National Biological Assessment and Criteria Workshop

Advancing State and Tribal Programs



Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 31 March – 4 April, 2003

Maine's Tiered Aquatic Life Standards and Biological Criteria

WQS 101

Presented by

Susan P. Davies Maine Department of Environmental Protection Biological Monitoring Program

Tiered Aquatic Life Standards and Biological Criteria

Maine Department of Environmental Protection Biological Monitoring Program

Susan P. Davies

The Policy Context

Maine DEP Biological Monitoring Program

- In existence since 1983
- Authorizing legislation passed in 1986
- Monitoring activities
 - Streams and rivers statewide; about 650 stations and
 >1000 sampling events to date (stream insects)
 - Stream periphyton, wetlands and lakes are also monitored
- River and stream classification (classes A, B, C; NA) based on biological criteria

The Clean Water Act (CWA) and Its Implementation Federal Law: Protect chemical, physical and biological integrity **State Law:** Specify biological condition goals - establish tiered classification system **Definitions:** Clarify biological attributes **Rule**: Specify methods to determine attainment of water quality class

Maine Statutory Aquatic Life Standards

Class A/AA ====>

"as naturally occurs"

• Class B

• Class C



"support all indigenous species"; "no detrimental change" "support indigenous fish (salmonids);

maintain structure and function"

Maine's Aquatic Life Management Classes





[Stressor gradient]

Statutory Definition: "as naturally occurs"

"with essentially the same physical, chemical and biological characteristics as found in situations with similar habitats, free of measurable effects of human activity"

"without detrimental changes
in the resident biological
community"

"...no significant loss of species or excessive dominance by any species or group of species attributable to human activity"

"community structure"

"...the organization of a biological community based on numbers of individuals within different taxonomic groups and the proportion each group represents of the total community"

"community function"

"...mechanisms of uptake, storage and transfer of lifesustaining materials available to a biological community which determine the efficiency of use and the amount of export of the materials from the community"

Tiered Standards for Other Waterbody Types

• Wetlands- AG consult to clarify "waters of the state" and applicability of existing standards; active bioassessment program; moving towards numeric biocriteria

• Marine- same as riverine aquatic life standards; marine standrads have been applied in aquaculture permitting

Technical Basis



Macroinvertebrate Sampling Methods

- Rock bags/baskets/cones with standard weight of stream cobble
- Three bags or baskets placed in riffle or run of wadeable stream, or three cones in river
- Left in place for 4 weeks





River and Stream Monitoring Stations



Maine Tiered Uses Based on Measurable Ecological Values



Data Analysis And Classification

- Biological data put into statistical model (30 *variable linear discriminant model*)
 - Model output is an estimation of strength of association of a sample to four water quality



What is the Precision of the Model?

Predictive success in jackknife test of combined four-way and two-way models (373 sample dataset)

Class A Model			B or Better Model			C or Better Model		
Model Prediction			Model Prediction			Model Prediction		
	Α	B,C,NA		A,B	C,NA		A,B,C	NA
A priori			A priori			A priori		
A	89.4%	8.2%	A,B	96.4%	5.5%	A,B,C	97%	2.9%
B,C,NA	8.6%	91.4%	C,NA	6.7%	92.3%	NA	12.2%	86.7%



RESULTS:

Case Studies

Reducing Discharges from Lincoln Pulp and Paper Company into Penobscot River



Year

Secondary wastewater treatment in place



Reducing Discharges from Guilford Industries into Piscataquis River



Cleaning Up Groundwater Contamination in Cooks Brook, Waterboro, Maine



completed

Uses and Applications of Biological Monitoring Results

Purpose and Uses

- Set Goals
- Document Status
- Identify/Prioritize
- Report on Status
- Force Action
- Measure
 Progress

Programmatic Context

- Standards and Criteria
- 305b; State legislature
- 303d; Work Planning
- 305b; SWAT; public
- Standards and Criteria; Enforcement
- Monitoring; Adaptive Management

MAINE Water Quality Re-Classification History

- 1990-2003 UPGRADES = 1,441 miles
 - Class C to Class B = 68 miles
 - Class B to Class A= 798 miles
 - Class B to Class AA= 59 miles
 - Class A to Class AA= 346 miles

Reasons?

- trout & Atlantic salmon protection
- tribal petitions
- •point-source improvement; dam removal
- 1998-2003 DOWNGRADES = 5 miles

- Class B to Class C (UAA due to impoundment + point sources)



What Does it Take?





Resource Requirements

- <u>Current</u>: +/- \$280,000 per year
 - about 2% of total state water management budget
 - 4 FTE biologists; 2 field season interns
 - rivers, streams, wetlands
 - macroinvertebrates, periphyton, physical/chemical
- <u>Start-up</u> research and development: \$600,000 spent over about seven years

Lessons Learned

Good Management Tool

- Provides answers needed by management
- Addresses management goals
- Able to trigger management intervention
- Provides management flexibility (a range of management classes)
- Transparent and reproducible decision process

Sound Science

Ecologically accurate: i.e. positive findings reveal <u>actual loss</u> of ecological integrity and negative findings indicate <u>actual maintenance</u> of ecological integrity

Free from unsupported assumptions

Known probability of error

Practical to Use

Feasible (not easy) level of effort

- to develop
- * to apply
- Robust to operator error
- Provides unambiguous results
- Easily communicated

The Human Element

• How to advocate

• How to navigate

• How to integrate

How to advocate Communication

- Authenticity- "Why do I care so much?"
- Credibility- "What makes me so sure?"
- Respectful inquiry- "Where do we differ?"

How to navigate

• What is the legal bedrock?

- Granite or quicksand?

• What is the political reality?

– Industrial capitalism? Deep ecology?

- Who are your allies? your detractors?
 - Citizen advocacy groups
 - Stakeholder-based technical review committees



Slowly but surely beats a TRAIN WRECK every time

• Aquatic life standards passed in 1986

– 2 years after the first sample was collected!

- Aggressive use since 1990 based on the strength of the statutory aquatic life standards
- Numeric criteria rules approved in 2003
 - 20 years after the first samples were collected !

Information Web site: http://www.state.me.us/dep/blwq/biohompg.htm *Report:*

Biomonitoring Retrospective: Fifteen Year Summary for Maine Rivers and Streams Staff:

David Courtemanch, Susan Davies, Leon Tsomides, Jeanne DiFranco, Tom Danielson, Frank Drummond (statistician)