Appendix I

Groundfish Assessment Review Meeting

15-19 August, 2005

Summary of Groundfish Management Measures, 2002-2004

By

Tom Nies

New England Fishery Management Council

2001					
January 9 – March 17					
April 16 – April 30					
Northern Shrimp season (61 days)					
November 6: Daily haddock possession limit removed (maximum 50,000 lbstrip).					
2002					
February 15-March 11: Northern Shrimp season (25 days with days off)					
May 1: Interim rule as a result of FW 33 lawsuit settlement agreement. Continuation of most measures					
from previous frameworks.					
DAS: 15 hour minimum charged for all trips over 3 hours					
Vessels limited to 25 percent of allocation May 1 through July 31, 2002 (only)					
Prohibition on front-loading DAS					
Minimum size: Cod 22 in.					
Gear: GOM Regulated Mesh Area (RMA): 6.5 in. diamond or square codend minimum, 6.5					
inch mesh for trip gillnets, 6.5 inch mesh standup (roundfish) or 7 inch mesh tiedown					
(flatfish) for day gillnets. All areas: day gillnets limited to 50 standup/100 tiedown nets.					
Hook gear: de-hooking devices with spacing of less than six inches prohibited.					
Closures: WGOM year round closure extended (was to sunset May 1); Cashes Ledge Closed					
Area (year round); year round Cashes Ledge East and West closure added; add blocks					
124/125 May, blocks 132/133 June,					
<u>Recreational</u> : Cod minimum size 23 in., GOM party/charter limited to 10 fish combined					
cod/haddock, all areas private recreational limited to 10 cod					
Possession limits: Remain the same. Haddock possession limit of 3,000 lbsDAS/30,000					
lbstrip through September 30.					
June 1: Revised interim rule					
<u>Minimum size</u> : Cod 19 in.					
<u>Closures</u> : Year-round Cashes Ledge east and west closures removed					
Gear: Hook: Requirement for six-inch spacing for de-hooking gear removed					
July 4: Haddock daily limit suspended. Possession limit of 30,000 lbstrip until September 30, 50,000					
Ibstrip thereafter.					
August 1: Emergency rule implementing FW 33 lawsuit settlement agreement.					
<u>DAS</u> : DAS allocation for each permit reduced 20 percent from maximum used FY 1996- 2000 (art 71.218 allocated including carry carry) DAS assumed has the minute amount for					
2000 (est 71,218 anocated, including carry-over). DAS counted by the minute, except for day gillingt years lo (15 hour minimum) (This change reverted to DAS counting in offset in					
EX 2001) Prohibition on front loading DAS clock					
Minimum size: Cod 22 in					
<u>Minimum Size</u> . Cod 22 m. Gear: Travil: GOM/GB RMAs: 6.5 in diamond or square codend minimum: Southern New					
England RMA changed to 70W to 74W (vice 72-30W) 6.5 in square 7 in diamond codend					
in SNE RMA <i>Gillnot</i> : GOM: Trin gillnets $= 6.5$ in mesh/150 nets: Day $= 6.5$ in /50 standun					
nets 7 in /100 tiedown nets (prohibited March-June): GB $= 6.5$ in /50 nets SNE $= 6.5$ in /75					
nets: Mid-Atlantic: Trin = 5.5 in diamond/6 in square Day = 5.5 in diamond/6 in square					
Hook: no de-hookers with less than 6 in/ spacing 12/0 circle hooks or larger: GOM: 2 000					
rigged hooks GR: 3 600 rigged hooks					
Closures: Add GB seasonal closure areas May – Blocks 80, 81, 118, 119, 120 (south of 42-					
20N)					
Possession limits: <i>Yellowtail flounder</i> : SNE/MA: landing/possession of vellowtail flounder					
prohibited south of 40N. Mar $1 - May 31$: 250 lbs./trip. June $1 - February 28$: 500 lbs					
DAS/4,000 lbs. – trip. Cod: GOM: 500 lbsDAS/4,000 lbs./trip. Open access commercial					
permits limited to 200 lbs. regulated groundfish.					
Recreational: Cod/haddock: 23 in. minimum size. Party/charter: GOM RMA: April-					
November, 10 cod/haddock combined per person, Dec-Mar – 10 cod/haddock combined. no					
more than 5 cod per person per trip. Private: GOM RMA: December-March – 10					
cod/haddock combined, no more than 5 cod.					
2003					
January 15-February 27: Northern Shrimp season (38 days with days off)					
March 13: Haddock possession limit suspended until May 1.					
May 1: Haddock possession limit of 3,000 lbs-DAS/30,000 lbstrip					

