

DNFSB-2004-1 Commitments 23 & 25

Karen Downer

Director

Environment, Safety, Health, and Quality

January 10, 2006

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Commitment 23—ISM Work Planning & Control Oversight and Commitment 25—ISM Feedback and Improvement

- Overall, the criteria are addressed in programs and procedures and have been subjects of assessments
- Our ISM program is designed for continuous improvement. Criteria marked as partially met are areas we have recognized with assessments as weak and where marked improvements are necessary
- These are the areas of *lessons learned* and *issues management*. We have corrective actions underway and have submitted these corrective actions into the NTS system
- We are also completing ISM related corrective actions under Corrective Action Plans including:
 - Office of Independent Oversight Inspection of Environment, Safety, and Health Management at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (October 2004)
 - DOE AMS IAP—Technical Assessment of the Implementation of Integrated Safety Management (ISM) by UT-Battelle at ORNL (July 2005)



Specific Actions Planned

Effectively Utilizing Lessons Learned

- Develop a program description for LL to include roles, responsibilities, expectations and program elements (August 2006)
- Update Lessons Learned Subject areas/procedures to include the following elements (November 2006)
 - establish a process to identify target subject matter experts and groups for types of LL to be distributed within Laboratory
 - establish a process to clearly identify criteria for identification and communication of LL from external and internal sources
 - establish a process to determine what issues will be tracked and trended
 - establish a process to communicate information concerning trends to management
 - establish a feedback loop to document actions taken in response to LLs

Issues Management

 Develop and issue a comprehensive issues management program that clearly describes how and through which processes or procedures each element of the program is implemented (February 2006)

Effectiveness Reviews

Conduct an effectiveness review of actions (Early 2007)

