2	U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE PUBLIC MEETING
3	ON RESIDENT CANADA GOOSE POPULATIONS MAY 15, 2002 BROOKINGS, SOUTH DAKOTA
4	BROOKINGS, SOUTH DAROTA
5	MR. SENG: Good evening. Welcome to tonight's
6	meeting on resident Canada geese management. My name
7	is Phil Seng. I'll be the facilitator for tonight's
8	meeting. The purpose of the meeting is to take public
9	input on the draft Environmental Impact Statement that
10	the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service has prepared on
11	resident Canada goose overabundance. And I'd like to
12	thank each of you for taking the time out of your
13	schedules to come share your comments with us tonight,
14	especially on such a beautiful evening.
15	Tonight is the sixth out of eleven meetings that
16	will be held on this issue around the country. We
17	started off on April 1st in Dallas, Texas. From there
18	we went to Palatine, Illinois, which is a suburb of
19	Chicago, then to Waupun, Wisconsin; Franklin,
20	Tennessee. Last night we were in Bloomington,
21	Minnesota. Tonight obviously here in Brookings. From
22	here we go to Richmond, Virginia; Danbury, Connecticut;
23	North Brunswick, New Jersey; Denver, Colorado; and then
24	we finish up on May 30th in Bellevue, Washington.

Before we begin, I'd like to recognize a couple

1	people in the audience. First is Tom Melius.
2	He's the assistant director for migratory
3	birds and state programs with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife
4	Service from the Washington D.C. office. We sure
5	appreciate you being with us, Tom. And Spencer Vaa and
6	George Vandel George is in the back with the
7	South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks Department. So thanks
8	a lot for being with us.
9	The process for the meeting tonight is very
10	straightforward. First, we'll have Ron Kokel, who is a
11	wildlife biologist from the Fish & Wildlife Service
12	he will give a brief a slide presentation
13	on the draft Environmental Impact Statement, and then
14	we'll go right into taking your comments on the draft
15	EIS.
16	When you came in, you should have gotten a numbered
17	card, and for reasons I won't bore you with, the
18	cards started at 151, so if you've got card 160 and
19	you're afraid you've got to listen to 150 people before
20	you, don't worry about it. It's not that many. We'll
21	just go in order from 151 through however many we gave
22	out. If you don't want to make a public statement but
23	you do want to send comments in, there's a
24	mail address and an e-mail address on the

back of this card so if you choose not to come to the

1	mike configure but you still want to send comments of you
2	think of something after you leave tonight, feel free
3	to send those in. The deadline for comments is May 30,
4	and that's written on here as well. And I would
5	encourage you if you have Internet access to send them
6	by e-mail. As most of you I'm sure are aware, because
7	of the Anthrax issue in Washington D.C., sometimes the
8	regular mail going into D.C. has to go through a
9	separate irradiation process. So it's not as timely as
10	it might otherwise be. So if you have e-mail, you can
11	make sure you get your comments in as soon as you send
12	them.

If you do choose to make a public comment tonight, when I call your name, if you would please come to the mike in the center aisle here for a couple of reasons. First, we want to make sure that everyone has a chance to hear what you have to say; and second, we want to make sure that Maxine, our court reporter, can record everything you have to say verbatim. We don't want there to be any issues of us misinterpreting what you have to say. So please do come to the mike.

When I call your number, if you don't want to make a public comment, please just say pass so we can move on.

25 When you do come to the mike, if you would state

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your name and spell it, unless it's immediately obvious how your name is spelled, so we make sure we capture that correctly. If you're representing an organization with your comments, please state what the organization is and please tell us where you're from.

Very shortly I'll be sending around a sign-up sheet on a clipboard. If you would please sign it so we know who was here tonight. And there's a couple of check boxes underneath where you sign your name and address; and that is, if you were at the scoping meetings a couple years ago or if you got on our mailing list in some other way and you received a copy of the draft Environmental Impact Statement in the mail, then you're on our mailing list. And you will get a copy of the final one when it is made available. And so just check that box if you fall into that group to make sure we don't send you a duplicate mailing. If you're not on our mailing list and did not receive a copy of the draft EIS in the mail, check the other box and we'll be sure to send you a copy of the final EIS when it comes out.

I'd like to point out that the format for tonight's meeting is for us to take input from you.

