#### National Biological Assessment and Criteria Workshop

Advancing State and Tribal Programs



Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 31 March – 4 April, 2003

# **TRIBE 101**

### Use of Biocriteria for 401 Certification

#### Presented by Deb Madison, Fort Peck Assiniboine & Sioux Tribes

### **401 Certification Authority**

- Obtained when Tribal WQ Standards are approved
- Triggered when any activity that requires a Federal permit may result in a discharge into navigable water
- Common activities include 404 permits (USACOE) and 402 permits (USEPA)

# 401 Certification Authority cont'd

- 401 Certifications are quasi-regulatory
- Tribe can attach conditions to 401
  Certifications to ensure protection of water quality
- Conditions can require monitoring to ensure compliance with water quality standards

# O'Connor Bridge 401 Certification

- Requested by the US ACOE & BIA in 4/98
- Tribe certified with conditions 7/98
- Conditions include:
  - Geomorphic Considerations
  - Erosion Controls
  - Revegetation and stabilization of banks
  - Monitoring upstream and downstream for physical and biological criteria

# O'Connor Bridge Project



### Water Quality Criteria

- O'Connor Bridge located on Poplar River on a segment designated as a Class I Cool Water
- Standards Physical
  - Dissolved Oxygen > 8.0
  - Temperature < 23°
  - pH 6.5-9.0

### Water Quality Criteria

- Standards Biological
  - Taxa Richness ≥ 5
  - Family Biotic Index,  $(FBI) \le 6.5$
  - EPT Index  $\geq 3$

# **Biological Data Collected**

| Date Collected 08/16/00   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
|---|-----------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|----|-------|
|   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
|   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
| ТАХА  | Number of | FBI |      | *FFG |     |     | -  |       |
| Kicknet   | Organisms | Т٧  |      | SCR  | C-F | SHR | CG | Other |
| Nematoda (sludgeworm)   | 9         | 3   | 0.24 |      |     |     |    | 9     |
| Coleoptera: gyrinidae   | 2         | 5   | 0.09 |      |     |     |    | 2     |
| Diptera: chironomidae   | 1         | 7   | 0.06 |      |     |     | 1  |       |
| Diptera: simuliidae   | 8         | 6   | 0.43 |      | 8   |     |    |       |
| Ephemeroptera: heptageniidae  | 5         | 4   | 0.18 |      |     |     |    |       |
| Ephemeroptera: oligoneuriidae   | 83        | 2   | 1.50 |      | 83  |     |    |       |
| Trichoptera: hydropsychidae   | 3         | 4   | 0.11 |      | 3   |     |    |       |
|   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
| TOTAL   | 111       |     | 2.61 | 0    | 94  | 0   | 1  | 11    |
|   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
|   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
| СРОМ  |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
| Gastropoda: physidae  | 1         | 8   |      |      |     |     | ×  |       |
| Amphipoda: talitridae   | 1         | 8   |      |      |     |     | ×  |       |
| Coleoptera: hydrophilidae   | 1         | 5   |      |      |     |     |    | x     |
| Diptera: simuliidae   | 12        | 6   |      |      | х   |     |    |       |
| Ephemeroptera: heptageniidae  | 1         | 4   |      | x    |     |     |    |       |
| Trichoptera: hydropsychidae   | 6         | 4   |      |      | x   |     |    |       |
|   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
|   | 22        |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
|   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
|   |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
| *NOTE CF: Collector-Filterer; CG: Collector-Gatherer; SCR: Scraper; SHR: Shredder; OM: omnivore |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
| MH: macrophyte-herbivore(shredder); PH: piercer-herbivore(predator); PA: parasite; UN: unknown; |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |
| XY: xylophage.  |           |     |      |      |     |     |    |       |

# Sampling Results August, 2000

#### Upstream

| Date Collected 08/16/00  |                                      |              |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
|                          |                                      |              |  |  |
|                          |                                      |              |  |  |
| METRIC                   | METRIC SCORE                         | RATING SCORE |  |  |
| Taxa Richness            | 10                                   | 3            |  |  |
| EPT Richness             | 3                                    | 0            |  |  |
| Biotic Index             | 2.61                                 | 3            |  |  |
| % Dominant Taxon         | 75%                                  | 0            |  |  |
| %C-F + %C-G              | 86%                                  | 1            |  |  |
| % EPT Taxa               | 82%                                  | 3            |  |  |
| %SCR + %SHR              | 0                                    | 0            |  |  |
| %Dipteran + %Non Insecta | 16%                                  | 3            |  |  |
|                          |                                      | 13           |  |  |
|                          | TOTAL                                | 54%          |  |  |
|                          |                                      |              |  |  |
| SUPPORTABILITY           | Partial Support, moderately impaired |              |  |  |

#### Downstream

| O'Conner X-ing dwn strm  |                                       |              |  |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Date Collected 08/16/00  |                                       |              |  |  |
|                          |                                       |              |  |  |
|                          |                                       |              |  |  |
| METRIC                   | METRIC SCORE                          | RATING SCORE |  |  |
| Taxa Richness            | 9                                     | 2            |  |  |
| EPT Richness             | 3                                     | 1            |  |  |
| Biotic Index             | 3.96                                  | 3            |  |  |
| % Dominant Taxon         | 38%                                   | 2            |  |  |
| %C-F + %C-G              | 95%                                   | 1            |  |  |
| % EPT Taxa               | 60%                                   | 3            |  |  |
| %SCR + %SHR              | 1%                                    | 0            |  |  |
| %Dipteran + %Non Insecta | 38%                                   | 2            |  |  |
|                          |                                       | 12           |  |  |
|                          | TOTAL                                 | 50%          |  |  |
|                          |                                       |              |  |  |
| SUPPORTABILITY           | Partial Support, moderately impaired. |              |  |  |

### **Photo Comparison Upstream**

#### August 2000

August 2002





March 31 – April 4, 2003 National Biological Assessment and Criteria Workshop, TRIBE 101\_08

# Photo Comparison Downstream



#### **EPT RICHNESS - O'CONNER CROSSING**

Fort Peck Indian Reservation, Poplar, Montana



#### FAMILY BIOTIC INDEX -O'CONNER CROSSING

Fort Peck Indian Reservation, Poplar, Montana



#### **TAXA RICHNESS - O'CONNER CROSSING**

Fort Peck Indian Reservation, Poplar, Montana



## Summary

• None of the biocriteria were violated after construction was completed although EPT values were at the standard.

A slight trend of improvement over the course of three years after bridge completion

### **Future Plans**

- Developing metrics for the Missouri River with EPA
- Contract for evaluation of the biological program with Tetra Tech
- Revise criteria with available information in the next triennial review of water quality standards