

CHAPTER 7: PREVENTION PROGRAM (PROGRAM 3)

Warehouses are subject to Program 3 only if they are subject to the OSHA PSM standard. Many of you will need to do little that is new to comply with the Program 3 prevention program, because you already have the OSHA PSM program in place. Whether you're building on the PSM standard or creating a new program, keep these things in mind.

- g EPA and OSHA have different legal authority — EPA for offsite consequences, OSHA for on-site consequences. If you are already complying with the PSM standard, your process hazard analysis (PHA) team may have to assess new hazards that could affect the public or the environment offsite. Protection measures that are suitable for workers (e.g., venting releases to the outdoors) may be the very kind of thing that imperils the public.
- g Integrate the elements of your prevention program. You must ensure that a change in any single element of your program leads to a review of other elements to identify any effect caused by the change.
- g Most importantly, make accident prevention an institution at your site. Like the entire risk management program, a prevention program is more than a collection of written documents. It is a way to make safe operations and accident prevention the way you do business everyday.

7.1 PROGRAM 3 PREVENTION PROGRAM AND OSHA PSM

The Program 3 prevention program includes the requirements of the OSHA PSM standard. Whenever we could, EPA used OSHA's language verbatim. However, there were a few terms that EPA had to change to reflect the differences between its authority and OSHA's. For example, OSHA regulates to protect workers; EPA's responsibility is to protect public health and safety and the environment. Therefore, an "owner or operator" subject to EPA's rule must investigate catastrophic releases "that present(s) (an) imminent and substantial endangerment to public health and the environment," but an OSHA "employer" would focus its concerns on the workplace. To clarify these distinctions, we deleted specific references to workplace impacts and "safety and health" contained in OSHA's PSM standards. We also used different schedule dates and references where appropriate. Exhibit 7-1 compares terms in EPA's rule with their counterparts in the OSHA PSM standard.

**EXHIBIT 7-1
COMPARABLE EPA AND OSHA TERMS**

OSHA TERM	EPA TERM
Highly hazardous substance Employer Facility Standard	Regulated substance Owner or operator Stationary source Rule or part

There are twelve elements in the Program 3 prevention program. Each element corresponds with a section of subpart D of part 68. Exhibit 7-2 sets out each of the twelve elements, the corresponding section numbers, and OSHA references. Two OSHA elements are not included. Emergency response is dealt with separately in part 68; the OSHA trade secrets requirement (provision of trade secret information to employees) is beyond EPA's statutory authority.

**EXHIBIT 7-2
SUMMARY OF PROGRAM 3 PREVENTION PROGRAM
(40 CFR PART 68, SUBPART D)**

SECTION	TITLE	OSHA PSM REFERENCE
§ 68.65	Process Safety Information	PSM standard § 1910.119(d).
§ 68.67	Process Hazard Analysis (PHA)	PSM standard § 1910.119(e).
§ 68.69	Operating Procedures	PSM standard § 1910.119(f).
§ 68.71	Training	PSM standard § 1910.119(g).
§ 68.73	Mechanical Integrity	PSM standard § 1910.119(j).
§ 68.75	Management of Change	PSM standard § 1910.119(l).
§ 68.77	Pre-Startup Review	PSM standard § 1910.119(i).
§ 68.79	Compliance Audits	PSM standard § 1910.119(o).
§ 68.81	Incident Investigation	PSM standard § 1910.119(m).
§ 68.83	Employee Participation	PSM standard § 1910.119(c).
§ 68.85	Hot Work Permit	PSM standard § 1910.119(k).
§ 68.87	Contractors	PSM standard § 1910.119(h).

OSHA provided guidance on PSM in non-mandatory Appendix C to the standard. OSHA has reprinted this appendix as PSM Guidelines for Compliance (OSHA 3133). The OSHA guidance is reproduced, reordered to track part 68, in Appendix

D. The remainder of this chapter briefly outlines the major requirements and provides a discussion of any differences between EPA and OSHA. In some cases, further guidance is provided on the meaning of specific terms. For more detailed guidance, you should refer to the OSHA guidance in Appendix D.

Q & A PROCESS

Q. I have a tank with more than 10,000 pounds of propane. I use the propane to heat the offices. The propane is not subject to PSM or the risk management program rule. The tank, however, is close to equipment that has chlorine above the applicable threshold and is subject to OSHA PSM and Program 3. Is the tank considered part of the chlorine process?

A. If a fire or explosion in the propane tank could cause a release of chlorine or other regulated substances or interfere with mitigation of such a release, the tank is considered part of the process. When you do your PHA for the process, you must evaluate how the propane tank could cause a release of chlorine and determine what steps may be needed to prevent such releases.

PSM AND WAREHOUSES

As discussed in Chapter 2, you will be subject to OSHA PSM if you have more than a threshold quantity of most of the regulated toxic substances (OSHA does not cover the acids in solution, toluene diisocyanate, chloroform, arsenous trichloride, tetranitromethane, and titanium tetrachloride). If you have regulated flammables liquids stored in containers at atmospheric conditions, these are not subject to OSHA PSM under an exemption. Flammables stored under pressure or refrigeration would be covered by OSHA.