It's not a debate or discussion format so please keep that in mind when you make your comments. And finally,

25

1	my job as a facilitator is to make sure that everyone
2	has a chance to make their comments and be heard, and
3	so I don't think it will be a problem This group isn't
4	that big but in case anyone goes too long, I may ask
5	you to wrap up your comments. And I apologize in
6	advance for doing that. I want to make sure that we
7	give everyone a chance to speak.
8	So with that, I'll pass around the sign-up sheet
9	and turn it over to Ron Kokel who will give us a brief
10	overview of the draft Environmental Impact Statement.
11	Ron?
12	MR. KOKEL: Thank you, Phil. Good evening
13	everybody. Again, my name's Ron Kokel. I'm with the
14	U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service Division of Migratory Bird
15	Management, and I'm currently stationed in Arlington,
16	Virginia. And on behalf of our director, Steve
17	Williams, I'd like to welcome all of you to this public
18	meeting.
19	This meeting is the sixth, as Phil already pointed
20	out, of eleven public meetings that are being held
21	across the country for the purpose of inviting public
22	participation and input into our process of developing
23	the Environmental Impact Statement for resident Canada

geese management. This draft Environmental Impact

Statement was developed in full cooperation with the

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 U.S. Department of Agriculture Wildlife Services.

Why are we here? Well, we're here to explain what's in the draft, its proposed action, and to listen to your comments. The draft considers a range of management alternatives for addressing expanding populations of locally breeding resident Canada geese, and as such, we're really just here listening to you and invite your comments on what our recommended action is.

First, a brief explanation of the National Environmental Policy Act which governs this whole process, or NEPA. NEPA requires completion of an EIS to analyze environmental and socioeconomic impacts that are associated with any federal significant actions. NEPA also requires public involvement which includes a scoping period before the draft, which was when we were here a couple years ago, and a comment period after the draft. We began this process in August of 1999 when we published a notice that announced our intent to prepare this draft Environmental Impact Statement. Then in February of 2000, we held nine public scoping meetings which were designed to get public input into the process. We did hold one here at Brookings. Scoping ended in March of 2000. In response to the scoping, we received over 3,000 comments from the public and over

1	1,250 people attended the nine public meetings.
2	What did we find out with scoping? The top issues

that were identified were property damage and conflicts

caused by resident Canada geese, the methods of the

conflict abatement, sport hunting opportunities on

resident geese, the economic impacts caused by resident

geese, human health and safety concerns, and the

impacts to the Canada geese themselves.

NEPA's also very specific in that it outlines a specific format for an EIS. There's a purpose and needs section, an alternatives section, an affected environment section, and environmental consequences section.

In the EIS, we define resident Canada geese as those geese that nest within the lower 48 states in the months of March, April, May, or June or reside within the lower 48 states in the months of April, May, June, July, or August.

What's the purpose and the need for this document?

The purpose of the EIS is to:

- Evaluate alternative strategies to reduce,
 manage, and control resident goose populations in the
 U.S.;
- 2. To provide a regulatory mechanism that would allow state and local agencies, other federal agencies,

1	and groups	and	individuals	to	respond	to da	mage
2	complaints	caus	sed by reside	ent	Canada	qeese;	and

3. To guide and direct resident Canada goose population management activities in the U.S.

The need for the EIS is two-fold. One is the increasing resident goose populations coupled with growing conflicts, damages, and the socioeconomic impacts that they cause has resulted in a reexamination of the Service's resident Canada goose management.

The draft looks at seven different management alternatives. The first alternative is Alternative A, which is no action, which everything is compared to. Alternative B is a nonlethal control and management alternative, which includes only nonfederally permitted activities. Alternative C is a nonlethal control and management, which includes federally permitted activities. Alternative D is expanded hunting methods and opportunities. Alternative E, integrated depredation order management. Alternative F, the proposed action, which we term state empowerment. And Alternative G, a general depredation order.

Alternative A would result in no additional regulatory methods or strategies that would be authorized. We would continue the use of all special hunting seasons, the issuance of depredation permits,

1 and the issuance of special Canada goose permits.

Alternative B, nonlethal management, which includes only nonfederally permitted activities, we would cease all lethal control of all resident Canada geese and their eggs. Only nonlethal harassment techniques would be allowed, no permits would be issued, and all special hunting seasons would be discontinued.

Alternative C is a nonlethal management, which includes federally permitted activities. And under this alternative, we would cease all permitted lethal control of resident Canada geese. We would promote nonlethal harassment techniques, there would be no depredation or special Canada goose permits issued, egg addling would be allowed with a permit, and special hunting seasons would be continued.

Alternative D, increased hunting. Under this alternative, we would provide new regulatory options to increase the harvest of resident Canada geese.

These could include additional hunting methods such as electronic calls, unplugged shotguns, expanded shooting hours. These seasons could be operational during

September 1 to 15, they could be experimental during

September 16 to 30, and they would have to be conducted outside of other open seasons.

