Chapter 5 – Appendices

A. Acronyms

ACS – Aquatic Conservation Strategy

AMA – Adaptive Management Area

ATM – Access and Travel Management

BO – Biological Opinion

BPA – Bonneville Power Administration

CEQ – Council on Environmental Quality

CHU – critical habitat unit

CWD – coarse woody debris

dbh – diameter at breast height

DNR – Department of Natural Resources

EA – Environmental Assessment

EO – Executive Order

EUI – Ecological Unit Inventory

FEIS – Final Environmental Impact Statement

FSR - Forest Service Road

HPA – hydraulic project approval

KV – Knudson-Vandenberg

LRMP – Land and Resource Management Plan

LSR – Late Successional Reserve

LWD – large woody debris

MOA – Memorandum of Agreement

MOU – Memorandum of Understanding

MIS – Management Indicator Species

NEPA – National Environmental Policy Act

NMFS – National Marine Fisheries Service

NOAA – National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

NWFP - Northwest Forest Plan

OAHP – Office of Archeology and Historic Preservation

OHV - Off Highway Vehicle

OSHA – Occupational Safety and Health Administration

PBO – Programmatic Biological Opinion

REO – Regional Ecosystem Office

RM – River mile

ROD - Record of Decision

SHPO – State Historic Preservation Office

spp. - species

TES – Threatened and Endangered Species

USDA – United States Department of Agriculture

USDI – United States Department of the Interior

USFS – United States Forest Service

WDFW – Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife

WEPP – Water Erosion Prediction Project

B. Riparian Buffer Guidelines

General Guidelines for riparian buffers on streams and wetlands

Riparian buffers are prescribed No-Cut / No entry areas. This, however, does not necessarily eliminate hanging lines if needed for cable logging systems. Buffer distances are delineated below.

Fish bearing streams: 100' from the steam bank edge or slope break, whichever is greater.

Significant non-fish bearing streams: 66' (1 chain) from the steam bank edge or slope break, whichever is greater.

Minor non-fish bearing streams that are > 10 ' wide: 33 ' (1/2 chain) from the steam bank edge or the slope break, whichever is greater.

Minor non-fish bearing streams that are < 10 ' wide: trees sufficient to maintain shade or the slope break, whichever is greater.

Stream bank edge is considered to be the defined break at edge of stream that has upland type vegetation, such as hardwoods and conifer (not shrubs).

Slope Break is defined as significant gradient change, in many cases a change in vegetation from 70% - 100% hardwoods to 70% - 100% conifers. Boundary tags at the slope break should be placed on first solid line of conifers back from break.

Unit number	Recommended site-specific stream-side no-cut buffer designations	Comments
8	100' or slope break of unnamed fish tributary	The west side slope has inner gorge failures.
9	100' along Bear Creek and unnamed tributary. Include riparian restoration plots in stream buffer.	
16	100' or slope break. Where slope is long and consists of multiple breaks, use 100' no-cut buffer, and watch for old inner gorge failures	
17	100' or slope break. Where slope is long and consists of multiple breaks, use 100' no-cut buffer, and watch for old inner gorge failures	
	66' A small stream flows to unit 19. Locate a no-cut buffer between creek and 3000300 spur.	
18	100' or slope break. Where slope is long and consists of multiple breaks use 100' no-cut buffers; watch for old inner gorge failures.	
19	100' or slope break. Where slope is long and consists of multiple breaks use 100' no-cut buffers; watch for old inner gorge failures. Also apply to mid-unit stream to the 15-foot bedrock falls above the 30 Rd due to future potential fish usage.	
	66' for the small stream in mid-unit that may be fish-bearing in the lower portion.	

Unit number	Recommended site-specific stream-side no-cut buffer designations	Comments
22	100' or slope break.(whichever is greater) for fish-bearing stream.	
26	66'	The old road crosses dry channel, which does not appear to have surface flow.
27	No harvest between PUD road and creek	No harvest area may already be buffered out.
33	100' along Deep Creek mainstem (fish-bearing)	
	33' along small tributaries below the 30 road	There is an old failed crossing.
34	100' along the Deep Creek mainstem	
	33' along small tributaries	
35	100' along Deep Creek mainstem	
	66' or slope break	2 small tributaries cross and join below the 30 Rd
36	100' along Deep Creek mainstem	
	33' back from slope break; include at least 2 rows conifer in no-cut buffer	
37	33' Buffer the small stream east corner to maintain shading and incorporate some conifers.	
38, 39	66' for portions below the 30 Rd. For the debris flow stream, back off slope break and include at least 2 rows of conifer.	
39	Measure 66' back from first line of conifers for portion of unit above the 30 Road.	
	Measure 33' back from the first line of conifers or alders in area below the 30 Road	
40	33' for the portion of the unit above the 30 road. For lower part of the unit, buffer 33' upland of overflow channel for the main creek.	
	66' above road, part accessed off 3000490 road	
	33' along small streams off 3000490 spurs	
41	66' above the 30 road, if not already buffered	

Unit number	Recommended site-specific stream-side no-cut buffer designations	Comments
	66' below road along first large tributary	
44	slope break above first large tributary	
	slope break along the south side of the West Twin river	
	100' along the north side of the West Twin River	
45	100' along the West Twin River. If stand is similar to the southern part of Unit 44 (i.e., very dense conifers), then thin to the break.	
45	33' along small tributary at the 30 road	
	33' along large tributary below 30 road. Where PUD road parallels the creek, use slope break.	
47	slope break or 66' along large tributary (above road)	
	100' or slope break along the West Twin River	
48	100' with no-cut below the break. There is a large inner gorge failure on the SW side of the large creek. Stay on gentle ground and break at the edge of the gorge.	
	100' or slope break along the West Twin River	
51	33' or at slope break along tributaries	
52	100' or slope break along the West Twin River	
55	100'	
57	100'	NW side has steep slope to Bear Creek; suggest buffer to cat trail between Bear Creek and FSR 3006-011.
58, 59	100' or slope break. Use the slope break or vegetation change to first line of conifers regardless of distance near the -011 spur. Thinning overstocked conifers is desirable in this unit.	
60	100' or slope break. Because of steepness to Bear Creek, use the slope break regardless of distance.	
60 or 61	33'	Watch for the waterline creek.

