

# Thirtymile Fire Investigation Report

United States  
Department  
of Agriculture

Forest  
Service



September 2001

# **Thirtymile Fire Investigation**

---

## **Accident Investigation Factual Report and Management Evaluation Report**

**Chewuch River Canyon  
Winthrop, Washington  
July 10, 2001**

**September 26, 2001**

**as amended October 16, 2001**

Forest Service  
U.S. Department of Agriculture



# Accident Investigation Factual Report

## Thirtymile Fire

Chewuch River Canyon  
Winthrop, Washington  
July 10, 2001

September 26, 2001


as amended October 16, 2001

Forest Service  
U.S. Department of Agriculture



# Investigation Team of the Thirtymile Fire

Team Leader



---

Jim Furnish, USFS National Headquarters

Chief Investigator



---

Alan Chockie, Link Technologies

Technical Specialist  
Equipment



---

Leslie Anderson, Missoula Technology Development Center

Technical Specialist  
Line Officer Representative



---

Kent Connaughton, Pacific Southwest Region

Technical Specialist  
Fire Operations



---

Dave Dash, BLM-Alaska Fire Service

Technical Specialist  
Union Representative



---

Joe Duran, Los Padres National Forest

Technical Specialist  
Fire Weather



---

Brenda Graham, Northern California Service Center


Technical Specialist  
Equipment



---

George Jackson, Missoula Technology Development Center

Technical Specialist  
Human Factors



---

Tony Kern, National Interagency Fire Center



# Investigation Team of the Thirtymile Fire

Technical Specialist  
Fire Behavior



---

Rich Lasko, Northern Region

Technical Specialist  
Fire Weather



---

Jim Prange, National Weather Service


Technical Specialist  
Operations



---

Jeanne Pincha-Tulley, Tahoe National Forest

Technical Specialist  
Safety Management



---

Chuck Whitlock, Missoula Technology Development Center

## Contents

---

Prologue .....	i
Executive Summary .....	ii
Overview of the Thirtymile Fire Incident	
Summary .....	1
Initial Actions .....	1
The Northwest Regulars #6 .....	4
The Entiat IHC Actions During the Night.....	5
Transition to NWR #6.....	6
The Air Support Actions .....	10
Engines #701 & #704.....	13
The Entrapment.....	14
The Deployment Area .....	15
Deployment .....	16
The Rescue and Evacuation.....	19
References.....	20
Investigation Findings .....	21
Environment Findings .....	23
Equipment Findings .....	27
People Findings.....	30
Management Findings.....	34
Standard Fire Orders .....	40
Watch Out Situations .....	42
Epilogue.....	44
Appendices	
The Investigation Team.....	45
List of Interviews.....	49
Incident Time Line.....	50
Fire Behavior .....	54
Weather.....	66
Human Factors.....	79
Equipment .....	86
Qualifications.....	95

***In Memory Of***

*Tom Craven  
Karen FitzPatrick  
Jessica Johnson  
Devin Weaver*

***And Dedicated To Those Who Will Be Saved*****Prologue**

The stillness of this place is eerie amidst the lingering smoke. The Chewuch River makes no sound as it glides by. Yet, there was violence here unimaginable to anyone who didn't witness the conflagration. Four people died here.

The charred surfaces of rocks are now calico cat-like from flaking, and boulders have been fractured by the heat. The conifers' small branches are frozen in time, needles intact, as they twisted away from the blast of the fire, and their trunks can't be blacker. Abandoned fire shelters lie scattered about on the ground and another glistens from the river bottom. The burned-out shell of a pickup truck sits on the road with windshield glass drooping over the dashboard and rivers of molten aluminum flowing away. This is a place that will long be remembered by the survivors and families of the deceased.

The investigation team spent many sobering hours here seeking to understand what happened and why, in hopes that a tragedy such as this will never happen again. We labored with respect and honor for those who died, and with a sense of duty to those who will face such a time in their life. We dedicate this report to the hope of lives saved.

Let there be no more purple ribbons.

## Executive Summary

### *The Incident*

On July 10, 2001, four Forest Service fire suppression personnel were killed after they became entrapped and their fire shelter deployment site was burned over by the Thirtymile Fire, in the Chewuch River Canyon, about 30 miles north of Winthrop, Washington.

The fire, an escaped picnic cooking fire, was detected on Monday, July 9<sup>th</sup>. Initial suppression activities began that evening. In addition, the Libby South Fire was already burning about 50 miles south of this area, and exceeded 1,000 acres. The Northwest Regulars #6 (NWR #6), a 21-person Type 2 crew from the Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forest, was dispatched to the Thirtymile Fire in the early morning hours of July 10<sup>th</sup>. They arrived about 9:00 a.m. in relief of the Entiat IHC crew who had been working on the fire overnight.

The area was enduring a lengthy drought and the moisture levels in large fuels were very low. The Energy Release Component, a measure of potential fire intensity, was near historic high levels for this time of year. Temperatures on July 10<sup>th</sup> reached nearly 100°F, and the humidity was very low. Although there was no dramatic shift in weather that would have created high winds, such as a dry cold front, up-canyon breezes were present to aggravate burning conditions. Fire conditions were potentially extreme.

By the late afternoon the fire advanced from its perimeter east of the Chewuch River toward the top of the east ridge. At this time, the NWR #6 was suppressing fires between the road west of the Chewuch River and the river itself. They were attempting to confine the fire to the east of the road. There were no personnel east of the river at that time since it had been determined that suppression activities there were fruitless. The NWR #6 crew took a break in mid-afternoon to eat, sharpen tools, and rest. About 4:00 p.m., they responded to a request from an Engine crew for help on a spot fire ¼ mile north of their position. They sent two squads to assist.

In the moments immediately prior to the entrapment, one of the squads and the crew boss trainee (a total of seven people) were working in association with a fire engine and its three person crew when a spot fire erupted right next to the road. The seven NWR #6 crewmembers and the engine crew immediately got in their vehicles and drove south past the fire along the east edge of the road to safety. While driving, they radioed the remaining 14 crewmembers who were working north, further up the river, of their dangerous situation.

The remaining 14 crewmembers (the Incident Commander and two NWR #6 squads) were actively suppressing spot fires between the river and the road about 1/4 mile north of the first squad when they were informed of the worsening situation that threatened their escape route. Immediately, 10 of the 14 got in the crew van and began to drive south. The other four preceded the van on foot. The van was driven past these four and approached the fire that was now burning across the road. The Incident Commander (IC) assessed the risk as too great to proceed.

He turned the van around, picked up the four crewmembers, all of the crew gear, and drove north upriver. The IC assessed different areas as potential safety zones or shelter deployment areas. Approximately one mile north, the IC selected a site characterized by an extensive rock scree field above and west of the road. The Chewuch River and a sand bar were just east of the road. The site also had relatively sparse vegetation in the surrounding area. The NWR #6 crew unloaded and congregated on and above the road as they watched the fire. The van was turned around and parked on the side of the road next to the river.

Two civilians, a man and woman, arrived in their truck shortly after the crew. In the early afternoon they had driven to a campground near the road terminus about two miles beyond the deployment site. They had noticed the fire and suppression work while driving up the road to the trailhead. Later in the afternoon while resting they saw the smoke and decided to leave the area. No fire shelters or information about shelter deployment were made available to them when they encountered the crew.

Although observers had noted the approach of the fire, the crew was not prepared for the suddenness with which it arrived. A rain of burning embers was followed by a rolling, wave of tremendous heat, fire, smoke, and wind. Eight of the crew deployed their shelters on the road. The two civilians took shelter with one of crewmembers. One squad boss was high above the road on the rock scree observing the fire. He ran down towards the road, but could not get there before the fire arrived. He turned around and retreated back up the slope. Four crewmembers and another squad boss, who had been sitting on some large boulders above the road observing the approach of the crown fire, also retreated up-slope. These five deployed their shelters in the same vicinity as the squad boss. Four of the six people who deployed shelters in this rock scree field died.

The surviving squad boss and crewmember (who had no gloves) both left their shelters at some point when the fire abated to non-lethal levels. The squad boss fled down the rock scree field to the road and jumped in the river. The other survivor sought shelter from the radiant heat behind a large boulder for a few minutes. He then fled to the safety of the crew van. The crewmembers and the two civilians that had deployed on the road eventually relocated to the river when conditions allowed their safe movement.

After the passage of the fire, all but four crewmembers were accounted for. The rescue party arrived approximately 35 minutes after the shelter deployment. One crewman with severely burned hands was evacuated to a hospital in Seattle while the remaining injured were treated locally and released.

All four deaths were caused by asphyxia due to inhalation of superheated products of combustion.

## Overview of the Thirtymile Fire Incident

### Summary

On July 10, 2001, the Forest Service Northwest Regulars #6, a Type 2 fire crew, was entrapped by wildland fire. The fire, caused by an abandoned picnic cooking fire, was located 30 miles north of Winthrop, Washington, along the Chewuch River. Fourteen crewmembers and two civilians were involved in the entrapment. The civilians arrived at the entrapment site while trying to exit the area in their truck. Fourteen shelters were deployed. One shelter contained one Forest Service person and the two civilians. Six individuals, four of whom died, deployed approximately 100 feet upslope from the road. The remaining people, including the civilians, deployed on the road. After the initial deployment they relocated to the river. The civilians' vehicle was destroyed by fire. The Forest Service vehicle sustained minor damage, but was driveable. Ten Forest Service personnel and the two civilians survived the burnover.

The following is an overview of the events and actions that took place related to the Thirtymile Fire Incident. This overview is based on interviews with over 40 individuals, and the analysis of dispatch logs, resource orders, medical records, weather conditions, fuel conditions, training records, and equipment performance. Additional detailed information that is relevant to the identification of causal factors that led to this incident is presented in the appendices and in the Findings Section of this report.

### Initial Actions

On Monday evening, July 9, 2001, a Canadian Lead Plane (Bird Dog 8), returning to Canada after supporting the Libby South Fire (burning about 20 miles south of Winthrop, Washington), reported seeing a fire near the road along the Chewuch River about 30 miles north of Winthrop.<sup>(1)</sup> The report, received at 9:26 p.m., stated that "the fire covered two hectares or five acres with two spots ahead of it." Within thirty minutes a three-person initial attack crew and Engine #704 were dispatched to Action 103 (later named the Thirtymile Fire).<sup>(2)</sup>


***Topography of the Chewuch River Canyon***

- 3,346 foot elevation at the point of origin*
- 3,356 feet elevation at deployment site*
- ~3,300 feet elevation from the floor to the ridge line*
- ~18,000 feet from east ridge to the west*

The Chewuch River runs down a deep "V" canyon. Although there is little elevation change along the canyon floor, both sides of the canyon have steep slopes (70% to 100%). The southwest to northeast orientation of the canyon is in alignment with afternoon ridge top and up-canyon winds.

The initial attack crew arrived at the point of origin of the fire a few minutes after 11 p.m.





**Fuel Conditions in the Chewuch River Canyon**

*Ladder fuels were abundant at the point of origin and on the east slope of canyon.*

*Fuels in the riparian zone on the canyon floor near the point of origin and throughout the canyon were dry enough to support surface fire and torching.*

*Crown fuels were dense and surface fuels were continuous on the slopes east of the river.*

*Crown fuels were discontinuous and surface fuels were less abundant on the rocky slopes west of the river.*

They estimated the fire was burning in three to eight acres of heavy brush with flame lengths of two to four feet.<sup>(3)</sup> They could see two spots on the east side of the river, one near the river and another that was burning actively close to the east slope.<sup>(4)</sup>

It was later determined that the fire had started as the result of an abandoned picnic cooking fire.

The initial attack crew thought that the fire would grow and unless they could get water on the fire their efforts would be useless.<sup>(5)</sup> The initial attack crew boss then requested two engines, a Mark III pump, hoses, and at least a 10-person crew.<sup>(6)</sup> The initial attack crew had four bladder bags, hand tools and a chainsaw.

Engine #704 arrived at the fire about 15 minutes before midnight. The initial attack crew boss offered the Supervisor on Engine #704 the Incident Command (IC) of the fire. The Engine Supervisor refused the IC role since he felt it was beyond what he could handle, it was dark, and he did not know the country very well.<sup>(7)</sup> It was his assessment that the fire was "20 to 25 acres ... with multiple snags and numerous candles." This revised estimate of the fire size and the view that "it will grow, hit the slope and get larger" was passed on to the Okanogan Dispatch by the IC.<sup>(8)</sup> It was decided to hold at the road until the Entiat Interagency Hotshots (Entiat IHC) showed up.<sup>(9)</sup>

At about midnight when the Okanogan Dispatch asked the IC if the fire could be let go until the morning, he responded that the fire needed "to be taken care of tonight because if it hits that slope it is going to the ridge top."<sup>(10)</sup>




Figure 1. Chewuch River Canyon and Point of Fire Origin

The Entiat IHC was to be located and sent to the fire after working the day on another fire near Spokane, Washington.<sup>(11)</sup> After bedding down for approximately 30 minutes at the Liberty High School near Twisp, Washington, about 10 miles south of Winthrop, the Entiat IHC was awakened around midnight and sent to the Thirtymile Fire.

Around 1:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 10<sup>th</sup>, the Entiat IHC and a pick-up truck with two additional firefighters arrived at the scene.<sup>(12)</sup> The pick-up truck had a Mark III pump, wye gates, and over 1,000 feet of hose.<sup>(13)</sup> Although the IC offered pump support, the Entiat IHC Superintendent felt it was not necessary. As a result the three-person initial attack crew, Engine #704, and the pick-up truck departed at 1:30 a.m.<sup>(14)</sup> The Entiat IHC Supervisor assumed the role of IC a little after 1:00 a.m.

The Entiat IHC began lining the fire between the road and the Chewuch River.<sup>(15)</sup> Numerous spots were noticed on the east side of the river. The plan of attack was to cross the river, find the spots, and line them.<sup>(16)</sup>

**The Northwest Regulars #6**

During the early morning of July 10 while the Entiat IHC crew was fighting the Thirtymile Fire, the Northwest Regulars #6 (NWR #6), a Type 2 fire crew, was called up. The NWR #6 crew was made up of 21 individuals from two different Ranger Districts located in central Washington State. These were:

- the recently combined Lake Wenatchee and Leavenworth Districts (referred to as Lake Leavenworth)
- the Naches District

Eleven members of the NWR #6 crew were from Lake Leavenworth and ten were from Naches.

The crewmembers were contacted beginning just after midnight. They were to assemble in Leavenworth, Washington, and then drive to the Twisp Ranger

<b>Northwest Regular #6 Type 2 Fire Crew</b>		
	<b>Position</b>	<b>Home District</b>
Ellreese Daniels	Crew Boss Trainer	Lake Leavenworth
Pete Kampen	Crew Boss Trainee	Lake Leavenworth
<b>Squad 1</b>		
Tom Craven	Squad Boss	Naches
Beau Clark	FFT2	Naches
Jason Emhoff	FFT1, EMT, FALB	Naches
Karen FitzPatrick	FFT2	Naches
Scott Scherzinger	FFT2	Naches
Rebecca Welch	FFT2	Naches
<b>Squad 2</b>		
Thom Taylor	Squad Boss	Lake Leavenworth
Armando Avila	FFT2	Lake Leavenworth
Nick Dreis	FFT2	Lake Leavenworth
Elain Hurd	FFT2	Lake Leavenworth
Jessica Johnson	FFT1	Naches
Matthew Rutman	FFT2	Lake Leavenworth
Devin Weaver	FFT2	Naches
<b>Squad 3</b>		
Brian Schexnayder	Squad Boss	Lake Leavenworth
Dewane Anderson	FFT2	Lake Leavenworth
Emily Hinson	FFT2	Lake Leavenworth
Jodie Tate	FFT1, EMT	Naches
Marshall Wallace	FFT1	Naches
Donica Watson	FFT2	Lake Leavenworth



Station for their briefing. They were informed they were being assigned to support the Libby South Fire.<sup>(17)</sup> The majority of the crew had as little as one or two hours of sleep before being called.<sup>(18)</sup>

When the Lake Leavenworth and Naches members of the NWR #6 crew met in Leavenworth around 3:00 a.m. they were organized into three squads.<sup>(19)</sup> One squad consisted entirely of personnel from the Naches District. The other two squads were made up of people from both ranger districts. Not all crewmembers knew the individuals from the other district with whom they would be working.


At 7:00 a.m., after about a three-hour drive from Leavenworth, the crew arrived at the Twisp Ranger Station to await their briefing. The NWR #6 crew was informed that they would not be going to the Libby South Fire. Rather, they would be assigned to do mop up on the smaller Thirtymile Fire. Many of the rookie crewmembers were disappointed.<sup>(20)</sup> Pete Soderquist and

Elton Thomas, the District FMO and Forest FMO, respectively, accompanied the NWR #6 crew to the fire. The group arrived at the fire site just after 9:00 a.m.

### ***The Entiat IHC Actions During the Night***

The Entiat IHC began their actions to line the spots around 1:30 a.m. Within twenty minutes Marshall Brown, the IHC Superintendent, reported that they had completed a fireline from the road to the river.<sup>(21)</sup>

By 2:15 a.m., after containing two spots in the mostly "dog-haired" thicket, they moved across the river. Eventually they found a crossing log (noted in Figure 2) to allow easy access to the east side of the river. At that time, Okanogan Dispatch requested information on their resource needs for the morning. The Entiat IHC Superintendent, Marshall Brown, ordered a crew and an aircraft for the morning. He also ordered two Mark III



© 2000 Delorme, TopoTools Plus

- Thick green & blue lines - lines completed between 1 a.m. and 10 a.m. on 7/10/01
- Narrow red lines - projected fire perimeter
- - fire hot spots and crossing log locations

**Figure 2.** Status of Entiat IHC Containment Activities and GPS Mapped Hot Spots

pumps with kits, 1,500 feet of hose, 10 wyes, 10 nozzles, and 10 reducers.<sup>(22)</sup> Confirmation was received three hours later at 5:26 a.m. that a Type III helicopter (Helicopter 13N) with a bucket and long line would be dispatched for arrival at 10:00 a.m. at the North Cascade Smokejumper Base (NCSB), located about 35 miles south of the fire site.<sup>(23)</sup>

By 5:30 a.m. there were seven spots on the east side of the river covering about five to six acres. Two spots were estimated to be about one acre each.<sup>(24)</sup>

The Entiat IHC took a break between about 5:30 a.m. and 6:30 a.m. to eat and rest. After the break they continued to work on the east side of the river digging a containment line and surrounding the spots until the NWR #6 crew relieved them. When they returned to the east side after 6:00 a.m. they noted that the "fire intensity had died down a lot."<sup>(25)</sup>


**Transition to NWR #6**

On the morning of July 10<sup>th</sup>, nearly all personnel on the Thirtymile Fire were suffering some effects of mental fatigue due to lack of sleep. This includes the Entiat IHC, the NWR #6, and key District and Forest personnel. As the day progressed, these effects would worsen, and provide one potential explanation for loss of situational awareness, compromised vigilance and decision-making. (A more detailed account of the fatigue factor is contained in the Human Factors Appendix.)