Alternative E which we termed integrated
depredation order management really consists of four
different depredation orders. There's an airport
depredation order, a nest and egg depredation order, an
agricultural depredation order, and a public health
depredation order. Implementation of each of these
would be up to the individual state wildlife agency,
special hunting seasons would be continued, and we
would also continue the issuance of depredation permits
and special Canada goose permits.

The airport depredation order would authorize airports to establish and implement a program which could include direct and/or indirect population control strategies. The intent of the program would be to significantly reduce goose populations at airports.

The management actions would have to occur on airport premises.

The nest and egg depredation order would allow the destruction of resident Canada goose nests and eggs without federal permits. The intent of this program would be to stabilize current breeding populations.

The agricultural depredation order would authorize landowners, operators, or tenants which are actively engaged in commercial agriculture to conduct either indirect or direct control strategies on geese

depredating on agricultural crops. Management actions
would also have to occur on the premises.

The last depredation order was the public health depredation order, which would authorize state, county, municipal, or local health officials to conduct indirect and/or direct population control strategies on resident geese when it's recommended by health officials that there's a potential public health threat. Again, management actions would have to occur on the premises.

Our proposed action we term state empowerment.

Under this alternative, we would establish a new regulation which would authorize state wildlife agencies or their authorized agents to conduct or allow management activities on resident goose populations.

The intent of this program would be to allow state wildlife agencies sufficient flexibility to deal with problems caused by resident geese within their state. It would authorize indirect or direct population control strategies such as aggressive harassment, nest and egg destruction, gosling and adult trapping, and culling programs. It would allow the state to choose implementation of any of the specific depredation orders which were under Alternative E. It would also — during existing special hunting seasons, it

would expand the methods of take to increase nunter
harvest and I went over Alternative D such as
additional hunting methods such as electronic calls,
unplugged guns, expanded shooting hours. Again, these
would be operational during September 1 to 15, they
could be experimental from September 16 to 30, and they
would have to be conducted outside of other open
seasons.

Additionally, there would be a conservation order provision under this alternative which would provide special expanded hunter opportunities during the portion of the Migratory Bird Treaty closed period — that is, August 1 to 31 — and also during the open period of September 1 to 15. It would authorize those additional methods such as electronic calls, unplugged guns, expanded shooting hours, and liberalized bag limits. And again, these would have to be conducted outside of other open seasons.

Under this alternative, the Service would annually assess the impact and the effectiveness of the program, and there would be a provision for the possible suspension of the regulations -- that is, the conservation order and the regular hunting season changes -- when the need was no longer present. We would also continue all special and regular hunting

seasons. We would continue the issuance of depredation and special Canada goose permits. The only state requirements of the program would be to annually monitor spring breeding populations and annually report the take under any authorized activities.

The last alternative we term the general depredation order. Under this alternative, we would allow any authorized person to conduct management activities on resident geese that are either posing a threat to health and human safety or causing damage.

These would be available between April 1st and August 31 and would also provide expanded special hunting opportunities like I went over in Alternative D. We would continue the use of special and regular hunting seasons and the issuance of depredation and special Canada goose permits. Authorization for all management activities under this alternative would come directly from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.

Under the affected environment, we looked at two different things. We looked at biological environment and socioeconomic environment. Under the biological environment, we looked at the resident Canada goose population, water quality and wetlands, vegetation and soils, wildlife habitat, and federally listed threatened and endangered species. Under the

socioeconomic environment, we looked at the migratory bird program, which includes a sport hunting program and a migratory bird permit program; social values and considerations; the economic considerations such as property damages and agricultural crop damages; human health and safety; and the program cost.

The environmental consequences forms the scientific and the analytic basis for the comparison of all the different alternatives. It analyzes the environmental impacts of each alternative in relation to the resource categories that I just went over. And again, the no action alternative or Alternative A provides a baseline for all of these analyses.

Under the no action alternative, what we would expect to happen is that populations of resident Canada geese would continue to grow. In the Atlantic Flyway we would expect about 1.6 million within 10 years; in the Mississippi Flyway, upwards of 2 million in 10 years; here in the Central Flyway, around 1.3 million within 10 years; and the Pacific Flyway, about 450,000 within 10 years. We would expect that there would be continued and expanded goose distribution problems and conflicts caused by resident geese. There would be increased work loads, and there would be a continued impact to property, safety, and health.