Unit number	Recommended site-specific stream-side no-cut buffer designations	Comments
62	33' along small tributaries and wetlands	There are 3 streams crossings and several wetlands in unit 62; stream edges may not be well defined.
63	33' along small tributaries	
64	100' along fish streams	
	66' along wetland edge	

C. Summary Table of Roads Proposed for Use¹

ROUTE #	ROAD STATUS	WORK PROPOSED	ACCESS TRAVEL MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE	POST HARVEST TREATMENT	ALT B MILES	ALT C MILES	UNITS ACCESSED
30	Level 3 (open, passenger cars)	Reconstruction	Level 3	Level 3	13.9	13.9	Haul route
3000200	Level 2 (open, high clearance vehicles)		Level 2	Level 2	2.0	2.0	Haul route
3000300	Level 2		Level 1	Level 1	1.6	1.6	Haul route
3067000	Level 2		Level 2	Level 2	1.3	1.3	Haul route
3067050	Level 1 (closed rd)		Level 1	Level 1	1.0	1.0	Haul route
3067055	Level 1		Level 1	Level 1	0.8	0.8	Haul route
3000400	Level 2		Level 2	Level 2	0.3	0.3	Haul route
3000395	Level 1		Level 1	Level 1	0.3	0.3	37
	TOTAL - FOREST SYSTEM	RDS (TO REMAIN OPEN PO	OST SALE)		21.1	21.1	

¹ Values given are approximate and based on computer mapping and other calculations. These values may differ from actual project layout and implementation.

ROUTE #	ROAD STATUS	WORK PROPOSED	ACCESS TRAVEL MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE	POST HARVEST TREATMENT	ALT B MILES	ALT C MILES	UNITS ACCESSED
3000197	BPA Access	Work includes clearing and	*	Open	0.6	0.6	8
3000198	BPA Access	grubbing, earthwork, drainage and surfacing.	*	Open	0.1	0.1	8
3000199	BPA Access		*	Open	0.1	0.1	9
3000201	BPA Access		*	Open	0.3	0.3	16, 18
3000301	BPA Access		*	Open	0.5	0.5	17, 19
3000302	BPA Access		*	Open	0.1	0.1	17
3000304	BPA Access		*	Open	0.5	0.5	27
3000581	BPA Access		*	Open	0.3	0.3	45
3000599	BPA Access		*	Open	0.4	0.4	47
3000602	BPA Access		*	Open	0.1	0.1	48
3000603	BPA Access		*	Open	0.1	0.1	48
3006011	BPA Access	These roads are	ML2	Open	0.8	0.8	60 - 62
3000580	BPA Access	maintenance level 2 roads open for administrative	ML2	Open	0.7	0.7	43 - 45
3000401	BPA Access	use. They have existing	ML2	Open	0.4	0.4	38
3100010	ooto BPA Access BPA Access road use agreements with Bonneville Power Administration. Proposed work varies from none to brushing, surfacing and drainage work.	ML2	Open	0.3	0.3	60 - 65	
	TOTAL - BPA ACCESS	RDS (TO REMAIN OPEN POST	SALE)		5.4	5.4	

^{*}While BPA access roads were not included in the Forest ATM, they are tracked in the Natural Resource Information System (NRIS).

ROUTE #	ROAD STATUS	WORK PROPOSED	ACCESS TRAVEL MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE	POST HARVEST TREATMENT	ALT B MILES	ALT C MILES	UNITS ACCESSED
3000011	Level 1	Proposed work would	Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.4	0.4	58, 59
3000320	Level 1	include brushing, surfacing and drainage work.	Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.2	0.1	17
3000330	Level 1	Following the sale, these roads would be decommissioned if KV	Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.5	0.5	30 - 32
3000490	Level 1	funds are available, or otherwise reclosed.	Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.6	0.6	40
3000590	Level 1		Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.4	0.4	45,46
3000600	Level 1		Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.4	0.4	47
3000810	Level 1		Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.0	0.0	50
3000401	Level 1		Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.3	0.3	38
3100010	Level 1		Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	1.3	1.0	62 - 65
3000295	Level 1		Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.5	0.5	26
3000310	Level 1		Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.1	0.1	17
3000492	Level 1		Decommission	Reclose; Decomm.w/ KV	0.1	0.1	40
3000800	Level 2, but not open		Decommission	Decommission KV	1.3	1.3	50, 51, 53
	TOTAL - FOREST SYST	EM RDS (RECLOSE OR DECO	OMM. W/ KV POST SA	ALE)	6.1	5.7	

ROUTE #	ROAD STATUS	WORK PROPOSED	ACCESS TRAVEL MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE	POST HARVEST TREATMENT	ALT B MILES	ALT C MILES	UNITS ACCESSED
3000012	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.4	0.4	59
3000196	Unclassified, Abandoned	Work on proposed		decommission	0.1	0.1	8
3000202	Unclassified, Abandoned	temporary roads on existing road grades would		decommission	0.3	0	16, 18
3000221	Unclassified, Abandoned	vary from light clearing and		decommission	0.1	0.1	14
3000223	Unclassified, Abandoned	grubbing to minor excavation, drainage, and		decommission	0.1	0.1	16
3000224	Unclassified, Abandoned	surfacing. These roads would not become National		decommission	0.1	0.1	16
3000302	Unclassified, Abandoned	Forest System roads and		decommission	0.2	0.1	17
3000303	Unclassified, Abandoned	would be decommissioned after use.		decommission	0.1	0.2	17
3000305	Unclassified, Abandoned	and use.		decommission	0.1	0.1	27
3000331	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.2	0.3	30, 32
3000381	Unclassified, Abandoned	_		decommission	0.2	0.1	33
3000382	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.9	0.1	34, 35
3000383	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.2	0.1	34
3000384	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.3	0.1	37
3000385	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.1	0.1	33
3000579	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.5	0.1	43, 44
3000591	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.3	0.2	45, 46
3000601	Unclassified, Abandoned			decommission	0.2	0.2	48