When the NWR #6 crew arrived at the fire at 9:04 a.m., the NWR #6 Crew Boss Trainer and Trainee met with the Entiat IHC Superintendent, Marshall Brown, to review the situation. Pete Soderquist, the District FMO, and Elton Thomas, the Forest FMO, also participated in this situation review meeting. This review meeting lasted about 45 minutes.<sup>(26)</sup>

At that time the Entiat IHC provided the NWR #6 with a GPS map of the hot spots and the Entiat IHC's containment activities (see Figure 2).<sup>(27)</sup>

Ellreese Daniels and Pete Kampen, the NWR #6 Crew Boss Trainer and Crew Boss Trainee, respectively, were shown the hot spots by Kyle Cannon, the Entiat IHC Assistant Superintendent. It was determined that the highest priority was spots 3 and 4 on the east side of the river (see Figure 2).<sup>(28)</sup> The tactics were to get the pumps going early and get water on the fire, cool it down, and have the crew mop it up.<sup>(29)</sup>






Figure 3. Okanogan & Wenatchee National Forest Safety Briefing Card


Trainee, to use the Safety Briefing Card (see Figure 3) to brief the crew. Pete Kampen briefed the three squads using the Libby South Fire forecast information on the low humidity, high temperature, and a predicted wind event greater than 10 mph. He explained that the tactics would involve using hose lays to bring water from the river and digging hand lines around the hot spots.<sup>(33)</sup> The briefing took about half an hour and was completed about 10:30 a.m. The NWR #6 crew was informed that this was a lot of work for them and that another 20-person crew was staged at Tonasket, Washington.<sup>(34)</sup> (Later in the day Air Attack found out that this crew would arrive about 8:00 p.m.).<sup>(35)</sup> During the discussion with the District FMO, Pete Kampen and Ellreese Daniels had been informed that the NWR #6 could expect support from Helicopter

The Forest FMO estimated that although there was a lot of fire, it only covered about three acres scattered over a five acre area with very benign fire behavior. He and the District FMO discussed and checked on the availability of two other IHC crews. If they were available the plan was to have them assigned to the fire to knock it down and get it over quickly.<sup>(30)</sup> The District FMO requested that a barricade be placed on the road to prevent unauthorized personnel from entering the area.<sup>(31)</sup> Although approved by the District Ranger for the Methow Valley, the barrier was not put up until 3:17 p.m. that afternoon.

No Spot Fire Weather Forecast was issued for the Thirtymile Fire. Pete Soderquist provided a weather forecast based on a Spot Fire Weather Forecast for 6:00 p.m. the previous evening (July 9) for the Libby South Fire. This Libby South Fire forecast indicated low relative humidity, high temperatures and that the "fuel type was a trigger for fire behavior."<sup>(32)</sup>

The Forest FMO reminded Pete Kampen, the NWR #6 Crew Boss





13N for bucket work. The District FMO reminded them again just prior to departing for a Libby South Fire planning meeting.<sup>(36)</sup>

The NWR #6 crew had eight handheld radios. When Pete Kampen attempted to call Okanogan Dispatch he could not make contact. Ellreese Daniels, the Crew Boss Trainer for the NWR #6, was able to contact Okanogan Dispatch using his handheld radio. This was in contrast to the Entiat IHC situation where they had to use their mobile radio in their truck to contact Okanogan Dispatch.<sup>(37)</sup>

Pete Kampen and Ellreese Daniels agreed that Daniels would assume the role of the Incident Commander (IC) on the Thirtymile Fire and handle the communications. Kampen would manage the strategy and tactical decisions. Requests would be passed through Daniels to Okanogan Dispatch.<sup>(38)</sup>

At 11:00 a.m. the Entiat IHC left the fire site and drove about two miles downriver to bed down at a campground. Twenty minutes later Pete Soderquist and Elton Thomas departed for the Libby South Fire ICP.<sup>(39)</sup>

After the NWR #6 crew completed the safety briefings, the pumps were set up and the crew crossed the log to the east side of the river and began to apply water to the fire and dig line at about 11:00 a.m.<sup>(40)</sup>




Figure 4. Area of Fire Activity from Evening of July 9<sup>th</sup> to 4:34 p.m. July 10<sup>th</sup>

By about noon the crew experienced several equipment-related problems:

- They had difficulties keeping the two pumps running, possibly due to improper use of pressure relief valves, and lack of experience with pumps and hoses.
- Several hoses burst. Some felt that the hoses were old and the pump was "picky."<sup>(41)</sup>
- At least four pulaskis broke during operations on the east side of the river. One handle split and heads came off of the handles on three apparently new pulaskis.<sup>(42)</sup>

The Crew Boss Trainee, Pete Kampen, decided to change tactics and dig a line to pinch the head of the fire. Jodie Tate, who had been operating the pumps was pulled off to dig lines.<sup>(43)</sup> The fireline construction was difficult with a lot of roots.<sup>(44)</sup> Some crewmembers realized they were digging line ahead of the fire and knew it was a "watch-out" situation.<sup>(45)</sup>

At 12:08 p.m. Pete Kampen requested that Helicopter 13N be launched.<sup>(46)</sup> Twenty minutes later he requested additional crews from Okanogan Dispatch. Daniels considered it unusual for green foliage to be burning as it was for this time of year.<sup>(47)</sup>

Donica Watson had been posted as a lookout on the rock scree above the crew on the east side of the river (see Figure 4).<sup>(48)</sup> She was responsible for taking weather observations and relaying information to Ellreese Daniels. As the fire behavior began to intensify, Daniels removed her from the rock scree sometime after 2:00 p.m. because of poor access to the escape route.<sup>(49)</sup> She was reassigned back to her squad. At this time Air Attack became the lookout for the Thirtymile Fire.

**Safety Zone**

*An area cleared of flammable materials used for escape in the event the line is outflanked or in case a spot fire causes fuels outside the control line to render the line unsafe. In firing operations, crews progress so as to maintain a safety zone close at hand allowing the fuels inside the control line to be consumed before going ahead. Safety zones may also be constructed as integral parts of fuel breaks; they are greatly enlarged areas which can be used with relative safety by firefighters and their equipment in the event of blowup in the vicinity.*

Source: Fireline Handbook

In response to the request for additional crews, the Okanogan Dispatch had attempted to contact the Entiat IHC. Since the Entiat IHC had not been contacted by 1:00 p.m., Pete Kampen sent one of the NWR #6 crewmembers to wake the Entiat IHC. The Entiat IHC Superintendent felt that the crew required more sleep and did not wake them until around 1:30 p.m.<sup>(50)</sup> The Entiat IHC returned to the fire around 2:00 p.m. The NWR #6 crewmembers were working on the east side of the river at that time.<sup>(51)</sup> The Entiat IHC Superintendent contacted Pete Kampen to review the situation. A little later Kampen decided to pull the NWR #6 crew back across the



**Figure 5.** Fire on East Side of River at 2:45 p.m.

K. Cannon

river to the road. The fire had been burning through the hoses in several places and spotting over their containment line. Pete Kampen accepted the fact that they "had lost the fire."<sup>(52)</sup> At 3:00 p.m. the NWR #6 crew was pulled back to the lunch site "safety zone" on the west side of the river. There they joined the Entiat IHC crew and ate lunch, rested, watered, and sharpened their tools.

**The Air Support Actions**

Several times during the morning briefing the District FMO had informed the NWR #6 crew boss





**Figure 6.** At the Lunch Site After 3 p.m.

trainee and trainer that Helicopter 13N would be available for bucket work. Around 12:00 p.m. the NWR #6 was notified by the Okanogan Dispatch that Helicopter 13N was available 5 miles south of Winthrop at the North Cascade Smokejumper Base (NCSB).<sup>(53)</sup> At 12:08 Pete Kampen (through Ellreese Daniels as his communications connection to Dispatch) requested that Helicopter 13N be launched with a bucket.<sup>(54)</sup>

When contacted at 12:30 p.m. concerning the estimated time of arrival, the Okanogan Dispatch indicated that the helicopter required permission to dip out of the Chewuch River.<sup>(55)</sup> (This area of the Chewuch River is a Research Natural Area, and the river is a habitat for endangered fish species).

Air Attack was diverted from the Libby South Fire to the Thirtymile Fire at 12:40 p.m.<sup>(56)</sup> About twenty minutes later Air Attack stated that there was a dip site available down the river and another one was two miles up the canyon. Okanogan Dispatch repeated that they could not use the helicopter until they got permission.<sup>(57)</sup> Permission was received at 2:00 p.m. to use the helicopter.<sup>(58)</sup> (A detailed review of the sequence of events related to Helicopter 13N is presented in the Management Findings Section.)

Helicopter 13N departed for the fire at 2:38 p.m. from 8-Mile Camp which was about 20 miles south of the fire.<sup>(59)</sup> Helicopter 13N began making water drops on small spots at the south edge

of the fire and continued to work until having to refuel around 4:15 to 4:30 p.m. Before refueling, the fire had spread up the east canyon walls. After returning from refueling, the pilot of 13N noted that the fire spread had moved back to the canyon floor with spotting on the west wall of the canyon.<sup>(60)</sup>

Air Attack ordered a single engine air tanker (SEAT) at 1:15 p.m. Around 1:40 p.m. Air Attack announced that the fire was getting active, growing, and additional crews and air support were needed soon.<sup>(61)</sup> A few minutes after 2:00 p.m. the SEAT flew over the fire and decided the canyon was tight. Although he did not think it would be of much help, the SEAT pilot dropped the load on a small strip of timber.<sup>(62)</sup> Air Attack decided to have the SEAT reload and hold.

At 2:34 p.m. Air Attack requested a heavy air tanker. About a half an hour later Tanker 62 was en route with an estimated arrival of 3:21 p.m. Also, at about 2 p.m., Ellreese Daniels ordered another tanker and a PBY.<sup>(63)</sup> Tanker 12 was diverted from the Libby South Fire and arrived at the fire around 3:40 p.m. It could only make two drops before it was out of flight time.<sup>(64)</sup> The PBY would have to stop at Omak Lake for water while en route to the fire.

Around 3:20 p.m., Air Attack reported that the fire had reached about 50 acres in size and was crowning and going to the ridge.<sup>(65)</sup> Within 15 minutes the fire had grown to 100 acres and was almost on the ridge.<sup>(66)</sup> Air Attack then requested two additional Type 1 or 2 tankers. At 4:03 p.m. the First Butte Lookout reported that the Thirtymile Fire was forming its own




Figure 7. GPS Referenced Points from Fire Origin to Deployment Area



thunderhead.<sup>(67)</sup> By 4:18 p.m., Lead 66 requested the heavy tankers for the Libby South Fire. Both Air Attack and Lead 66 agreed that it looked like the Thirtymile Fire was going strong and it was moving in an uninhabited area. "It was not going to help throwing air tankers at it."<sup>(68)</sup> The tankers were diverted to the Libby South Fire. Helicopter 13N remained over the Thirtymile Fire dropping water.

### **Engines #701 & #704**

At 2:27 p.m. Air Attack requested two engines. Engines #701 and #704 were then dispatched to the fire.<sup>(69)</sup> Initially Engine #701 had been assigned to work helispot, dust abatement, air crash rescue, and helicopter management at 8-Mile Camp 20 miles downriver from the fire.<sup>(70)</sup> According to Harry Dunn, the Supervisor on Engine #701, his mission from Okanogan Dispatch was to keep spots from the west side of the road.<sup>(71)</sup>

Engine #704 (a 4x4 pickup with a slip-on pumper) had been directed by the Duty Officer to put a "road closed" sign approximately one mile in from the end of the pavement on the Chewuch road. The sign was put up at 3:17 p.m. En route to putting up the sign Engine #704 was contacted by Okanogan Dispatch and requested to report to the Thirtymile Fire.<sup>(72)</sup>

The Supervisor of Engine #701 informed Engine #704 and three firefighters in a chase vehicle that their assignment was to keep the fire east of the road as per dispatch directions.<sup>(73)</sup> Around 3:30 p.m. both Engines #701 and #704 arrived on the fire scene. Neither checked in with the IC nor received a tactical briefing.<sup>(74)</sup>

Engines #701 and #704 drove past the Entiat IHC and NWR #6 crews and up the road to attack spots. Engines #701 drove almost to the end of the road and then headed back down looking for spots. The plan was to have Engine #701 work the north section and Engine #704 work the south section. The spots near the road were thought to be "rather small at this time."<sup>(75)</sup>



**Figure 8.** Torching Across the River



**The Entrapment**

The NWR #6 and the Entiat IHC had lunch and rested at the "safety zone" while they watched the fire continue to grow. The District AFMO, Barry George, arrived around 3:00 p.m.<sup>(76)</sup> He met with Ellreese Daniels and discussed taking over the IC role. When asked by Barry George, Daniels said he was still comfortable with retaining the IC role.<sup>(77)</sup> George indicated that it would be nice to keep the fire east of the road. But there was no pressure, rather this would be a "nice to do" rather than a "have to do."<sup>(78)</sup> He suggested that the Entiat IHC Superintendent check out the campgrounds.<sup>(79)</sup> Marshall Brown and Kyle Cannon, the Entiat IHC Superintendent and Assistant Superintendent, respectively, drove to the end of the road and noted three cars at the trail head. They did not see the truck or the two civilians that would eventually drive down the road and be caught at the deployment site. On the way back they met Engine #701.

Around 3:30 p.m. the District AFMO met with Ellreese Daniels and Pete Kampen to discuss their concern that the initial attack had not contained the fire.<sup>(80)</sup> Soon after that discussion Ellreese Daniels received a call from the Engine #701 Supervisor asking for help with a spot on the east side of the road. Ellreese Daniels and Pete Kampen evaluated the situation and decided to bring a squad up to support the Engine.<sup>(81)</sup> Pete Kampen dropped Ellreese Daniels and the NWR #6 Squad 1 (Tom Craven's squad) off at Engine #701. Kampen then returned to the lunch spot with the van. A few minutes later Thom Taylor, the Squad 2 Boss, was told by Kampen to take his squad up to support the Engines.



K. Cannon

**Figure 9.** View of Fire Crossing the Road from the Lunch Site Area Just After 4:34 p.m. (Entiat IHC and Engine #701 in foreground.)

When Squad 2 reached the first engine they encountered, Engine #704, they were told their help was not needed.<sup>(82)</sup> They continued on up the road to Engine #701. Just after Taylor's squad arrived, Engine #701 left to work some spots further south along the road.<sup>(83)</sup> The two NWR #6 squads remained working spots about 100 feet to the east of the road.

Squad 3 was called to support Engine #704. Within 2 minutes of arriving at the location of Engine #704 the crew was ordered back in the van.<sup>(84)</sup> The fire was actively spotting and had moved right up to the east side of the road. The crew quickly drove back down the road to their lunch site "safety zone." Crewmembers from the NWR #6 Squad 3 had to shield their faces from the intense heat as they drove past the fire.<sup>(85)</sup>

At 4:34 p.m., as Squad 3 retreated, Kampen and others radioed Ellreese Daniels to get the people out of the area. NWR #6 Squads 1 and 2 were about ¼ mile further up the road from where Engine #704 had been working.

The crewmembers from Squads 1 and 2 dropped their gear and nine of them jumped into the van with Ellreese Daniels. The other four began running down the road.<sup>(86)</sup> As Daniels drove the van down the road he saw a "wall of flames", and he quickly turned the van around, picked up the other crewmembers and the crew gear, and drove about a mile up the canyon.

### ***The Deployment Area***

Ellreese Daniels evaluated three possible sites as he drove north, and with support from Air Attack, he selected the fourth site as the place where they could safely watch the fire pass them by.<sup>(87)</sup> It was characterized by extensive rock scree above and west of the road. The Chewuch River and a sand bar were just east of the road. There was relatively sparse forest vegetation in the surrounding area. The scree slope consisted of a jumble of six-inch to six-foot diameter rocks interspersed with woody debris and duff.

The NWR #6 crew unloaded and began to congregate on and above the road as they watched the fire. The van was turned around and parked on the side of the road next to the river.

There was no formal briefing given concerning possible deployment. At first everyone stayed on the road. After a while one of the squad bosses, Thom Taylor, and one of his crewmembers, Armando Avila, went up the scree slope to look for possible deployment spots.<sup>(88)</sup> Avila thought there was too much vegetation amongst the rocks and told Taylor that he was going back to the road with the others.<sup>(89)</sup>

Six of the ten crewmembers from the Naches District clustered together on two rocks about 30 feet above the road. Several times the IC tried to tell the people on the rocks to come down to the road.<sup>(90)</sup> Rebecca Welch left the Naches group on the rocks and returned to the road.



B Croft

**Figure 10.** The Road and Rock Scree Deployment Areas Looking West and Down River

By 5:00 p.m., Air Attack had estimated the fire to be over 500 acres. It was moving up the east canyon slope.<sup>(91)</sup> A few minutes after 5:00 p.m., two civilians, Bruce and Paula Hagemeyer, arrived at the entrapment site after having driven up the road earlier in the afternoon. The couple had been resting at the Thirtymile Campground and had become worried about the fire. No spare shelters or personal protective equipment were made available to the civilians.<sup>(92)</sup>

### **Deployment**

At 5:24 p.m., the behavior of the fire changed dramatically. In spite of the lack of forest vegetation and brush in the immediate vicinity of the crew, the immensity of the fire overwhelmed the area and the crew. The abruptness of this change appears to have caught the crew by surprise. They were not in a heightened state of readiness. Shelter deployment was no longer optional but essential if any were to survive.

Crewmembers reported the fire was "coming very fast, roaring" and was preceded by ash and a "fire snowstorm."<sup>(93)</sup> The IC directed the crewmembers to "get your shelters out and use against the ash" in order to protect them from falling embers.<sup>(94)</sup>



Very quickly thereafter he told the crewmembers on the road to deploy -- some did not hear him due to the noise of the fire which sounded like a "freight train." Many found it difficult to deploy in the increasing wind.<sup>(95)</sup> Several of the crew deployed with their head facing the flames and with their backpacks on. Some deployed with their face up. Others dropped their backpacks right next to their shelters. At least one crewmember deployed without gloves. Neither of the civilians had gloves.

Thom Taylor had concluded that the rock slope was not a good deployment area and was coming down to the road when the fire column engulfed the site.<sup>(96)</sup> Thom Taylor was about 40 to 50 feet from the road when the flames came at him from across the canyon. He turned and ran up the slope before he deployed.

The Naches group on the rocks also ran up the slope when the column engulfed the site. They were right behind Taylor. Taylor deployed first and observed a group of five (Tom Craven, Jason Emhoff, Karen FitzPatrick, Jessica Johnson, and Devin Weaver) running uphill in front of the flames just prior to their deployment.<sup>(97)</sup> He yelled at them to deploy as he was getting into his shelter. They were unable to get further up the slope due to the rapidly deteriorating conditions.

The six deployed in a tight cluster among the rocks. The site where they deployed was about 100 feet above the road and had large, one to three foot boulders, with burnable, woody material imbedded in the rocks.



M Rutman

**Figure 11.** The Approaching Crown Fire

Conditions outside of the shelters at the deployment site on the road and in the rocks were lethal during the first minutes of the event. Within a few minutes, Thom Taylor was convinced that his shelter would not hold together long enough to save him.<sup>(98)</sup> He decided to run down the slope and jump into the river.



**Figure 12.** View Across the River Just Prior to Deployment

Jason Emhoff did not have gloves on and his hands were badly burned as he attempted to extinguish the flames within his shelter. He could not effectively hold the shelter down and decided to leave his shelter. After a few minutes he left his shelter and moved through the scree field trying to avoid the heat and flames. He eventually reached the road and got into the van. He avoided getting into the river due to his recent EMT training and concern with possible shock from the cold water.<sup>(99)</sup>

Prior to deployment, the civilians had time to put on long sleeved shirts, long pants, hats, and gather water and a towel. When the crew deployed, the civilians jumped inside the shelter with Rebecca Welch. They took the towel and a gallon of water into the shelter.<sup>(100)</sup>



**Figure 13.** The Scree Slope

The van sustained little heat damage. Only the license plate plastic frames were melted. The civilian's pickup caught fire during the burnover and eventually was completely destroyed.

While in the shelters the IC attempted to calm the crewmembers. He was in radio contact with Air Attack



throughout the entire deployment period and was monitoring the outside conditions. When it appeared safe he ordered everyone into the river. The crew joined Thom Taylor in the water. After about 15 minutes they moved to the sandbar.