Under our proposed action, what we would expect is reduction in populations, especially in specific problem areas. We would expect some increased hunting opportunities; significant reductions in conflicts; decreased impacts to property, safety, and health; initial work load increases, but we do expect that there would be long-term work load decreases as these populations decrease; and the alternative would maintain viable resident Canada goose populations.

Some of the recent modeling that's been done suggests that in order to reduce the four flyway populations from the current levels of about three and a half million down to the flyway-established objectives of about 2.1 million would require each year for 10 years: One, the harvest of an additional 480,000 geese annually over what's occurring now; or the take of an additional 852,000 goslings annually; third, the nest removal of 528,000 nests annually; or four, the combination of an additional harvest of 240,000 geese and the take of 320,000 goslings annually. Each one of these would have to occur for ten years each year.

Thus when you look at those numbers, we believe the only way to possibly obtain this is to give states the flexibility to address problems within their

1	respective state. We believe that the population
2	reduction should be addressed on a wide number of
3	available fronts, and since states are the most
4	informed and knowledgeable local authorities on
5	wildlife conflicts, the primary responsibilities and
6	decisions of the program should probably be placed with
7	them.
8	What comes next? First is development of a new

What comes next? First is development of a new regulation to carry out the proposed action. This should be forthcoming in May. Second, a public comment period which Phil already talked about on this draft Environmental Impact Statement ends on May the 30th, and third would be the publication of a final report, a a record of decision, and a

final rule which we anticipate for this fall.

As I already went over and Phil already went over, the comment period ends May 30, and he's outlined some of the various methods that you can use to send in your comments. These include any oral or written comments that you give us tonight, and any that you may subsequently send.

Additionally, we have set up an electronic site which is printed on the back of the card, which you can access all of the information pertinent to the EIS process. This includes both the draft, the news

2	register notices.
3	And on behalf of the Service, I'd like to thank
4	all of you for being here tonight and especially those
5	of you that will provide comments. Thanks.
6	MR. SENG: Thank you, Ron. Now for the important
7	part of the meeting to hear what you have to say. I
8	would just like to state once again, when you
9	come to the mike in the center, please state your name and
10	spell it unless it's immediately obvious, tell us what
11	organization you represent if any, and where you're
12	from. So without further ado, card 151.
13	MR. JERRY PETERSON: My name is Jerry Peterson.
14	The spelling is obvious. I want to thank you men for
15	coming here and giving us an opportunity to respond to
16	your proposals. I don't really know what you men are
17	looking for but what we want is solutions. I'm a
18	farmer, and I lose 20 to 30 acres of crop each year to
19	the public game. And that comes right out of my
20	pocket. It would be nice to have some reimbursement.
21	As far as control measures go, I like the
22	presentation, I like the idea that you put it back into
23	the state and local hands. I think that's appropriate,
24	and they're the only ones that know best how to deal
25	with the problem. But I thought you maybe omitted one

1 release, some questions and answers, the federal

possible means of control and that would be biological.
And I think if you would tap into the resources of our
various state university wildlife departments doing
research, et cetera, I think you could look at hormonal
control and maybe an olfactory agent we could spray to
keep them out of our crops. And it's just a short time
frame there. And a certain number of crops you
don't want them in; some they can go in. I think this
would be things to look at.

The bottom line is, we all like to see a few of those geese around. We like them. We helped propagate them when they got started. It's tough to control them by hunting. They hear one shot and they get real smart. They'll leave the county. They've tried it here, different counties. They'll go to the next one. They're a very intelligent bird. They're not a real palatable food bird, you know, for the table. So we really don't have the number of hunters that we should according to our population, what I've seen.

My lands, I've given everybody that asks

permission to hunt them and still the numbers multiply.

Control with hunting I don't think will be successful

unless there were a spring hunt, and of course, that

would be up to the state and local people. And as far

as the farmers being able to control the population

1	themselves, I think that's essential. Somehow we need
2	to get a handle on this problem. They've just done
3	very well, and I think there are a lot of different
4	avenues to approach this.
5	You have talked about gosling reduction, egg
6	reduction, more hunting and so forth. I would like to
7	see the research done on it in our universities and see
8	if we can't come up with a better idea. There's a lot
9	of talent out there. There's a lot of information, and
10	I'm just wondering if we couldn't maybe somehow
11	hormonally control egg production, et cetera, or you
12	know, sterilize males somehow. I'm sure there's an
13	opportunity there. That's all I want to say. Thank
14	you.
15	MR. SENG: Thank you. Card 152.
16	MR. JEFF ALBRECHT: Jeff Albrecht,
17	A-L-B-R-E-C-H-T, representing Brookings Wildlife
18	Federation. My compliments to the U.S. Fish & Wildlife
19	Service. Apparently you listened to the first round of
20	discussion. It's pretty obvious through your Alternate
21	F. I can sympathize with these landowners, but what
22	happens when their wetlands dry up? It could very
23	easily happen this spring. So anyways, just my
24	compliments to the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service for the

job they've done here.