ROUTE #	ROAD STATUS	WORK PROPOSED	ACCESS TRAVEL MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVE	POST HARVEST TREATMENT	ALT B MILES	ALT C MILES	UNITS ACCESSED
3006012	Unclassified, Abandoned	Work on proposed		decommission	0.1	0.2	60
3006013	Unclassified, Abandoned	temporary roads on		decommission	0.2	0.2	60, 61
3006014	Unclassified, Abandoned	existing road grades would vary from light clearing and		decommission	0.1	0.3	57
3100011	Unclassified, Abandoned	grubbing to minor		decommission	0.3	0.1	63 - 65
3100012	Unclassified, Abandoned	excavation, drainage, and surfacing. These roads		decommission	0.1	0.0	62
3100013	Unclassified, Abandoned	would not become National Forest System		decommission	0.1	0.3	62
3100014	Unclassified, Abandoned	roads and would be		decommission	0.1	0.2	60 - 62
3000203	Unclassified, Abandoned	decommissioned after use.		decommission	0.1	0.1	18
	TOTAL - TEMPORY ROAD POST SALE)	S ON EXISTING GRADES (D	ECOMMISSION		5.5	3.9	
3000303	Temporary Road	Proposed newly		decommission	0.1	0.1	17
3000304	Temporary Road	constructed roads. These roads would not become		decommission	0.1	0.1	27
3000332	Temporary Road	National Forest System		decommission	0.3	0.3	30, 31
3000386	Temporary Road	Roads and would be obliterated after use.		decommission	0.1	0.0	36
3067051	Temporary Road			decommission	0.1	0.1	24
3067052	Temporary Road]		decommission	0.1	0.1	24
	TOTAL - NEW TEMPORY F POST SALE)	ROADS (DECOMMISSION			0.9	0.7	
	GRAND TOTAL				38.9	36.8	

D. Road Definitions

<u>Forest roads.</u> As defined in Title 23, Section 101 of the United States Code (23 U.S.C. 101), any road wholly or partly within, or adjacent to, and serving the National Forest System and which is necessary for the protection, administration, and utilization of the National Forest System and the use and development of its resources.

<u>National Forest System road.</u> A classified forest road under the jurisdiction of the Forest Service. The term "National Forest System roads" is synonymous with the term "forest development roads" as used in 23 U.S.C. 205.

<u>New Road Construction.</u> Activity that results in the addition of forest classified or temporary road miles (36 CFR 212.1).

<u>Public roads.</u> Any road or street under the jurisdiction of and maintained by a public authority and open to public travel (23 U.S.C. 101(a)).

<u>Road.</u> A motor vehicle travel way over 50 inches wide, unless designated and managed as a trail. A road may be classified, unclassified, or temporary (36 CFR 212.1).

- <u>a. Classified Roads.</u> Roads wholly or partially within or adjacent to National Forest System lands that are determined to be needed for long-term motor vehicle access, including State roads, county roads, privately owned roads, National Forest System roads, and other roads authorized by the Forest Service (36 CFR 212.1).
- <u>b. Temporary Roads.</u> Roads authorized by contract, permit, lease, other written authorization, or emergency operation not intended to be a part of the forest transportation system and not necessary for long-term resource management (36 CFR 212.1).
- <u>c. Unclassified Roads.</u> Roads on National Forest System lands that are not managed as part of the forest transportation system, such as unplanned roads, abandoned travel ways, and off-road vehicle tracks that have not been designated and managed as a trail; and those roads that were once under permit or other authorization and were not decommissioned upon the termination of the authorization (36 CFR 212.1).

<u>Road Decommissioning.</u> Activities that result in the stabilization and restoration of unneeded roads to a more natural state (36 CFR 212.1), (FSM 7703).

Decommissioning includes applying various treatments, which may include one or more of the following:

- a. Reestablishing former drainage patterns, stabilizing slopes, and restoring vegetation;
- b. Blocking the entrance to a road; installing water bars;
- c. Removing culverts, reestablishing drainage-ways, removing unstable fills, pulling back road shoulders, and scattering slash on the roadbed;
- d. Completely eliminating the roadbed by restoring natural contours and slopes; or other methods designed to meet the specific conditions associated with the unneeded roads.

<u>Road maintenance</u>. The ongoing upkeep of a road necessary to retain or restore the road to the approved road management objective (FSM 7712.3).

<u>Road maintenance level</u>. Maintenance levels define the level of service provided by, and maintenance required for, a specific road. Maintenance levels must be consistent with road management objectives and maintenance criteria. There are road five maintenance levels:

- a. Level 1. Assigned to intermittent service roads during the time they are closed to vehicular traffic. The closure period must exceed 1 year. Basic custodial maintenance is performed to keep damage to adjacent resources to an acceptable level and to perpetuate the road to facilitate future management activities. Emphasis is normally given to maintaining drainage facilities and runoff patterns. Planned road deterioration may occur at this level.
- b. Level 2. Assigned to roads open for use by high clearance vehicles. Passenger car traffic is not a consideration. Traffic is normally minor, usually consisting of one or a combination of administrative, permitted, dispersed recreation, or other specialized uses. Log haul may occur at this level.
- c. Level 3. Assigned to roads open and maintained for travel by a prudent driver in a standard passenger car. User comfort and convenience are not considered priorities.
- d. Level 4. Assigned to roads that provide a moderate degree of user comfort and convenience at moderate travel speeds.
- e. Level 5. Assigned to roads that provide a high degree of user comfort and convenience.