**The Rescue and Evacuation**

Following the burnover and deployment, the Entiat IHC, who were down the road, quickly organized for the rescue operation. The Entiat IHC EMT team was briefed on what to expect at the site, and prepared supplies and personnel for action upon reaching the burnover site. Due to the extreme heat and multiple fallen snags, two attempts were required to reach the deployment site.

Pete Kampen and four of the Entiat IHC arrived about 30 minutes after the deployment. When they arrived the IHC Superintendent assumed control of the accident scene and remained until the scene was turned over to local law enforcement.

NWR #6 crewmember Jason Emhoff was found in shock with second and third degree burns; his hands were severely burned. Others had minor burns and smoke inhalation. The civilian woman had burned her hand climbing over a log while getting in the river. The EMT team provided immediate medical attention, wrapping Emhoff's hands and calling for an airlift for him. He was transported to a burn center in Seattle while the other injured people were treated locally.



**Figure 14.** Deployment Spot on the Road Between Two Packs that Burned



K. Cannon

**Figure 15.** Pete Kampen With Medical Kit at the Road Deployment Site at 6:10 p.m. (Entiat IHC truck in the background)

The IC asked the Entiat IHC for assistance in checking on the people who had deployed on the rocks. This was not possible because the rock scree slope was too hot, with burning material and rolling rocks. The Entiat IHC Superintendent attempted to discover signs of life in the four shelters, but got no response. It was later determined that all four deaths were caused by asphyxia due to inhalation of superheated products of combustion.



## References

1. Dispatch Log
2. Dispatch Log
3. Dispatch Log
4. T. Schmekel
5. T. Schmekel
6. Dispatch Log and T. Schmekel
7. D. Laughman
8. Dispatch Log and D. Laughman
9. D. Laughman
10. T. Schmekel and D. Laughman
11. Dispatch Log and M. Brown
12. Dispatch Log and K. Cannon
13. E. Nichols
14. Dispatch Log and M. Brown
15. M. Brown
16. M. Brown
17. P. Kampen
18. P. Kampen, A. Avila, B. Clark, and Human Factors Appendix
19. P. Kampen
20. Dispatch Log
21. Dispatch Log
22. Dispatch Log and K. Cannon
23. Dispatch Log
24. Dispatch Log
25. K. Cannon
26. K. Cannon
27. K. Cannon, P. Kampen, and E. Daniels
28. M. Brown
29. P. Kampen and E. Daniels
30. E. Thomas
31. E. Thomas
32. Weather Appendix
33. P. Kampen
34. P. Kampen
35. Dispatch Log
36. P. Kampen
37. M. Brown and K. Cannon
38. P. Kampen
39. Dispatch Log
40. T. Taylor, P. Kampen, and E. Daniels
41. B. Schexnayder
42. T. Taylor
43. J. Tate
44. E. Hurd
45. E. Hinson
46. Dispatch Log and P. Kampen
47. E. Daniels
48. E. Daniels and D. Watson
49. E. Daniels and D. Watson
50. K. Cannon
51. M. Brown and K. Cannon
52. P. Kampen
53. Dispatch Log
54. Dispatch Log
55. Dispatch Log
56. P. Kampen
57. Dispatch Log
58. Dispatch Log
59. Dispatch Log
60. P. Walters
61. Dispatch Log
62. G. Jasso
63. Dispatch Log
64. Dispatch Log
65. Dispatch Log
66. Dispatch Log
67. Dispatch Log
68. Dispatch Log
69. Dispatch Log
70. H. Dunn
71. H. Dunn
72. D. Laughman
73. H. Dunn
74. P. Kampen
75. D. Laughman
76. Dispatch Log
77. B. George and P. Kampen
78. M. Brown and P. Kampen
79. M. Brown and B. George
80. P. Kampen
81. P. Kampen, H. Dunn, D. Laughman, and E. Daniels
82. M. Rutman
83. H. Dunn, A. Avila, and M. Rutman
84. M. Wallace
85. M. Wallace and E. Hinson
86. A. Avila and M. Rutman
87. P. Walters
88. A. Avila and T. Taylor
89. A. Avila
90. E. Daniels, T. Taylor, and M. Rutman
91. Dispatch Log
92. B. Hagemeyer and P. Hagemeyer
93. E. Daniels and T. Taylor
94. M. Rutman
95. M. Rutman
96. T. Taylor
97. T. Taylor
98. T. Taylor
99. J. Emhoff
100. P. Hagemeyer

## Investigation Findings

---

The Investigation Team identified a large number of findings based on their review of the events that led to the Thirtymile Fire fatality incident. As specified in the procedure established in the agency's Accident Investigation Guide (2001), the findings have been organized into four subject categories:

- Environment of the location of the incident
- Equipment involved in, or contributing to, the incident
- People involved in, or contributing to, the incident
- Management issues or principles associated with the incident

In addition, there were two critical moments in time—the *entrapment* of the 14 crewmembers, and the *deployment* of fire shelters. The entrapment occurred when the fire crossed the road and cut off the escape route for the 14 firefighters and the two civilians. Shelter deployment occurred when people got into their fire shelters.

For purposes of organization, the findings are displayed in three time phases relative to the entrapment and shelter deployment. Findings about events and situations that occurred *prior to entrapment* are described first. These are followed by findings associated with the *entrapment phase*, which includes everything between the moment of entrapment and the moment of shelter deployment. The findings conclude with the *deployment phase*, which includes everything from the moment of deployment until the crew was evacuated, effectively ending the incident.

Findings are defined as fact-based conclusions, or relevant facts themselves. The findings, taken together, should provide a complete understanding of what occurred. The goal of the Investigation was to speak to the needs of as wide an audience as possible; thus the Investigation Team sought to provide a comprehensive set of findings.

### **Summary of Significant Findings**

Although there were many findings identified for each of the four subject categories, the investigation team identified a smaller set of findings that were considered to be of significant importance to understanding the underlying causal factors that are associated with this incident. The significant findings are listed below and also presented at the beginning of each subject category.

#### **Significant Environment Findings**

- The combination of weather (at or near historic extremes for temperature and relative humidity, and the extended drought in the region) and fuel conditions (complex fuels on the canyon floor, extremely low moisture content of both the live and dead fuels) created extraordinary circumstances for fire growth on July 10<sup>th</sup>.
- Potential fire behavior was consistently underestimated throughout the incident.

### ***Significant Equipment Findings***

In spite of the ready availability of water, relatively little water was applied to the fire during the initial attack phase. This was largely due to operational problems with pumps and hoses, as well as delays in availability of a Type III helicopter.

### ***Significant People Findings***

- The fatalities and injuries all occurred during fire shelter deployment. Failure to adequately anticipate the severity and timing of the burnover, and failure to utilize the best location and proper deployment techniques contributed to the fatalities and injuries.
- Leadership, management, and command and control were all ineffective due to a variety of factors, such as the lack of communication and miscommunication, fatigue, lack of situational awareness, indecisiveness, and confusion about who was in control.
- Two civilians were involved in the entrapment due to a failure to properly close a potentially hazardous area.

### ***Significant Management Findings***

- All 10 Standard Fire Orders were violated or disregarded at some time during the course of the incident. (See on Standard Fire Orders Section, page 40)
- Ten of the eighteen Watch Out Situations were present or disregarded at some time during the course of the incident. (See Watch Out Situations Section, page 42)
- Records indicated that personnel on the Thirtymile Fire had very little sleep prior to their assignments, and mental fatigue affected vigilance and decision- making.
- District fire management personnel did not assume incident command when the size and complexity of the fire exceeded the capacity of the NWR #6.
- The NWR #6 crew was dispatched for assignment to the Libby South Fire. When redirected to the Thirtymile Fire local managers assigned a qualified individual (Daniels) from the NWR #6 as Incident Commander (IC). Because there was no redelegation of duties he remained Crew Boss and trainer for Kampen. Thus Daniels assumed collateral duties as IC (responsible for communications) and Crew Boss trainer. Kampen viewed himself as both IC (responsible for strategies and tactics) and Crew Boss in a trainee assignment. Command roles on the Thirtymile Fire were unclear and confusing to those in command of the incident, to the rest of the crew, and to others associated with the fire.

## Environment Findings

---

### *Significant Environment Findings*

- The combination of weather (at or near historic extremes for temperature and relative humidity, and the extended drought in the region) and fuel conditions (complex fuels on the canyon floor, extremely low moisture content of both the live and dead fuels) created extraordinary circumstances for fire growth on July 10<sup>th</sup>.
  - Potential fire behavior was consistently underestimated throughout the incident.
- 

### *Prior to Entrapment*

#### *Fuels:*

1. The fuel moisture content of dead woody fuels (10 hour at 3%, 100 hour at 5%, and 1000 hour at 10%) were at historic lows for the day of the event (calculations based on weather data from First Butte Remote Automated Weather Station). The moisture content of the live fuels was generally less than 100% (based on measurements taken in the vicinity of Lake Chelan, Washington).
2. Ladder fuels were abundant at the point of origin, on the east slope of canyon, and throughout the canyon floor.
3. Fuels in the riparian zone near the point of origin and throughout the canyon were dry enough to support surface fire and torching throughout the evening of July 9<sup>th</sup> and into the morning of July 10<sup>th</sup>.
4. Crown fuels were dense and surface fuels were continuous on the slopes east of the river. Crown fuels were discontinuous and surface fuels were less abundant on the rocky slopes west of the river.

#### *Weather:*

5. Abnormally dry conditions were experienced for this time of year. The 2000-2001 winter was the second driest winter in the past 30 years.
6. The day of the event, temperatures reached 94° F and relative humidity reached a low of 8% on the Chewuch River canyon floor. These readings were consistent with the near record high temperatures in the area (101° F at the North Cascade Smokejumper Base) and near record low relative humidity (RH 5% at the North Cascade Smokejumper Base).
7. The National Weather Service issued twice daily Fire Weather Forecasts on July 10<sup>th</sup>. Okanogan Dispatch received these forecasts but did not transmit the afternoon forecast to the Methow Valley District or Thirtymile Fire personnel.

8. No Spot Fire Weather forecast was requested for the Thirtymile Fire. The Spot Fire Weather forecast from the previous evening for the Libby South Fire was used to brief NWR #6 crew.
9. All fire weather forecasts matched observed weather conditions for the incident area.
10. No Fire Weather Watches or Red Flag Warnings were in effect or required within existing policies.
11. On the day of the deployment, the weather pattern over the Pacific NW region consisted of a southwest flow aloft with weak disturbances embedded in that flow.
12. On July 10<sup>th</sup> atmospheric conditions were conducive to the formation and vertical development of fire columns. At Spokane, the mid-level Haines Index was a 6, the high level Haines index reached a 4 (predicted 3-4).
13. There was no site-specific data available to determine if an inversion existed over the fire.

*Topography:*

14. The SW to NE orientation of the deep "V" canyon aligned with afternoon ridgetop and up-canyon winds.
15. Slopes were steep (with slopes 70% to 100%) on both sides of the canyon. There was little slope or stream gradient on the canyon floor.

*Fire Behavior:*

16. The forest fuel types support crown fire behavior and the Chewuch River canyon had experienced "stand replacing" fires previously.
17. Torching and short-range spotting occurred within fuels on the canyon floor throughout the night and early morning.
18. At mid-morning, fire intensity increased with more frequent torching and increasingly longer spotting distances.
19. At about 3:20 p.m. the fire made a strong upslope run on the slope east of the river and was also approaching the road near the entrapment area.

**Entrapment Phase**

*Fuels:*

20. The area where the fire initially crossed the road contained closely spaced conifers and conditions were drier than conditions next to the river.

*Weather:*

21. With the exception of the fire-induced winds, there was no significant general wind pattern affecting the fire at the time of the entrapment.

22. On-site weather conditions (relative humidity, atmospheric instability, and temperature) were conducive to extreme fire behavior and large column development.

*Fire Behavior:*

23. Smoke limited visibility from the air during the entrapment.
24. The direction of the fire in the canyon floor was generally parallel to the road. At the location of entrapment the road became perpendicular to the direction of the fire movement up the canyon floor. The fire crossed the road in this area at 4:34 p.m.
25. Helicopter 13N observed a fire induced wind of 20 mph from the south at the time of entrapment.
26. The fire accelerated and gained momentum as it spread up canyon. Falling embers created numerous spot fires ahead of the fire on the canyon floor, and on both east and west canyon walls.
27. Numerous spot fires were propagated from falling embers.

**Deployment Phase**

*Fuels:*

28. Sparse fuels on the rocky area south of the deployment area lessened the surface fire intensity at the two deployment sites.
29. The deployment site on the rock scree slope was upwind from, and adjacent to, areas of trees and brush (Fuel Model 10) and consisted of rock interspersed with woody debris and duff.
30. The deployment site on the road was a smooth, level, dirt surface with some brush on both sides.
31. After the burnover at the deployment area, there were leaves and needles "frozen" (not burned) on the trees and bushes indicating the wind direction.

*Weather:*

32. High winds experienced during fire shelter deployment and burnover were fire induced and were estimated in excess of 50 mph.

*Topography:*

33. The shelter deployment area consisted of a road, a sandbar, and a river, with a rocky area to the south and a rock scree slope to the west.
34. Fire shelters were deployed in two sites: on the road and on the rock scree slope.
35. Ten people utilized the shelter deployment sites on the road. All 10 survived within their shelters. This site was flat and significantly lower in elevation than the rock scree slope.

36. The deployment site on the rock scree consisted of a jumble of six inch to six-foot diameter rocks. This site was about 96 feet west of the road surface and 25 vertical feet above the road in an area with a 25% slope.
37. The shelter deployment site in the rock scree was utilized by 6 crewmembers, 4 of whom died. The two crewmembers that survived left the site after being in their shelters two to three minutes.
38. The rocks on the scree slope became extremely hot and remained so for an extended period of time.

*Fire Behavior:*

39. Sparse fuels on the rock area east of the river and the presence of the river lessened surface fire intensity in the shelter deployment area.
40. At the time of the deployment there were crown fires on the slope east of river and on the canyon floor. Ember showers created numerous spot fires. Crewmembers reported large embers were falling in the area.
41. A crown fire in the canyon floor and the associated convection column moved rapidly through the deployment site. Individuals on the scene referred to the column "rolling over" or "falling."
42. Following the deployment, active crown fire activity continued approximately 4 miles up the canyon and uprooted hundreds of trees and consumed a 40-acre alder patch on the river flat.

## Equipment Findings

---

### *Significant Equipment Findings*

In spite of the ready availability of water, relatively little water was applied to the fire during the initial attack phase. This was largely due to operational problems with pumps and hoses, as well as delays in availability of a Type III helicopter.

---

### *Prior to Entrapment*

1. Water handling resources were made available to the Entiat IHC at about 1 a.m. on July 10<sup>th</sup> but the IHC Supervisor released the following equipment and personnel:
  - Engine #704 (slip-on pumper on a 4wd pickup) and 3-person crew
  - Chase truck and 3-person crew plus 2 other people
  - Mark III pump, wye gates, over 1,000 feet of hose
2. At 2:15 a.m. the Entiat IHC Superintendent requested water handling equipment and an aircraft for morning delivery -- two Mark III pumps, 1,500 feet of 1½-inch hose, 800 feet of 1-inch hose, 10 wyes, 10 nozzles, and 10 reducers.
3. The water handling equipment arrived with the NWR #6 crew at 9:04 a.m., and was put in use at around 11 a.m. when the NWR #6 began work.
4. The delivery of water for NWR #6 fire suppression activities was ineffective because of an inability to keep the pumps running continuously.
  - The hose layout (e.g., arrangement, size of hoses, and pressure reducers) was not conducive to optimal water operations, and limited the amount of water that the crew applied to the fire.
  - At least three lengths of 1-inch hose were blown.
  - The pumps were not in continuous operation due to mechanical and/or operator problems.
5. The lack of a reliable and consistent water supply operation in conjunction with escalating fire behavior led to a decision to change the tactics from water suppression to direct hand line construction.
6. At least four pulaskis broke during operations on east side of the river. One handle split. The heads came off of three apparently new pulaskis.
7. Aviation resources were continuously over the fire from about 1:00 p.m. and there were no reported equipment malfunctions on any of the aircraft.
8. No vehicle problems were reported throughout the incident.



9. The following aviation resources were assigned mid to late afternoon on July 10<sup>th</sup>:
  - One Type III helicopter
  - One SEAT (single engine air tanker)
  - Two Type I Airtankers
  - One Type II Airtanker (PBY)
  - One Air Attack
  - One Leadplane
10. The NWR #6 crew had eight handheld radios. There were minor problems with some handheld radios, however there was adequate communications capability with incident personnel, assigned aircraft, and dispatch.
11. The Okanogan Forest Dispatch radio system tape recorder was not operational; therefore there are no voice-recorded tapes for July 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup>.

### ***Entrapment Phase***

12. Fourteen firefighters were shuttled to support Engine #701 using two vans. One 11-passenger van remained at this site.

### ***Deployment Phase***

13. At least one firefighter deployed without gloves, and his hands received third degree burns. There were extra gloves at the deployment site. Post accident investigation found two pair of leather gloves in one of the fireline packs.
14. The civilians arrived in shorts, shirts, and sandals and decided to change into their own personal long pants, long sleeve shirts, and ball caps.
15. There were additional gloves available at the deployment site in individuals' fire packs.
16. Firefighters did not prepare the deployment sites nor remove shelters until deployment was imminent (when embers began falling).
17. Crewmembers made no mention of any difficulty in opening the plastic shelter bags. Some of the fire shelters bags had been modified per the safety alert (0151-2828 MTDC).
18. It was difficult for some firefighters to deploy their shelters due to strong, turbulent winds.
19. The fire shelter is designed to protect one person. However one of the shelters was used to protect a firefighter and two civilians. All three survived, two with minor burns.
20. Several crewmembers recalled from their fire shelter training that rockslides are potentially effective deployment sites.
21. The road was an effective deployment site. Shelters deployed in this area experienced either minor or no heat damage.

22. Some of the firefighters deployed in the light brushy fuels on the edge of the road. Smoke and fire were present inside these shelters. At least two people that deployed near light brushy fuels had minor burn injuries.
23. Contrary to training, some firefighters dropped their packs immediately next to shelters occupied by themselves or others leading to burns to the occupant of the shelter in at least one case.
24. Some of the firefighters deployed in ways contrary to current training, e.g., head toward fire and with packs (and fusees) inside shelter. The remains of a pack, including fusee slag, were found under the shelter of one of the deceased.
25. As per training, people on the road were communicating with each other while they were in their shelters.
26. Survivors on the road stayed in their shelters about 15 minutes. Then they moved to the river and used the shelters for protection from the embers.
27. Inspection of the shelters after deployment revealed several tears and abrasions. It can not be determined how this damage occurred due to the extensive movement of the shelters during and after the deployment.
28. The rock deployment sites were exposed to high levels of radiant and convective heat (in excess of 500° F) that led to rapid and significant damage to the fire shelters (delamination and the loss of portions of the reflective layer).
29. Fuels in the rocks, proximity to trees both below and adjacent to the deployment site, and the position of the site on a slope in a slight draw contributed to the more severe conditions present at the fatality site. Six people deployed in a 15 to 20 foot wide cluster in the rocks; four died, one was seriously injured, and one had minor injuries.
30. The two survivors of the upper deployment site got out of their shelters after two to three minutes. One of them proceeded directly to the river and the other sought shelter in the rock scree slope before moving to the van.
31. Cracks and crevices between the rocks made it impossible to keep heat, flames, and combustible gases out of the shelters. Although rock areas are identified as a suitable deployment site in training materials, the difficulty of obtaining an effective seal with the shelter in rough terrain with large rocks is not addressed.
32. Conditions outside shelters at both deployment locations were unsurvivable in the first few minutes of the event. Fusees ignited outside the shelters at both deployment sites (this occurs at about 375° F). Fire shelters offered survivable conditions to 12 people.
33. The crew van had minor damage (melted license plate frames, front and back). Conditions were survivable inside the van. There was no melting evident of any interior feature.
34. The civilian's truck with a fiberglass camper shell was parked about 75 feet up canyon from the crew van next to burnable fuels and was consumed by fire.