1	MR. SENG: Thank you. 153?
2	CARD 153: Pass.
3	MR. SENG: 54?
4	MR. JEFF RUD: My name is Jeff Rud. That's R-U-D.
5	I just would like to say like I said at the last
6	meeting that the hunters in South Dakota, they want to
7	be part of the solution not part of the problem, so we
8	stand ready to help the farmers reduce the population
9	of geese. And it may not seem effective, but it has to
10	help. I feel that various populations over the course
11	of history were quoted as being hunted out of
12	existence, and now with the resident Canada geese, it
13	seems like that's not quite possible. So these
14	proposed alternatives with expanded hunting
15	opportunities I think is good. And again, there's
16	the guys I hang around with, they're all looking for
17	places to hunt geese. And they got the equipment and
18	they're ready to do it at a moment's notice. So I just
19	would make a general comment in support of hunting as a
20	tool to use in the resident Canada goose population
21	control.
22	MR. SENG: Thank you. 55?
23	MR. JIM BROWN: My name is Jim Brown. I'm from
24	Madison, South Dakota. I agree with the gentleman back
25	here in talking about the wetlands drying up. I've

lived in this state a long time and I've seen a lot of drought. I've seen, you know, 10, 15 years where there was no water at all. So what happens to these birds when that happens? Do they go further north to Canada? Wherever, I don't know. Wherever they nest at. But I'm not in favor of electronic calling. I don't believe in that. I don't believe in some of the things they're proposing. Unplugged shotguns, what difference is that going to make? You know, I can't believe that's going to make a difference.

It's one of these things that when they started this thing, we shut them down for five years. We lost five years of hunting Canada geese. And all of a sudden, boom. Now we want to kill the geese off so -- but there are sprays out there and they use them in some states. You guys probably know more about it than I do. It smells like bubble gum, and geese will not go near it. I know guys that have tried it and they're spraying it around their fields and that's as far as the geese go. And I think it's something that you should check into. I know a guy that -- a friend of mine that's going to try it next year, and I think it will work. They won't go any further than that spray. And then by the time that wears off, the geese are big enough when they fly past it, you know, and the greens

are big enough they won't even want them. That's my comment. Thank you.

MR. SENG: Thank you. 56?

MR. RON REED: My name is Ron Reed. I farm with my son about 40 miles west of Brookings at a little town called Oldham. A week ago today early in the morning, we got 5 inches of rain in 20 minutes. We ended up the next day -- or a week ago today, we ended up getting another inch and 65 hundredths. We ended up with 7.3 inches of rain. Every little pothole, every slough -- you know what 5 inches of rain in 20 minutes will do to washing? And we have a mess over there.

I'm not worrying about these lakes all going dry because we got a lot of lakes around me. And I farmed in that area for 53 years, and we've never had lakes go dry where there wasn't water within flying distance for the geese.

I've been with the Department of Agriculture in South Dakota for, oh, between 30 and 35 years in different -- well, different jobs if you want to use that terminology. I'm now on the state weed and pest commission. And one thing these Canadian geese do, they cause a weed problem. When these sloughs and lakes go down, why they catch the different weeds, whether it was Canadian thistle or whatever it might be

on their feet, and there will be new patches coming up
all the time.

Right now I have two cannons going. We've had real good cooperation with our game department, with the conservation officers. They're right there if you say something. But we put a cannon in on the wheat field, and they've already got about 20 acres of that wheat field gone. Sixty-five geese walked out of there the other night. Even with the cannon going -- I'm sure you're all aware of it -- the geese do get -- they're smart birds. They steal green like that and they get -- and they need some help. They'll be right back there again.

I would like to invite some of you people if you got time to come out to my property tomorrow and I'll show you the damage they have done. It's cost me between 10 and 15, \$20,000 every year for the damage that these Canadian geese have done.

