of the drain hole of any affected pitot probes if obstructed.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the

making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Supportive Comments

One commenter supports the proposed AD; another commenter has no objection to the proposed AD. The commenters generally support the intent of the proposed AD.

Request To Add Service Information

One commenter asks that the original issue of Airbus Service Bulletin A320-34-1263, dated November 26, 2002; be added to the final rule as an additional source of service information for accomplishment of the actions for Model A319, A320, and A321 series airplanes. Revision 01 was referenced in the proposed AD as the source of service information for accomplishment of the actions. The FAA agrees as there are no significant changes between the original version of the service bulletin and Revision 01. We have added the original issue of the service bulletin as an additional source of service information for accomplishment of the actions required by paragraph (a) of the final rule.

Request To Extend Compliance Time

One commenter asks that additional time be given for accomplishment of the actions specified in the proposed AD. The commenter asks that the compliance time of 700 flight hours, as specified in paragraph (a) of the proposed AD, be extended to 1,000 flight hours. The commenter states that this change will allow accomplishment of the actions at the commenter's normal maintenance cycle. The commenter adds that, to date, the unsafe condition has not been found on any of its fleet of 152 airplanes, which average 19,000 total accumulated flight hours. The commenter states that its maintenance task is performed at C-check intervals to inspect the pitot probes and drain holes for obstruction, with no negative findings to date. The commenter adds that this extension of the compliance time will not compromise safety and will allow the most efficient use of available tooling and manpower.

We do not agree. In developing an appropriate compliance time for this action, we considered the safety implications, operators' normal maintenance schedules, and the compliance time recommended by the airplane manufacturer for the timely accomplishment of the required actions. In consideration of these items, we have determined that a compliance time of 700 flight hours will ensure an