

VUONNE B. WEBER Name:

Please be aware that all comments we receive will become part of the public record of what office of THE SECRETARY considered in this matter. All comments we receive will be posted on automaticity of the secretary of the secret comment form to the SEC representative or mail your comments to the following address:

> Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 450 Fifth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20549-0609

Comments: your two proposed forms make sense for transactions directly between a client and a broker. There is another, highly grenalent situation where the public, as mutual fund purchasers, is being grossly taken advantage of, and the forms you propose may Not solve the problem. The SEC should address the situation where a nutual Fang management firm is selected to nanage the HOIK holdings of a company's employees. The Federal government has an interest in seeing that this tax-advantaged program is administered in the public interest. Often, lit is Not. The example I know nost about is NorDstrom, with its 45,000 employees Nationwide. It chose Putram, that not only charged 270 of every employees holdings, but the individual punos often charged an additional maintenance fee Each year, these were automatically deducted from the employees' account. If you did Not like putnam, with its sub-stangard fungs, you opted out of The 401K opportunity completely. Many peopled did that. Employees who had requeer payroll deductions for turenty yours hight accumbate \$200,000 20 total, on Put Nam took \$4,000. = automatically. Employees had which No choice in the fund hangement firm selected to handle their HOIK plan by the company. This "loophole" in fund operations should be closed immediately. Thank you , fronne & Weber Thank you for your comments