There are just too many geese, and there are getting to be more each year. Something has to be done. Either turn this thing over to the wildlife people if they want to and then go ahead and subsidize us farmers a hundred percent through the meal box. And we just get these crops in because it's not that -- I realize you're here for geese, but we've got the same

```
tell you, I'm here representing a lot of my neighbors
 2
          because they're busy trying to get back into the fields
 3
           and get some beans in the ground and finish planting
 5
           corn. I could go on and on and say many other things.
           But you have a standing invitation to come out to my
 7
           land and take a look and see what these geese are doing
 8
           right now. Thank you.
 9
                MR. SENG: Thank you. 57?
10
                CARD 157: Pass.
               MR. SENG: 58?
11
               CARD 158: Pass.
12
13
               MR. SENG:
                           59?
14
               CARD 159: Pass.
                MR. SENG: 60?
15
                MR. MARK WILLADSEN: My name is Mark Willadsen,
16
17
           W-I-L-L-A-D-S-E-N, and I'm from Sioux Falls. I, too,
18
           would like to add comments that I believe that hunting
           is a viable alternative to help reduce the populations,
19
           and I would like to see the hunting opportunities, you
20
21
           know, available to everyone on an equal basis. I also
22
           favor the proposed plan. It seems like it's the one
23
           that makes the most sense to me, and it takes into
           account, you know, a lot of different ways to help
24
25
           solve the problem. And I think we should take a look
```

problem with deer in our area. It's a mess. And I

1 at it and give it time to work and keep an eye on it so 2 it doesn't go the other direction too. We went from -it used to be a rare sight to see a Canada goose to now 3 they're all over everywhere in a relatively short 5 period of time. And I think we need to, you know, keep an eye on that and make sure that we don't go back the 7 other direction too. Thanks. 8 MR. SENG: Thank you. 161? CARD 161: Pass. 9 10 MR. SENG: 62? MR. CHUCK ROKUSEK: My name is Chuck Rokusek. 11 12 I'll spell my last name for you. R-O-K-U-S-E-K. I'm 13 president of the South Dakota Wildlife Federation, and 14 I'm here tonight to thank the Fish & Wildlife Service for giving us the opportunity. I think after listening 15 to what you had to say tonight, I think it's best if we 16 17 put this in the control of state where the state can 18 work with the landowners and the sportsmen to come up 19 with the ideas and programs that are going to be successful for South Dakota, including access for 20 21 hunting, ways to control the geese. And I like the 22 idea of maybe opening it up earlier in August. If we 23 have to have a conservation order, it might add 24 additional days. Maybe the 15th of August, whatever.

But I think our people in South Dakota, the people that

MR. SENG: Thank you. 163? 2 CARD 163: Pass. 3 MR. SENG: 64? 5 MR. DICK BROWN: Good evening. I'm State 6 Representative Dick Brown, and I'm from Sioux Falls, 7 District 14. A couple things I wanted to mention. I 8 think Alternative F is an outstanding one. It's the one that gives us the most flexibility. We've done a 9 lot in the state of South Dakota to bring together the 10 landowners, the sportsmen, and the Game, Fish and Parks 11 to work in a unified way. And I think even the 12 13 landowners will recognize that vital assistance. 14 It's not a concern, but I want to raise the issue 15 that if you turn it over to the states, which I think is a good idea, that you not necessarily abandon the 16 ongoing supportive services, which you hopefully will 17 18 do. And there may be ways that the Fish and Wildlife 19 and the Agriculture Department can be of assistance to Game, Fish and Parks and some of the states that may 20 need additional assistance financially or otherwise to 21 22 help supplement control of the program. So in other 23 words, moving control over should not be hopefully 24 abandonment but yet sort of a continuing good working relationship, which I'm sure does exist. 25

work in game and fish, can do a good job of that.

1	There is a part two on that early August. As a
2	hunter who does a lot of hunting and has taken
3	advantage in the Salem area and those places, the
4	August a lot of times when we're out there, the
5	geese have already done a great deal of damage,
6	particularly the beans in that early spot, by the time
7	we get to September 1. And the biologists will have to
8	take a look at that. The early part of the season, I
9	think the analysts can look at it and examine. And
10	then you need to coming from a major metropolitan
11	area to a small part of the state, that the flexibility
12	of the local things that the Game, Fish and Parks are
13	working on the airports and those kinds of things are
14	really vital. So I think you've done an excellent job
15	on Alternative F, and we just need to continue our
16	working together with you at the local and state level.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. SENG: Thank you. 165?
19	CARD 165: Pass.
20	MR. SENG: 66?
21	CARD 166: Pass.
22	MR. SENG: 67?
23	CARD 167: Pass.
24	MR. SENG: 68?
25	CARD 168: Pass.

MR. SENG: 69? CARD 169: Pass. MR. SENG: 70? No 70? 71? CARD 171: Pass. MR. SENG: Anyone in the 70's?