May 1: Framework Adjustment 37

Modifications to whiting management measures: extension of Cultivator Shoal whiting fishery					
by one month (June 15-October 31), changes to default measures, minor changes to Cape Cod					
Bay Raised Footrope Trawl exemption area.					
May 13: Haddock possession limit revised to 30,000 lbs./trip (no daily limit).					
July 9: Framework Adjustment 38					
Raised footrope trawl whiting fishery in the inshore GOM, July 1 – November 30 each year.					
July 28: Final emergency rule implementing FW 33 lawsuit settlement agreement					
Recreational: Haddock, 21 in. minimum size. Party/charter: GOM: Apr-Nov, 10 cod per					
person, December-March, 5 cod per person. Private: GOM: December-March, 10					
cod/haddock combined, no more than 5 cod. Other areas: 10 cod/haddock combined.					
October 7: Haddock possession limit suspended for the remainder of the fishing year.					
2004					
January 19-March 12: Northern Shrimp season (40 days with days off)					
May 1: Implementation of Amendment 13. Measures based on emergency rule and measures in effect					
prior to interim rule.					
DAS: DAS for each permit re-categorized. Category 1: 60% of maximum DAS used FY					
1996-2001 in years that permit landed 5,000 pounds regulated groundfish (est. 43,000					
allocated). Category B: 40% of maximum DAS used FY 1996-2001 in years that permit					
landed 5,000 pounds regulated groundfish; can only be used in specific programs. DAS					
leasing and transfer programs allow DAS exchanges between vessels under limited					
conditions. (200 lbs. of winter flounder can be retained by vessels fishing for fluke west of					
72-30 W without using a DAS).					
Minimum Size: No change from emergency rule					
Gear: Trawl: No change from emergency rule. Gillnet: GOM/GB: Day-6.5 in./50 standup					
nets, no seasonal restriction on tie-down nets; Trip: 6.5 in. mesh/150 nets. SNE/MA: 6.5 in.					
in. mesh/75 nets. Hook: GOM: 2,000 hooks. GB: 3,600 hooks					
Closures: Same as emergency rule, with addition of habitat closed areas; all except Jeffrey					
Bank and NLCA habitat closed area are within existing year-round closed areas.					
Possession limits: GOM cod: 800 lbs-DAS/4,000 lbstrip. GB cod: 1,000 lbsDAS/10,000					
lbstrip. CC/GOM yellowtail flounder: April, May, October, November - 250 lbs. trip, other					
months 750 lbsDAS/3,000 lbs-trip. SNE/MA yellowtail flounder: March –June, 250 lbs.					
trip, other months 750 lbsDAS/3,000 lbs-trip. Haddock: 3,000 lbsDAS/30,000 lbstrip.					
Special Management Programs: US/Canada Area: hard TAC on cod, haddock (SAs 561,					
562), yellowtail flounder (SAs 522, 525, 561, 562). Cod possession limit: 500 lbs-					
DAS/5,000 lbs-trip. No DAS charged to/from SAs 561, 562.					
Exempted Fisheries: Northern Shrimp fishery area restriction removed; General Category					
scallop fishery exemption in SAs 537, 538, 539, and 613.					
May 14: Haddock possession limit suspended for remainder of the fishing year.					
June 1: CAII Yellowtail Flounder Special Access Program					
Access to CAII south of 41-30N by trawl vessels targeting yellowtail flounder. Limited to					
320 trips (total), two trips per vessel per month, yellowtail flounder limited to 30,000					
lbs./trip. Authorized use of Category B DAS.					
June 23: Amendment 10 to the Atlantic Sea Scallop FMP.					
10-in. square mesh twine top required for all scallop dredge vessels in all areas.					
September 3: CAII Yellowtail Flounder SAP ends (no trips can begin after this date)					
November 2: Framework Adjustment 39 (Scallop Framework Adjustment 16)					
Scallop dredge vessel access to portions of groundfish mortality CAII and NLCA in 2004,					
CAI and CAII in 2005, and CAI and NLCA in 2006.					
Season: June 15 through January 31.					
Possession limits: 1,000 lbs. regulated groundfish, no more than 100 lbs. cod. In NLCA,					
limited to 250 lbstrip yellowtail flounder in June. (Outside of access program, scallop					
vessels continue to be limited to 300 lbs. regulated groundfish per trip).					
Yellowtail flounder catch capped at 10 percent of target TAC for the stock.					
October 1: Closure of SAs 561 and 562 to all fishing on a multispecies DAS. Prohibition on the					
possession of yellowtail flounder from SAs 522, 525, 561, 562.					
November 19: Framework Adjustment 40A					
Closed Area I Haddock SAP					
Access to small area of CAI to target haddock using longlines. Limited to 1,000 mt haddock					
TAC. Season ends December 31.					