7.2 PROCESS SAFETY INFORMATION (§ 68.65)

Exhibit 7-3 briefly summarizes the process safety information requirements.

PROCESS SAFETY INFORMATION AND WAREHOUSES

If you only store containers of regulated substances, many of the process safety information requirements will not be applicable to you. Process chemistry, block flow diagrams, P&IDs, relief systems, and safety systems are unlikely to be applicable to most warehouses. You will still need to define maximum inventories (see Chapter 6), safe process limits, and consequences of deviations. Materials of construction, electrical classification, ventilation system design, and design codes and standards will also apply.

EXHIBIT 7-3 PROCESS SAFETY INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

<u>For chemicals, you must complete information on:</u>	<u>For process technology, you must provide:</u>	<u>For equipment in the process, you must include information on:</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U Toxicity U Permissible exposure limits U Physical data U Reactivity U Corrosivity U Thermal & chemical stability U Hazardous effects of inadvertent mixing of materials that could foreseeably occur 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U A block flow diagram or simplified process flow diagram U Information on process chemistry U Maximum intended inventory of the EPA-regulated chemical U Safe upper & lower limits for such items as temperature, pressure, flows, or composition U An evaluation of the consequences of deviation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U Materials of construction U Piping & instrument diagrams (P&IDs) U Electrical classification U Relief system design & design basis U Ventilation system design U Design codes & standards employed U Safety systems U Material and energy balances for processes built after June 21, 1999

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

Diagrams. You may find it useful to consult Appendix B of OSHA's PSM final rule, computer software programs that do P&IDs, or other diagrams.

Guidance and Reports. Various engineering societies issue technical reports relating to process design. Other sources you may find useful include:

- g** *Guidelines for Process Safety Documentation*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1995.
- g** *Emergency Relief System Design Using DIERS Technology*, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 1992.
- g** *Emergency Relief Systems for Runaway Chemical Reactions and Storage Vessels: A Summary of Multiphase Flow Methods*, American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 1986.
- g** *Guidelines for Pressure Relief and Emergency Handling Systems*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 1998.
- g** *Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, Volumes I, II, and III, Frank P. Lees, Butterworths: London 1996.

QS & AS
PROCESS SAFETY INFORMATION

Q. What does “materials of construction” apply to and how do I find this information?

A. You must document the materials of construction for all process equipment in a covered process. For example, you need to know the materials of construction for process vessels, storage vessels, piping, hoses, valves, and flanges. Equipment specifications should provide this information.

Q. What does “electrical classification” mean?

A. Equipment and wiring for locations where fire and explosion hazards may exist must meet requirements based on the hazards. Each room, section, or area must be considered separately. Equipment should be marked to show Class, Group, and operating temperature or temperature range. You must determine the appropriate classification for each area and ensure that the equipment used is suitable for that classification. The equipment covered includes transformers, capacitors, motors, instruments, relays, wiring, switches, fuses, generators, lighting, alarms, remote controls, communication, and grounding. Electrical classification will be included in equipment specifications.

Q. What do I have to do for material and energy balances?

A. For new processes, you must document both material and energy inputs and outputs of a process. For example, you would document the quantity of a regulated substance added to the process, the quantity consumed during the process, and the quantity that remains in the output. This requirement will not generally apply to storage processes.

7.3 PROCESS HAZARD ANALYSIS (§ 68.67)

PHA AND WAREHOUSES

PHA checklists will generally work well for warehouses. See Chapter 6 for guidance on identifying or developing a checklist.

Exhibit 7-4 provides a summary of the requirements for process hazard analyses (PHAs).

EPA/OSHA DIFFERENCES

You can use a PHA conducted under the OSHA PSM standard as your initial process hazard analysis. All OSHA PHAs must have been completed by May 1997. Therefore, the only "new" PHAs will be for non-OSHA Program 3 processes. If the process is subject to OSHA PSM, you can update and revalidate your PHA on OSHA's schedule.

**EXHIBIT 7-4
PROCESS HAZARD ANALYSIS REQUIREMENTS**

<u>The PHA must cover::</u>	<u>Techniques must be one or more of:</u>	<u>Other requirements:</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> UHazards of the process UIdentification of previous, potentially catastrophic incidents UEngineering and administrative controls applicable to the hazards UConsequence of failure of controls USiting UHuman factors UQualitative evaluation of health and safety impacts of control failure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> UWhat If UChecklist UWhat If/Checklist UHazard and Operability Study (HAZOP) UFailure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) UFault Tree Analysis UAppropriate equivalent methodology 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> UAnalysis must be done by a team, one member of which has experience in the process, one member of which is knowledgeable in the PHA technique UA system must be developed for addressing the team's recommendations and documenting resolution and corrective actions taken UThe PHA must be updated at least once every five years UPHAs and documentation of actions must be kept for the life of the process

Offsite impacts. You should consider offsite impacts when you conduct a PHA under EPA's rule (except for an initial PHA where are using the PHA conducted for OSHA PSM). If you are in the Program 3 prevention program because you must comply with the PSM standard, you may not have fully considered offsite consequence because the focus of PSM is worker protection. Practically speaking, however, there should be few instances where the scenarios considered for OSHA fail to address offsite impacts. A well-done PHA should identify all failure scenarios that could lead to significant exposure of workers, the public, or the environment. The only issue that may require further consideration for part 68 processes is whether any protection measures that were adequate for worker safety are inadequate for public and environmental safety.

