Methyl Bromide Critical Use Exemption Process 2007 Methyl Bromide Usage Numerical Index (BUNI)

4,651,126

4,722,340

28,638

29,255

162

161

4,493,128

4,601,624

Southeastern US

TOTAL OR AVERAGE

Date: Sector: 1/27/2005

154

153

0%

0%

Average Hectares in the US:

109%

74%

107%

72%

26,703

40,827

48,603 60%

TOMATOES % of Average Hectares Requested: 2001 & 2002 Average Use 2007 Amount of Request Regional Hectares** **Research Amount** Quarantine and Kilograms Hectares Use Rate Kilograms Hectares Use Rate 2001 & 2002 % of 2001 & 2002 **Pre-Shipment REGION** (kgs) % of Request (kgs) (ha) (kg/ha) (kgs) (ha) (kg/ha) Average Avg 34% 30,391 31,848 33% Michigan 253 120 265 120 0% 769 40,823 364 112 653 117 0% 13,355 5% California 76,648 3% 5501

29,170

30,088

2007 Nomination Options	Sul	btractions fro	m Requested	d Amounts (k	gs)	Combined Adjustme	d Impacts ent (kgs)	Adoption / Adjustme	Transition ent (kgs)	MOST LIKELY IMPACT VALUE		
REGION	2007 Request	(-) Double Counting	` (-) (irowth		(-) QPS	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW	Kilograms (kgs)	Hectares (ha)	Use Rate (kg/ha)
Michigan	30,391	-	-	-	-	10,333	10,333	10,333	10,333	10,333	86	120
California	40,823	-	-	-	-	40,823	40,823	40,823	40,823	40,823	364	112
Southeastern US	4,651,126	-	186,665	-	-	2,968,200	2,401,858	2,665,180	2,158,264	2,277,389	14,785	154
TOTAL	4,722,340	4,722,340	4,535,675	4,535,675	4,535,675	3,019,356	2,453,015	2,716,336	2,209,420	2,328,546	15,235	153
% Reduction from Initial Request	0%	0%	4%	4%	4%	36%	48%	42%	53%	51%	48%	5%

Adjustments to Requested Amounts	Use Rate (kg/ha) ((kg/ha) (%) Karst (Telo		(%) 100 ft Buffer Zones		(%) Key Pest Distribution		Regulatory Issues (%)		Unsuitable Terrain (%)		Cold Soil Temp (%)		Combined Impacts (%)		Adoption / Transition****	
REGION	Low	EPA	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	High	Low	HIGH	LOW	% Adopt	% per Year
Michigan ***	120	120	0%	0%	0%	0%	34%	34%	0%	0%	0%	0%	34%	34%	34%	34%	0%	0%
California	112	112	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	9%	1%	100%	100%	0%	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%
Southeastern US	154	154	32%	32%	0%	0%	50%	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	66%	53%	83%	10%

Other Considerations	D	ichotom	ous Varia	N)	Other Issues			Econo	omic Ar	alysis					
REGION	Strip Bed Treatment	Currently Use Alternatives?	Research / Transition Plans	Tarps / Deep Injection Used	Pest-free Cert. Requirement	Change from Prior CUE Request (+/-	Verified Historic MeBr Use / State	Frequency of Treatment	Loss per Hectare (US\$/ha)	Loss as a % of Gross as a % of Gross as a % of Gross as a % of Mercenue Loss as a % of Net Revenue		oss as a % Vet Revenu	Quality/ Time/ Market Window/ Yield Loss (%)	Marginal Strategy	
Michigan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Tarp	No	-	Yes	1/year	\$ 1,937	\$ 16	5%	42%	22%, 6% Yield Loss + 16% delay	1,3-D + Pic	
California	Yes	Yes	Yes	Tarp	No	-	Yes	1/year	\$ 5,391	\$ 22	7%	21%	15% Yield Loss, Range 15 to 20%	Metam-Sodium	
Southeastern US	Vac	Vas	Vac	Tarn	No	_	Vac	1/vear	\$ 6 113	\$ 36	13%	30%	21% 6 2%Yield Loss+14 8% delay	1.3-D+Pic+herbicide	

Pest Distribution GA used Stanley Culpepper, UGA survey. GA figures were used for FL and SE US

Conversion Units: High estimate adds moderate and severe, Low estimate add 1/2 of moderate and all severe

1 Acre =

1 Pound =

0.453592 Kilograms 0.404686 Hectares

Most Likely Impact Value: High

24% Low

77%

^{*}Georgia rotates crops with solanaceous crops therefore we had to balance the distribution with the other sectors in Georgia's application.

^{**}Georgia Acreage estimates verified at http://www.caed.uga.edu/2003gafgveg.pdf

^{***}Michigan rates are higher for 2007 based on more current information.

^{****} Adoption / Transition in the Southeastern US is the weighted average based on the weight of their request and the estimate that can be transitioned.