Eastern US/CA Area Haddock SAP Pilot Program

Access to northern corner of CAII and adjacent area to target haddock using separator trawl. Season: May 1 through December 31. Authorized use of Category B DAS. *Category B (regular) DAS Pilot Program*

Vessels can use Category B (regular) DAS to target healthy stocks. Catch (kept and discarded) limited to 100 lbs. of cod, American plaice, white hake, witch flounder, ocean pout, SNE/MA winter flounder and windowpane flounder, 25 lbs.-DAS/250 lbs.-trip of yellowtail flounder. Maximum of 1,000 DAS can be used in each of four quarters from November 1, 2004 through October 31, 2005.

Appendix II.

Groundfish Assessment Review Meeting

August 15–19, 2005

Accuracy and Precision Exercises Associated with 2005 GARM Production Ageing

GARM Draft Section

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Methods
- 3.0 Results and Discussion
- 4.0 GARM Discussion
- 5.0 References

Tables

Figures

Accuracy and precision exercises associated with 2005 GARM production ageing

S. Sutherland, N. Munroe, N. Shepherd, V. Silva, S. Pregracke, and J. Burnett Fishery Biology Program Northeast Fisheries Science Center (NEFSC) Woods Hole, MA USA

1. 0. Introduction

In production ageing programs, age reader accuracy can be thought of as how often the "right" age is obtained, and precision as how often the "same" age is obtained (Campana 2001). Both measures are important components of a quality control monitoring program. For the 2005 Groundfish Assessment Review Meeting (GARM), exercises were undertaken to estimate the accuracy and/or precision of production ageing by the Fishery Biology Program for cod *Gadus morhua*, haddock *Melanogrammus aeglefinus*, yellowtail flounder *Limanda ferruginea*, witch flounder *Glyptocephalus cynoglossus*, American plaice *Hippoglossoides platessoides*, winter flounder *Pseudopleuronectes americanus*, and Acadian redfish *Sebastes fasciatus*.

2. 0. Methods

For all species, subsamples were selected to be re-aged to test age-reader accuracy or precision. Ageing accuracy is only presented for species that have reference collections already established, i.e. the Georges Bank stocks of cod and haddock. Precision data is presented for all species *versus* samples previously aged by the same reader. When reageing fish, the age reader had knowledge of the same data as during production ageing, i.e. fish length, date captured, and area captured. Except in the case of cod, where two people aged the species, all exercises combined stock areas for each species.

All exercises were 'one-shot' deals, and no attempts were made to improve results by repeated readings. There was also no attempt to revise the original production ages in cases where differences occurred. Results are presented in terms of percentage agreement, total coefficient of variation (CV), age bias plots, and age agreement matrices (Campana *et al.*1995, Campana 2001).

For Georges Bank cod, production ageing this year reverted to the previous age reader, who aged cod during the period 1984–2003. Following production ageing, age-reader accuracy was determined from a random subsample drawn from the NEFSC cod otolith reference collection. No precision estimates were attempted for this stock, due to time constraints.

For the Gulf of Maine cod stock, the current cod age reader completed precision exercises on two occasions. These were subsampled from U.S. commercial landings from the fourth quarter of 2003, and during all of 2004. A comparison between the two readers was also undertaken, with NEFSC 2004 autumn bottom trawl survey samples from the Gulf of Maine.

