

Rule Comments

Proposed Rule on Mutual Fund Disclosure Forms

(SEC File No. S7-06-04)

310

Name:

SOL DEMEL

MAR 0 5 2004

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Please be aware that all comments we receive will become part of the public record of what we considered in this matter. Please return the comment form to the SEC representative or mail your comments to the following address:

Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission 450 Fifth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20549-0609

| Comments: THE FORM IS TOO COMPLICATED. |
|--|
| SIMPLY PUT - ASK: |
| (1) COMMISSION ON FUND TO SALESPERSON (BROKER) |
| INDICATE COMMISSIONS PAID TO BROKER ON |
| OTHER FUNDS OFFERED + COMMISSIONS |
| CHARGED BY OTHER FIRMS AS A COST COMPARISON. |
| |
| 2 INDICATE MANAGEMENT FEES & SHOW |
| WHAT OTHER BROKERAGE HOUSES CHARGE |
| 3) SAY "THIS IS A "LOAD-FUND" + THE |
| COMMISSIONS THE EITHER CHARGED UP FRONT |
| OR BACK LOADED |
| (4) COMPARE THE FUNDS PERFORMANCE |
| (over) |

More space provided on back of page.

| WITH OTHER SUCH FUNDS & |
|---|
| SHOW THE PERFORMANCE WITH |
| BOTH THE LOAD FEES & MANAGE- |
| WENT FEBS INDICATED |
| 9x AMPLE |
| EXAMPLE FUND RETURNED 8,4% |
| W53 LOAD |
| LESS MEANT FEE |
| NET RETURN |
| |
| FINALLY THE FORM SHOULD TOLL INVESTORS |
| FINALLY THE FORM SHOULD TOLL INVESTORS |
| FEBS & COMMISSIONS TO LARGER |
| INVESTORS. |
| |
| ONE OTHER THOUGHT - ON 180'S - EACH |
| BROKERAGE FIRM SHOWLD FE REQUIRED TO |
| OFFER EVERY CUSTOMER A PORTION OF THE |
| 180 THE FIRM HAS BASED ON PERCENTAGES |
| WHY SHOULD THE LARGE INVESTORS GET |
| THE 100'S + NOT THE SMACE |
| INVESTORS. |
| FINALLY, HOW CAN I GGT COMPENSATED FOR DEAN WITTER, MORGAN STANLEY'S PROPRIETARY FUND SCAM? |
| MORBAN STANLEY'S PROPRIETARY FUND SCAM? |
| Thank you for your comments. |