<u>Road Reconstruction</u>. Activity that results in improvement or realignment of an existing classified road as defined below:

- <u>a. Road Improvement.</u> Activity that results in an increase of an existing road's traffic service level, expands its capacity, or changes its original design function.
- <u>b. Road Realignment</u>. Activity that results in a new location of an existing road or portions of an existing road and treatment of the old roadway (36 CFR 212.1).

E. References

- Anthony, RG, ED Forsman, AB Franklin, DR Anderson, KP Burnham, GC White, CJ Schwarz, J Nichols, JE Hines, GS Olson, SH Ackers, S Andrews, BL Biswell, PC Carlson, LV Diller, KM Dugger, KE Fehring, TL Fleming, RP Gerhardt, SA Gremel, RJ Gutierrez, PJ Happe, DR Herter, JM Higley, RB Horn, LL Irwin, PJ Loschl, JA Reid, and SG Sovern. 2004. Status and Trends in Demography of Northern Spotted Owls, 1985-2003. Interagency Regional Monitoring Report, Portland, Oregon.
- Aubry, K. Research Wildlife Biologist, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Olympia, Washington. 2008. Personal communication with Susan Piper, August 15, 2008, at the Supervisor's Office, Olympic National Forest, Olympia, Washington.
- Aulerich, DE, KK Johnson and HA Froehlich. 1974. Tractors or skylines: what's best for thinning younggrowth Douglas-fir?. Forest Industries 101(12): 42-45.
- Bailey, JD., and JC Tappeiner. 1997. Effects of thinning on structural development in 40- to 100-year-old Douglas-fir stands in western Oregon. Forest Ecology and Management 108 (1998) 99-113.
- Beggs, LR. 2004. Vegetation response following thinning in young Douglas-fir forests of Western Oregon: Can thinning accelerate development of late-successional structure and composition? Master of Science in Forest Science thesis, Oregon State University.
- Biswell, B. 2005. Personal communication with Betsy Howell, July 13, 2005, at the Olympic Supervisor's Office, Olympic National Forest, Olympia, Washington.
- Brooks, KN, PF Ffolliott, HM Gregersen, JL Thames. 1991. Hydrology and the management of watersheds. Iowa State University Press. Ames, IA.
- Brown, ER. Technical Editor. 1985. Management of Wildlife and Fish Habitats in Forests of Western Oregon and Washington, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, 332 p.
- Carey, AB, and RO Curtis. 1996. Conservation of biodiversity: a useful paradigm for forest ecosystem management. Wildlife Society Bulletin 1996, 24(4):610-620.
- Carey, AB and ML Johnson. 1995. Small mammals in managed, naturally young, and old-growth forests. Ecological Applications, 5(2): 336-352.
- Carey, AB, BR Lippke, and J Sessions. 1999. Intentional systems management: managing forests for biodiversity. Journal of Sustainable Forestry, Vol. 9(3/4).
- Carey, AB, and TM Wilson. 2001. Ecological Foundations of Biodiversity, 1999-2000 Biannual Report. Pacific Northwest Research Station, Forestry Sciences Laboratory.
- Castellano, MA, J Smith, T O'Dell, E Cazares, and S Nugent. 1999. Handbook to Strategy 1 Fungal Species iin the Northwest Forest Plan. USDA General Technical Report PNW-GTR-476. October 1999.

- Castellano, MA, E Cazares, B Fondrick, and T Dreisbach, 2003. Handbook to Additional Fungal Species of Special Concern in the Northwest Forest Plan. USDA General Technical Report PNW-GTR-572. January 2003.
- Cooperative Elk Management Group (CEMG). 1999. Elk management on the Olympic Peninsula: State-Tribal technical management document. June 1999. 54 pp.
- Chappell, CB, RC Crawford, C Barrett, J Kagan, DH Johnson, M O'Mealy, GA Green, HL Ferguson, WD Edge, EL Greda, and TA O'Neil. 2001. Wildlife habitats: Descriptions, status, trends, and system dynamics. Pp. 22-114 in: DH Johnson and TA O'Neil, ed. Wildlife-habitat relationships in Oregon and Washington. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Chastain, C. Pacific Salmon Coalition. 2006. Personal (email) communication with Betsy Howell, January 18, 2006 from the Olympic National Forest Supervisor's Office, Olympia, Washington.
- Chornesky, E.A.; A.M. Bartuska; G.H. Aplet; K.O. Britton; J. Cummings-Carlson; F.W. Davis; J. Eskow; D.R. Gordon; K.W. Gottschalk; and R.A. Haack. 2005. Science priorities for reducing the threat of invasive species to sustainable forestry. BioScience 55(4): 335-348.
- Cipollini, M.L., B.G. Drake, and D. Whigham. 1993. Effects of elevated CO₂ on growth and carbon/nutrient balance in the deciduous wood shrub *Lindera benzoin* (L.) Blume (Lauraceae). Oecologia 96: 339-346.
- Climate Change Science Program. 2008. Preliminary review of adaptation options for climate-sensitive ecosystems and resources. A Report by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research [Julius, S.H.; J.M. West (eds.), J.S. Baron, B. Griffith, L.A. Joyce, P. Kareiva, B.D. Keller, M.A. Palmer, C.H. Peterson, and J.M. Scot (Authors)]. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 873 pp.
- Climate Impacts Group, University of Washington. 2004a. Forest Biodiversity: climate change and the Pacific Northwest.
- ----. 2004b. Forest growth and climate change.
- -----. 2006a. Climate change scenarios. Accessed on February 14. http://www.cses.washington.edu/cig/fpt/ccscenarios.shtml.
- ----. 2006b. Climate outlook. http://www.cses.washington.edu/cig/fpt/coutlook.shtml. Updated September 17.
- ----. 2007. Update on Pacific Northwest climate change scenarios.
- Cooper, BA., MG. Raphael, and DE Mack. 2003. Refining a landscape-scale habitat model and inland monitoring program for marbled murrelets in the Olympic Peninsula. Final Report prepared for USFS Pacific Northwest Research Station and Olympic Natural Resources Center. ABR, Inc., Environmental Research & Services, P.O. Box 249, Forest Grove, OR 97116.
- Corkran, CC and C Thoms. 1996. Amphibians of Oregon, Washington and British Columbia. Lone Pine Publishing, Redmond, Washington. 175 pp.