For haddock, age-reader precision was estimated on six occasions from second readings of random subsamples from each cruise (NEFSC 2004 autumn and 2005 spring bottom trawl surveys) and each quarter from U.S. commercial landings (2004). Following the completion of production ageing, age-reader accuracy was assessed by reading a random subsample from the NEFSC Georges Bank haddock otolith reference collection.

For yellowtail flounder, age-reader precision was estimated three times from second readings of random subsamples from 2004 Canadian landings, the Canadian 2005 bottom trawl survey, and a combination of recent U.S. samples (2004 autumn and 2005 spring bottom trawl surveys, plus 2004 commercial landings). These latter samples were also aged by a trainee who will soon assume yellowtail age-reader duties, following the recent retirement of the former age reader. The trainee worked with the former age reader during production ageing, and re-aged the same set of fish as the former reader.

For witch flounder, age-reader precision was estimated once from a combination of fish from both the NEFSC 2005 spring bottom trawl survey and Quarters 2 and 4 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings. Quarter 2 included fish from the large market category, while Quarter 4 was composed of both small and medium fish.

For American plaice, age-reader precision was estimated once from a combination of fish from both Quarter 1 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings and the NEFSC 2004 autumn bottom trawl survey.

For winter flounder, age-reader precision was estimated twice. One exercise used otoliths from NEFSC bottom trawl surveys, with equal numbers of Gulf of Maine fish from the 2004 autumn survey and Southern New England fish from the 2005 spring survey. The second exercise used scales from 2004 U.S. commercial landings, with Quarters 1 and 3 combined. In the commercial samples, Quarter 1 included Southern New England fish in both small and large market categories, and medium-sized Gulf of Maine fish. Quarter 3 was reversed in terms of stock areas and market categories.

For Acadian redfish, age-reader precision was estimated once from second readings of random subsamples from the NEFSC 2004 autumn bottom trawl survey.

3. 0. Results and Discussion

The total sample sizes associated with the accuracy and precision exercises were as follows: 106 (Georges Bank cod), 217 (Gulf of Maine cod), 500 (haddock), 367 (yellowtail), 122 (witch flounder), 161 (American plaice), 225 (winter flounder), and 142 (redfish). Results for cod are presented in Figures 1–4, haddock in Figures 5–11, yellowtail flounder in Figures 12–15, witch flounder in Figure 16, American plaice in Figure 17, winter flounder in Figures 18 and 19, and redfish in Figure 20. All results are summarized in Table 1.

The accuracy estimate for Georges Bank cod was high (91% agreement) and the total CV (1.5%) was low. There was a slight tendency toward overageing by one year in the test readings (Fig. 1), but no ages differed by more than one year. Even so, accuracy was virtually the same as that obtained last year (91% agreement and 1.9% CV, Sutherland *et*

al. 2004, unpubl.), suggesting that the switch from the current to previous age reader was not problematic.

For the two Gulf of Maine cod exercises, precision levels of 86% and 94% agreement (total CVs of 2.7% and 0.8%, respectively) were attained (Figs. 2 and 3). While these values would seem to suggest an adequate level of consistency in age determinations, the age bias plots indicated that, for the first exercise (2003 Quarter 4 commercial samples; Fig. 2), the mean test age for Age 3 fish was significantly biased from the mean production age, necessitating remedial intervention. A comparison of ages performed by the two cod age readers resulted in 100% agreement (Fig. 4), indicating that the two age readers are consistent with each other in their age determinations.

For haddock, precision levels ranged between 91 and 98% agreement, with total CVs of 0.2–0.9%, between first and second readings (Figs. 5–10), indicating a high level of consistency in age determinations. No disagreement between readings was more than one year. This year's results showed an increase in precision from last year (median of 86% agreement and 2.0% CV, Sutherland *et al.* 2004, unpubl.). The relatively high accuracy estimate (94% agreement, 1.3% CV, Fig. 11) for samples from the Georges Bank reference collection, coupled with consistently high precision results, supports the conclusion that the haddock age reader, having just completed their second year of production ageing, has attained a reliable level of ageing capability.