Consider two circumstances — one where OSHA's PSM standard and EPA's risk management program rule lead to the same result, and another where protecting workers could mean endangering the public and the environment. For flammables, any scenario that could affect the public almost certainly would have the potential to affect workers; measures taken to protect your employees likely will protect the public and the environment. For toxics under PSM, however, you may plan to address a loss of containment by venting toxic vapors to the outside air. In each circumstance, a PHA should define how the loss of containment could occur. However, for EPA, the PHA team should reassess venting as an appropriate mitigation measure.

Updating and revalidating your PHA. For EPA, you must complete the initial PHA for each Program 3 process not later than June 21, 1999, and update it at least once every five years. You may complete an initial PHA before that date. You may use an OSHA PHA as your initial PHA, and update and revalidate it every five years on the OSHA schedule. A PHA completed after August 19, 1996 (the effective date of part 68) should consider offsite impacts.

REJECTING TEAM RECOMMENDATIONS

You may not always agree with your PHA team's recommendations and may wish to reject a recommendation. OSHA's compliance directive CPL 2-2.45A-revised states that you may decline a team recommendation if you can document one of the following: (1) the analysis upon which the recommendation is based contains factual errors; (2) the recommendation is not necessary to protect the health of employees or contractors; (3) an alternative measure would provide a sufficient level of protection; or (4) the recommendation is infeasible. For part 68, you should also consider whether recommendations are not necessary to protect public health and the environment.

UPDATING YOUR PHA

You should update or revalidate your PHA whenever there is a new hazard or risk created by changes to your process. Such changes might include introducing a new process, process equipment, or regulated substance; altering process chemistry that results in any change to safe operating limits; or other alteration that introduces a new hazard. You might, for example, introduce a new hazard if you installed a gas pipeline next to a storage tank containing a regulated substance. Other candidates could be making changes in process constituents that increase the possibility of runaway reactions or polymerization. EPA recommends that you consider revalidating your PHA whenever adjoining processes create a hazard. Remember that you have a general duty to prevent accidents and ensure safety at your source, which may require you to take steps beyond those specified in the risk management program rule.

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

Appendix 7-A of this chapter provides a summary of each of the techniques, a description of the types of processes for which they may be appropriate, and estimates about the time and staff required for each.

Part 68 and OSHA PSM require that whichever technique or techniques you use, you must have at least one person on the PHA team who is trained in the use of the technique. Training on such techniques is available from a number of professional organizations as well as private companies. You may have staff members who are capable of providing this training as well. Many trade associations publish detailed

Qs & As
OFFSITE CONSEQUENCES

Q. What does EPA mean by "consider offsite consequences"? Do we have to do an environmental impact assessment (EIA)?

A. EPA does not expect you to do an EIA. Potential consequences to the public and the environment are already analyzed in the offsite consequence analysis. In the PHA, EPA only expects you to identify any failure scenarios that could lead to public exposures and to examine whether your strategies are adequate to reduce the risk of such exposures.

Q. If I need to revise a PHA to consider offsite consequences, when do I have to do that?

A. In general, for a PHA completed to meet the requirements of OSHA PSM, you should revise the PHA to consider offsite consequences when you update that PHA. Any PHA for a covered process completed or updated for OSHA PSM after August 19, 1996, when part 68 was effective, should examine offsite consequences. For example, if you completed an initial PHA for OSHA PSM in May 1993, OSHA requires that you update that PHA by May 1998. In that update, you should consider offsite consequences. If you complete your initial PHA for OSHA in May 1995, you must update it by May 2000; PHAs conducted for part 68 must include consideration of offsite consequences at that time.

guidance on methods for conducting a process hazard analysis. You might find the following documents useful.

- g** *Guidelines for Hazard Evaluation Procedures, 2nd Ed. with Worked examples*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1992.
- g** *Evaluating Process Safety in the Chemical Industry*, Chemical Manufacturers Association.
- g** *Loss Prevention in the Process Industries, Volumes I, II, and III*, Frank P. Lees, Butterworths: London 1996.
- g** *Management of Process Hazards (RP 750)*, American Petroleum Institute.
- g** *Risk-Based Decision Making (Publication 16288)*, American Petroleum Institute.

7.4 OPERATING PROCEDURES (§ 68.69)

OPERATING PROCEDURES AND WAREHOUSES

Chapter 6 of this document provides descriptions of what each operating phase and when these phases may not apply to warehouse operations.

Exhibit 7-5 summarizes what your operating procedures must address. Operating procedures must be readily accessible to workers who operate or maintain the process. You must review operating procedures as often as necessary to assure that they reflect current practices and any changes to the process or facility. You must certify annually that the operating procedures are current and accurate.