- Courtney, SP, JA Blakesley, RE Bigley, ML Cody, JP Dumbacher, RC Fleischer, AB Franklin, JF Franklin, RJ Gutierrez, JM Marzluff, and L Sztukowski. 2004. Scientific evaluation of the status of the Northern Spotted Owl ("The Status Review"). Sustainable Ecosystems Institute, Portland, Oregon.
- Curtis, P.S., B.G. Drake, and D.F. Whigham. 1989. Nitrogen and carbon dynamics in C₃ and C₄ estuarine marsh plants grown under elevated CO₂ in situ. Oecologia 78: 297-301.
- Curtis, RO. 1982. A simple index of stand density for Douglas-fir. Forest Science, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 92-94.
- Curtis, RO and DD Marshall. 1993. Douglas fir rotations—time for reappraisal? Western Journal of Applied Forestry. 8(3): 81-85.
- Curtis, RO, DS DeBell, CA Harrington, DP Lavender, JB St. Clair, JC Tappeiner, and JD Walstad. 1998. Silviculture for multiple objectives in the Douglas-fir region. Gen. Tech. Rep. PNW-GTR-435. USDA Forest Service, PNW Research Station, Portland, OR. 123 p.
- Dukes, J.S. and H.A. Mooney. 1999. Does global change increase the success of biological invaders? TREE 14(4): 135-139.
- Duncan, SH, RE Bilby, JW Ward, and JT Heffner. 1987. Transport of road surface sediment through ephemeral stream channels. Water Resources Bulletin. 23 (1):113-119.
- Durall, DM, MC Jones, EF Wright, P Kroeger and KD Coates. 1999. Species richness of ectomycorrhizal fungi in cutblocks of different sizes in the Interior Cedar-Hemlock forests of northwestern British Columbia: sporocarps and ectomycorrhizae. Canadian Journal of Forestry 29: 1322-1332
- Ehrlich, PR, DS Dobkin and D Wheye. 1988. The Birder's Handbook, A Field Guide to the Natural History of North American Birds. Simon and Schuster, New York, NY.
- Forest Ecosystem Management Assessment Team [FEMAT]. 1993. Forest ecosystem management: an ecological, economic, and social assessment. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture; U.S. Department of the Interior [et al.].
- Flewelling, JW, KN Wiley, and TJ Drew. 1980. Stand-density management in western hemlock. Weyerhaeuser Forestry Research Technical Report 042-1417/80/32.
- Geyer, F. 2005. Personal communication with Betsy Howell, May 12, 2005, at the Forks Ranger District Office, Forks, Washington.
- Gravenmier, B. 2008. Personal communication with Tim Davis, March 24, 2008.
- Grubb, TG. 1995. Constructing Bald Eagle Nests With Natural Materials. Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, RM-RN-535, 2500 S. Pine Knoll Dr., Flagstaff, AZ 86001.
- Harris, AS. 1989. Wind in the forests of southeast Alaska and guides for reducing damage. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, General Technical Report PNW-GTR-244.

- Hellamann, J.J.; J.E. Byers; B.G. Bierwagen; and J.S. Dukes. 2008. Five potential consequences of climate change for invasive species. Conservation Biology 22(3): 534-543.
- Henderson, JA, DH Peter, RD Lesher, and DC Shaw. 1989. Forested Plant Associations of the Olympic National Forest, USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, 502 p.
- Hibbs, DE and DS Debell. 1994. Management of Young Red Alder. In The Biology and Management of Red Alder, OSU Press (Chapter 14), 256 p.
- Hitchcock, CL, A Cronquist, M Ownbey, and JW Thompson. 1969. Vascular Plants of the Pacific Northwest. (5 Vols). University of Washington Press. Seattle, WA.
- Johnson, H.B., H.W. Polley, and H.S. Mayeux. 1993. Increasing CO₂ and plant-plant interactions: effects on natural vegetation. Vegetation 104/105: 157-170.
- Joyce, L.A.; G.M. Blate; J.S. Littell; S.G. McNulty; C.I. Millar; S.C. Moser; R.P. Neilson; K. O'Halloran; and D.L. Peterson. 2008. National Forests. Pp. 3-1 to 3-127 <u>In</u> Preliminary review of adaptation options for climate-sensitive ecosystems and resources. A Report by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research [Julius, S.H.; J.M. West (eds.), J.S. Baron, B. Griffith, L.A. Joyce, P. Kareiva, B.D. Keller, M.A. Palmer, C.H. Peterson, and J.M. Scot (Authors)]. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 873 pp.
- Kranabetter, JM and P Kroeger. 2001. Ectomycorrhizal mushroom response to partial cutting in a western hemlock-western redcedar forest. Canadian Journal of Forest Research 31: 978-987.
- Laubenheimer, K. 2005. Personal communication with Betsy Howell at the Pacific Ranger District, Olympic National Forest, Forks, Washington.
- Lawton, E. 1971. Moss Flora of the Pacific Northwest. The Hattori Botanical Laboratory, May 1971.
- Leonard, WP, HA Brown, LLC. Jones, KR. McAllister, and RM Storm. 1993. Amphibians of Washington and Oregon. Seattle Audubon Society, The Trailside Series, Seattle, Washington.
- Lewis, JC and GE Hayes. 2004. Feasibility Assessment for Reintroducing Fishers to Washington. Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, Olympia, Washington. 70 pp.
- Lindh, BC, and PS Muir. 2004. Understory vegetation in young Douglas-fir forests: does thinning help restore old-growth composition? Forest Ecology and Management 192(2004) 285-296.
- Link, WA, and JR Sauer. 1997. Estimation of population trajectories from count data. Biometrics 53:63-72.
- Little, JD. 2007. Personal communication with Yewah Lau. Research scientist with Climate Impacts Group, University of Washington.
- Manley, IA and SK Nelson. 1999. Habitat characteristics associated with nest success and predation at marbled murrelet tree nests (Abstract). Pacific Seabirds 26:40.
- Maser, C. 1998. *Mammals of the Pacific Northwest, From the Coast to the High Cascades*. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, OR. 406 pp.

