Appendix H - Monitoring Strategy

Frank Church-River of No Return Wilderness Noxious and Invasive Weed Management

Monitoring Strategy

April 2004

Monitoring Goals:

Monitoring associated with Integrated Weed Management within the FC-RONRW will continue to focus upon (1) trends in infestation number, size and density (2) the effect of noxious/invasive weed infestations on native vegetation and other wilderness resources (3) the effect of treatments on target weeds and desirable vegetation and (4) effectiveness of treatments as implemented.

Monitoring Elements:

- 1) Evaluate long term effects of treatment on target weeds and non-target vegetation.
 - A) Permanent monitoring plots (Nested Frequency) for pre & post treatment plant composition and frequency
 - B) Monitor survival, distribution and effectiveness of Biological Control agents (insects and pathogens)
- 2) Evaluate immediate and short term impacts of treatment on target weeds and non-target vegetation
 - A) Monitor and/or document observations shortly after treatment to determine potential need for modifications to treatment strategies.
- 3) Monitor size and density of weed infestations
 - A) Maintain a noxious/invasive plant inventory and database for the FC-RONRW using national protocols. Monitor a sample of infestations to determine expansion and/or reduction of infestations over time.
- 4) Document effects of noxious and invasive plants on native vegetation and other wilderness values.
 - A) Maintain a database of observations and other pertinent information regarding the effects invasive plants have on native vegetation and other wilderness resources within the FC-RONRW.

Specific Monitoring Elements

1.A Permanent monitoring plots (Nested Frequency) for pre & post treatment plant composition and frequency

Beginning in 2000, 15 permanent quantitative monitoring sites were established in the FC-RONRW, primarily along the main and middle forks of the Salmon River. These plots were established to determine and assess significant changes in vegetation composition resulting from treatment activities. Permanent monitoring sites established in the FC-RONRW are shown on the map attached. The sites to be monitored were chosen based on the following factors;

- Noxious/invasive weeds were present
- Treatment was planned in the near future
- Representation of a variety of ecological types
- Locations are relatively easily accessed by boat, raft or small airplane

Base line and first year post treatment information has been collected at these monitoring sites using Forest Service (Region 4) protocol for Nested Frequency monitoring (attachment 1.A). Varying site conditions may influence the effectiveness of treatment on target weeds and also the effects on non-target vegetation. Initial evaluation of monitoring information at these sites indicates successful reduction of noxious/invasive weeds with little or no impact to non-target vegetation.

The majority of the sites were resample in the spring of 2003. Plots will be re-sampled at year 5 following treatment (2005). The need for further monitoring and/or appropriate monitoring intervals will be determined following the evaluation of 2005 data.

Additional monitoring sites may be established at new locations having different site characteristics. Site characteristics potentially influencing effectiveness of weed treatment include; aspect, slope and elevation, soil type and texture, amount of bare soil, density of conifer over story, density of noxious/invasive weeds and associated vegetation, shrub component, distance to water, etc.

1.B Monitor survival, distribution and effectiveness of Biological Control agents (insects and pathogens)

The use of Biological Control agents as a component of Integrated Weed Management in the FC-RONRW will be monitored to determine treatment effectiveness. Project elements to be evaluated include, 1) survival and establishment of the biocontrol agent at the target site, 2) biocontrol agents increasing in numbers 3) biocontrol agents affecting the target plants, 4) trend in target plants populations, 5) trend in non-target vegetation, 6) factors influencing survival or effectiveness of biocontrol agents, and 7) potential use for collection and redistribution.

Various monitoring protocols are available to evaluate the effectiveness of biological control. Recommended sampling methods and forms are described in "Biological Control of Weeds in the West", Western Society of Weed Science, 1996. Optional biocontrol monitoring form and instructions are found in Attachment 1.B.

2.A Monitor and/or document observations shortly after treatment

Qualitative monitoring will determine if treatment practices are having the intended affect on weed infestations, while providing protection to other vegetation within the anticipate parameters. Field crews will continuously monitor post treatment effects by observing areas previously treated. This level of monitoring will allow for continuous evaluation of weed treatment practices to insure effective treatment of target weeds and protection of non-target vegetation and resources. Changes to treatment practices can quickly be made at the first indication of potential concerns. Observations by field crews will be documented and pertinent information disseminated to resource managers and field crews. Consistant qualitative monitoring within the FC-RONRW will be achieved by the use of an agreed upon protocol and monitoring report form. Monitoring protocols and forms presently being reviewed (Attachment 2.A) will be formalized by the end of the 2005 field seson.