EXHIBIT 7-5 OPERATING PROCEDURES REQUIREMENTS

<u>Steps for each operating phase</u>	<u>Operating limits</u>	<u>Safety & health considerations</u>	<u>Safety systems & their functions</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U Initial startup U Normal operations U Temporary operations U Emergency shutdown U Emergency operations U Normal shutdown U Startup following a turnaround or emergency shutdown U Lockout/tagout U Confined space entry U Opening process equipment or piping U Entrance into the facility 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U Consequences of deviations U Steps to avoid, correct deviations 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U Chemical properties & hazards U Precautions for preventing chemical exposure U Control measures for exposure U QC for raw materials and chemical inventory U Special or unique hazards 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> U Address whatever is applicable

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

Chapter 7 of this document provides descriptions of each operating phase and when these phases may not apply to certain operations.

- g *Guidelines for Process Safety Fundamentals for General Plant Operations*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1995.
- g *Guidelines for Safe Process Operations and Maintenance*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1995.

- g *Guidelines for Writing Effective Operating and Maintenance Procedures*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1996.

7.5 TRAINING (§ 68.71)

You are required to train new operators on the operating procedures and cover health and safety hazards, emergency operations, and safe work practices applicable to the employee's tasks. For workers involved in operating the process before June 21, 1999, you may certify in writing that they are competent to operate the process safely, in accordance with the operating procedures. At least every three years you must provide refresher training (you must consult with employees involved in operating the process to determine the appropriate frequency). Finally, you are required to determine that each operator has received and understood the training and keep a record for each employee with the date of the training and the method used to verify that the employee understood the training.

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

- g *Guidelines for Process Safety Fundamentals for General Plant Operations*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1995.
- g *Guidelines for Technical Planning for On-Site Emergencies*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1995.
- g *Federally Mandated Training and Information (Publication 12000)*, American Petroleum Institute.

7.6 MECHANICAL INTEGRITY (§ 68.73)

You must have a mechanical integrity program for pressure vessels and storage tanks, piping systems, relief and vent systems and devices, emergency shutdown systems, controls, and pumps. Exhibit 7-6 briefly summarizes the other requirements for your mechanical integrity program.

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

Guidance and Reports. Other sources of guidance and reports you may find useful include:

- g *Guidelines for Process Equipment Reliability Data with Data Tables*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1989.
- g *Guidelines for Process Safety Documentation*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1995.

EXHIBIT 7-6
MECHANICAL INTEGRITY CHART

<u>Written procedures</u>	<u>Training</u>	<u>Inspection & testing</u>	<u>Equipment deficiencies</u>	<u>Quality assurance</u>
<p>U Establish & implement written procedures to maintain the integrity of process equipment.</p>	<p>U Train process maintenance employees in an overview of the process and its hazards.</p> <p>U Make sure this training covers the procedures applicable to safe job performance.</p>	<p>U Inspect & test process equipment.</p> <p>U Use recognized and generally accepted good engineering practices.</p> <p>U Follow a schedule that matches the manufacturer's recommendations or more frequently if prior operating experience indicates is necessary.</p> <p>U Document each inspection & test with: Date, inspector name, equipment identifier, test or inspection performed, results.</p>	<p>U Correct equipment deficiencies before further use of process equipment or whenever necessary to ensure safety.</p>	<p>U Establish a QA program for new construction & equipment, newly installed equipment, maintenance materials, and spare parts & equipment.</p>

- g *Pressure Vessel Inspection Code: Maintenance Inspection, Rating, Repair, and Alteration (API 510)*, American Petroleum Institute.
- g *Tank Inspection, Repair, Alteration, and Reconstruction (Std 653)*, American Petroleum Institute.

7.7 MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE (§ 68.75)

Exhibits 7-7 briefly summarizes EPA's MOC requirements.

**EXHIBIT 7-7
MANAGEMENT OF CHANGE REQUIREMENTS**

MOC procedures must address:	Employees affected by the change must:	Update process safety information if:	Update operating procedures if:
<p>U Technical basis for the change</p> <p>U Impact on safety and health</p> <p>U Modifications to operating procedures</p> <p>U Necessary time period for the change</p> <p>U Authorization requirements for proposed change</p>	<p>U Be informed of the change before startup</p> <p>U Trained in the change before startup</p>	<p>U A change covered by MOC procedures results in a change in any PSI required under EPA's rule (see § 67.65)</p>	<p>U A change covered by MOC procedures results in a change in any operating procedure required under EPA's rule (see § 67.69)</p>

MOC AND WAREHOUSES

If you only store substances at your warehouse, management of change will apply primarily to the use of new equipment and the handling of a new class of substances. If your procedures and practices do not change with the introduction of a new substance or class of substances, you may not need to take any steps under management of change. These changes will not trigger an RMP update if you have included the new regulated substance in your existing RMP under predictive filing (see Chapter 1).

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

- g** *Management of Change in Chemical Plants: Learning from Case Histories*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1993.
- g** *Plant Guidelines for Technical Management of Chemical Process Safety*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1992.
- g** *Management of Process Hazards (RP 750)*, American Petroleum Institute.