- McCune, B and L Geiser. 1997. Macrolichens of the Pacific Northwest. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, OR
- McShane, C, T Hamer, H Carter, G Swartzman, V Friesen, D Ainley, R Tressler, K Nelson, A Burger, L Spear, T Mohagen, R Martin, L Henkel, K Prindle, C Strong, and J Keany. 2004. Evaluation report for the 5-year status review of the marbled murrelet in Washington, Oregon, and California. Unpublished report. EDAW, Inc. Seattle, Washington. Prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 1. Portland, Oregon.
- Mellen, K, BG Marcot, JL Ohmann, K Waddell, SA Livingston, EA Willhite, BB Hostetler, C Ogden, and T Dreisbach. 2003. DecAID, the decayed wood advisor for managing snags, partially dead trees, and down wood for biodiversity in forests of Washington and Oregon. Version 1.10. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region and Pacific Northwest Research Station; USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, Oregon State Office; Portland, Oregon. http://www.notes.fs.fed.us:81/pnw/DecAID/DecAid.nsf.
- Miller, RE, W Scott and JW Hazard. 1996. Soil compaction and conifer growth after tractor yarding at three coastal Washington locations. Canadian Journal of Forestry Resources. 26: 225-236. Canada
- Mote, P.W. 2004. How and why is Northwest climate changing? Pp. 11-22 In D.L Peterson, J.L. Innes, and K. Obrian (eds.). Climate change, carbon, and forestry in Northwestern North America:
 Proceedings of a Workshop. November 14-15, 2001. Orcas Island, Washington. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Gen. Tech. Rept. PNW-GTR-614.
- Mote, P.W. and 18 co-authors. 1999. Impacts of climate variability and change: Pacific Northwest. A report of the Pacific Northwest Regional Assessment Group for the U.S. Global Change Research Program. JISAO/SMA Climate Impacts Group, University of Washington, Seattle.
- National Assessment Synthesis Team. 2000. Climate change impacts on the United States: The potential consequences of climate variability and change. US Global Change Research Program. Washington, D.C. 154pp.
- Nelson, SK and TE Hamer. 1995. Nest Success and the Effects of Predation on Marbled Murrelets. General Technical Report PSW-GTR-152. Albany, CA: Pacific Southwest Research Station, Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Newton, M and EC Cole. 1987. A sustained-yield scheme for old-growth Douglas fir. Western Journal of Applied Forestry. 2(1): 22-25.
- Old-Growth Definition Task Group (J Franklin, F Hall, W Laudenslayer, C Maser, J Nunan, J Poppino, CJ Ralph, and T Spies). 1986. Interim definitions for old-growth Douglas-fir and mixed conifer forests in the pacific northwest and California. USDA Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station, Research Note PNW-447.
- Oliver, CD and BC Larson. 1990. Forest Stand Dynamics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 467 p.
- Palazzotto, N. 2005. Personal communication with Betsy Howell, November 15, 2005, during a field day at one of the flying squirrel study grids, Forks, Washington.
- Partners in Flight. 1998. North American Bird Conservation Initiative (NABCI): Strategy and Action Plan.

- Perlmeter, SI 1995. Bats and Bridges: A Field Study of the Thermal Conditions and Social Organization of Night Roosts in the Willamette National Forest. Msc. Thesis, Graduate Programme in Biology, York University, North York, Ontario.
- Perschel, Robert T., A. Evans and M. Summers. 2007. Climate Change, Carbon, and the Forests of the Northeast. Forest Guild. December 2007.
- Peter, D. 1995. Vegetation report, in Sol Duc Watershed Analysis, USDA Forest Service, Olympic National Forest, Olympia, Washington.
- Pojar, J and A MacKinnon. 1994. Plants of the Pacific Northwest Coast, Washington, Oregon, British Columbia, and Alaska. B.C. Ministry of Forests and Lone Pine Publishing.
- Power, WE. 1974. Effects and observations of soil compaction in the Salem District. USDIBLM Tech Note No. 256. Denver, CO: Federal Center Building 50. 12 p.
- Pyke, C.R.; R. Thomas; R.D. Porter; J.J. Hellmann; J.S. Duke; D.M. Lodge; and G. Chavarria. 2008. Current practices and future opportunities for policy on climate change and invasive species. Conservation Biology 22(3): 585-592.
- Pyle, RM. 2002. The Butterflies of Cascadia. Seattle Audubon Society, Seattle, Washington. 420 pp.
- Regional Ecosystem Office (REO). 1996. Criteria to Exempt Specific Silvicultural Activities in Late-Successional Reserves and Managed Late-Successional Areas from Regional Ecosystem Office Review. Memorandum from Donald R Knowles, Regional Ecosystem Office Executive Director to Regional Interagency Executive Committee. July 9.
- Rogers, Jr., H.H.; G.B. Runion; S.A. Prior; A.J. Price; H.A. Torbert III; and D.H. Gjerstad. 2008a. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on invasive plants: comparison of purple and yellow nutsedge (*Cyperus rotundus* L. and *C. esculentus* L.). Journal of Environmental Quality 37: 395-400.
- Rogers, Jr., H.H.; D.H. Gjerstad; G.B. Runion; S.A. Prior; A.J. Price; E. Van Santen; H.A. Torbert III. 2005. Effects of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on invasive weed species in managed terrestrial ecosystems of the southeastern U.S. Southeastern Regional Center, National Institute for Global Environmental Change, Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2004. University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL. 4 pp.
- Sheets, TJ. 1993. Washington State Pine Marten Distribution. Washington Conservation Corps, Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife.
- Smith, S.D.; T.E. Huxman; S.F. zitzer; T.N. Charlet; D.C. Housman; J.S. Coleman; L.K. Fenstermaker; J.R. Seemann; and R.S. Nowak. 2000. Elevated CO₂ increases productivity and invasive species success in an arid ecosystem. Nature 408:79-82.
- Spies, TA, JF Franklin, and M Klopsch. 1990. Canopy gaps in Douglas-fir forests of the Cascade Mountains. Canadian Journal of Forest Resources, 20:649-658.
- State of Washington, Natural Heritage Program, 2004.
- Steinberg, EK. 1995. A study of genetic differentiation and variation in the Mazama pocket gopher (Thomomys mazama) with emphasis on Fort Lewis populations. Final report submitted to Fort

