#### National Biological Assessment and Criteria Workshop

Advancing State and Tribal Programs



Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 31 March – 4 April, 2003

# *Why a Formal Method for Causal Evaluation?*

Presented by Glenn Suter II, USEPA, Office of Research & Development

# SI 101

#### Where are we going?

- Place of causal analysis in environmental management
- Why a formal method?
- History of causal analysis
- Relation to Stressor Identification

#### When is Causal Analysis Needed?

- Implementing biological standards
- Investigating fish kills
- Contaminated sites
- Interpreting biological monitoring results
- Recovery plans for T&E species
- Any other case of observed effects



#### Why use a formal method?

- To convince skeptical stakeholders
- To increase a manager's confidence that costly remedial or restoration efforts are targeted at factors that can truly improve biological condition.
- To identify causal relationships that are otherwise not immediately apparent.
- To prevent biases or lapses of logic that may not be apparent until a formal method is applied.

"The first principle of science is that you must not fool yourself--and you are the easiest person to fool" --Richard Feynman

#### This is Harder Than Rocket Science

- Causation is one of the most difficult and controversial concepts in philosophy
- Only one reliable method
  - Randomized, replicated, controlled experiment
  - Lesson of hormone replacement
  - Not available to us
- But we all think we know how to do it
  - Hard wired to jump to conclusions
  - Creaking wood at night means intruder!
  - Causal analysis says thermal contraction

# History of Causality

- Galileo Galilei: causes must be
  - Necessary: Never E without C
  - Sufficient: Always E when C
- Hume: all we know is:
  - Time order: C precedes E
  - Association: E when C
  - Consistency: Always E when C
- Mill: no causality without experiment
  - Must manipulate C and observe E
- Pearson: Probabilistic causality
  - Frequency of E given C = probability of causation
- Fisher: probabilistic analysis of experiments
  - Probability of E given imposed C

March 31 – April 4, 2003

# **Causation in Epidemiology**

- Conventionally probabilistic
  - Does smoking cause cancer?
  - Contingency table
    - 400 smokers
    - 400 nonsmokers

	Cancer	No Cancer
Smokers	40	360
NonSmokers	2	398

#### Fisher Strikes Back



# Hill to the Rescue

- His criteria:
  - Strength
  - Consistency
  - Specificity
  - Temporality
  - Biological Gradient
  - Plausibility
  - Coherence
  - Experiment
  - Analogy
- Established causality based on strength of evidence

March 31 – April 4, 2003

#### **Current State of the Art**

- Hill's criteria and variants
  - Susser, Fox, etc.
- Popperian disproof
  - Based on experiment (Mill, Platt)
  - Based on observation (Galileo)
- Fisherian disproof
  - Only for experiments
- Probabilistic Association
  - Frequentist or Bayesian
- Koch's Postulates (single chem. or pathogen)
  - Association of C and E
  - Isolation of C from E
  - Experimental Association of C and E
  - Experimental Isolation of C from E

## Synthesis

- Hume was right
  - Its all association
  - But, not all associations are equal
- Experimental Association
  - Reliable due to control, replication & randomization
  - Results may be uncertain due to variance
  - Results may not be relevant
- Observational Association
  - Results may be directly relevant
  - Not reliable: no control or randomization
- Mechanistic Association
  - Associations at lower level of organization
  - Reductionism

March 31 – April 4, 2003

#### Mechanistic Response to Fisher



March 31 – April 4, 2003

# Alternatives to Association for Identifying Causes

 Deduction from theory - Our theories are not that good Computational toxicology some day Consensus Stakeholder processes Regulatory constraints The cause is the one we can hammer

# **Our Causal Strategy**

- Logically eliminate when can
- Diagnose when can
- Use strength of evidence for the rest
- Do not claim proof of causation
- Identify the most likely cause
- Use a consistent process
- Document the evidence and inferences