7.8 PRE-STARTUP REVIEW (§ 68.77)

You must conduct your pre-startup safety review for new stationary sources or modified stationary sources when the modification is significant enough to require a change in safety information under the management of change element. You must conduct your pre-startup review before you introduce a regulated substance to a process, and you must address the items listed in Exhibit 7-8.

EXHIBIT 7-8 PRE-STARTUP REVIEW REQUIREMENTS

<u>Design Specifications</u>	<u>Adequate Procedures</u>	<u>PHA/MOC</u>	<u>Training</u>
UConfirm that new or modified construction and equipment meet design specifications.	UEnsure that procedures for safety, operating, maintenance, and emergencies are adequate and in place.	Perform a PHA and resolve or implement any recommendations for new process. Meet management of change requirements for modified process.	UConfirm that each employee involved in the process has been trained completely.

7.9 COMPLIANCE AUDITS (§ 68.79)

You must conduct an audit of the process to evaluate compliance with the prevention program requirements at least once every three years. At least one person involved in the audit must be knowledgeable in the process. You must develop a report of the findings and document appropriate responses to each finding and document that deficiencies have been addressed. The two most recent audit reports must be kept on-site.

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

- g *Guidelines for Auditing Process Safety Management Systems*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1993.

7.10 INCIDENT INVESTIGATION (§ 68.81)

Exhibit 7-9 briefly summarizes the steps you must take for investigating incidents.

You must investigate each incident which resulted in, or could have resulted in, a "catastrophic release of a regulated substance." A catastrophic release is one that "presents an imminent and substantial endangerment to public health and the environment." Although the rule requires you to investigate only those incidents which resulted in, or could reasonably have resulted in a catastrophic release, EPA encourages you to investigate all accidental releases. Investigating minor accidents

or near misses can help you identify problems that could result in major releases if left unaddressed.

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

- g** *Guidelines for Investigating Chemical Process Incidents*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1992.
- g** *Guide for Fire and Explosion Investigations (NFPA 921)*, National Fire Protection Association.

**EXHIBIT 7-9
INCIDENT INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS**

U Initiate an investigation promptly.	Begin investigating no later than 48 hours following the incident.
U Establish a knowledgeable investigation team.	Establish an investigation team to gather the facts, analyze the event, and develop the how and why of what went wrong. At least one team member must have knowledge of the process involved. Consider adding other workers in the process area where the incident occurred. Their knowledge will be significant and should give you the fullest insight into the incident.
U Summarize the investigation in a report.	Among other things, the report must identify the factors contributing to the incident. Remember that identifying the root cause may be more important than identifying the initiating event. The report must also include any recommendations for corrective actions. Remember that the purpose of the report is to help management take corrective action.
U Address the team’s findings and recommendations.	Establish a system to address promptly and resolve the incident report findings and recommendations; document resolutions and corrective actions.
U Review the report with your staff and contractors.	You must share the report - its findings and recommendations - with affected workers whose job tasks are relevant to the incident.
U Retain the report.	Keep incident investigation reports for five years.

7.11 EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION (§ 68.83)

Exhibit 7-10 briefly summarizes what you must do.

EXHIBIT 7-10 EMPLOYEE PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS

U Write a plan.	Develop a written plan of action regarding how you will implement employee participation.
U Consult with employees.	Consult your employees and their representatives regarding conducting and developing PHAs and other elements of process safety management in the risk management program rule.
U Provide access to information.	Ensure that your employees and their representatives have access to PHAs and all other information required to be developed under the rule.

7.12 HOT WORK PERMITS (§ 68.85)

Exhibit 7-11 briefly summarizes how to meet the hot work permit requirement.

EXHIBIT 7-11 HOT WORK PERMITS REQUIREMENTS

U Issue a hot work permit.	You must issue this permit for hot work conducted on or near a covered process.
U Implement fire prevention and protection.	You must ensure that the fire prevention and protection requirements in 29 CFR 1910.252(a) are implemented before the hot work begins. The permit must document this.
U Indicate the appropriate dates.	The permit should indicate the dates authorized for hot work.
U Identify the work.	The permit must identify the object on which hot work is to be performed.
U Maintain the permit on file.	You must keep the permit on file until workers have completed the hot work operations.

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

- g** *Standard for Fire Prevention in Use of Cutting and Welding Processes (NFPA 518)*, National Fire Protection Association.
- g** *Standard for Welding, Cutting and Brazing*, 29 CFR 1910 Subpart Q.

7.13 CONTRACTORS (§ 68.87)

Exhibit 7-12 summarizes both yours and the contractors' responsibilities where contractors perform maintenance or repair, turnaround, major renovation, or specialty work on or adjacent to a covered process.