- Lewis and The Nature Conservancy. 46 pp + appendices. California Department of Fish and Game, Nongame Bird and Mammal Program Report 94-5.
- Steinberg, EK, and D Heller. 1997. Using DNA and rocks to interpret the taxonomy and patchy distribution of pocket gophers in western Washington prairies. Pp. 43–51 in South Puget Sound Prairie Landscapes (P. Dunn and K. Ewing, eds.). The Nature Conservancy of Washington, Seattle. 289 pp.
- Survey Protocols for Survey & Manage Category A & C Lichens in the Northwest Forest Plan Area, Version 2.1 (2003). C. Derr, R. Helliwell, A. Ruchty, L. Hoover, L. Geiser, D. Lebo & J. Davis.
- Taber, RD and KJ Raedeke. 1980. Status report, Roosevelt elk of the Olympic National Forest. University of Washington, College of Forest Resources. Seattle, WA.
- Tabor, RW and WM Cady. 1978 Geologic Map of the Olympic Peninsula, Washington. USDI USGS. Map I-994. (FROM GEOLOGY SECTION in Specialist report????)
- Tappeiner, JC, D Huffman, D Marshall, TA Spies, and JD Bailey. 1997. Density, ages, and growth rates in old-growth and young-growth forests in coastal Oregon. Canadian Journal of Forest Resources. 27:638-648.
- Tausch, R.J. 2008. Invasive plants and climate change. (May 20, 2008). U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Climate Change Resource Center. http://www.fs.fed.us/ccrc/topics/invasive-plants.shtml
- Taylor, K., and C. Potvin. 1997. Understanding the long-term effect of CO₂ enrichment on a pasture: the importance of disturbance. Canadian Journal of Botany 75(10): 1621-1627.
- Thomas, JW, ed. 1979. Wildlife habitats in managed forests the Blue Mountains of Oregon and Washington. Handbook No. 533. Washington, D.C.:U.S.Dep. Agric. 512 pp.
- Thomas, JW, ED Forsman, JB Lint, EC Meslow, BR Noon, and J Verner. 1990. A Conservation Strategy for the Northern Spotted Owl. USDA Forest Service, USDI Bureau of Land Management, USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, USDI National Park Service. Portland, Oregon.
- Thomas, JW, MG Raphael and RG Anthony [et al.]. 1993. Viability assessments and management considerations for species associated with late-successional and old-growth forests of the Pacific Northwest. Portland, OR: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region. 523 p.
- USDA Forest Service. 1988. General Water Quality Best Management Practices. Pacific Northwest Region. 86pp.
- ----. 1989. Forested Plant Associations of the Olympic National Forest. Pacific Northwest Region. R6-ECOL-TP 001-88 1989. J. Henderson, D. Peter, R. Lesher, D. Shaw.
- ----. 1990a. Olympic National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan. Olympia, WA.
- ----. 1990b. Olympic National Forest land and Resource Management Plan, Final Environmental Impact Statement. Olympia, WA.

- ----. 1994. The Scientific Basis for Conserving Forest Carnivores, American Marten, Fisher, Lynx, and Wolverine in the Western United States. GTR RM-254, Fort Collins, CO. ----. 1995. Sol Duc Pilot Watershed Analysis. Olympic National Forest. Olympia, WA. ----. 1997. Soleduck Late Successional Reserve Assessment, Olympic National Forest, Soleduck Ranger District, Forks, Washington. ----. 1998a. Forest Service Manual. Title 2520 - Watershed Protection and Management. R-6 Supplement No. 2500.98-1. Portland, Oregon. ----. 1998b. Olympic Adaptive Management Area Guide. Olympic National Forest, Olympia, WA ----. 2000a. Road management strategy for the Olympic National Forest, unpublished., Olympic National Forest. Olympia, WA. -----. 2000b. Olympic National Forest Ecological Unit Inventory, Olympic National Forest, Pacific Northwest Region. ----. 2002. Deep Creek and East and West Twin Rivers Watershed Analysis. Olympic National Forest. Olympia, WA. ----. 2003a. Olympic National Forest Programmatic Biological Assessment for the USDI Fish and Wildlife Service. Olympic National Forest, Olympia, WA. ----. 2003b. Access and travel management plan. Olympic National Forest. Olympia, WA. ----. 2004a. Federally Listed, Proposed and Candidate Species, and Proposed or Designated Critical Habitat. Pacific Northwest Region. ----. 2004b. Olympic National Forest Strategic Plan: Integrating Aquatics, Wildlife, and Silviculture. unpublished. Olympic National Forest, Olympia, Washington. ----. 2004c. Regional Forester's Sensitive Species List. Portland, Oregon. ----. 2005a. Olympic National Forest Rare Plant Occurrence GIS cover. Olympic National Forest. ----. 2005b. Pacific Northwest Region Invasive Plant Program: Preventing and Managing Invasive Plants, Final Environmental Impact Statement. April 2005. R6-NR-FHP-PR-02-05. ----. 2005c. Pacific Northwest Region Invasive Plant Program: Preventing and Managing Invasive Plants, Record of Decision. October 2005. R6-NR-FHP-PR-02-05. ----. 2005d. Natural Resource Information System (NRIS), Invasive Plant Inventory Database. ----- 2008. Olympic National Forest Final Environmental Impact Statement And Record of Decision –
- USDA Forest Service Olympic National Forest, Elwha Klallam Tribe, and Washington Department of Ecology. 2002. Deep Creek, East Twin, West Twin Rivers Watershed Analysis. Olympic National Forest, Olympia, WA.