## People Findings

---

### *Significant People Findings*

- The fatalities and injuries all occurred during fire shelter deployment. Failure to adequately anticipate the severity and timing of the burnover, and failure to utilize the best location and proper deployment techniques contributed to the fatalities and injuries.
  - Leadership, management, and command and control were all ineffective due to a variety of factors, such as the lack of communication and miscommunication, fatigue, lack of situational awareness, indecisiveness, and confusion about who was in control.
  - Two civilians were involved in the entrapment due to a failure to properly close a potentially hazardous area.
- 

### *Prior to Entrapment*

1. At about midnight on July 9<sup>th</sup>, the Type 4 initial attack IC reported an estimated size of the fire and observed fire behavior. He reported to Okanogan Dispatch and the district duty officer, "it will grow tonight; will not hold; will hit slope and get larger."
2. The NWR #6 consisted of people from two ranger districts. People from each ranger district trained at two different basic 32 hour fire-training camps.
3. The Lake Wenatchee and Leavenworth Ranger Districts were recently administratively consolidated and they maintained separate district identities at both work locations.
4. The NWR #6 crewmembers were contacted beginning at midnight to be at the Twisp Ranger Station by 7:00 a.m. Some crewmembers slept in the vehicles on the way to the incident (averaged two to three hours of sleep).
5. When NWR #6 assembled, not all members knew each other -- two of the squads were a mixture of people from the two different districts.
6. The Forest's requisite briefing, utilizing the Forest safety briefing card, was conducted onsite as NWR #6 took over incident from Entiat IHC. The Forest developed this safety briefing card as a safety precaution, although there is no national standardized briefing format for Type 3 and Type 4 incidents in the NWCG Fireline Handbook, as there is for Type 1 and Type 2 incidents.
7. The NWR #6 crew was given a GPS map of the immediate fire area by the Entiat IHC. They were not given a map or aerial photos of the Chewuch River Basin.
8. Two civilians drove up the Chewuch River road in the early afternoon, passing the fire and fire personnel en route to the Thirtymile Campground. There was no contact between the firefighters and the civilians at this time.

9. At approximately 3:35 p.m. the fire grew to more than 100 acres as it expanded up the east canyon wall. The IC trainer and IC trainee acknowledged that they had "lost the fire" but did not modify safety practices (escape routes, safety zones) or change tactics after moving back to the west side of the river.
10. At approximately 4:00 p.m., Engine #701 attacked a spot fire near the road about 1/2 mile up the canyon from the lunch site. Engine #701 requested and received assistance from NWR #6 squads 1 and 2. In the absence of changed tactics, Engine #701 received the assistance of the IC and the two squads.
11. While NWR #6 crewmembers and Engines #701 and #704 worked on spot fires along the east side of the road, Air Attack provided fire size information to the IC. This information was not passed along to the crewmembers.
12. Lookouts were not posted to monitor the fire while the NWR #6 crew worked on the spot fires on the east side of road after the 3:00 p.m. lunch break.
13. The District AFMO relayed to the IC that previous fires had crossed the road and burned both sides of the canyon.

### ***Entrapment Phase***

14. Air Attack informed the IC that there were spot fires west of the road at 4:34 p.m.
15. When confronted by a wall of flames across the road, the IC decided not to drive through the fire. It was too late to get through and not everyone was in the van -- ten were in the van and four were on foot. All the crewmembers and their gear were then loaded into the van and the group retreated up the canyon away from the fire.
16. The IC evaluated three different sites on their way to the selected "safe area", concluding that none of them afforded adequate protection in the event of deployment.
17. The crew took no action to improve the prospective deployment site; the IC decided not to improve the site as he thought the road was adequate.
18. There was nearly continuous communication between the IC and Air Attack about the fire behavior and conditions in the deployment zone.
19. While in the deployment area some people were socializing, some felt safe, and some were scared. Some crewmembers reported "hanging out," "taking pictures," "watching the show," and making journal entries.
20. Six of the eight Naches Ranger District crewmembers at the deployment site clustered together. They sat on a rock about 30 feet above the road. One Naches Ranger District crewmember left the group of five in the rocks to join the people on the road.
21. One Squad Boss (Taylor) moved up into the rock scree to check on the fire and said to the IC, "This is a good place to deploy. I'm going to stay up here." He eventually changed his mind and thought the scree slope was not a good place to deploy. He briefly returned to

- the road and recommend to the IC that the crew should prepare the road site for deployment. He then returned to the scree slope to observe the fire.
22. The IC attempted to bring the separated crewmembers on the rock scree back to the group on the road, but it is unknown whether they all heard the directive.
  23. About fifteen minutes prior to deployment, two civilians arrived from the north (up canyon) in their pickup. They were met by two crewmembers and directed to the IC who told them to "stay calm." Prior to deployment the civilians had time to put on long sleeved shirts, long pants, hats, and gather water and a towel.
  24. The civilians felt they were "largely on their own" although they remained on the road with the crew. The civilians reported that they were not "given advice on how to prepare or what to do." They "did not observe any effort to plan for deployment."

### ***Deployment Phase***

25. Crewmembers reported the fire was "coming very fast, roaring" and was preceded by ash and a "fire snow storm." The IC directed the crewmembers to "get your shelters out and use against the ash" in order to protect them from falling embers.
26. Winds increased and flames came over the river and the road. People on the road heard the IC yell, "deploy!" Many crewmembers relayed the call to deploy. People on the road deployed their shelters and dropped in place where they were.
27. Several survivors recalled and properly applied some information from fire shelter training prior to and during the burnover.
28. Six people deployed in the rocks at slightly different moments. Taylor deployed first and observed a group of five (Tom Craven, Jason Emhoff, Karen FitzPatrick, Jessica Johnson, and Devin Weaver) running uphill in front of the flames just prior to their deployment.
29. While in their shelters, the IC attempted to calm crewmembers. He yelled instructions to the crewmember that had the civilians in her shelter. Others talked, reassured each other, and prayed.
30. The two surviving crewmembers that deployed in the rocks initially heard others nearby praying, talking, and screaming. One of the crewmembers estimated that within one-two minutes he heard no more voices from those that deployed on the rocks.
31. Thom Taylor saw the upper left corner of his shelter begin to burn and fill with smoke. There were portions that had burned away. After about two to three minutes in the shelter he "ran through some flames down through rocks onto the road and jumped in the water."
32. Jason Emhoff had no gloves and could not hold onto the shelter because of his burned hands. He left his shelter after a few minutes and moved through the scree field to avoid the heat and flames. He eventually reached the road and got in the van -- he avoided the river due to his recent EMT training and his concern with possible shock from the cold water.



33. Air Attack and the IC maintained continuous communication during the deployment. Air Attack was also communicating with the Forest.
34. After approximately 15 minutes, the IC determined it was safe to emerge from the shelters, and encouraged by Taylor who was already in the river, directed the people to get in the river.
35. While in the river, the IC checked on the peoples' conditions, identified who was injured, and communicated this to Air Attack. After multiple head counts, the IC determined that four people were missing.
36. After the determination that several crewmembers were missing, there was a high state of anxiety and confusion as to what actions should be taken. Squad Boss Taylor then coordinated the rescue operations with the Entiat IHC Superintendent.
37. The Entiat IHC organized for the rescue. The Entiat IHC overhead, EMTs, and the NWR #6 Crew Boss Trainee made multiple attempts to get to the deployment site. They turned back at least once due to heat from the fire and had to remove several trees that had fallen across the road. They arrived at the site about 30 minutes after the deployment.
38. Upon arrival the Entiat IHC Superintendent assumed control of the accident scene and remained until the scene was turned over to local law enforcement.
39. Air Attack initiated off-incident emergency medical response and notification by contacting Okanogan Dispatch.
40. The NWR #6 and Entiat IHC EMTs provided initial triage and medical attention to four crewmembers and one of the civilians.
41. The IC (Daniels) asked the Entiat IHC for assistance in checking on the people who had deployed on the rocks. This was not possible because the rock scree slope was too hot, with burning material and rolling rocks. The Entiat IHC Superintendent attempted to discover signs of life in the four shelters, but got no response.
42. All four fatalities were from the Naches Ranger District.

## Management Findings

---

### ***Significant Management Findings***

- All 10 Standard Fire Orders were violated or disregarded at some time during the course of the incident. (See Standard Fire Orders Section, page 40)
- Ten of the eighteen Watch Out Situations were present or disregarded at some time during the course of the incident. (See Watch Out Situations Section, page 42)
- Records indicated that personnel on the Thirtymile Fire had very little sleep prior to their assignments, and mental fatigue affected vigilance and decision- making.
- District fire management personnel did not assume incident command when the size and complexity of the fire exceeded the capacity of the NWR #6.
- The NWR #6 crew was dispatched for assignment to the Libby South fire. When redirected to the Thirtymile Fire local managers assigned a qualified individual (Daniels) from the NWR #6 as Incident Commander (IC). Because there was no redelegation of duties he remained Crew Boss and trainer for Kampen. Thus Daniels assumed collateral duties as IC (responsible for communications) and Crew Boss trainer. Kampen viewed himself as both IC (responsible for strategies and tactics) and Crew Boss in a trainee assignment. Command roles on the Thirtymile Fire were unclear and confusing to those in command of the incident, to the rest of the crew, and to others associated with the fire.

*Note: Additional findings noted below with an asterisk (\*) illustrate the fundamental finding that incident management was confusing and unclear.*

---

### ***Prior to Entrapment***

1. All Forest personnel involved and those assigned to the incident had the required annual refresher training (standards for survival, LCES, and shelter deployment training) and work fitness testing.
2. \* All personnel assigned to the fire were qualified for the positions they held, however there were a few crewmembers who perceived that the NWR #6 Crew Boss trainee was being promoted too quickly by being "fast tracked" (not a formal program).
3. The decision to suppress the fire was consistent with the Okanogan National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan and the standards and guidelines for the Chewuch River Research Natural Area.
4. It was the Lake Wenatchee and Leavenworth Districts and Naches District FMOs' practice in the crew formation process to emphasize mixing experience levels and ensuring there

was a chainsaw-qualified person per squad. Kampen followed this practice in organizing the crew.

5. \* During initial action, about midnight, Engine #704 Supervisor was offered and declined incident command. He cited multiple concerns including size, complexity and inability to find good anchor points. This information was passed to Okanogan Dispatch (not known if this was passed along to District Duty Officer.)
6. During transition from District initial attack crew to the Entiat IHC at 1:00 a.m., additional resources (Engine #704, pumps, hose, and 7 crewmembers) were on scene and offered to stay and assist. The Entiat IHC Superintendent released the resources, but ordered similar equipment (and more) within one hour.
7. \* At about 10:00 a.m. the District FMO requested that the road be closed. District management did not scout the road for civilian traffic nor close the road until after 3:00 p.m.
8. During transition from the Entiat IHC to NWR #6 the Entiat IHC Superintendent, and District and Forest Fire staff conferred and established mopping up spots east of the river as highest priority.
9. When Kampen briefed the crew he used the Forest's standard safety briefing card and the Libby South Fire spot forecast from 6:00 p.m. the previous night.
10. On July 9<sup>th</sup> and the morning of July 10<sup>th</sup>, the priority and focus of District Fire staff was on the Libby South Fire. The Thirtymile Fire was considered "basically a mop-up show."
11. The Okanogan National Forest 1997 Fire Management Action Plan states that a fire situation analysis will be prepared for any extended attack fire, although the 1995 national policy does not require this. No fire situation analysis was done on the Thirtymile Fire on July 9<sup>th</sup> or 10<sup>th</sup>. The Okanogan Fire Plan is currently being revised and is estimated to be completed in 2002.
12. The helicopter did not arrive at the fire in the morning as requested. The sequence of events was:
  - The Entiat IHC Superintendent ordered an aircraft and a crew at 2:15 a.m.
  - The Entiat IHC Superintendent ordered the aircraft for morning delivery.
  - At 5:26 a.m. Okanogan Dispatch contacted the Entiat IHC Superintendent to clarify the aircraft request. A Type 3 helicopter with long line, bucket, and reconnaissance capability was ordered.
  - At 5:30 a.m. Okanogan Dispatch ordered helicopter 13N from Wenatchee Dispatch for a 10:00 a.m. delivery time at North Cascades Smokejumper Base (NCSB).
  - Helicopter 13N (an exclusive local use resource based at Wenatchee) was not available until after 7:00 a.m. due to the pilot rest limitations. (The earliest the pilot could be legally contacted was 7:00 a.m. since he was on duty until 9:00 p.m. the previous night and required 10 hours of off duty time.)

- The IC was informed during the briefings (completed by 11:00 a.m.) by the Forest FMO that a helicopter would be available for their use.
  - Helicopter 13N left Wenatchee at 9:53 a.m. and arrived at the NCSB at 10:30 a.m.
  - At 11:52 a.m. Okanogan Dispatch notified the IC that helicopter 13N was available at NCSB.
  - At 12:08 p.m. the IC ordered the helicopter 13N to be launched.
  - The helicopter manager for 13N reported mid to late morning "...dispatch for the Okanogan called me to tell me to fly to the Thirtymile Fire." When the helicopter manager contacted the District AFMO about landing locations he was informed by the District AFMO that he "... didn't think we could dip water out of the Chewuch River because of environmental issues with salmon smolts." The District AFMO said he would "check into it."
  - The helicopter manager contacted Okanogan Dispatch about where they could conduct dipping operations.
  - At 12:30 p.m. the IC contacted Okanogan Dispatch and asked for the estimated time of arrival of the helicopter.
  - At 12:42 p.m. an Air Attack was launched and a SEAT (single engine air tanker) was launched at 1:28 p.m. with water (not retardant).
  - At 2:00 p.m. the District FMO approved the use of helicopter 13N.
  - At 2:17 p.m. helicopter 13N was en route to the fire.
  - At 2:35 p.m. 13N was at the helibase at 8-Mile Camp hooking up to their 75-gallon bucket.
  - At 2:38 p.m. 13N left the helibase at 8-Mile Camp for the fire.
  - The helicopter worked the fire until about 4:15 p.m. when it refueled and returned to the fire around 4:30 p.m.
13. The earliest that 13N could have been available was 9:30 a.m., if action had been initiated at 7:00 a.m. to start the pilot's duty time. This is 5 hours earlier than it actually arrived on the fire. This 5 hour difference is related to the following:
- 13N arrived at NCSB at 10:30 a.m., and was available to the incident upon request by the IC.
  - IC Trainee (Kampen), through IC (Daniels) requested that 13N launch for the fire at 12:08 p.m.
  - For this request, there was no delay associated with endangered species considerations.
  - \* Okanogan Dispatch sought to resolve concerns about dipping from the Chewuch River prior to releasing 13N. This took about two hours, and when the FMO was contacted he immediately gave permission at 2:00 p.m.



- There is no clear or consistent process on the Forest for helicopter bucket operations with respect to endangered species issues in relation to fire suppression operations.
  - The Department of Interior memo (September 21, 1995) addressing endangered species considerations states that there are "no constraints...if they place firefighters in danger." It also states that "impacts to endangered species by helicopters during fire suppression activities have to be considered within the context of all other ground activities and the fire itself."
  - \* The District AFMO and ultimately the Okanogan Dispatcher were unclear on the appropriate course of action to take, delaying the release of the helicopter.
14. \* The Incident Commander was not kept apprised of the status of orders, resulting in multiple repeat resource orders.
  15. \* Around 2:30 p.m. Kampen (the NWR #6 Crew Boss Trainee and IC Trainee) suggested to Kyle Cannon (the Entiat IHC Assistant Superintendent) that the Entiat IHC could take over the fire. Cannon declined and stated that "this is nothing we want to mess with either, we did not have any anchor, spots everywhere. There wasn't anything we could do."
  16. \* At 3:25 p.m. the District AFMO met with IC (Daniels) and asked if he was comfortable retaining incident command. Daniels agreed to retain incident command.
  17. \* After confirming that Daniels would retain IC, the District AFMO gave direction to others to check out the campground at the end of the road.
  18. \* Forest procedure as outlined in the Forest Mobilization Guide for "collaboration between Incident Commander and District Duty Officer" was not followed. The District AFMO, who was not District Duty Officer at that time, was on-site and provided strategic and tactical directions on the incident, such as the need to keep the fire contained on the east side of the road, but he did not take control of the incident.
  19. Several individuals noted by 3:00 p.m. that the perceived "initial attack effort" had been lost. Containment was no longer possible with the on-site resources.
  20. Around 3:30 p.m. the District AFMO met with Ellreese Daniels and Pete Kampen to discuss their concern that the initial attack had not contained the fire.
  21. \* The elements of fire complexity dictated a transition from initial to extended attack. The IC did not revise the strategy and tactics to address these changed circumstances.
  22. \* There was no clear distinction between the management of initial attack and extended attack in terms of policy or procedural requirements for tactical modifications, adjustments for safe practices, or changes in command.
  23. \* The IC did not request engines. The Air Attack ordered two engines about 2:30 p.m.
  24. \* Engine #701 was originally dispatched to work helispot, dust abatement, air crash rescue, and helicopter management. The Engine #701 Supervisor informed those on Engine #704 and the two firefighters in a chase vehicle that their assignment was to keep the fire east of the road as per dispatch direction.

25. \* Between 3:24 and 3:27 p.m. Engines #701 and #704 arrived on the fire scene and did not check in with the IC or obtain a tactical briefing.
26. At approximately 4:00 p.m., Engine #701 attacked a spot fire near the road about 1/4 mile up the canyon from the lunch site. At this time, there was continuous unburned fuel between the lunch site and the spot fire. Engine #701 requested and received assistance from NWR #6.

### ***Entrapment Phase***

27. At approximately 4:34 p.m. the NWR #6 crew received direction from the NWR #6 Crew Boss Trainee (Kampen) and the Entiat IHC Superintendent to pull out and they complied.
28. The NWR #6 Crew Boss Trainee plus the six crewmembers of Squad 3 barely escaped as the fire began to burn at the edge of the road.
29. The IC (Daniels) and 13 NWR #6 Squad 1 and 2 crewmembers retreated south (10 in the van and four on foot) toward their lunch site, but their escape route was blocked by a wall of flames across the road. The IC then:
  - turned around, loaded all the crewmembers and their gear into the van, and retreated north up the canyon away from the fire
  - evaluated and rejected three separate rock scree sites as unsuitable
  - selected a "safety zone" (final deployment area)
30. It was later determined after the incident that the deployment area was not a "safety zone" because conditions were not survivable without deploying shelters.
31. The IC informed the crewmembers that the road was the safe place to be and repeatedly attempted to tell those in the rocks above the road to come to the road, but it is unknown if they all actually heard the directive. He was in constant contact with Air Attack and continually told the crewmembers and the civilians to remain calm.
32. \* After arriving at the deployment site the crew dispersed to several locations:
  - Eight crewmembers (the IC and seven crewmembers) and the two civilians were on the road
  - Five crewmembers (one Squad Boss and four crewmembers) were on a couple of boulders about 30 feet from the road
  - One crewmember (a Squad Boss) was scouting up in the rock scree and eventually reached the conclusion that it was not as good a deployment site as the road
33. \* The crew did not physically gather together on the road even after repeated attempts by the IC to bring them together; however, it is unknown if all those on the rocks actually heard the instructions.
34. \* Although adequate time to prepare for shelter deployment was available, leadership did not prepare the crew for a possible burnover or initiate actions to control the situation.

***Deployment Phase***

35. After burnover, the IC directed the crewmembers out of their shelters and into the river.
36. Post-burnover rescue operations were well managed and coordinated by Entiat IHC.

## Standard Fire Orders

---

All ten Standard Fire Orders were violated or disregarded at one time or another during the course of the incident. The following are some examples of these situations.