EXHIBIT 7-12 CONTRACTORS CHART

<u>You must...</u>	<u>Your contractor must...</u>
<p>UCheck safety performance. When selecting a contractor, you must obtain and evaluate information regarding the safety performance of the contractor.</p>	<p>UEnsure training for its employees. The contractor must train its employees to ensure that they perform their jobs safely and in accordance with your source's safety procedures.</p>
<p>UProvide safety and hazards information. You must inform the contractor of potential fire, explosion, or toxic release hazards; and of your emergency response activities as they relate to the contractor's work and the process.</p>	<p>UEnsure its employees know process hazards and applicable emergency actions. The contractor must assure that contract employees are aware of hazards and emergency procedures relating to the employees' work.</p>
<p>UEnsure safe practices. You must ensure that you have safe work practices to control the entrance, presence, and exit of contract employees in covered process areas.</p>	<p>UDocument training. The contractor must prepare a record documenting and verifying adequate employee training.</p>
<p>UVerify that the contractor acts responsibly. You must verify that the contractor is fulfilling its responsibilities.</p>	<p>UEnsure its employees are following your safety procedures.</p>
	<p>UInform you of hazards. The contractor must tell you of any unique hazards presented by its work or of any hazards it finds during performance.</p>

EPA/OSHA DIFFERENCES

EPA has no authority to require that you maintain an occupational injury and illness log for contract employees. Be aware, however, that OSHA does have this authority, and that the PSM standard does set this requirement. (See 29 CFR 1910.119(h)(2)(vi)).

WHERE TO GO FOR MORE INFORMATION

- g** *Contractor and Client Relations to Assure Process Safety*, Center for Chemical Process Safety of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers 1996.
- g** *API/CMA Managers Guide to Implementing a Contractor Safety Program (RP 2221)*, American Petroleum Institute.
- g** *Improving Owner and Contractor Safety Performance (RP 2220)*, American Petroleum Institute.

APPENDIX 7-A PHA TECHNIQUES

This appendix provides descriptions of each of the PHA techniques listed in the OSHA PSM standard and § 68.67. These descriptions include information on what each technique is, which types of processes they may be appropriate for, what their limitations are, and what level of effort is typically associated with each. This information is based on *Guidelines for Hazard Evaluation Procedures*, 2nd Ed., published by AIChE/CCPS. If you are interested in more detailed discussion and worked examples, you should refer to the AIChE/CCPS volume.

Neither the information below nor the full AIChE/CCPS volume will provide you with enough information to conduct a PHA. The rule requires that your PHA team include at least one person trained in the technique you use. Training in PHA techniques is available from a number of organizations. If you must conduct multiple PHAs, you are likely to need to update your PHAs frequently, or you have a complex process that will take several weeks to analyze, you may want to consider training one or more of your employees. If you have a single process that is unlikely to change more than once every five years, you may find it more cost-effective to hire a trained PHA leader.

DESCRIPTIONS OF TECHNIQUES

CHECKLISTS

Checklists are primarily used for processes that are covered by standards, codes, and industry practices — for example, storage tanks designed to ASME standards, ammonia handling covered by OSHA (29 CFR 1910.111). Checklists are easy to use and can help familiarize new staff with the process equipment. AIChE/CCPS states that checklists are a highly cost-effective way to identify customarily recognized hazards. Checklists are dependent on the experience of the people who develop them; if the checklist is not complete, the analysis may not identify hazardous situations.

Checklists are created by taking the applicable standards and practices and using them to generate a list of questions that seek to identify any differences or deficiencies. If a checklist for a process does not exist, an experienced person must develop one based on standards, practices, and facility or equipment experience. A completed checklist usually provides "yes," "no," "not applicable," and "need more information" answers to each item. A checklist analysis involves touring the process area and comparing equipment to the list.

AIChE/CCPS estimates that for a small or simple system a checklist will take 2 to 4 hours to prepare, 4 to 8 hours to evaluate the process, and 4 to 8 hours to document the results. For larger or more complex processes, a checklist will take 1 to 3 days to prepare, 3 to 5 days to evaluate, and 2 to 4 days to document.

WHAT-IF

A What-If is a brainstorming approach in which a group of people familiar with the process ask questions about possible deviations or failures. These questions may be framed as What-If, as in "What if the pump fails?" or may be expressions of more general concern, as in "I worry about contamination during unloading." A scribe or recorder takes down all of the questions on flip charts or a computer. The questions are then divided into specific areas of investigation, usually related to consequences of interest. Each area is then addressed by one or more team members.

What-If analyses are intended to identify hazards, hazardous situations, or accident scenarios. The team of experienced people identifies accident scenarios, consequences, and existing safeguards, then suggest possible risk reduction alternatives. The method can be used to examine deviations from design, construction, modification, or operating intent. It requires a basic understanding of the process and an ability to combine possible deviations from design intent with outcomes. AIChE describes this as a powerful procedure if the staff are experienced; "otherwise, the results are likely to be incomplete."

A What-If usually reviews the entire process, from the introduction of the chemicals to the end. The analysis may focus on particular consequences of concern. AIChE provides the following example of a What-If question: "What if the raw material is the wrong concentration?" The team would then try to determine how the process would respond: "If the concentration of acid were doubled, the reaction could not be controlled and a rapid exotherm would result." The team might then recommend steps to prevent feeding wrong concentrations or to stop the feed if the reaction could not be controlled.