3.A Maintain a noxious/invasive plant inventory and database

The FC-RONRW will maintain a noxious/invasive plant inventory and database using national protocols. Annually a sample of treated infestations will be monitored to determine expansion and/or reduction of infestations over time.

4.A Document effects of noxious and invasive plants on native vegetation and other wilderness values.

The FC-RONRW will maintain a database of observations and other pertinent information regarding the effects of invasive plants on native vegetation and other wilderness resources.

Attachment 1.A

Nested Frequency Monitoring Form, R4 2200-22

Attachment 1.B

BIOLOGICAL CONTROL MONITORING REPORT

Monitored By:	Monitor Date:/	(yyyy mm dd)
State: County:	Target Agent:	
Target Weed:		
Other Agents Present:		
Land Ownership: BIA BLM	I CGOV OTH PVLA STAT TNC TRIB USF	S USFW USOT
Local Ownership (Optional):	(/	Assign a Site Name)
UTM: UTM Datum Zone:	UTM Year: UTM Easting: UTM	Northing:
Lat: Deg Min LL_Datum:	Sec	Sec
Legal: T R	Sec Q QQ	
	MONITORING INFORMATION	
Sampling Time:		
	ar Partly cloudy Overcast Rain	
(Other: Specify)		
Air Temperature: (F) <60 Wind: Calm Lig	0 60-70 70-80 80-90 >90 A ht Moderate Strong	Actual Temp:
	BIOLOGICAL CONTROL MONITORING	
Visual Observation of any Bio	control Agent Before Sampling? Yes _	No
Visual Observation of Biocon	trol Agent/5 Minutes: Species:	Number:
Seedheads Sampling: Number Species:	r Sampled: Total Number Infested:	_
Agent Sweeping: Number of S	Sweeps: Total Number of Agents Swept	: Species:
Root Sampling: Number of R Species:	oots Sampled: Total Number Infested:	_
Estimate of Population Level:	Established Marginally Collectable	Collectable
*Answer the above question	ns for each biocontrol organism found pre	esent on site and attach.

VEGETATION MONITORING

Photos Taken?	Y N					
Dominant Plant:						
Percent Canopy Cover:	Tree	Shrub	Forb	Grasses	Litter	Bare Ground
Type of Sample:	Daubenmire	frame	Other (Specify)		
Average Number of Tar	get Weed Ste	ms:	(aver	rage from reve	erse side)	
Average Height of Targe	et Weed:		,			
Average Percent Canop	y Cover of Ta	rget Weed:				

MONITORING INSTRUCTIONS

Visual Observation: Sit quietly for 5 minutes in the infested area near the release point and look for the insects. If you see none, then carefully and slowly move the plants aside to look under the leaves and on the stems. Record observations on monitoring worksheet. Summarize results onto page 1.

Seehhead Sampling: Within a 15 meter circle surrounding the release point collect 200 seedheads. Dissect the seedheads and examine for the presence of a biocontrol agent. Record on the worksheet if the seedhead is infested, the species and number of biocontrol agents present. Summarize the results onto page 1.

*If 50% of the seedheads are infested then the population is likely collectible.

Agent Sweeping: First, look over the release area to see if biocontrol agents are visually apparent. Next, sweep 5 sampling points along four lines in N, S, E, and W direction from the release point (20 points total). For each line, begin 1 meter from the release point. Using a 15-inch diameter net, make 4 sweeps (2 to right center, 2 to left center). Carefully examine the net and count and identify species of the biocontrol agents present, then empty the net behind you. Record on worksheet. Move 2 meters out and repeat above steps. Continue until 5 points have been sampled, then repeat over the remaining cardinal directions. Record on monitoring worksheet. Summarize all transect results on page 1.

*If you collect 2 target organisms per sweep or a total of 160 then the population is likely collectible.

Root Sampling: Within a 15 meter circle surrounding the release point, dig roots from 20 randomly selected plants. Select plants with a root crown diameter of atleast 12 millimeters. Dissection of the roots should be done in the field for best results. If this is not possible, place the plants on ice or in a cool place for dissection later. Count the number of infested plants. Record on monitoring worksheet. Summarize results onto page 1.

*If 25 % or more of the plants are infested; the population is likely collectable.