**1. Fight fire aggressively but provide for safety first.**

The tactics implemented provided for aggressive suppression but lacked critical safety procedures, including mandatory escape routes.

**2. Initiate all actions based on current and expected fire behavior.**

Aggressive attack with over-extended resources continued in spite of onsite indicators of an increased rate of spread, multiple spots, and crown fire.

**3. Recognize current weather conditions and obtain forecasts.**

- Although received by Okanogan Dispatch, no afternoon fire weather forecast was transmitted to the Thirtymile Fire or the Methow Valley District.
- No Spot Weather Forecast was requested by management or incident commanders.

**4. Ensure that instructions are given and understood.**

- Instructions were given without any direct tie to strategy or tactics at the time of the entrapment.
- At the deployment site instructions were given and not all were adhered to, but it is unknown whether they were heard or understood by all.
- Instructions were coming from multiple sources adding to the confusion.

**5. Obtain current information on fire status.**

- Air attack was utilized but due to smoke conditions could not always see the ground.
- No assigned lookouts were used after 2 p.m.

**6. Remain in communication with your crew members, supervisors and adjoining forces.**

Although the communication equipment was adequate, the lines of communications on the incident were poor due to lack of a plan and poorly established command structure. There was no viable strategy established during the afternoon of the incident.

**7. Determine safety zones and escape routes.**

After the 3 p.m. lunch break, the crews were up canyon doing a frontal assault and had no alternative escape route or safety zone identified. They had nowhere to go when their only escape route was cut off.

**8. Establish lookouts in potentially hazardous situations.**

No lookouts were established during the burning period beyond what could be seen from the road and from air attack, who had limited visibility of the fire due to smoke.

**9. Retain control at all times.**

Leadership was fragmented and ineffective at all levels during the afternoon of July 10<sup>th</sup>. Resources were being ordered and directions given by others than the IC. While a suitable deployment site was found and orders were given there was no evidence of strong leadership on the deployment site to implement the orders as given.

**10. Stay alert, keep calm, think clearly, act decisively.**

- Supervisors, managers, and firefighters failed to stay alert and recognize changing conditions.
- Fatigue and collateral duties impeded the abilities of key leadership to think clearly and to act decisively to use available time on the shelter deployment site to prepare for the turnover.



## Watch Out Situations

---

The following ten Watch Out Situations were present or disregarded at one time or another during the course of the incident as evidenced by the following non-inclusive set of examples.

### **Safety zones and escape routes not identified** (*Watch Out Situation # 3*)

- When they were working on the spots there was no clear instruction on safety zones or escape routes.
- The lunch site was not a safety zone and there were no safety zones up canyon from the point of the fire origin once the fire behavior became severe.
- The shelter deployment site was not a safety zone.

### **Unfamiliar with weather and local factors influencing fire behavior** (*Watch Out Situation # 4*)

- Fire fighters were unaware of the near record ERC readings and how that affected fire behavior.

### **Uninformed about strategy tactics and hazards** (*Watch Out Situation # 5*)

- Chosen strategy and tactics were not achievable or viable due to fuel and environmental conditions.
- Hazards were never properly recognized, evaluated, and addressed.
- It was not recognized that the tactics needed to be changed when the fire began to leave the riparian area.

### **Instructions and assignments not clear!** (*Watch Out Situation # 6*)

- Instructions were given without any direct tie to strategy or tactics at the time of the entrapment.
- At the deployment site instructions were given and not all were adhered to, but it is unknown whether they were heard or understood by all.
- The incident commander did not make sure that all instructions were complied with.
- Many people throughout the incident gave instructions.

### **Constructing fire line without a safe anchor point** (*Watch Out Situation # 8*)

- When action was taken on the spot fires at the head of the main fire there was no secure anchor point.

**Attempting frontal assault on fire (Watch Out Situation # 10)**

- After the lunch break, two squads and two engines were actively suppressing spot fires ahead of the main fire.

**Unburned fuel between you and the fire (Watch Out Situation # 11)**

- When engaged in suppression actions on the spots there was a large amount of unburned fuel between the main fire and the spots about 150 to 300 yards away.

**Cannot see main fire, not in contact with anyone who can (Watch Out Situation # 12)**

- Air attack could not see the entire fire; no one could see the part of the fire that presented the greatest hazard.
- Terrain smoke and vegetation blocked firefighters view of the main fire.
- A look out who could continually view the main fire was not posted.

**Spot fires frequently cross line (Watch Out Situation # 16)**

The NWR #6 crew experienced spots across their control lines from when they began work at approximately 11:00 a.m. on July 10<sup>th</sup>.

**Terrain and fuels make escape to safety zones difficult (Watch Out Situation # 17)**

The identified safety zone did not satisfy the defined characteristics of a true safety zone.

## Epilogue

The Investigation Team endeavored to provide meaning and context to this incident in the hope that our efforts will create a greater sense of urgency and commitment to safety. The Forest Service and all organizations involved in wildland fire suppression, and especially each individual, need to rededicate themselves to the fundamental principle that a choice for safety is the right choice -- every time.

Rebecca Welch had little experience to draw on as she watched the approaching fire. Her only assets at this time were her judgment, her training, and the fire shelter itself. But at a critical moment she decided to move to the road. There she positioned herself along the roadside, and moments later deployed her fire shelter, even sharing the precious space inside with two civilians, saving their lives as well. The simple choices she made had profound impacts on the lives of three people.

Safety is an uncompromising master. Most people compromise safety routinely in their daily activities, usually with no consequences. But neglect of safety eventually leads to "near misses," and near misses lead to accidents, some with tragic consequences.

Fire suppression can be a dangerous business, and it has a history of tragic deaths. Safety and fire suppression need not be mutually exclusive, and safety must come first. We need to drive this message home with every agency, every crew, every manager, and every wildland firefighter involved in fire management and suppression.



## The Investigation Team

Within hours of the incident an interagency team was being formed and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration was notified due to the fatalities of the federal workers. Jim Furnish, Deputy Chief for National Forest Systems, was designated investigation team leader. The investigation team first met in Twisp, WA on the evening of Wednesday, July 11.

The team investigated the fire and fatality site, and conducted interviews with people associated with the event. The team met daily in the morning and evening to discuss progress, review assignments, coordinate activities, review their findings, and document their work. The team adjourned July 23 with the bulk of the investigation and analysis done. Compilation and further refinement of the draft investigation report continued for the next few weeks, and the completed draft report was issued to the Management Review Board on August 23, 2001.

### Thirtymile Fire Investigation Team Members

Team Leader	Jim Furnish USFS National Headquarters Washington, DC
Chief Investigator	Alan Chockie Link Technologies Seattle, WA
Equipment Specialist	Leslie Anderson USFS Missoula Technology & Development Center Missoula, MT
Line Officer Representative	Kent Connaughton USFS Pacific Southwest Region Vallejo, CA
Fire Operations	Dave Dash BLM-Alaska Fire Service Fort Wainwright, AK
Union Representative	Joe Duran USFS Los Padres National Forest Santa Maria, CA
Weather Specialist	Brenda Graham USFS Northern California Service Center Redding, CA
Equipment Specialist	George Jackson USFS Missoula Technology & Development Center Missoula, MT
Human Factors	Tony Kern USFS National Interagency Fire Center Boise, ID
Fire Behavior	Rich Lasko USFS Northern Region Missoula, MT
Weather Specialist	Jim Prange National Weather Service Seattle, WA
Operations	Jeanne Pincha-Tulley USFS Tahoe National Forest Nevada City, CA
Safety Manager	Chuck Whitlock USFS Missoula Technology & Development Center Missoula, MT



### Thirtymile Fire Investigation Supporting Personnel

Logistics	Arnie Brosten USFS Flathead National Forest Swan Lake Ranger District Big Fork, MT
Photographer /Videographer	Ben Croft USFS Missoula Technology & Development Center Missoula, MT
Okanogan- Wenatchee Liaison	Troy Corn USFS Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests Entiat Ranger District Entiat, WA
Information Officer	Ron DeHart USFS Mt Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest Mountlake Terrace, WA
Sociologist	John Driesen USFS Missoula Technology & Development Center Missoula, MT
Fire Behavior	Mark Finney USFS Rocky Mountain Research Station - Fire Science Laboratory Missoula, MT
GPS/GIS	Ron Gross USFS Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests Twisp, WA
Fire Behavior	Tom Leuschen USFS Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests Twisp, WA
Transcriptions	Gary Maddux USFS Tahoe National Forest Nevada City, CA
Law Enforcement Liaison	Bill McConnell USFS Colville National Forest Colville, WA
Documentation	Shari Miller USFS Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests Wenatchee, WA
Documentation	Esther Woodward USFS Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests Okanogan, WA



United States  
Department of  
Agriculture

Forest  
Service

Washington Office

14<sup>th</sup> & Independence SW  
P.O. Box 96090  
Washington, DC 20090-6090

File Code: 6730  
Route To:

Date: JUL 11 2001

Subject: Thirtymile Fire Fatalities Investigation

To: Jim Furnish, Investigation Team Leader

The Accident Investigation Team, being led by you, including a core team, technical specialists, and other necessary support staff, is delegated the authority to conduct an investigation of the fatalities and injuries that occurred during the entrapment and shelter deployment on the Thirtymile Fire, Okanogan National Forest, WA.

The Team shall:

1. Organize, conduct, and control the accident investigation;
2. Coordinate information exchange among team members, local Law Enforcement, coroner, etc.;
3. Maintain liaison with affected units;
4. Approve requests and allocate funding for necessary resources;
5. Report preliminary findings or other facts, as they become known, to the Chief on an on-going basis;
6. Furnish to the Chief all reports as outlined in the Accident Investigation Guide (6700 Safety and Health April 2001-0167-2825-MTDC);
7. Through a thorough analysis of accident sequence, human factors, and environmental factors determine:
  - a. Direct causal factor(s) of fatalities;
  - b. Contributing factor(s) surrounding the accident;
8. Adhere to the Information Flow Chart (enclosed);
9. The primary contact for the Office of Communication is Joe Walsh.

A separate Management Review Team will be appointed to review the accident reports and to develop proposed corrective actions that should be implemented to eliminate future accidents of this nature.

DALE N. BOSWORTH  
Chief

Enclosure



<b>Thirtymile Fire Investigation</b>	
<b><i>List of Individuals Interviewed &amp; Contacted for Information</i></b>	
Name	Position
Dewane Anderson	NWR #6, Squad 3 Member
Bill Austin	Goat Peak Lookout
Armando Avila	NWR #6, Squad 2 Member
Mort Banasky	First Butte Lookout
Gary Bennett	Fire Weather Program Leader, Spokane National Weather Service
John Birks	Squad Boss, Entiat IHC
Marshall Brown	Superintendent, Entiat IHC
Kyle Cannon	Assistant Superintendent, Entiat IHC
Beau Clark	NWR #6, Squad 1 Member
Ellreese Daniels	NWR #6, Crew Boss Trainer
Nick Dreis	NWR #6, Squad 2 Member
Harry Dunn	Supervisor, Engine #701
Sally Estes	Dispatch Coordinator, Okanogan National Forest
Jason Emhoff	NWR #6, Squad 1 Member
Barry George	AFMO, Methow Valley Ranger District
Bruce Hagemeyer	Civilian
Paula Hagemeyer	Civilian
Lyle Hammer	Meteorologist, Spokane National Weather Service
Emily Hinson	NWR #6, Squad 3 Member
Elaine Hurd	NWR #6, Squad 2 Member
Gabe Jasso	AFMO, Lake Wenatchee Ranger District
Pete Kampen	NWR #6, Crew Boss Trainee
Dave Laughman	Engine #704 Driver
George Marcott	District FMO, Naches Ranger District
Eric Nichols	Firefighter (Timber)
John Newcom	District Ranger, Methow Valley Ranger District
Sonny O'Neal	Forest Supervisor, Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests
Michael Pipgras	Member Entiat IHC
Alan Quan	Deputy Forest Supervisor, Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests
Gary Reed	Dispatcher, Okanogan National Forest
Matthew Rutman	NWR #6, Squad 2 Member
Pete Soderquist	District FMO, Methow Valley Ranger District
Tim Schmekel	Engine #701 Assistant Supervisor
Scott Scherzinger	NWR #6, Squad 1 Member
Brian Schexnayder	NWR #6, Squad 3, Squad Boss
Ron Smith	Pilot, Single Engine Air Tanker (SEAT)
Jamie Tackman	Lead Plane Pilot, Libby South Fire
Jodie Tate	NWR #6, Squad 3 Member
Thom Taylor	NWR #6, Squad 2, Squad Boss
Elton Thomas	Forest FMO, Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forests
Marshall Wallace	NWR #6, Squad 3 Member
Roger Wallace	District FMO, Lake Wenatchee & Leavenworth Ranger Districts
Paul Walters	Pilot, Helicopter 3913N
Donica Watson	NWR #6, Squad 3 Member
Rebecca Welch	NWR #6, Squad 1 Member

## Incident Time Line

Event	Time/Date	Response Actions
<p>Untended campfire ignites a fire along the Chewuch River</p> <p>Fire reported by Canadian Lead Plane (9:26 p.m.)</p> <p>Fire size estimated 3 to 8 acres in heavy timber with 2-4 foot flame lengths (11:08 p.m.)</p> <p>10-person crew and 2 engines requested (11:08 p.m.)</p> <p>Fire investigator and Type 3 or 4 IC requested (11:22 p.m.)</p> <p>Fire size estimated 20-25 acres &amp; "it will grow tonight, will not hold, will hit slope and get larger" (11:59 p.m.)</p>	<p><b>July 9 ,2001</b></p> <p>— 9:00 p.m. —</p> <p>— 10:00 p.m. —</p> <p>— 11:00 p.m. —</p>	<p>3-Person hand crew en route to fire (10:04 p.m.)</p> <p>Engine #704 en route to fire (10:45 p.m.)</p> <p>3-Person hand crew arrives at fire (~11 p.m.)</p> <p>Entiat IHC &amp; Mark III pump assigned to the fire (11:11 p.m.)</p> <p>Engine #704 arrives at fire (11:49 p.m.)</p>
<hr style="border: 1px solid black;"/>		
<p>NWR #6 crew assigned to Libby South fire (~12:00 a.m.)</p> <p>Fire "slopped over river" (12:10 a.m.)</p> <p>Entiat IHC releases Engine #704, 3-person hand crew &amp; 2 person chase truck (~1:30 a.m.)</p> <p>Fire line completed from road to west side of river (1:48 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 12:00 a.m. —</p> <p><b>July 10, 2001</b></p> <p>— 1:00 a.m. —</p>	<p>NWR #6 crew contacted to meet in Twisp, WA at 7:00 a.m.</p> <p>Entiat IHC and 2-person chase truck arrive at fire (~1:00 a.m.)</p> <p>Engine #704, 3-person hand crew &amp; 2-person chase truck depart the fire (1:30 a.m.)</p> <p>Plan of attack is to go east of river and find and line spots (~1:30 a.m.)</p>

Event	Time/Date	Response Actions
<p>2 spots contained (2:15 a.m.)</p> <p>Crew and helicopter ordered for morning arrival (2:15 a.m.)</p> <p>2 Mark III pumps with kits, hose, wyes, nozzles, reducers ordered (2:15 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 2:00 a.m. —</p>	<p>Entiat IHC move to east side of river (2:15 a.m.)</p>
	<p>— 3:00 a.m. —</p>	<p>NWR #6 crew assembles in Leavenworth, WA (3:30 a.m.)</p>
	<p>— 4:00 a.m. —</p>	
	<p>— 5:00 a.m. —</p>	
<p>Dispatch requested information on type of aircraft needed (5:26 a.m.)</p> <p>Seven spots covering a total of 5 to 6 acres - five spots lined (5:26 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 6:00 a.m. —</p>	<p>Confirmed Helicopter 13N (Type III with bucket and long line) to be available at NCSB at 10 a.m. (5:26 a.m.)</p> <p>Entiat move to west side of river for rest and food (~5:30 a.m.)</p>
<p>About 1.5 acres lined (6:26 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 7:00 a.m. —</p>	<p>Entiat IHC return to fire on east side of river (6:26 a.m.)</p>
<p>NWR #6 arrives at Twisp Ranger Station (~7:00 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 8:00 a.m. —</p>	<p>Briefing -- NWR #6 notified they would be mopping up "a small fire" (~7:00 a.m.)</p>
<p>NWR #6, District FMO, and Forest FMO depart for the fire (7:51 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 9:00 a.m. —</p>	
<p>Fire status assessment completed (~9:45 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 10:00 a.m. —</p>	<p>NWR #6, District FMO, and Forest FMO arrived at the fire (9:04 a.m.)</p> <p>NWR #6 Crew Boss assumes IC (9:30 a.m.)</p>
<p>NWR #6 Crew Boss Trainee completes crew briefing (~10:30 a.m.)</p>		<p>Plan is to put in "pump show, line, and mop up the fire"</p>



Event	Time/Date	Response Actions
<p>Forest FMO orders another crew (10:40 a.m.)</p>		
<p>District FMO orders barricade to close the road</p>		
<p>Pumps and hoses set up (~11:00 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 11:00 a. m. —</p>	<p>NWR #6 crew began working the fire on west and east side of the river (~11:00 a.m.)</p>
<p>Entiat IHC go to bed down at campground (~11:00 a.m.)</p>		
<p>From 11:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Some hoses burst</li> <li>• Trouble keeping pumps running</li> <li>• Four pulaskis break</li> </ul>		
<p>Dispatch notifies IC that Helicopter 13N available at NCSB (11:52 a.m.)</p>	<p>— 12:00 p.m. —</p>	
		<p>NWR #6 tactics changed to digging line (~12:00 p.m.)</p> <p>IC requests launch of Helicopter 13N (12:08 p.m.)</p>
<p>IC checks on arrival of Helicopter 13N and orders "1 or 2 more crews"(12:30 p.m.)</p>		
<p>Dispatch unable to contact Entiat IHC (12:49 p.m.)</p>		<p>Air Attack diverted from Libby South Fire to Thirtymile Fire (12:40 p.m.)</p>
	<p>— 1:00 p.m. —</p>	<p>NWR #6 crewmember sent to wake Entiat IHC (~1:00 p.m.)</p>
<p>Two civilians drive past the fire and crew toward the Thirtymile campground (~1:00 p.m.)</p>		
<p>Air Attack arrives at fire (~1:11 p.m.)</p>		<p>Air Attack orders SEAT (1:15 p.m.)</p>
<p>IC repeats order for 2 additional crews (1:43 p.m.)</p>		

Event	Time/Date	Response Actions
Approval to launch Helicopter 13N (2:00 p.m.)	— 2:00 p.m. —	Entiat IHC arrive at fire (~2:00 p.m.)
Engines #701 and #704 drive past crews looking for spotting (~3:30 p.m.)	— 3:00 p.m. —	Helicopter 13N departs from 8-Mile Camp to begin making water drops (2:38 p.m.)
First Butte Lookout reports the fire is forming its own thunderhead (4:03 p.m.)	— 4:00 p.m. —	NWR #6 pulled back to lunch site on west side of river (3:00 p.m.)
Fire burns across the road entrapping Squads 1 & 2 (4:34 p.m.)	— 4:00 p.m. —	NWR #6 Squad 1 called up the road to support Engine #701 (~4:00 p.m.)
2 civilian campers arrive from the Thirtymile Camp site (~5:10 p.m.)	— 5:00 p.m. —	NWR #6 Squad 2 called up to support Engine #701 (~4:20 p.m.)
Column of fire reaches the deployment site (5:24 p.m.)	— 5:00 p.m. —	NWR #6 Squad 3 arrives at Engine #704 and immediately retreats toward lunch site (~4:34 p.m.)
Firestorm moves beyond the deployment site	— 5:00 p.m. —	NWR #6 Squads 1 & 2 load in van and drive 1 mile up the road toward a deployment site
At least 2 injured and 4 unaccounted for (5:48 p.m.)	— 6:00 p.m. —	Shelters deployed (5:24 p.m.): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10 people on the road</li> <li>• 6 people on the rock slope</li> </ul> 2 crewmembers on the rock slope leave their shelters - 1 to the river and 1 to the van
	— 6:00 p.m. —	10 people on the road move to the river
	— 6:00 p.m. —	People move from the river to the sandbar (~5:50 p.m.)
	— 6:00 p.m. —	Entiat IHC, NWR #6 Crew Boss Trainee arrive and begin to administer first aid (~6:00 p.m.)
	— 6:00 p.m. —	NWR #6 crew and civilians given medical treatment and evacuated

## Appendix: Fire Behavior

On July 10, 2001, the weather and fuel moisture conditions in the Chewuch River canyon reached levels that reflected conditions normally present at the peak of the fire season in early August. Winter and spring weather patterns had left fuels abnormally dry and susceptible to the initiation and development of extreme fire behavior. The extreme fire behavior of the Thirtymile Fire could be expected under weather and fuel conditions present on July 10<sup>th</sup>; however it is unusual for this type of fire behavior to occur in this location in early July.

