JUDO GREGG, NEW HAMPSHIRE, CHAIRMAN

/- FTE V. DOMENICI, NEW MEXICO CHARLES E. GRASSILEY, KOWA WAYNES ALLAIRO, COLORADO MICHAEL SYZD, WYCMING JEFF SEESIONE, ALAIRAMA JAM SUMMON, KENTUCKY MIKE GRAPO, EDAHO JOHN CORNYN, TEXAS LAMAN ALDCANDER, TENNESSEE LAMAN ALDCANDER, TENNESSEE LAMAN ALDCANDER, TENNESSEE LAMAN ALDCANDER, TENNESSEE RENT CONRAD, HORTH DAKOTA
PALLE, BARBANEE, MARYLAND
PATTY MURRAY, WARSHRIGTON
ROO WYDEN, O'REGON
RUSBELL D. FEMBOLD, WISCONSIN
TIM JOHNSON, SOUTH DAKOTA
ROBERT C. BYRD, WEST VURGINIA
BILL NELSON, FLORIDA
DEBBIE STABENOW, MICHIGAN
JOM S. CORZINE, NEW JERSEY

SCOTT B. GUDES, MAJORITY STAFF DIRECTOR MARY ANN NAYLOR, STAFF DIRECTOR MARY DIMERS SENSES, SOY United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON THE BUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6100

June 24, 2005

United States Securities & Exchange Commission 450 Fifth Street NW Washington, DC 20549

Dear Chairman Donaldson and Members of the Commission:

I am writing to express concern that the Commission has not dedicated sufficient consideration to its proposed rule change that would require mutual funds to raise the percentage of independent directors from 50% to 75% and have an independent chairman. Existing empirical research does not appear to support such a change.

In a report your staff prepared to comply with the provisions of the FY 2005 Consolidated Appropriations Act requiring the Securities & Exchange Commission (SEC) justify its rule that the directors of mutual funds be independent, they concluded that "the empirical data regarding the relationship between an independent chairman and fund performance and fees are inconclusive." In the attached dissenting statement, Commissioners Glassman and Atkins concluded that the report "does not provide a valid justification for the independent chair rule."

Without being able to clearly demonstrate how mutual fund investors would benefit from the proposed change, it would appear implementing such a rule is unwarranted. In fact, the Bobroff-Mack Report concluded that management-chaired funds both perform better than and charge lower fees than independent-chaired funds. These results suggest requiring independent chairman on mutual fund boards could actually hurt investors.

Furthermore, on Tuesday June 21st the US Court of Appeals for the DC Circuit unanimously ruled that the SEC failed to properly review the cost of the proposed rule that the directors of mutual funds be independent and consider the disclosure alternative.

Considering the lack of empirical evidence to support such a change and the DC Circuit's recent ruling, I ask that the Commission postpone action on this matter until the Commission's new chairman is confirmed and has had adequate time to review this matter.

Sincerely.