	1

2 MR. SENG: Anybody in the 80s? MR. KENT EVERSON: Yeah. Right here. 88. 3 MR. SENG: 88. 5 MR. KENT EVERSON: My name is Kent Everson, E-V-E-R-S-O-N, and I'm a member of the Hayti Township 7 Board just 45 miles northwest from Brookings here. And 8 I'd say virtually every farmer in our township, if not 9 the county, has at least one instance of geese. I know 10 I have land I farm in several of the neighboring townships, just, you know, little pieces scattered 11 around here and there, and I have problems in every 12 13 township that I have land in. And I applaud the Fish & 14 Wildlife Service for working on this project and 15 realizing that we do have a problem. And I think you 16 have a good solution, and at least it's a good 17 beginning to solving the problem. And I really -- I 18 hope that the state -- if this goes through, I hope 19 that the State Game, Fish and Parks Department is 20 equipped to handle it and work with the local 21 governments, the county and township governments, and 22 individual landowners to help with the problem. I 23 believe some -- the depredation permits and, you know, 24 getting permission to individual landowners to help 25 control in isolated areas needs to be a process that's

1	easy. And you know, we don't have to want to jump
2	through oodles of hoops to get this done. And like I
3	say, I feel like you've got this is the first
4	exposure I've had to the proposal and haven't had a
5	chance to study it, but if the proposal works like your
6	presentation here is leading us to think, I think
7	you're going in the right direction. So thank you.
8	MR. SENG: Thank you. 89?
9	CARD 189: Pass.
10	
11	
12	MR. SENG: Anyone in the 90s?
13	MR. LEE MCMANUS: Yeah. 91.
14	MR. SENG: Okay. 91.
15	MR. LEE MCMANUS: My name's Lee McManus,
16	M-C-M-A-N-U-S. I'm from Sioux Falls. I'm a hunter.
17	first of all applaud you guys for the great work you've
18	done. I mean it's obvious you put a lot of time in
19	this. Speaking just for myself, I'm strongly in favor
20	of turning the issue over to the state and letting the
21	state handle it. I am not in favor of an August
22	opening. I think a lot of these birds are probably
23	hitting the ditch already in September. It's
24	unfortunate. Also on a personal level, I'm not in
25	favor of anything electronic in water fowling

gone into this.

1	But I think I understand these farmers really
2	need help, and I really think us hunters can do it.
3	I'd like to see Game, Fish and Parks come up with some
4	kind of mechanism to merge hunters with farmers who
5	really need it. But if you really want to help reduce
6	these numbers, you've got to do something about this
7	possession limit. A two-day possession limit is
8	ridiculous if you want to reduce these geese.
9	Your first season is Labor Day weekend. We go up
10	and we shoot two days. We run into this all the time.
11	With duck hunting, we take the first two weeks in
12	November to hunt ducks. After two days, we're eating
13	ducks until they're coming out of our ears. We're
14	giving them to everybody we can find who will take
15	them. You can't do that with a 14-pound goose. So you
16	need to address that. The guys you know, double the
17	possession limit, whatever you want to do. But if you
18	want us to damage some birds, I think a lot of us are
19	talented enough and honored to do it. But we've got to
20	be able to harvest them. Thank you.
21	MR. SENG: Thank you. Others in the 90s? 94.
22	MR. STEVE BIERLE: I'm Steve Bierle from Canton,
23	South Dakota. B-I-E-R-L-E. And again, thanks for the

opportunity to comment. I appreciate the time that's

1	no question. I m supporting the proposed r where
2	we give control to the state. I have a lot of
3	confidence in our State Game, Fish and Parks to be able
4	to address the problem.
5	From a biological standpoint, the only thing I
6	would ask is that we would continue to have this type
7	of forum when it comes to a state decision-making
8	process so that sportsmen, landowners, municipalities
9	can all have the ability to voice their opinions.
10	Obviously, I'm a hunter. There's no question when
11	we harvest 50,000 Canada geese in a year in South
12	Dakota that we are having an impact on the population.
13	I agree a hundred percent with Lee McManus in that if
14	you don't get rid of the possession limit, it's awful
15	hard for a guy who just wants to hunt should be able to
16	do that and do it legally, which is obviously a primary
17	concern.
18	So I would appreciate the opportunity I guess to
19	have a voice with the Game, Fish and Parks so that all
20	the different factions that are involved in this can
21	have an impact on it and have equal voice and be able
22	to influence the decision-making process of the local
23	authorities as well. Thanks.
24	MR. SENG: Thank you. 95?
25	CARD 195: Pass.

MR. SENG:

96?