For yellowtail flounder, precision levels were consistent between samples from the Canadian 2004 commercial landings and the Canadian 2005 spring survey (86 and 92% agreement and total CVs of 2.5 and 1.8%, respectively, Figs. 12–13). In the commercial samples, there was a slight tendency toward overageing in the second readings. The values obtained for U.S. samples, however, were less precise (71% agreement and 6.6% CV, Fig. 14), and revealed a bias towards underageing of older fish (age \geq 4 years) in the second readings. Even so, no ages differed by more than one year. When the future age reader re-aged these same U. S. samples, similar precision levels were attained (73% agreement and 6.1% CV, Fig. 15), but no bias was apparent.

Observations of poor scale condition in yellowtail flounder from eastern Georges Bank, which began in 2002, have continued in these samples. The scales were characterized by actual holes and moderate to severe erosion of the anterior scale edges (illustrated in Sutherland *et al.* 2004, unpubl.). Causes for this condition remain are unknown, but this may help to explain the reduced precision observed with yellowtail samples.

For witch flounder, the precision level was 80% agreement, with a total CV of 1.6%, between first and second readings (Fig. 16). This indicates a moderate level of consistency in age determinations for this long-lived species.

For American plaice, a precision level of 86% agreement (total CV of 1.7%) was attained between first and second readings (Fig. 17), indicating a moderate level of consistency in age determinations.

For the two winter flounder exercises, precision levels of 94% and 79% agreement (total CVs of 1.6% and 2.8%, respectively) were attained (Figs. 18 and 19). Much greater precision was obtained with otoliths from the survey samples than with the scales routinely collected from commercial landings. Neither exercise revealed a bias, although

there may have been an error in distinguishing ages 3 and 4 in the commercial production ages. This may be related to the lack of availability of sex data for commercial samples. Female winter flounder exhibit a strong check on their scales associated with the onset of maturation (about age 3), which cannot be distinguished from an annulus without data on fish sex.

For Acadian redfish, the precision level was 89% agreement, with a total CV of 1.0%, between first and second readings (Fig. 20), indicating a moderate level of consistency in age determinations for this long-lived species.

Acceptable levels of age determination accuracy and precision are highly influenced by species, age structure, and age reader experience. Even so, various ageing labs consider a total CV of under 5% to be acceptable for species of moderate longevity and ageing complexity (Campana 2001). Therefore, precision of recent age determinations appears to have been generally reliable for the GARM assessments. Completion of reference collections for additional species and continued training of new age readers are top priorities for the Fishery Biology Program in the coming year.

4.0 GARM Discussion

The GARM Panel suggested that tests of symmetry (Hoenig et al. 1995) may be a more appropriate method with which to evaluate age reader precision. For precision exercises presented above with age agreement less than 90%, Bowker's test of symmetry (Bowker 1948) was performed. Results are presented in Table 2. Only the exercise for 2003 Quarter 4 Gulf of Maine cod revealed a systematic difference between the two readings. Several exercises flagged as problematic from age bias plots or high CVs were not significantly asymmetrical. It appears that, for some data sets, the power of tests of symmetry may be low and sensitive to the degrees of freedom available in the analysis. However, the potential utility of the test as an additional diagnostic for age reader precision was accepted and will be routinely incorporated into the suite of precision evaluations conducted by the Fishery Biology Program.

5. 0. References

- Bowker, A.H. 1948. A test for symmetry in contingency tables. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 43: 572-574.
- Campana, S.E., M.C. Annand, and J.I. McMillan. 1995. Graphical and statistical methods for determining the consistency of age determinations. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 124: 131-138.
- Campana, S.E. 2001. Accuracy, precision, and quality control in age determination, including a review of the use and abuse of age validation methods. *Journal of Fish Biology* 59: 197-242.
- Hoenig, J.M., M.J. Morgan, and C.A. Brown. 1995. Analysing differences between two age determination methods by tests of symmetry. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science* 52: 364-368.

Sutherland, S., N. Shepherd, N. Munroe, V. Silva, and J. Burnett. 2004. Precision exercises associated with 2004 TAWG production ageing. Unpublished report.