### Information Available to Thirtymile Firefighting Personnel

The National Weather Service issued two Fire Weather Forecasts on July 10<sup>th</sup>. The morning forecast was transmitted to the Thirtymile Fire personnel but the afternoon forecast was not. The Spot Weather Report for the Libby South Fire, issued 7:36 p.m. July 9<sup>th</sup> was the only Spot Weather Forecast delivered to firefighters on the Thirtymile Fire (See Appendix: Weather). This forecast predicted a minimum humidity of 10%, maximum temperatures of 98° F and winds from the south 9-13 miles per hour with gusts to 17 in the afternoon.


**Fire Behavior Figure 1.** Fireline Handbook Table 13 and the Relation to the Phases of the Thirtymile Fire

	Relative Humidity	Fuel Moisture 1-Hour	Fuel Moisture 10-hour	Relative ease of chance ignition and spotting, general burning conditions
Initial Phase	>60	>20	>15	Very little ignition; some spotting may occur with winds above 9mi/h.
	45 - 60	15-19	12-15	Low ignition hazard - campfires become dangerous; glowing bands cause ignition when relative humidity is < 50%
	30 -45	11 -14	10-12	Medium ignition hazard - matches become dangerous; "easy" burning conditions.
	26-40	8 -10	8-9	High ignition hazard; matches are dangerous; occasional crowning; spotting caused by gusty winds; "moderate" burning conditions.
Transition, Entrapment, and Deployment Phases	15-30	5-7	5-7	Quick ignition, rapid buildup, extensive crowning; any increase in winds causes an increased spotting, crowning, loss of control; fire moves up bark of trees igniting aerial fuels; long distance spotting in pine stands; dangerous burning conditions.
	< 15	< 5	< 5	All sources of ignition dangerous; aggressive burning, spot fires occur often and spread rapidly; extreme fire behavior probable; critical burning conditions.

Table 13 in the Fireline Handbook (NWCG Handbook 3 January 1998) displays the expected Fire Severity for combinations of fuel moisture and relative humidity. While more sophisticated models of fire behavior exist, Table 13 would be the most readily available method to predict fire behavior by personnel on the Thirtymile Fire. Fire conditions that could have been expected for the Thirtymile Fire are noted on the left side of the Fire Behavior Figure 1 on the previous page.

**Initial Phase (from late evening July 9 to 10 a.m. July 10)**

Following ignition, the fire remained active throughout the evening of July 9<sup>th</sup> and into the early morning of July 10<sup>th</sup> due to the presence of dry large diameter fuels and poor nighttime relative humidity recovery. Fire behavior at this time was characterized as slow surface spread (calculated rate 1.3 chains per hour). Although predicted flame lengths would be primarily less than 2.3 feet, the presence of downfall and ladder fuels promoted the extension of the fire into tree crowns initiating torching and spotting. At least 6 spot fires on both sides of the river resulted from these conditions.




**Fire Behavior Figure 2.** Initial Phase of the Thirtymile Fire



**Transition Phase (from 10 a.m. to 3:20 p.m. July 10)**


During the transition phase from mid-morning until mid-afternoon on July 10, the relative humidity rapidly decreases to less than 10% and temperature increases to 94° F. Surface fires became more active, with flame lengths approaching 8 feet, increasing the frequency of torching and spotting across containment lines. The fire began to gain a foothold on the east hillside of the canyon, but by 2:50 p.m. it had not yet begun a significant uphill run on this slope. At 3:00 p.m., because of increasing fire activity and spotting, firefighters moved to their identified "safety zone" on the west side of the Chewuch River. The rate of spread of the unconstrained surface fire at this time was calculated to be 10.8 chains/hour.



**Fire Behavior Figure 3.** Thirtymile Fire Spread 10 a.m. to 3:20 p.m. July 10<sup>th</sup>

**Entrapment Phase (from 3:20 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. July 10th)**

At 3:20 p.m. the fire began uphill crowning runs from base of east slope. Slopes of nearly 100%, dense forests, and upslope convective winds combine to move this fire uphill at approximately 1.25 miles per hour (100 chains per hour). Spotting was pervasive from all active portions of the fire both in the canyon floor, and up the east slope. The fire established a convection column on the hillside east of the canyon floor. Spot fires became established east of the road 0.4 miles



**Fire Behavior Figure 4.** Thirtymile Fire Spread 3:20 p.m. to 4:40 p.m. July 10<sup>th</sup>

from the main fire area.

Within an hour the fire in the canyon floor began a sustained torching run in tree crowns and at 4:34 p.m. crossed the road at the entrapment point. The up canyon spread of the fire up to this point had been parallel to the road. With a 90-degree turn in the road to the SE, the orientation of fire spread was now directly perpendicular to the road.


A variety of sites and fuel types describe conditions within the Chewuch River canyon. This difference is significant, insofar as it may have influenced tactical decisions as the fire moved between fuel types through July 10. At the point of origin, where the fire was first attacked, spruce and alder dominate the site within a riparian zone. Aspen is also nearby. This is a wetter site relative to the drier surrounding areas where fir, lodgepole, and ponderosa pine occur and



where the fire made its largest runs on the afternoon of July 10<sup>th</sup>.

Contrasting patterns of fire behavior were observed between the wetter fuel types in the riparian zones and the drier upland fuel types. Initially, the fire spread was principally influenced through torching and spotting in the wetter types, while sustained crowning better defined fire spread in the drier types. The differing patterns of fire behavior were influenced both by the nature of the fuel types, and increasingly drier and warmer conditions encountered as the day progressed. It is remarkable that after the fire, although there are isolated patches of fire-scorched and fire-killed trees in the vicinity of the point of origin, much of the area within the riparian zone where the fire was first attacked remains unburned.

When the fire spread out of the riparian zone, as weather conditions worsened, fire intensities and rates of spread increased considerably. The fuels type and drier conditions were more conducive to rapid spread rates and more severe fire behavior. Notably, entrapment occurred in



**Fire Behavior Figure 5.** Thirtymile Fire Spread by 5:00 p.m. July 10<sup>th</sup>


the drier fuels at a bend in the road (perpendicular to the direction of spread), where the only path of down-canyon escape was blocked by the head of the fire moving up-canyon.

This was a result of the tactical decision to suppress spot fires ahead of the main fire along the road, which placed firefighters under increasingly severe weather conditions in a different fuel type that exhibited different, more severe, fire behavior.

By 5:00 p.m. the fire had become well-established on the canyon floor east and west of the Chewuch River and on the canyon slope east of the river.

**Deployment Phase (from 5:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. July 10<sup>th</sup>)**

At about 5:00 p.m., the fire was firmly established on the hillside east of the Chewuch River. Strong uphill and up canyon fire movement had carried the fire in an easterly direction and crown fire activity was engulfing the hillside east of the deployment location. An active fire front was also located in the canyon bottom in the vicinity of the point of entrapment along a line



**Fire Behavior Figure 6.** Thirtymile Fire Spread by 5:30 p.m. July 10<sup>th</sup>



extending from the base of the northern hillside to the hillside south of the fire.

Strong indrafts and massive spotting associated with the crown fire high on the hillside east of the river intensified the fire activity in the canyon floor. At approximately 5:00 p.m., the fire in the canyon floor transitioned to an active crown fire moving up canyon towards the deployment zone at spread rates estimated to be in excess of 1.6 miles per hour (125 chains per hour).

Two columns had now formed, one on the hillside east of the river and a second column located on the canyon floor. The canyon floor column was initially oriented horizontally directly towards the deployment zone. While the exact interaction of the columns is unknown, it is felt that the column on the hillside south of the river established strong up canyon winds which contributed to the speed and intensity of the canyon floor fire front as it moved up canyon. Immediately preceding fire shelter deployment, embers falling from the fire columns rained down on the deployment site. The flaming front and plume of hot gasses from the canyon floor fire reached the deployment site at 5:24 p.m. The canyon floor fire continued to intensify as it moved past the deployment site to the east and its column began to rise vertically. The column in the canyon floor and the column on the hillside east of the river eventually merged as the fire moved up canyon. The rate of fire movement and intensity of fire activity is characteristic of crown fires observed historically.

During this period of time the fire also began to extend up the northern hillside north of the creek. The scarcity of fuels on this hillside limited the fire behavior, which consisted initially of spotting and isolated torching. Fire behavior became more severe as the forest became denser on top of the ridgeline and north of the deployment site.

Fire continued up canyon at 1.6 miles per hour (125 chains per hour) reaching the trailhead within approximately 1 hour.


**Factors Contributing to Fire Behavior on the Thirtymile Incident**

**Fuel Moistures**


All calculated fuel moistures were at or approaching historically low levels for July 10<sup>th</sup>. The 10-hour and 100-hour fuel moistures were at 3% and 5% respectively.

Calculated 1000-hour fuel moisture was at 10%. 1000-hour fuel moistures had been persistently

**Fire Behavior Figure 7. 10-Hour Fuel Moisture**




**Fire Behavior Figure 8. 100-Hour Fuel Moisture**




low since the end of April. The fact that the large diameter fuels were extremely dry is evidenced by nearly complete consumption of these fuels in many locations on the Thirtymile Fire.

**Fire Behavior Figure 9. 1000-Hour Fuel Moisture**



Live fuel moistures were calculated at 48%. Although the measurement of live fuel moisture is extremely difficult observations taken from in the vicinity indicate values of less than 100%, which are drier than normal for this time of the year. Foliar moisture content of conifer needles of less than 100% increased the likelihood of surface fire extending into the tree crowns and initiating torching.

**Fire Behavior Figure 10. Herbaceous Fuel Moisture**



**Fire Behavior Indices**

The two closest National Fire Danger Rating System Fire Weather Stations, First Butte (elevation 5,509 feet) and NCSB (elevation 1,697 feet), classified the fire danger as "Very High" on July 10, 2001.

The Energy Release Component (ERC) for First Butte (Fuel model G) is 71 and was close to the 97<sup>th</sup> percentile (71.75), the historical maximum for that day since records began in 1970. The ERC index describes the potential available energy per unit area in the flaming zone of the fire. Since April 14<sup>th</sup> the ERC at this station had been consistently approaching maximum levels except for 3 time periods following rainfall.


The Burning Index for First Butte (Fuel model G) was at 52 and approaching the 97<sup>th</sup> percentile. The Burning Index reflects the contribution that fire behavior makes to the amount of effort needed to contain a fire in a specified fuel type.

**Weather**


A 5-day period of poor nighttime relative humidity recovery preceding the deployment contributed to spotting and subsequent fire spread during the evening of July 9<sup>th</sup>. The chart in Figure 13 depicts relative humidity and temperature trends from the First Butte Lookout, located 12 miles south of the 30-mile fire at an elevation of 5,509 feet.

Critically low relative humidity (less than 10%) and high temperatures (daytime maximum of 94°F) in the Chewuch River Valley on the day of deployment raised the probability of ignition to

**Fire Behavior Figure 11. Energy Release Component**



**Fire Behavior Figure 12. The Burning Index**






100% and increased the potential for extreme fire behavior.

Fire induced winds became significant. The helicopter pilot on west side of the fire observed in drafts of 20 to 30 mph associated with fire activity at this time. Entrapped firefighters remarked

**Fire Behavior Figure 13. First Butte Lookout**  
 Temperature and Relative Humidity Observations July 7 - July 10, 2001



on high winds and the difficulty of keeping fire shelters in place.

On July 10 atmospheric conditions were conducive to the formation and vertical development of the fire columns. At Spokane, the mid-level Haines Index was a 6, the high level Haines index reached a 4 (predicted 3-4).

***Fuels on the Canyon Floor***

Abundant large diameter woody debris, much of it in advanced stages of decomposition, was present on the site and highly susceptible to ignition by firebrands. Extensive shrub and conifer reproduction was also present and contributed to the upward extension of surface fire into the tree crowns. Overall, the site could be characterized as Fuel Model 10. Fuel Models 8, 10, and 12 would, however, encompass the variability of fuel conditions present on the canyon floor site.

The forest on the canyon floor consisted of spruce, Douglas fir, lodgepole pine, and cottonwood. Spruce boughs extended within a few feet of the top of the surface fuels and increase the likelihood of surface fire extending into the tree crowns and initiating torching.

### ***Fuels on the Hill Slopes***

Forest vegetation was predominantly Douglas fir and lodgepole pine. Timbered areas were densely stocked, with branches extending downward within a few feet of the top of the surface fuels. Fuel Models 8 and 10 would encompass the variable fuel conditions present on this slope.

Vegetation on hillside north of the Chewuch River is sparse and composed of ponderosa pine and Douglas fir. Surface fuel models consist variously of grasses (FM 1 & 2), brush (FM 5 and 6), and woody fuels (FM 10). Numerous rockslides and scree slopes are present, breaking up the horizontal continuity of forest stands and surface fuels. Fuels and vegetation become more continuous near and along the top of the ridgeline.

### ***Fuels in the Entrapment Area***

The entrapment area had tightly spaced stand of conifers with extensive shrub and conifer reproduction. This situation contributed to initiation and establishment of torching run, which crossed the road entrapping the firefighters.

### ***Fuels in the Deployment Zone***

A lightly vegetated rockslide was located across the Chewuch River. A narrow band of conifers separated the rockslide from the river.

A scree slope and a lightly vegetated hillside were located immediately north of the road to the northwest of the deployment site. A timbered area (fuel model 10) was located immediately to the north and east of the deployment site and extended up canyon for several miles. The general nature of the deployment zone contributed to the survivability by 12 firefighters and 2 civilians. The scarcity of fuels on the hillside above the deployment site and on the non-forested rockslide to the south across the river limited the amount and duration of heat at the deployment site on the road. The narrow band of conifers on the south side of the river may have partially shielded firefighters on the road from the initial blast of heat from the fire.

The fuels in the immediate vicinity of the fatal deployment zone consisted of duff, litter, and rotten wood lying among the boulders and rocks of the scree slope. This material presumably was deposited there from the trees that grew next to this area. Other areas on the rockslide farther away from the trees and fatalities contained less of this duff and litter, although isolated large rotten logs were present, as evidenced by the burn patterns on the rockslide. A timbered area (fuel model 10) was located within 20 feet to the east of this site.

### ***Topography***

Topography of the canyon bottom was essentially level enabling initial dispersion of fire in several directions. The fire was positioned at the base of a steep (70%+) slope that enables the rapid, high intensity uphill movement of the fire south of the river. The orientation and narrowness of the river canyon tended to channel and focus up the canyon the diurnal and fire induced winds.

## Appendix: Weather

### Prior Conditions

Persistent high pressure over the eastern Pacific during the winter of 2000-2001 resulted in low snow pack and record low precipitation amounts over the Pacific Northwest. The winter of 2000-2001 was the second lowest precipitation producer in the past 30 years.

The precipitation amount at Winthrop, Washington, for the period of October 1, 2000 through June 30, 2001, was 6.87 inches or 57% of normal. In addition, the east slopes of the Cascades were in the third year of deficient precipitation.

Shown below are the precipitation values for the Winthrop area for the period October 2000 through June 2001.



Inches	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
<b>Actual</b>	1.04	1.18	1.12	0.49	0.71	1.02	0.39	0.12	0.80
<b>Normal</b>	0.83	1.86	2.44	1.99	1.40	0.89	0.72	0.96	1.01
<b>Departure</b>	0.21	-0.68	-1.32	-1.50	-0.69	0.13	-0.33	-0.84	-0.21


Afternoon temperatures at First Butte RAWS ranged from 73° to 87°F with minimum humidities from 9 to 20% the 5 days prior to the fire. The North Cascades Smokejumper Base was near 100° F with a minimum relative humidity of 9% the afternoon of July 9<sup>th</sup>. (See Weather: Attachment 1)

### Tuesday July 10, 2001

On July 10<sup>th</sup>, a persistent upper level trough remained over the off shore waters of the Pacific Northwest, while an upper level ridge continued over the south central United states. These features were producing south to southwest flow of air aloft over the Pacific Northwest. Embedded in this

Palmer Drought Index issued 7/14/01





southwest flow were weak disturbances moving northward from off the southern California coast and out of the desert southwest. These weak weather systems were responsible for the scattered thunderstorms that occurred as far north as central Oregon the afternoon of July 9<sup>th</sup>. During the afternoon of July 10<sup>th</sup>, infra-red satellite imagery showed that one disturbance, moving north from central Oregon out of an area of thunderstorm debris from the previous afternoon, had arrived over the area of the Thirtymile Fire.

At the surface, a thermally induced trough of low pressure had pushed northward into eastern Oregon and Washington. This hot and dry air mass was responsible for the 101° F high temperature and the minimum relative humidity of 4% at the North Cascades Smoke Jumper Base the afternoon of July 10<sup>th</sup>. Early morning observations from the fire site reported by the Entiat IHC indicated an overnight low temperature of 61° F and a maximum humidity recovery of 54%. Winds were calm overnight in the Chewuch River canyon floor. The 8:30 a.m. (PDT) observation from the Entiat IHC was 68° F, relative humidity 48%, and calm wind. The first observation from the NWR #6 Crew, taken at 12:20 p.m. (PDT) showed that the temperature had already climbed to 91° F and the relative humidity had dropped to 10%. The wind speeds had risen to 2-4 mph at that time. The last observation recorded by the NWR #6 crew was taken at

2:00 p.m. (PDT) with a temperature of 94°F, relative humidity 8%, and a wind speed of 2 mph. As the fire developed and smoke began to obscure the sun, later observations again taken by the Entiat IHC showed temperatures ranging from 86° to 90°F, with relative humidity 12 to 19%, and wind speeds from calm to 2-4 mph. (See Weather: Attachment 2)

The radiosonde upper air sounding from Spokane for the morning of July 10<sup>th</sup> indicated a surfaced based inversion extending to near 5000 feet mean sea level (MSL). The temperature for the atmosphere to become unstable and support convection was 95°F with a top to the lift near 40,000 feet MSL. To the north, at Kelowna, British Columbia, the convective temperature was 100°F with a top also near 40,000 feet MSL. Afternoon temperatures were forecast to be in the upper 80s to mid 90s (88°- 95°F) in Fire Weather Zone 685. Haines Indices generated from the Spokane morning sounding on July 10<sup>th</sup> indicated a mid-level value of 6 and a high level value of a 3 and 4. A mid level Haines Index of a 6 is very common for the months of July and August in eastern Washington. (See Attachment 3)

The Haines Index was devised by Donald Haines in the late 1980s as a national index for large fire growth based on the stability and moisture content of the lower atmosphere. The actual Haines Index is derived from twice-daily upper air soundings taken over the continental United States and Canada. Indices range from a 2, which indicates moist and stable air, to a 6, indicating dry and unstable air. Elevations range from a Low Level, approximately 2,000 to 5,000 feet above MSL, to a High Level at approximately 10,000 to 18,000 feet MSL. In recent years, computer models have been developed to generate forecast Haines Index values from several available weather computer models.