Vegetation Monitoring: Establish 4 transects, in each cardinal direction, from the release point. Place a Daubenmire frame to the right side of each transect line at a point 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9 meters. Within each frame, count the number of stems, record the average height and the percent canopy cover for the target weed. Record on monitoring worksheet and summarize the results onto page 1.

MONITORING WORKSHEET VISUAL OBSERVATION (5 minutes).

SEEDHEAD SAMPLING (Record species and number found. If seedhead is empty, leave blank).

Seedhead #	Species	#Found	Seedhead#	Species	#Found	Seedhead#	Species	#Found
1			68			135		
2			69			136		
3			70			137		
4			71			138		
5			72			139		
6			73			140		
7			74			141		
8			75			142		
9			76			143		
10			77			144		
11			78			145		
12			79			146		
13			80			147		
14			81			148		
15			82			149		
16			83			150		
17			84			151		
18			85			152		
19			86			153		
20			87			154		
21			88			155		
22			89			156		
23			90			157		
24			91			158		
25			92			159		
26			93			160		
27			94			161		
28			95			162		
29			96			163		
30			97			164		
31			98			165		
32			99			166		
33			100			167		
34			101			168		
35			102			169		
36			103			170		
37			104			171		

Draft Noxious Weed SEIS

	1 1 VO IXCUITI VVIIGOTIICSS	Diant Noxious Weed BLID	,
38	105	172	
39	106	173	
40	107	174	
41	108	175	
42	109	176	
43	110	177	
44	111	178	
45	112	179	
46	113	180	
47	114	181	
48	115	182	
49	116	183	
50	117	184	
51	118	185	
52	119	186	
53	120	187	
54	121	188	
55	122	189	
56	123	190	
57	124	191	
58	125	192	
59	126	193	
60	127	194	
61	128	195	
62	129	196	
63	130	197	
64	131	198	
65	132	199	
66	133	200	
67	134		
			•

<u>AGENT SWEEPING</u> (4 sweeps at each of the 5 points per transect = 20 sweeps per transect. 4 transects x 20 sweeps per transect = 80 sweeps per release site).

Distance from Release Point		Direction	<u>on</u>		
	<u>N</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>E</u>	$\underline{\mathbf{W}}$	
1 m					
3m					
5m					
7m					
9m					

ROOT SAMPLING (Samples should be taken within a 15 meter circle surrounding the release point).

Root	Type of Larva	Number Found
1 .		
$\frac{2}{3}$.		
3 . 4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15 16		
17		
18		
19		
20		

^{*}Record: AG for Agapeta, CY for Cyphlocleonus or SP for Sphenoptera.

<u>VEGETATION MONITORING</u> (Sample should be taken on the right side of transect with back to release point facing in the appropriate cardinal direction).

		<u>I</u>	Direction		
Distance from Release Point		<u>N</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>E</u>	W
1m	Number of Stems Average Height Percent Canopy Cover				
3m	Number of Stems Average Height Percent Canopy Cover				
5m	Number of Stems Average Height Percent Canopy Cover				
7m	Number of Stems Average Height Percent Canopy Cover				
9m	Number of Stems Average Height Percent Canopy Cover				

Attachment 2.A.1

Noxious Weed Qualitative Monitoring Form Post Treatment

Location: Monitoring Date: Site ID: Acres: Examiner: Distance to Water	
Treatment Times	
Treatment Type: Treatment Date:	
Amount/Rate: Applicator:	
Existing Vegetation	
Vegetation Canopy Cover 1-5% 6-25% 26-50% 51-75% 76-10	00%
Target Weeds:	
Grass:	
Forbs:	
Shrubs:	
Trees:	
Tites.	
Moss/Lichens	
Weed Distribution: Isolated scattered patchy scattered patchy continuous linear Weed Density: (plants/meter sq) 01-2526-5051-100101-150>150	•
· · · — — — — — —	
Treatment Results Uniform or Patchy	
Treatment Results Uniform □ or Patchy □ Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction)	
Treatment Results Uniform or Patchy	
Treatment Results Uniform □ or Patchy □ Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction)	
Treatment Results Uniform □ or Patchy □ Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction)	
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80%	81-100%
Treatment Results Uniform □ or Patchy □ Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction)	
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% Effects of Treatment on Uncertain No Slight Significant	81-100% Total
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% Effects of Treatment on Other Vegetation Uniform □ or Patchy □ 41-60% 61-80% Slight Reduction Reduction	81-100% Total
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% Effects of Treatment on Other Vegetation Uniform □ or Patchy □ 41-60% 61-80% Slight Reduction Reduction	81-100%
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% Effects of Treatment on Other Vegetation Grass: Uniform □ or Patchy □ 41-60% 61-80% Slight Reduction Reduction	81-100%
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% Effects of Treatment on Other Vegetation Grass: Uniform □ or Patchy □ 41-60% 61-80% Slight Reduction Reduction	81-100%
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% Effects of Treatment on Other Vegetation Grass: Forbs:	81-100%
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% Effects of Treatment on Other Vegetation Grass: Uniform □ or Patchy □ 41-60% 61-80% Slight Reduction Reduction	81-100%
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain Uncertain I-20% I-40% I-40% I-60% I-80% I-80	81-100%
Treatment Results Target Weed Control Rating (Estimated Percent Reduction) Target Weed Uncertain 1-20% 21-40% 41-60% 61-80% Effects of Treatment on Other Vegetation Grass: Forbs:	81-100%