Fig.	Species & Exercise Type	Ν	Agreement (%)	CV (%)	Max. Age
1	Cod Accuracy (GB)	106	90.6	1.53	10
2	Cod Precision (GOM)	105	85.7	2.68	7
3	Cod Precision (GOM)	112	93.8	0.75	12
4	Cod Comparison (GOM)	60	100.0	0.00	10
5	Haddock Precision	102	95.1	0.71	10
6	Haddock Precision	76	96.1	0.89	9
7	Haddock Precision	56	92.9	0.69	11
8	Haddock Precision	55	90.9	0.94	11
9	Haddock Precision	44	97.7	0.25	11
10	Haddock Precision	60	95.0	0.44	12
11	Haddock Accuracy	107	93.5	1.26	12
12	Yellowtail fldr Precision	167	86.2	2.52	9
13	Yellowtail fldr Precision	100	92.0	1.79	7
14	Yellowtail fldr Precision	100	71.0	6.64	7
15	Yellowtail fldr Precision (trainee)	100	73.0	6.11	7
16	Witch fldr Precision	122	80.3	1.55	23
17	American Plaice Precision	161	85.7	1.69	13
18	Winter fldr Precision (Otoliths)	110	93.6	1.59	9
19	Winter fldr Precision (Scales)	115	79.1	2.81	9
20	Redfish Precision	142	89.4	0.99	19
	Total	2000			
	Average		89.1	1.79	
	Median		91.5	1.40	

Table 1. Results of all ageing exercises, with list of associated figures. Maximum age is the highest age found among the production ages within each exercise.

Table 2. Results of Bowker's test of symmetry for all precision exercises with age agreements of less than 90% (**bold** value indicates a systematic difference in the distribution of the two sets of ages).

Species	χ^2	d.f.	P-value
Cod (GOM)	11.50	4	0.02
Yellowtail (2004			
Canadian fishery)	16.47	11	0.12
Yellowtail (US			
survey & fishery)	10.45	6	0.11
Yellowtail (Trainee)	2.20	5	0.82
Witch flounder	19.33	18	0.37
American plaice	8.00	10	0.63
Winter fldr (Scales)	11.20	7	0.13
Redfish	10.33	11	0.50

Figure 1. Results of Georges Bank cod age-reader accuracy exercise against randomly selected samples from the NEFSC cod reference collection. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 2. Results of Gulf of Maine cod age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from Quarter 4 of 2003 U.S. commercial landings. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 3. Results of Gulf of Maine cod age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from Quarters 1–4 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 4. Results of cod age-reader comparison exercise using randomly selected Gulf of Maine samples from the NEFSC 2004 autumn bottom trawl survey. The current age reader is listed here as Reader #1. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 5. Results of haddock age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from the NEFSC 2004 autumn bottom trawl survey. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 6. Results of haddock age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from the NEFSC 2005 spring bottom trawl survey. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 7. Results of haddock age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from Quarter 1 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 8. Results of haddock age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from Quarter 2 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 9. Results of haddock age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from Quarter 3 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 10. Results of haddock age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from Quarter 4 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 11. Results of haddock age-reader accuracy exercise against randomly selected samples from the NEFSC haddock reference collection. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 12. Results of yellowtail age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from Canadian 2004 commercial landings. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 13. Results of yellowtail age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from the Canadian 2005 bottom trawl survey. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 14. Results of yellowtail age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from U.S. 2004 commercial landings, and NEFSC 2004 autumn and 2005 spring bottom trawl surveys. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 15. Results of trainee yellowtail age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from U.S. 2004 commercial landings, and NEFSC 2004 autumn and 2005 spring bottom trawl surveys. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 16. Results of witch flounder age-reader precision exercise against samples from Quarters 2 and 4 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings (N=60) and the NEFSC 2005 spring bottom trawl survey (N=62). Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 17. Results of American plaice age-reader precision exercise against samples from the Quarter 1 of 2004 U.S. commercial landings (N=82) and the NEFSC 2004 autumn bottom trawl survey (N=79). Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 18. Results of winter flounder age-reader precision exercise against otolith samples from the NEFSC 2004 autumn (N=55) and 2005 spring (N=55) bottom trawl surveys. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 19. Results of winter flounder age-reader precision exercise against scale samples from Quarters 1 (N=55) and 3 (N=60) of 2004 U.S commercial landings. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.



Figure 20. Results of redfish age-reader precision exercise against randomly selected samples from the NEFSC 2004 autumn bottom trawl survey. Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals.