Beyond Prevention: Site-Specific Invasive Plant Treatment Project. March 2008.

- USDA Forest Service Olympic National Forest, Washington Department of Natural Resources Olympic Region, and Quileute Indian Tribe. 1995. Sol Duc Pilot Watershed Analysis. Olympic National Forest, Olympia, WA.
- USDA Forest Service and USDI Bureau of Land Management. 1994a. Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement on Management of Habitat for Late-Successional and Old-Growth Forest Related Species within the Range of the Northern Spotted Owl. Portland, Oregon.
- -----. 1994b. Record of decision for amendments to Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management planning documents within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl; Standards and guidelines for management of habitat for late-successional and old-growth forest related species within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl.
- ----. 2001. Record of decision and standards and guidelines for amendments to the survey and manage, protection buffer, and other mitigation measures standards and guidelines.
- ----. 2004. ISMS database and associated GIS covers.
- -----. 2004a. Record of decision amending resource management plans for seven Bureau of Land Management districts and land and resource management plans for nineteen national forests within the range of the Northern Spotted Owl; decision to clarify provisions relating to the Aquatic Conservation Strategy.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, PLANTS Database. http://plants.usda.gov.
- USDI Fish & Wildlife Service. 1992. Critical Habitat for the Northern Spotted Owl, Maps and Legal Descriptions, Washington. Portland, Oregon.
- ----. 1993. Listed and Proposed Endangered and Threatened Species and Critical Habitat; Candidate Species; and Species of Concern in the Western Portion of Washington State. Western Washington Fish and Wildlife Office, Lacey, Washington.
- ----. 1997. Recovery Plan for the Threatened Marbled Murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*) in Washington, Oregon, and California. USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, Portland, Oregon. September 1997.
- ----. 1999. Federal Register, Vol. 64, No. 164, August 25, 1999, Final Rule to Remove the American Peregrine Falcon from the Federal List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife, and To Remove the Similarity of Appearance Provision for Free-Flying Peregrines in the Conterminous United States.
- -----. 2003. Biological Opinion and letter of concurrence for effects to bald eagles, marbled murrelets, northern spotted owls, bull trout, and designated critical habitat for marbled murrelets and northern spotted owls from Olympic National Forest program of activities for August 5, 2003 to December 31, 2008. USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, Lacey, Washington. August 2003; revised September 2004.
- ----. 2007a. National Bald Eagle Guidelines, May 2007. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.
- ----. 2007b. Fisher Reintroduction Plan Environmental Assessment, September 2007. Olympic National Park, Port Angeles, Washington.

- ----. 2008. Revised Designation of Critical Habitat for the Northern Spotted Owl; Final Rule. Fed. Reg. 73(157):47326–47522.
- Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife. 1996. Final environmental impact statement for the Washington State management plan for elk. Wildlife Program, Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife. Olympia, WA 217 pp.
- Washington Department of Fish & Wildlife. 2004. Washington State Elk Herd Plan, Olympic Elk Herd. Olympia, WA.
- Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and Western Washington Treaty Tribes (WWTT). 2002. Washington State Salmon and Steelhead Stock Inventory. Olympia, WA.
- Washington Forest Practices Board. Watershed Analysis Manual. 1995.
- Washington State Noxious Weed Control Board, http://www.nwcb.wa.gov.
- Washington State Working Subgroup of the Silviculture Subcommittee (C Oliver, C Harrington, M Bickford, L Hicks, S Martin, T Raettig, J Hoyer, B Gara, W Knapp, G Lightner, and J Tappeiner). 1991. Northern spotted owl habitat in previously managed forests in western and eastern Washington with and without further management. USDI Fish and Wildlife Service, 72 p.
- White House Council on Environmental Quality. 2005. Guidance on the Consideration of Past Actions in Cumulative Effects Analysis. Memorandum by James L. Connaughton, Chairman to Heads of Federal Agencies. June 24.
- Wilke, T. 2004. Genetic and Anatomical Analyses of the Jumping Slugs, Final Report, Contract #43-05G2-1-10086.
- Witmer, GW, and DS deCalesta. 1985. Effects of forest roads on habitat use by Roosevelt elk. Northwest Science. 59:122-125.
- Zaborske, RR, MH McClellan and TA Hanley. 2000. Understory vegetation development following commercial thinning in Southeast Alaska: preliminary results from the second-growth management area demonstration project. USDA Forest Service, Alaska Region, Juneau, AK.
- Zenner, EK. 2005. Development of tree size distributions in Douglas-fir forests under differing disturbance regimes. Ecological Applications. 15(2),701-714.
- Ziegltrum, J. 2001. Olympic National Forest Monitoring Report. Olympia, WA.
- Ziegltrum, J. 2004. Draft Management Recommendations for Two Species of the Genus *Hemphillia*. Olympic National Forest, Olympia, WA.
- Ziegltrum, J. Olympic National Forest Ecologist. 2005. Personal communication with Betsy Howell, November 8, 2005, at the Olympic Supervisor's Office, Olympic National Forest, Olympia, Washington.

- Ziska, L.H. 2003. Evaluation of the growth response of six invasive species to past, present and future atmospheric carbon dioxide. Journal of Experimental Botany 54(381): 395-404.
- Ziska, L.H., S. Faulkner, and J. Lydon. 2004. Changes in biomass and root:shoot ratio of field-grown Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*), a noxious, invasive weed, with elevated CO₂: implications for control with glyphosate. Weed Science 52:584-588.