Comments/Observations:

Attachment 2.A.2

Noxious Weed Qualitative Monitoring Form

Examiners:															
Date:					Time:				Site Name:						
Location:									Site	e #:					
									T.	R.	Sec	QSec	QSec		
Target Weed:									Lat	t.	Lo	ng			
			0%	1	1-5%	6-	20%	, D		21	-45%	46-	-70%	71-100%	
Weed Name:															
Annual Grass															
Perennial Grass															
Forbs															
Shrubs															
Trees															
Dominant Plants on	Site:														
Other Noxious Weed	ds:														
Noxious Wo	eed Densit	y			Noxious Wee	l Distr	ibut	ion				P	henolog	y	
(Flowering pla	ints/meter	sq.)										Estin	nated Pe	rcent	
0					Isolated						Rosette	!			
1-25					Scattered						Bolting				
26-75					Scattered-Patchy						BU-1				
75-100					Patchy						BU-2				
			\top		Continuous						BU-3				
		L		!							BU-4				
											Flower				
											Senesce	ent			

Comments/Observations:

(Attachment 2.A.2, cont.)

FCRONR NOXIOUS WEED TREATMENT MONITORING FORM

PROJECT NAME: FCRONRW Weed Treatment

SITE/LOCATION: Infestations proposed for treatment. Known populations and habitats for tes plant species, and known noxious weed infestations and susceptible habitat types. Sites will depend on burn units, species, and habitat.

MONITORING OBJECTIVES:

- 1. To determine the effectiveness of treatment on noxious weed infestations.
- 2. To determine response of native vegetation to treatment and reduction in noxious weeds, including any TES plant species IF present.

MONITORING TYPE: Baseline, implementation, Effectiveness, and Validation.

PRIORITY: Highest

PARAMETERS: Known infestations by species on each National Forest, in representative habitats of all 3 major watersheds (Upper Selway, Middle Fork, and Main Salmon), for each treatment type. Suitable monitoring sites will be determined by . Weed Coordinators and Forest Botanists. Refer to tables and maps in project file and EIS to determine which sites would be appropriate and accessible (for efficiency).

METHODOLOGY: Baseline and follow-up monitoring using Qualitative Vegetative Monitoring Form (attached).

FREQUENCY/DURATION: Baseline monitoring prior to treatment. Thereafter, plots read every year for 3 years, and every other year for another 5 years.

VARIANCE LIMITS: Decreases or increases in noxious weed infestations. Decreases or increases of native species over 5 years.

CORRECTIVE MEASURES: Increases in noxious weed infestations

DATA STORAGE: Hard copies to be kept in Forest Headquarters, with master copies at Salmon-Challis Headquarters. Electronic forms in Forest electronic files.

REPORT: Hard copy (including maps) and electronic.

PROJEC1ED COST: 4 person/days field time (includes travel) plus 1 person/day office plus travel expenses (approx. \$1000/year) per site. Total \$10,000 year for entire wilderness.

PERSONNEL NEEDED: Forest Botanist and/or Weed Coordinator and 1 other person (biotech or Forest tes plants coordinator), 4 days per field season, plus 1 day office time, per site.

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Forest Supervisor/BLM District Manager

PREPARED BY: Alexia Cochrane, Botanist, Nez Perce NF DAIE: 28 July 1999