A What-If of simple systems can be done by one or two people; a more complex process requires a larger team and longer meetings. AIChE/CCPS estimates that for a small or simple system a What-If analysis will take 4 to 8 hours to prepare, 1 to 3 days to evaluate the process, and 1 to 2 days to document the results. For larger or more complex processes, a What-If will take 1 to 3 days to prepare, 4 to 7 days to evaluate, and 4 to 7 days to document.

WHAT-IF/CHECKLIST

A What-If/Checklist combines the creative, brainstorming aspects of the What-If with the systematic approach of the Checklist. The combination of techniques can compensate for the weaknesses of each. The What-If part of the process can help the team identify hazards and accident scenarios that are beyond the experience of the team members. The checklist provides a more detailed systematic approach that can fill in gaps in the brainstorming process. The technique is generally used to identify the most common hazards that exist in a process. AIChE states that it is often the first PHA conducted on a process, with subsequent analyses using more detailed approaches.

The purpose of a What-If/Checklist is to identify hazards and the general types of accidents that could occur, evaluate qualitatively the effects of the effects, and determine whether safeguards are adequate. Usually the What-If brainstorming precedes the use of the checklist, although the order can be reversed.

The technique usually is performed by a team experienced in the design, operation, and maintenance of the process. The number of people required depends on the complexity of the process. AIChE/CCPS estimates that for a small or simple system a What-If/Checklist analysis will take 6 to 12

hours to prepare, 6 to 12 hours to evaluate the process, and 4 to 8 hours to document the results. For larger or more complex processes, a What-If/Checklist will take 1 to 3 days to prepare, 4 to 7 days to evaluate, and 1 to 3 weeks to document.

HAZOP

The Hazard and Operability Analysis (HAZOP) was originally developed to identify both hazards and operability problems at chemical process plants, particularly for processes using technologies with which the plant was not familiar. The technique has been found to be useful for existing processes as well. A HAZOP requires an interdisciplinary team and an experienced team leader.

The purpose of a HAZOP is to review a process or operation systematically to identify whether process deviations could lead to undesirable consequences. AIChE states that the technique can be used for continuous or batch processes and can be adapted to evaluate written procedures. It can be used at any stage in the life of a process.

HAZOPs usually require a series of meetings in which, using process drawings, the team systematically evaluates the impact of deviations. The team leader uses a fixed set of guide words and applies them to process parameters at each point in the process. Guide words include "No," "More," "Less," "Part of," "As well as," "Reverse," and "Other than." Process parameters considered include flow, pressure, temperature, level, composition, pH, frequency, and voltage. As the team applies the guide words to each process step, they record the deviation, with its causes, consequences, safeguards, and actions needed, or the need for more information to evaluate the deviation.

HAZOPs require more resources than simpler techniques. AIChE states that a simple process or a review with a narrow scope may be done by as few as three or four people, if they have the technical skills and experience. A large or complex process usually requires a team of five to seven people. AIChE/CCPS estimates that for a small or simple system a HAZOP analysis will take 8 to 12 hours to prepare, 1 to 3 days to evaluate the process, and 2 to 6 days to document the results. For larger or more complex processes, a HAZOP will take 2 to 4 days to prepare, 1 to 3 weeks to evaluate, and 2 to 6 weeks to document.

FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS (FMEA)

A Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) evaluates the ways in which equipment fails and the system's response to the failure. The focus of the FMEA is on single equipment failures and system failures. An FMEA usually generates recommendations for increasing equipment reliability. FMEA does not examine human errors directly, but will consider the impact on equipment of human error. AIChE states that FMEA is "not efficient for identifying an exhaustive list of combinations of equipment failures that lead to accidents."

An FMEA produces a qualitative, systematic list of equipment, failure modes, and effects. The analysis can easily be updated for design or systems changes. The FMEA usually produces a table that, for each item of equipment, includes a description, a list of failure modes, the effects of each failure, safeguards that exist, and actions recommended to address the failure. For example, for pump operating normal, the failure modes would include fails to stop when required, stops when required to run, seal leaks or ruptures, and pump case leaks or ruptures. The effects would detail both the immediate effect

and the impact on other equipment. Generally, when analyzing impacts, analysts assume that existing safeguards do not work, AIChE states that "more optimistic assumptions may be satisfactory as long as all equipment failure modes are analyzed on the same basis."

An FMEA requires an equipment list or P&ID, knowledge of the equipment, knowledge of the system, and responses to equipment failure. AIChE states that on average, an hour is sufficient to analyze two to four pieces of equipment. AIChE/CCPS estimates that for a small or simple system an FMEA will take 2 to 6 hours to prepare, 1 to 3 days to evaluate the process, and 1 to 3 days to document the results. For larger or more complex processes, an FMEA will take 1 to 3 days to prepare, 1 to 3 weeks to evaluate, and 2 to 4 weeks to document.