While winds along the Chewuch River canyon bottom remained light, ridge top 20 foot, 10 minute average winds at First Butte weather station (RAWS) were steady at 5 to 7 mph from the southwest to west with occasional winds from the south and northwest. Gusts reported by the RAWS site of 14 to 20 mph are 1 second instantaneous winds and not considered a true wind gust. Comparable 1 minute winds would be in the 9 to 11 mph range with possible gusts of 15 to 17 mph as derived from Wind Conversion Charts. These wind speeds correspond to those observed manually by lookouts at First Butte and Goat Peak during the afternoon of July 10<sup>th</sup>.

As the afternoon of July 10<sup>th</sup> progressed, the atmosphere over the area became unstable enough to support large column development. The morning upper air soundings from nearby Spokane, Washington, and Kelowna, British Columbia, indicated that the day was going to be unstable. During the afternoon, fair weather cumulus developed over the hills to the south and east of the fire and over the plains of southeast British Columbia as shown on visual satellite photos. The same time the smoke column from the Thirtymile Fire showed a marked increase in size and enhanced development, the fair weather cumulus over southeast British Columbia also enhanced. (See Figure 1 - Visual Satellite Image 11/0030z or 10/1730 PDT). Ten minutes later, two distinct plumes became evident from the fire. (See Figure 2 - Visual Satellite Image 11/0040Z or 10/1740 PDT). From individual testimony and video of the column it should be noted that two distinct counter-rotating columns were observed on the fire late in the afternoon. As previously



mentioned, infra-red satellite images showed the movement of a weak weather disturbance northward from central Oregon to over the Thirtymile fire during the afternoon of the July 10<sup>th</sup>.

This is evident from the observed northward movement of the debris clouds from the previous day's convection over central Oregon. Wind speeds over the fire site between 20,000 and 30,000 feet MSL increased by 20 to 30 knots as verified by the 11/00Z and 11/12Z sounding from Kelowna, British Columbia. The coincidental arrival of the weak weather disturbance from Oregon and the significant increase in the afternoon and evening wind speeds near the top of the column contributed to the already unstable air mass and possibly aided the fire's column development. (See Weather: Attachments 4 and 5.)

### **Summary**

The combination of near record low snow pack and lack of precipitation during the winter of 2000/2001 contributed significantly to the very dry fuel conditions present this year in the Chewuch River. By the 10<sup>th</sup> of July, near record temperatures, the long, dry days, an unstable atmosphere and a receptive fuel bed all combined to produce a plume dominated fire.






Photo by Sandor A. Feher, Winthrop, WA

**The Thirtymile Fire in the late afternoon (~ 5:30 p.m. PDT), July 10, 2001**



**Weather Appendix Attachment 1.**  
 RAWs Observations for First Butte and NCSB Sites



**NOTE:**



- NCSB is a Remote Automatic Weather Station at the North Cascade's Smoke Jumper Base, to the east of the town of Winthrop, WA.
- 1st Butte is a Remote Automated Weather Station near the 1st Butte Lookout to the southeast of the Fire Site.

**Weather Appendix Attachment 2.** Observations taken by the Entiat IHC and the NWR #6 Crews

Date/Time	Temperature	Relative Humidity	Eye Level Wind
Entiat IHC 10/0130	61	54%	Calm
10/0230	66	49%	Calm
10/0330	65	44%	Calm
10/0430	63	43%	Light Down Canyon
10/0630	62	48%	Calm
10/0730	65	42%	Calm
10/0830	68	48%	Calm
NWR#6 10/1220	91	10%	2-4G8 mph
10/1250	89	9%	2G8 mph
10/1400	94	8%	2G5 mph
Entiat IHC 10/1445	87	17%	Winds Missing
10/1515	86	16%	Southwest 1-2 mph
10/1545	88	12%	Northwest 2-4G6 mph
10/1615	87	13%	Northwest 2-4 mph Gust to 17 mph in River
10/1645	89	15%	Calm
10/1715	90	13%	Calm
10/1900	81	19%	Calm



- G = Gust

**Weather Appendix Attachment 3**  
Kelowna B. C. and Spokane, WA Upper Air Soundings








Weather Appendix Attachment 3 (continued)




**Weather Appendix Attachment 4**  
Upper Level Wind Speed Plot Kelowna, British Columbia, and Spokane, WA



**Weather Appendix Attachment 5**  
Visual Satellite Images



**Figure 1 - 07/11/0030Z or 07/10/1730 PDT**



**Figure 2 - 07/11/0040Z or 07/10/1740 PDT**



**Weather Appendix Attachment 6**  
Spot Forecast for Libby South Fire

SPOT FORECAST FOR LIBBY SOUTH FIRE.....DNR - NE  
ISSUED BY NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SPOKANE  
736 PM PDT MON JUL 9 2001

DISCUSSION...DRY WEATHER PATTERN WITH HOT AFTERNOON TEMPS AND LOW RELATIVE HUMIDITY WILL STAY PUT ACROSS THE BURN AREA THROUGH TUESDAY. EXPECT GUSTY AFTERNOON UPSLOPE-UPVALLEY WINDS FOLLOWED BY SOMEWHAT LIGHTER DOWNSLOPE-DOWNVALLEY WINDS AT NIGHT. POOR TO FAIR RELATIVE HUMIDITY RECOVERY CAN ALSO BE EXPECTED AT NIGHT.

FOR THIS EVENING

WEATHER.....PARTLY CLOUDY.  
CWR.....0%  
TEMPERATURE.....88-92  
HUMIDITY.....4%  
WIND...EYE LEVEL..SOUTH WIND 10 MPH GUSTS TO 17 MPH.

FOR OVERNIGHT

WEATHER.....MOSTLY CLEAR  
CWR.....0%  
TEMPERATURE.....MIN 57  
HUMIDITY.....MAX 55%  
WIND...EYE LEVEL..BECOMING NORTH 4-9 MPH AROUND 9PM.

OUTLOOK FOR TOMORROW

WEATHER.....PARTLY SUNNY.  
CWR.....0%  
TEMPERATURE.....MAX 98  
HUMIDITY.....MIN 10%  
WIND...EYE LEVEL..NORTH WIND 5-9 MPH BECOMING SOUTH 9-13 MPH  
BY AROUND 10AM WITH GUSTS IN THE AFTERNOON TO 17  
MPH.

Weather Appendix Attachment 7  
Spokane Fire Weather Forecasts for Zone 685

FIRE WEATHER FORECAST  
NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE SPOKANE WA  
830 AM PDT TUE JUL 10 2001

DISCUSSION...WILL BE ANOTHER HOT DAY TODAY WITH TEMPERATURE VERY SIMILAR TO YESTERDAY OR POSSIBLY A DEGREE OR TWO WARMER. CONTINUED DRY WITH MIN RELATIVE HUMIDITIES DOWN IN THE SINGLE DIGITS IN NUMEROUS LOCATIONS. MOISTURE OVER OREGON STILL WORKING ITS WAY NORTH AND THERE IS A QUESTION AS TO WHICH SIDE OF THE CASCADES IT WILL MOVE OVER. WILL KEEP A SLIGHT CHANCE IN THE SOUTHERN CASCADES FOR LATER THIS AFTERNOON. ANOTHER WAVE WILL MOVE NORTHEAST OVER EASTERN WASHINGTON AND THE IDAHO PANHANDLE TONIGHT AND WEDNESDAY MORNING. AGAIN ONLY SLIGHT CHANCES IN THE NORTHEAST AND NORTHERN IDAHO. THUNDERSTORMS AT THIS TIME LOOK WET AND SHOULD BE ACCOMPANIED WITH SOME GUSTY WINDS.

\*\*\*\*\*  
WIND FORECASTS ARE FOR 20 FOOT WIND SPEEDS (VALLEYS AND RIDGE TOPS) AND ARE 10 MINUTE AVERAGES REFLECTING RAW WINDS.  
\*\*\*\*\*

-----  
WAZ025>027-038-041>043-041530-  
SOUTHERN (Zones 675 and 680) CENTRAL (Zones 677 and 682) AND NORTHERN (Zones 684 and 685) DISTRICTS.  
-----

TODAY...  
SKY/WEATHER.....PARTLY SUNNY WITH A SLIGHT CHANCE OF SHOWERS OR THUNDERSTORMS SOUTH...ZONES 680 AND 675 BY AFTERNOON.  
TEMPERATURE.....MAX...88-95 VALLEYS AND 71-85 MOUNTAINS.  
HUMIDITY.....LITTLE CHANGE. MIN...8-20% VALLEYS AND 10-30% MOUNTAINS.  
WIND - 20 FOOT...  
SLOPE/VALLEY..SOUTHWEST TO NORTHWEST 5-15 MPH WITH GUSTS TO 20 MPH.  
RIDGE TOPS.....WEST WIND 6-12 MPH.  
CWR.....00%.  
LAL.....1/2  
HAINES INDEX.....3-4 LOW.

TONIGHT...  
SKY/WEATHER.....PARTLY CLOUDY WITH A SLIGHT CHANCE OF SHOWERS OR THUNDERSTORMS...ZONES 675, 677, 680 AND 682.  
TEMPERATURE.....MINS...54-64 VALLEYS AND 45-55 MOUNTAINS.  
HUMIDITY.....POOR TO FAIR RECOVERY. MAX...40-60% VALLEYS AND MOUNTAINS.  
WIND - 20 FOOT...  
SLOPE/VALLEY..NORTHWEST TO SOUTHWEST 5-15 MPH WITH LOCAL GUSTS TO 20 MPH.  
RIDGE TOPS.....WEST 8-15 MPH.  
CWR.....00%.  
LAL.....1/2  
HAINES INDEX.....3-4 LOW.

.WEDNESDAY...

SKY/WEATHER.....PARTLY SUNNY WITH A SLIGHT CHANCE OF SHOWERS OR THUNDERSTORMS...ZONES 675, 677, 680, AND 682.

TEMPERATURE.....DOWN 2-4. MAX...84-93 VALLEYS AND 70-83 MOUNTAINS.

HUMIDITY.....LITTLE CHANGE. MIN...8-20% VALLEYS AND 10-30% MOUNTAINS.

WIND - 20 FOOT...

SLOPE/VALLEY..SOUTHWEST TO NORTHWEST 5-15 MPH WITH GUST TO 20 MPH.

RIDGE TOPS.....SOUTHWEST WIND 6-12 MPH.

CWR.....00%.

LAL.....1/2

HAINES INDEX.....3-4 LOW.

-----  
3-5 DAY EXTENDED FORECAST...THURSDAY THROUGH SATURDAY- ALL DISTRICTS: PARTLY CLOUDY EACH DAY. A SLIGHT CHANCE OF SHOWERS OR THUNDERSTORMS OVER THE CASCADES AND BASIN THURSDAY. OVER THE NORTHEAST AND IDAHO A CHANCE OF SHOWERS AND THUNDERSTORMS EACH DAY. LOWS IN THE 40S AND 50S. HIGHS 75-95. DIURNAL WINDS WITH BREEZY DOWNVALLEY EVENING WINDS IN THE CASCADE DISTRICTS.  
-----

6 TO 10 DAY OUTLOOK: SUNDAY JULY 15 THROUGH THURSDAY JULY 19:  
NEAR NORMAL TEMPERATURES AND ABOVE NORMAL PRECIPITATION.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*

## Appendix: Human Factors Analysis

The investigation team utilized the Human Factors Analysis and Classification System (HFACS) to assess the impact of human factors issues on the performance of the personnel and management throughout the Thirtymile Fire incident. The results of this analysis are presented below.

### 1. Sensory and Perceptual Factors

There were clear indicators throughout this incident of individuals with low situational awareness, possibly exacerbated by sensory or perceptual factors listed below.

- Misjudgment of distance or time (expectation of rate of fire movement): For most of the day on July 10, the fire had moved relatively slowly with a few dramatic runs up the sides of the canyon. This may have led the entrapped crew at the deployment site into assuming that they would see the fire coming at the same rate they had all day. This might help explain how they were taken by surprise when the burnover occurred, even though they had over 45 minutes to prepare for a possible deployment.
- Impaired visual cues: Mountainous terrain, curves in the road, a relatively tall and dense canopy, as well as the ever-present smoke, made visual tracking of the fire's progress difficult. Eventually, the smoke would prevent the air attack from identifying the eventual deployment site, further complicating the challenge.
- Geographic disorientation: Some of the crew did not know that the Chewuch River Road was a dead end road.
- Attention management: There were three major and distinct attention management issues.
  - Fire management personnel were "surprised" by the explosive fire behavior in a riparian area. This occurred despite known 1000 hour fuel levels, temperatures at historic highs and relative humidity at historic lows
  - District and Forest personnel were not continuously aware of the changing nature of the fire throughout the day on July 10<sup>th</sup>.
  - The crew was not kept aware of the trainer/trainee relationship at any given time during the day, resulting in confusion as to who was acting in what roles. This may partially explain the IC's difficulties at the eventual deployment site.
  - At the deployment site, the crew's attention was "turned inward." The time and attention of experienced crewmembers was channelized almost exclusively on two non-fire issues. The first was keeping less experienced crewmembers calm and the second was the low key conflict between the IC/Crew Boss and two squad bosses about coming down out of the rocks. This occupied the crew leadership and lowered the vigilance level as the

fire approached and prevented any serious preparation from being accomplished at the site.

## 2. Medical and Physiological Factors

The single overwhelming physiological factor that impacted upon this mishap was fatigue caused by sleep deprivation. Recent research (Lamond and Dawson, 1998) points out that the loss of even a single night's sleep (25.1 hours of wakefulness) impairs decision-making and vigilance to levels comparable to a blood alcohol content of .10, suggesting that "moderate levels of sustained wakefulness produce performance (impairment) equivalent to or greater than those observed at levels of alcohol intoxication deemed unacceptable when driving, working, or operating dangerous equipment."

This may help explain a series of uncharacteristic lapses in judgment and the multiple violations of the 10 Standard Fire Orders and the 18 situations that shout "Watch Out" The investigation team attempted to reconstruct the sleep histories of key personnel on this incident. The team forwarded these data to Dr. James Miller of the USAF Warfighter Fatigue Countermeasures team at Brooks Air Force Base, where he ran the sleep data through a quantitative analysis to predict cognitive capabilities throughout the period of the mishap sequence. The report is attached to this HF analysis and some key considerations follow:

- DFMO: At the key point where the DFMO escorts and inbriefs NWR #6 at Action 103 (when they took the fire from the Entiat IHC), he has had less than 30 minutes sleep in the previous 24 hours (with little more than that the previous night). He remains unaware of the observation by the initial attack crew the night before that this fire would not be held and would "hit the ridge and get large." He considers the fire "basically a mop-up show." Due to his high fatigue level, the District Ranger orders him to get some rest, and he is therefore out of the loop for several key hours as events unravel later in the day.
- Entiat IHC Superintendent: When the Entiat IHC arrive at the Twisp Ranger station on the night of 9 July, they are at the end of a 30-hour period of little or no sleep. After bedding down for less than 30 minutes (no sleep), they are directed to the Thirtymile Fire where they work throughout the night. After being relieved by NWR #6 and bedding down a second time, they are awakened again after less than one hour and asked to return. The key here is that the Entiat IHC Superintendent's (Marshall Brown's) large fire experience and considerable judgment are incapacitated at this critical point by nearly 50 hours with little or no sleep. He is offered and declines incident command citing the complexity of the fire, but his interview made it clear that he felt that neither he nor his crew were in any condition to reengage the fire at this point. This makes the coordination and execution of the rescue operations at 1800 that night all the more impressive.
- NWR #6 Crew: On average, the NWR #6 crew may have gotten one to three hours of sleep while driving to the incident the night prior to the mishap. Most of the crew received the phone call around midnight the previous night and the crew



did not begin the drive to the Okanogan until after 4 a.m. on July 10<sup>th</sup>. They admit to being excited about the assignment with some crewmembers reporting no sleep and others periodic rest during the drive. None of this could be considered quality sleep. Once again, the effects on performance involve the key areas of decisiveness and vigilance, possibly shedding some light on the actions of the crew.

### 3. Knowledge and Skill

Although documentation was inadequate to prove the training levels of all crewmembers was complete; knowledge and skill did not seem to play a significant role, with two notable exceptions.

- Wildland fire inexperience of Crew Boss/IC trainee: Several crewmembers expressed discomfort with the "fast tracking" of the crew boss trainee. In news releases after the event, he was quoted as saying he had "never lost a fire" and that "the hair on the back of his neck never stood up." In contrast other more experienced firefighters reported that they "knew we were in a Watch Out situation."
- Fire Shelter Deployment Irregularities: Mishap site analysis determined that several crewmembers did not follow procedure while deploying their fire shelter by (1) taking their packs inside the shelter, and (2) not deploying in the preferred position. This may have been a result of the relatively sudden onset of the blowup.

### 4. Mission Factors

There were several mission factors that contributed to the decisions leading up to the entrapment.

- Dispatch and Forest Management communications: The mishap investigation team was unable to completely document the flow of communication from the fire scene to District and Forest management. These critical pieces of information included:
  - The nighttime situation report from initial attack crew (Laughman) that the fire would grow and "we would not contain it."
  - The time lag between the first request for aviation support (0215) and the initial dispatch inquiry regarding the allowable use of the helicopter using the Chewuch River as a dip site.
  - General information regarding the deteriorating fire behavior and conditions.
  - How and why Engine 701 gets dispatched to the fire line in the afternoon.
- Lack of shared understanding of appropriate fire strategy and tactics: This led to a somewhat ill-defined strategy of "keeping the fire east of the road if you can." Eventually, (due in part to significant curves in the road, which should have been obvious to local officials or anyone consulting a map) this put the NWR #6 crew in front of the main body of a moving fire that was heading directly towards the

one and only escape route. In effect, this was an entrapment by design, but one that might have been avoided if there was a shared understanding of what was being attempted with the roadside strategy.

## 5. Personalities and Safety Attitudes

Safety is a stated core value in USDA Forest Service Fire and Aviation Management operations. This investigation found no intentional or flagrant disregard of safety standards. However, in a mishap where the vast majority of the standing Fire Orders were violated and all but a few "Situations that Shout Watch Out" were present, one must question the field level understanding or commitment to the stated core value. One crewmember, when asked about the apparent apathy towards the guidelines, responded, "everyone knows that these things (Fire Orders) are just guidelines and can't always be followed." This appears to be a good distance away from the stated management philosophy that "we don't bend them and we don't break them."

- Contrasting personality traits: Crewmember testimony indicates that the IC was not a forceful leader and that may have impeded his ability to command the situation at the deployment site, especially when contrasted with the personality traits of the crew boss trainee and some of the squad bosses on site, who were described as more charismatic and outgoing.
- Sociological factors (clustering at the deployment site): Interviews with key survivors of the deployment indicate that those who deployed in the rock scree area reconfigured to District affiliations (friends that they knew and trusted) even though they were technically assigned to different squads. This is common behavior in the early stages of group assimilation and likely accounts for the failure of some members who were fatally injured to respond to the direction of the Crew Boss to "come down out of the rocks."

## 6. Risk Management

This entire event was characterized by ineffective risk management, specifically an underestimation of the risks associated with working in front of a moving fire on a day with historic high energy release components, high temperatures, low humidity, and unstable atmospheric conditions. No one associated with this fire gave it the respect it was due.

## 7. Communications and Crew Coordination

Communication and crew coordination were hampered at multiple levels throughout this incident. Failure to conduct briefings at key points – particularly after the final break at the lunch spot and after arrival at the eventual deployment site – as well as a lack of assertiveness by individuals who did sense the dangerous conditions characterized the poor crew coordination in this situation.

