

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION / MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1	OF PAGES 3
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. Two (2)	3. EFFECTIVE DATE May 20, 2008	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. N/A	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) 688-0006	
6. ISSUED BY CODE		7. ADMINISTERED BY CODE  (If other than Item 6)		
Acquisition & Assistance Office USAID/Mali 2050 Bamako Place Washington, D.C. 20521-2050				
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP code)  To all Offerors/Bidders TASC3 IQC Contractors		X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. RFTOP# 688-P-08-005-00	
		X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 05-06-2008	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE			

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS**

The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers  is extended  is not extended. Offerors must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning   X   copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. **FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER.** If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

N/A

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS  
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

<input type="checkbox"/>	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify Authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
<input type="checkbox"/>	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation data, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY
<input type="checkbox"/>	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
<input type="checkbox"/>	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor  is not,  is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible)

The purpose of this amendment is to 1) revise sections of the solicitation, and 2) respond to questions from interested parties, making changes to Sections F, H, L and M of the RFTOP.

[continued on page 2]

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) Lawrence Bogus Regional Contracting Officer	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  By:	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of person authorized to sign)	

NSN 7540-01-152-8070  
PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

30-105

**STANDARD FORM 30** (Rev. 10-83)  
Prescribed by GSA  
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

## **SECTION F – DELIVERABLES OR PERFORMANCE**

Delete F.2 in its entirety.

F.6 – DELIVERABLES AND REPORTING REQUIREMENT, delete the first paragraph in its entirety and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

“All of the evaluation findings, conclusions, and recommendations shall be documented in the Final Report. All written deliverables shall also be submitted electronically to the CTO. Bound/color printed deliverables may also be required, as directed by the CTO.

In addition to the requirements set forth for submission of reports, and in accordance with AIDAR clause 752.242-70, Periodic Progress Reports, the Contractor shall submit reports, deliverables or outputs as further described below to the CTO. All reports and other deliverables shall be in the provided in both English and French, unless otherwise specified by the CTO”.

## **SECTION H – SPECIAL TASK ORDER REQUIREMENTS**

H.2. KEY PERSONNEL, delete the first line and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

“The contractor shall, as a minimum, provide the following key personnel for the performance of this task order:”

## **SECTION M – EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD**

M.1. GENERAL INFORMATION, last paragraph, last sentence, delete and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

“For overall evaluation purposes technical factors are considered significantly more important than cost/price factors”.

## **QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**Question #1:** On page 52 of the RFTOP, it is stated that the Executive Summary is not to exceed 3 pages. On page 51, it is stated that an Executive Summary of no more than 5 pages shall be provided in French. Please clarify that the two versions shall have varying page limits. Also, please let us know if the French Executive Summary be submitted as a separate document.

**Response to #1:** Please provide one Executive Summary in French that does not exceed 5 pages. Not to be included as part of the 40 page limit, not to be submitted as a separate document. Page 52, Executive summary in English (not to exceed 3 pages) is included in the 40 page limit.

**Question #2:** Please confirm how many duplicate CDs you would like to receive of both the technical and cost proposals as noted on page 50.

**Response to #2:** Please submit one (1) CD copy of both the technical and cost proposals

**Question #3:** Please clarify the page limit of the executive summary? Page 51 states that it may not exceed 5 pages but page 52 states a 3 page maximum.

**Response to #3:** See **Response to #1**.

**Question #4:** Please confirm that the ceiling cost of this proposal is \$22,000,000 as noted in L.3.3 on page 54.

**Response to #4:** This will be a five-year task order with an estimated dollar range of \$18 to \$22 million over the life of the Task Order.

**Question #5:** Please confirm whether or not the Workplan, Milestone Plan, Performance Monitoring Plan, organigram and a matrix of personnel and skills, needs to be included as part of the main body of the technical proposal and thus will be included as part of the 40 page limit. Or can these items be included as annexes to the technical proposal (outside the 40 page limit)? Similarly, will charts, graphics and figures within the text of the technical volume count against the page limit?

**Response to #5:** The workplan, Milestones Plan, PMP, organigram and matrix should be included as annexes and will not count toward the 40 pg limit. Charts, graphics and figures embedded within the text will count towards the 40 pg limit; charts, graphics and figures may also be included as additional annex.

**Question #6:** On page 54 you request five past or current performances references. Should all five references be from the prime contractor, or should we include references for any subcontractors on this proposal? If so please provide the total number of references expected for each partner.

**Response to #6:** Performance references for the offeror as prime or subcontractor.

**Question #7:** On page 18 the RFTOP notes: "Any proposed technical advisors should be based at the regional level (and at the district level in Bamako) and oversee technical assistance throughout the region as appropriate." Are these technical advisors to be the key personnel described on page 53?

**Response to #7:** No – these would be separate field advisors and are not considered key personnel.

**Question #8:** On page 29, in the List of Operational Plan Indicators for Family Planning and Reproductive Health, the sixth indicator reads: "Number of service delivery points reporting stock-outs of any contraceptive commodity offered by the SDP (do not use)." Please confirm that this indicator should not be used?

**Response to #8:** This is correct – at this time do not include this indicator as an active OP indicator.

**Question #9:** On page 14, the RFTOP says that the Contractor will support the increased use of health services through: "Orientation in MOH guidelines and application of training modules private sector providers." Is there any text missing from this bullet?"

**Response to #9:** This text should read: The orientation of private sector providers in MOH guidelines through the application of adapted training modules.

**Question #10:** USAID asks that offerors be aware of the current program, the lessons learned and be prepared to build on this foundation. In order to do so, information about the current program, ATN, in Mali is needed. Can USAID provide annual reports, evaluations, monitoring results and other documentation to ensure that the all offerors have access to the same information?

**Response to #10:** Please find documents attached herewith.

**Question #11:** This RFTOP indicates that wider coverage of high impact services is desired. How is the offeror to conduct scale-up of high impact services throughout the desired geographic region? Is the new program expected to work with regional health authorities and health districts? Please clarify and provide additional detail as to geographic areas where the program is expected to work.

**Response to #11:** The Mission feels that adequate information is included in the RFP including geographic areas to cover, which services should be covered in which areas and the levels of the health system at which to work. In addition, we will not provide information about HOW to conduct activities – this is what we desire to learn from offerors.

**Question #12:** In light of the fact that more information has been requested to be able to adequately prepare a submission, we request that the submission deadline be extended.

**Response to #12** Mission does not anticipate an extension of the submission deadline.

**Question #13:** Section L.2 of the RFTOP indicates that responses to questions will be provided on May 16. Can USAID indicate the corrected date for its estimate of posting responses to questions?

**Response to #13:** on or about May 23, 2008.

[END OF AMENDMENT #2]