FAULT TREE ANALYSIS (FTA)

A Fault Tree Analysis (FTA) is a deductive technique that focuses on a particular accident or main system failure and provides a method for determining causes of the event. The fault tree is a graphic that displays the combinations of equipment failures and human errors that can result in the accident. The FTA starts with the accident and identifies the immediate causes. Each immediate cause is examined to determine its causes until the basic causes of each are identified. AIChE states that the strength of FTA is its ability to identify combinations of basic equipment and human failures that can lead to an accident, allowing the analyst to focus preventive measures on significant basic causes.

AIChE states that FTA is well suited for analyses of highly redundant systems. For systems vulnerable to single failures that can lead to accidents, FMEA or HAZOP are better techniques to use. FTA is often used when another technique has identified an accident that requires more detailed analysis. The FTA looks at component failures (malfunctions that require that the component be repaired) and faults (malfunctions that will remedy themselves once the conditions change). Failures and faults are divided into three groups: primary failures and faults occur when the equipment is operating in the environment for which it was intended; secondary failures and faults occur when the system is operating outside of intended environment; and command faults and failures are malfunctions where the equipment performed as designed but the system that commanded it malfunctioned.

An FTA requires a detailed knowledge of how the plant or system works, detailed process drawings and procedures, and knowledge of component failure modes and effects. AIChE states that FTAs need well trained and experienced analysts. Although a single analyst can develop a fault tree, input and review from others is needed

AIChE/CCPS estimates that for a small or simple system an FTA will take 1 to 3 days to prepare, 3 to 6 days for model construction, 2 to 4 days to evaluate the process, and 3 to 5 days to document the results. For larger or more complex processes, an FTA will take 4 to 6 days to prepare, 2 to 3 weeks for model constructions, 1 to 4 weeks to evaluate, and 3 to 5 weeks to document.

OTHER TECHNIQUES

The rule allows you to use other techniques if they are functionally equivalent. The AIChE Guidelines includes descriptions of a number of other techniques including Preliminary Hazard Review, Cause-Consequence Analysis, Event Tree Analysis, and Human Reliability Analysis. You may also

develop a hybrid technique that combines features of several techniques or apply more than one technique.

SELECTING A TECHNIQUE

Exhibit 7A-1 is adapted from the AIChE Guidelines and indicates which techniques are appropriate for particular phases in a process's design and operation.

EXHIBIT 7A-1 APPLICABILITY OF PHA TECHNIQUES

	Checklist	What-If	What-If- Checklist	HAZOP	FMEA	FTA
R&D		T				
Design	T	T	T			
Pilot Plant Operation	T	T	T	T	T	T
Detailed Engineering	T	T	T	T	T	T
Construction/Start-Up	T	T	T			
Routine Operation	T	T	T	T	T	T
Modification	T	T	T	T	T	T
Incident Investigation		T		T	T	T
Decommissioning	T	T	T			

FACTORS IN SELECTING A TECHNIQUE

Type of process will affect your selection of a technique. AIChE states that most of the techniques can be used for any process, but some are better suited for certain processes than others. FMEA efficiently analyzes the hazards associated with computer and electronic systems; HAZOPs do not work as well with these. Processes or storage units designed to industry or government standards can be handled with checklists.

AIChE lists What-If, What-If/Checklist, and HAZOP as better able to handle batch processes than FTA or FMEA because the latter do not easily deal with the need to evaluate the time-dependent nature of batch operations.

Analysis of multiple failure situations is best handled by FTA. Single-failure techniques, such as HAZOP and FMEA, are not normally used to handle these although they can be extended to evaluate a few simple accident situations involving more than one event.

AICHe states that when a process has operated relatively free of accidents for a long time, the potential for high consequence events is low, and there have been few changes to invalidate the experience base, the less exhaustive techniques, such as a Checklist, can be used. When the opposite is true, the more rigorous techniques are more appropriate.

A final factor in selecting a technique is time required for various techniques. Exhibit 7A-2 summarizes AICHe's estimates of the time required for various steps. The full team is usually involved in the evaluation step; for some techniques, only the team leader and scribe are involved in the preparation and documentation steps.

EXHIBIT 7A-2
TIME AND STAFFING FOR PHA TECHNIQUES

	Checklist	What-If	What-If Checklist	HAZOP	FMEA	FTA
Simple/Small System						
# Staff	1-2	2-3	2-3	3-4	1-2	2-3
Preparation	2-4 h	4-8 h	6-12 h	8-12 h	2-6 h	1-3 d
Modeling						3-6 d
Evaluation	4-8 h	1-3 d	6-12 h	1-3 d	1-3 d	2-4 d
Documentation	4-8 h	1-2 d	4-8 h	2-6 d	1-3 d	3-5 d
Large/Complex Process						
# Staff	1-2	3-5	3-5	5-7	2-4	2-5
Preparation	1-3 d	1-3 d	1-3 d	2-4 d	1-3 d	4-6 d
Modeling						2-3 w
Evaluation	3-5 d	4-7 d	4-7 d	1-3 w	1-3 w	1-4 w
Documentation	2-4 d	4-7 d	1-3 w	2-6 w	2-4 w	3-5 w

h = hours d = days (8 hours) w = weeks (40 hours)