The charts on the following pages highlight fatigue levels of selected individuals.

### District Fire Manager Officer (FMO)


Schedule start: 00:00, 7 July 2001. Assume normal sleep through 06:00, 7 July.

Estimated sleep periods for nights beginning:

- July 7: unknown
- July 8: 00:00-0:400
- July 9: 04:30-05:00 "cat nap"

On 10 July 2001, predicted cognitive effectiveness was:

- 08:00-12:00: 67%
- 12:00-16:00: 65%



### Entiat IHC Superintendent


Schedule start: 00:00, 7 July 2001. Assume normal sleep through 06:00, 8 July.

Estimated sleep periods for nights beginning:

- July 8: 2200-0200
- July 9: no sleep
- July 10: 0930-1100 nap

On 10 July 2001, predicted cognitive effectiveness was:

- 12:00-16:00: 69%



### NWR-6 Crew


Schedule start: 00:00, 7 July 2001. Assume normal sleep through 06:00, 9 July.

Estimated sleep periods for nights beginning:

- July 9: 2200-0000

On 10 July 2001, predicted cognitive effectiveness was:

- 08:00-12:00: 80%
- 12:00-16:00: 78 %



## Appendix: Equipment

The analysis of the personal protective equipment used in the area of the fire shelter deployment was accomplished by thoroughly examining the entire deployment area, describing, photographing and retaining the items found, and mapping the area using GPS. Temperatures were estimated by comparing the condition of items found to known effects of temperatures on various materials.

The area of the deployment is divided into two sites for the purposes of this report. The upper deployment area was located on a jumbled rock scree slope, 96 feet above the road. The slope between the road and the deployment site is 25%. The slope changes to 45-55% immediately uphill from where the deployment occurred. Rocks in the area ranged from 6 inches to many feet in diameter. Six firefighters, including the four fatality victims deployed shelters at this location. Two firefighters left their shelters after 2-5 minutes, one moving to a van on the road and the other moving to the river. Both survived, though one was severely burned.

The lower deployment area includes the dirt road along which 10 of the 16 entrapped people deployed fire shelters. Eight firefighters employed by the USDA Forest Service and two civilians, also trapped by the fire, survived the burnover in fire shelters deployed in this area. The civilians shared a single fire shelter with a firefighter deployed next to the road. The Chewuch River runs alongside this portion of the road, separated over most of the length of the deployment area by a small backwater and a sandbar. The van in which the firefighters arrived was parked at the edge of the road, on the side of the road closest to the river. The pickup truck driven by the two civilians was, at the time of the deployment, parked on the road at the upcanyon edge of the deployment area.

### ***The Upper Deployment Area***

#### ***Fire Shelters***

The fire shelters were examined as they were found at the deployment site. It was not possible to identify with certainty the shelter used by each person who deployed in this area due to the circumstances involved in handling the fatalities. Only the shelter used by Thom Taylor was identified with certainty.

Five of the six fire shelters in the upper deployment area were seriously damaged, showing severe delamination. Conditions of the material indicate that four of the six shelters were exposed to temperatures in excess of 1200° F. Two of these shelters show damage indicating temperatures of at least 1600° F. These temperatures far exceed the protective ability of the fire shelter. Only a small piece of the fifth shelter was present for examination. The condition of this shelter indicated temperatures in the range of 500-800+° F.

The sixth shelter found in the upper deployment site was mostly intact. Conditions indicated temperatures generally less than 500° F. Dark brown scorch of the fiberglass under a hole located at the head end of the shelter indicated temperatures of at least 600° F at that location.



Given the relative lack of damage to this shelter and the presence of melted nylon or plastic at the foot end, it is believed that Thom Taylor used this shelter, since Taylor's pack was located immediately at the foot end of his shelter and was severely burned. Taylor escaped after several minutes to the backwater immediately below the road. He survived with minor burns.

### ***Line Gear Packs***

Six line gear packs were found at locations identified as LG#1-LG#6 on Equipment Map # 2. All line gear packs in this deployment area were destroyed. Line Gear Pack #6 was located in the deployment site used by Tom Craven.

### ***Tools***

Two shovels with burned out handles were found in the upper deployment area. (SH1 and SH2 on Equipment Map #2).

### ***Discussion of Upper Deployment Area***

- All six of the firefighters who deployed in the upper deployment site deployed on large jumbled rocks 6" to 3' in diameter. Thom Taylor and Jason Emhoff deployed in the rocks immediately uphill from the deceased. Both left their shelters after a few minutes and moved toward the river and road respectively. Both survived. There is evidence, based on interviews with these two individuals that the four fatality victims had already succumbed when Taylor and Emhoff left the area. This would imply that though the 6 firefighters were deployed very close to one another, the microsites where the two survivors deployed experienced less severe conditions than did those of the four fatality victims. Whatever protection Taylor and Emhoff had during the first few minutes after deployment, it allowed them to survive the initial lethal conditions so they could then escape to more moderate conditions on the road and in the river.
- The coroner reported that all four fatality victims died of asphyxia due to inhalation of superheated products of combustion. Thermal injuries were considered postmortem in nature.
- Due to the severe damage caused by the fire, it was impossible to determine the presence and prior condition of the personal protective equipment (PPE) worn by Jessica Johnson, Tom Craven and Karen FitzPatrick. Devin Weaver's PPE appears to have been appropriate and approved equipment. It is clear that the personal protective equipment used by three of the four fatality victims and the fire shelters used by all four victims were subjected to extreme temperatures and significant direct flame exposure, conditions that far exceed the design limitations of these products. The condition of Devin Weaver's clothing indicate that though the temperatures to which he was exposed were lethal, they were far lower than those to which the other three victims were exposed. It is possible that a large rock, immediately downhill from the site where Devin Weaver deployed offered some protection from the extreme levels of convective heat coming from below. However, holes between the rocks at his deployment site passed directly through to the unprotected area immediately downhill. Superheated gases may have passed directly into his shelter from below.

- Four of the six people who deployed in this area dropped their packs well below the deployment site. Tom Craven took his pack into his shelter and was likely exposed to extremely high temperatures when fuses inside the pack ignited. Fuses burn initially at 3500 ° F and then continue to burn at 1700 ° F. Thom Taylor left his pack outside, but adjacent to his own shelter. The heat from his fuses igniting and from his pack burning may have resulted in an increased heat load impacting Thom Taylor's shelter.
- Thom Taylor's use of gloves and a face/neck shroud protected him during the deployment and during his move from the deployment site to the river. Without gloves and a face/neck shroud, Jason Emhoff was exposed directly to severe heat conditions and suffered serious burn injuries. Use of these articles of personal protective equipment would have reduced the severity of his burns.
- Examination of an intact line gear pack after the event yielded 2 extra pairs of leather gloves. Firefighters should ensure that all personnel have necessary PPE. If not, efforts should be made to find equipment by asking other firefighters for extras.
- Rocky areas can be adequate deployment sites and have offered survivable deployment sites in past entrapments, but firefighters should be made aware of potential problems that can occur when deploying in rock:
  - It was apparent from discussions with Thom Taylor and Jason Emhoff, and from observations made at the site that it can be very difficult to obtain a seal with the edge of the fire shelter in large jumbled rocks such as those present at the deployment site. Firefighters must be warned that deployment on even surfaces can provide a better seal for the fire shelter.
  - Significant levels of downed fuel were present among the rocks at the upper deployment site and led to high convective heat loads when this fuel burned. Standing timber immediately below and immediately upcanyon from the deployment site created high levels of convective and radiant heat on the deployment site when they burned. Firefighters must be reminded to avoid all types of fuel, even when deploying in a rockslide.

### ***The Lower Deployment Area***

The ten people who deployed on or next to the road survived the burnover in fire shelters. They moved to the river and sandbar after the hottest part of the fire had moved through the area.

### ***Fire Shelters***

The fire shelters that were found on the road and in the river had all been deployed on or next to the road. Except in two cases, it was not possible to identify the shelter used by each individual since the shelters were moved more than once after the deployment took place. The individuals that used two shelters were identified by comparing the damage to the shelters with the experiences reported by those involved.

Five of the eight shelters deployed in the lower deployment site had little or no heat damage, indicating temperatures of <500° F. These shelters were all deployed on the road surface and had little or no direct flame contact. Three shelters did suffer minor to moderate heat damage.

Shelter #8 on Map #2 was used by Rebecca Welch (Deployment Site 9), Bruce Hagemeyer (Deployment Site 15) and Paula Hagemeyer (Deployment Site 16). It was deployed just off the road in brushy fuels. This shelter had delamination and torn foil damage on one side and on the foot end of the shelter. Temperatures in the area of the damage are estimated to have been 600-700° F. The heat damage to this shelter was caused by direct flame contact, which resulted from deployment next to brushy fuels. Tears present in the floor seams were likely caused by being pulled tightly over three people.

Shelter #11 on Map #3 was used by Matthew Rutman (Deployment Site 13). This shelter was deployed between two line gear packs that had been dropped by other firefighters. The shelter was exposed to direct flame when line gear packs adjacent to shelter ignited. Nylon residue was found on both sides of the shelter. Delamination and torn foil damage to the shelter resulted from direct flame contact with the burning packs. Temperatures in the area of the damage are estimated to have been 600-700° F.

The final shelter deployed in the lower area showed some brown scorch on the sod cloth of the shelter. It appeared as if the shelter had been deployed directly on top of some fuel, which ignited and caused the minor scorch damage. It is not known who deployed this shelter or at which specific deployment site (in the lower deployment area) it was deployed.

### ***Discussion of Lower Deployment Area***

- All but two people discarded their packs prior to entering their shelters. Of the six packs that were discarded, 3 burned and 3 were mostly intact after the fire passed. Neither of the packs that were worn inside the shelters ignited, but both had fuses inside them that could have proven fatal had ignition occurred. It is recommended that firefighters be reminded of the importance of discarding packs with fuses prior to deploying a fire shelter.
- While six firefighters did discard their packs, some of these packs were left so close to the deployment sites they caused damage to at least one fire shelter and led to second degree burns on one individual when they ignited. Firefighters should be reminded of the importance of leaving packs well away from the deployment area.
- The road area was an effective deployment site. Temperatures in this area were less than 500° F. The presence of some intact polyvinyl bags on the road surface indicate that in some areas temperatures were less than 280°F, at least at ground level. Those firefighters who deployed in the road had a much less severe exposure to heat and flames than did those who deployed near brush and fuels at the edge of the road. Firefighters should be reminded of the importance of deploying shelters away from fuels of all kinds.
- Four of the eight people who deployed on the road had not pulled the tabs on their fire shelter bags half-way down prior to the deployment as was directed in a safety alert


issued in spring, 2001. One person (whose tabs **were** pulled prior to deployment) did tear a tab while opening the fire shelter bag. However, they had no further trouble opening the shelter with their gloved hands. It is clear that some people are not receiving or following the information in the safety alert that calls for all tabs to be pulled down half way until shelters can be rebagged with the improved bag system. Managers must ensure that firefighters receive important safety information.

### **Conclusions**

- Face and neck shrouds offered protection to some of the firefighters involved in the deployment and reduced the severity of burns for one firefighter. Requiring shrouds as mandatory personal protective equipment for wildland firefighters could help prevent burns during escape or entrapment. Training in the use of shrouds is imperative so that personnel understand that they are to be used *only* in escape and deployment situations.
- Fire shelters used by people deployed on and near the road prevented serious burns and deaths. Fire shelters also allowed two individuals to survive the initial lethal conditions in the upper deployment area so they could then escape to more moderate conditions on the road and in the river. Though fire shelters performed as designed – as a reflector of radiant heat – they failed to adequately protect the four fatality victims from severe flame and convective heat contact. Development of a fire shelter that can better withstand flame contact must continue per the established protocol and timeline, (i.e. field use by 2003).
- It is critically important to avoid rocky areas near timber or other flammable fuels or where there is downed fuel among the rocks.
- Personnel should be cautioned that deploying shelters in rocks can sometimes make it difficult to obtain a seal to prevent heat, embers and smoke from entering the shelter. Personnel should be advised that an even surface such as a road will provide for a better seal than will an uneven surface.
- The importance of wearing gloves during deployment should be reinforced during fire shelter training.
- Fire shelter training should recommend deployment in flat areas rather than sloped areas if possible. Though it is not possible to describe every deployment situation, flat areas will tend to provide better protection from radiant and convective heat, all other conditions being equal. Training should be provided for known circumstances where this rule is not valid. All recommendations for avoiding draws, chimneys, saddles, fuels, and snags still apply.
- Personnel should be trained to use all available time if they are entrapped and cannot escape.
  - Shelters should be out and ready for deployment. Do not wait until the last minute.
  - Ensure that everyone has appropriate PPE for deployment, which includes: fire shelter, gloves, hardhat, boots, nomex, face and neck shroud. If any individual is


missing PPE, find replacement equipment; i.e. ask all other personnel for extra equipment.

- Identify deployment sites in advance; making sure everyone is aware of the location. Prepare the deployment site by clearing away fuels, burning out, etc. Entrapments do not often allow ample time to prepare for shelter deployment. If entrapped, use every available moment to make preparations for shelter deployment.
- Reinforce the need to place packs away from the deployment area. Packs may burn and expose shelters to both radiant and convective heat. Packs may also contain flammable and combustible materials that can be extremely dangerous both inside and outside of a fire shelter.
- Fire shelter training needs to be of the highest quality to stress the importance of this critical piece of safety equipment. Use of shelter training materials in the form of videos, brochures and pamphlets should be mandatory. Issue pamphlets to each firefighter during refresher training.
- Fire shelter training must be provided for all personnel and management should document how and when it was accomplished as well as the methods used.
- All fireline-qualified personnel should perform the 5 training scenarios as outlined in the training materials. These must be completed at least once before a fire assignment.
- Crews should carry 2-3 extra sets of PPE and shelters to be prepared in case equipment is lost or people arrive without needed equipment. Equipment should be stored in suppression vehicles (engines and crew carriers.)
- Discuss actions in the event of the arrival of unequipped personnel and/or civilians.
- Management must ensure that all personnel receive Safety Alerts and, if required, complete any action necessary to comply with the Safety Alert.
- A power point program should be developed that can be utilized for fire shelter training. The program would be web-based and would be located on the Safety and Health Working Team web site. It should present:
  - The most current information on fire shelters
  - Any current fire shelter issues
  - National statistics on shelter deployments and fatalities



Equipment Appendix Map 1. The Deployment Area






**Equipment Appendix Map 2. Upper Deployment Area**

Deployment sites: (\* Fatality)

1. Karen FitzPatrick\*
2. Devin Weaver\*
3. Jessica Johnson\*
4. Tom Craven\*
5. Jason Emhoff (initial deployment site, later moved to 5a on Map #3)
6. Thom Taylor (initial deployment site, later moved to 6a on Map #3)



Equipment Appendix Map 3. Lower Deployment Area

Deployment Sites:

- 5a. Jason Emhoff location after moving from Upper Deployment site
- 6a. Thom Taylor Location after moving from Upper Deployment site
- 7. Beau Clark
- 8. Scott Scherzinger
- 9. Rebecca Welch
- 10. Nick Dreis
- 11. Armando Avila
- 12. Elaine Hurd
- 13. Matthew Rutman
- 14. Ellreese Daniels
- 15. Paula Hagemeyer
- 16. Bruce Hagemeyer

## Appendix: Qualifications

An analysis of the qualifications of the key personnel on the Thirtymile Fire was conducted based on a review of training records, class rosters, and annual reports. The following are the qualifications based on this analysis.

1. Between 1993 and May 2000 the Okanogan and Wenatchee National Forests followed NWCG PMS 310-1 as a guide for determining what formal training was needed. PMS 310-1 is less stringent than is the revised Forest Service Handbook 5109.17 (May 2000 edition) for fire training.
  - In order to become certified under this system, employees must complete "required" courses before consideration for certifying new ICS qualifications.
  - "Suggested" courses were not required for certification of qualifications.
  - Under this system there were no formal classes required for an advanced fire fighter (e.g., Squad Boss). Cravens, Taylor, and Kampen did not have S-211 (Water Use and Pumps) but were certified since S-211 was not required when they achieved their Squad Boss qualification.
2. After May 2000, the Wenatchee began implementing the revised FSH 5109.17.
  - Individual qualification reviews applying new requirements in revised FSH 5109.17, versus previous qualifications granted under PMS 310-1, have not been done.
  - The now required courses in revised FSH 5109.17 have not been formally waived to maintain individual qualifications gained under PMS 310-1.
3. The Wenatchee National Forest issued a taskbook for an ICS position after all formal required courses were completed.
  - In Pete Kampen's case, he was issued a taskbook before revised FSH 5109.17 was initiated. Kampen retained his taskbook and had trainee assignments while completing the formal training now required under revised FSH 5109.17.
4. Daniels took S-230 Crew Boss and completed his taskbook while on detail to the Redmond IHC in 1988.
5. Because the Lake Leavenworth Ranger District does not keep training records on temporary employees, they have been relying on class rosters and employee personal records to document training.

## Northwest Regulars #6 Qualifications

Name	Assignment	Completed Required Training for Incident Position?	Completed Refresher or Basic 32 Hour Course?	Completed Work Capacity Training?
Ellreese Daniels	Crew Boss	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Pete Kampen (1)	Crew Boss (T)	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Nick Dreis	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Elaine Hurd	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Armando Avila	Firefighter/Squad Boss (T)	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Brian Schexnayder	Squad Boss	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Thom Taylor	Squad Boss	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Mathew Rutman	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Donica Watson	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Emily Hinson	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Dewane Anderson	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Tom Craven	Squad Boss	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Jason Emhoff	Firefighter/EMT	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Jodie Tate	Firefighter/EMT	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Karen FitzPatrick	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Beau Clark	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Scott Scherzinger	Firefighter	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Devin Weaver	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes
Marshall Wallace	Firefighter	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Jessica Johnson	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Rebecca Welch	Firefighter	Yes	Jun-01	Yes

Notes:  
(1) Kampen was qualified as a Squad boss under PMS 310-1 in 1996. The Wenatchee Red Card Committee qualified Kampen as a Crew Boss trainee in May 2000, however the qualifications system changed in May 2000. Kampen kept his trainee status and was scheduled to complete the new required courses. Of the 9 required courses, 8 were completed. S-234 (Ignition Operations) overlapped with another training detail and was not completed prior to the incident.

## Entiat IHC Qualifications

Name	Assignment	Completed Required Training for Incident Position?	Completed Refresher or Basic 32?	Completed WCT?
Marshall Brown	Superintendent/Night IC	Yes	Mar-01	Yes
Jason Lambert	Squad Boss	Yes	May-01	Yes
Chris Sotherlund	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Ellis Thompson	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Kyle Cannon	Asst. Superintendent	Yes	Mar-01	Yes
Jamie Sarabia	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Josh Verellen	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Jeff Steele	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Matt Kennedy	Squad Boss	Yes	May-01	Yes
Kristian Mattson	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Derrek Birks	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Isaac Palmer	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Mike Pipgras	Squad Boss	Yes	May-01	Yes
Zach Gormely	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Alisha Glaesermann	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Kory Mattson	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Ben Spies	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Taylor Wimberley	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Amy Cram	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes
Kerry Kelly	Firefighter	Yes	May-01	Yes

## Methow Valley District Personnel Qualifications

Name	Assignment	Completed Required Training for Incident Position?	Completed Refresher or Basic 32?	Completed WCT?
Pete Soderquist	District FMO	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Barry George	Asst. District FMO	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Jack Ellinger	Suppressn. Spec./Duty Officer	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Harry Dunn	Engine Supervisor	Yes	Apr-01	Yes
Dave Laughman	Engine Supervisor	Yes	May-01	Yes
Tim Schmekel	IA Crew Boss/IA ICT4	Yes	Apr-01	Yes