2 CARD 196: Pass. MR. SENG: 97? That will teach you to sit in the 3 back. 5 MR. GARY MILLER: Gary Miller. I farm over here south of Arlington, and I agree with the guys that 7 farm. They do a lot of depredation, and we do not get 8 reimbursed for our losses. And I'm for the hunters. Let them have their fun and stuff, but still, I haven't 9 10 found anybody that really likes to pay to hunt, by the time they give the money for the licenses and stuff. 11 12 And a lot of guys that come to my place and want to 13 hunt are college kids and don't have an extra 20, 30 14 bucks to give. And we just get stuck feeding them all because the U.S. Fish and Wildlife land is all into 15 grass. I don't see too many Canadian geese living out 16 there and feeding. They're all out in the crop ground 17 18 feeding. And I just don't think the farmers get reimbursed for their losses. And we're in the business 19 of making a living, and that's all we're trying to do. 20 21 We're not trying to kill off any wildlife species or 22 anything like that, but we've got to live with them and with control. Thank you. 23 MR. SENG: Thank you. 98? 24 25 CARD 198: Pass.

1 MR. SENG: 99?

2	CARD 199: Pass.
3	MR. SENG: 200?
4	MR. STEVE LESNAR: My name is Steve Lesnar from
5	Roslyn, South Dakota, and I just have a couple quick
6	comments.
7	MR. SENG: Can you spell your last name, please?
8	MR. STEVE LESNAR: Lesnar, L-E-S-N-A-R.
9	MR. SENG: Thank you.
10	MR. STEVE LESNAR: I can remember when I was a
11	kid, these things that we're talking about tonight, the
12	greater Canadian goose was on the endangered species
13	list. We've done one hell of a job of bringing them
14	back because we're stuck with them now. And I think
15	one thing in our area and this is Day County. I'm
16	talking further north of here. I don't know if
17	Brookings County has got the problem we've got, but I
18	think eventually what we're going to be looking at
19	whether we want to or not is a spring goose season.
20	They've used it, implemented it on the snow geese now.
21	I don't know what kind of impact it's had, but when you
22	see sloughs up there, it's little puddles that's got
23	four, five pair in them. Years ago there was no way
24	you'd ever see more than one pair in a slough. And if
25	we don't get on it soon and I look forward to

1	working with the state if it goes that way so that they
2	can help us out at least in regional areas if not
3	statewide. Thank you.
4	MR. SENG: 201?
5	CARD 201: Pass.
6	MR. SENG: Two?
7	MR. DAN HUBBARD: Dan Hubbard, just like Old
8	Mother, H-U-B-B-A-R-D. I'm representing myself. I'd
9	like to compliment you on the draft EIS. It's a good
10	job. I'd like to reiterate the comments on the
11	possession limits. Those hunters that are the best at
12	it and want to stay legal really can't kill very many
13	because they're stuck with the possession limit. Other
14	than that, I think I'd like to see in the final
15	Environmental Impact Statement the issue of going past
16	March 10, which a gentleman just before me talked about
17	a spring season. I know there are issues with the
18	Migratory Bird Treaty Act, but we've got around that
19	with the snow geese issue. And I just it's probably
20	doable again with the Canada goose issue.
21	The problem with hunting season in the fall is
22	you're not shooting the same birds that are causing the
23	problems. I mean most of the agricultural depredation
24	is a point specific problem. A specific landowner is
25	losing 20, 30 acres of beans because those birds that

1	are there that spring with their broods are walking out
2	and eating the beans. And they're not the ones that
3	are necessarily getting shot the following fall. So I
4	think if you really want to pinpoint the problem, keep
5	the population as large as possible. But to alleviate
6	the problems, you need to shoot the birds that are
7	doing the problems. And the only way really to do that
8	is to shoot them where they're eating, and that's right
9	there in the spring.
10	MR. SENG: Thank you. 203 to 210?
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	MR. CHUCK DIETER: My name's Chuck Dieter,
20	D-I-E-T-E-R. I'm representing the South Dakota Water
21	Fowlers Association and myself as well. I'd like to
22	just point out a few things. I agree a hundred percent
23	with your choice F, the states. I think it's a good
24	decision. And I agree with Steve Bierle that there
2.5	should be input meetings like this for the state. But

1	I do want you to remember what even something that
2	Mr. Lesnar said. When I grew up, if you saw a Canada
3	goose, that was a big thing. And when I was a kid, I
4	never shot a Canada goose. And I tell you, it's better
5	to have too many geese than not enough. The wildlife
6	biologists, which I'm one of, we know how to take care
7	of populations that are down but we're not sure a
8	lot of times, we've always tried to manage populations
9	that are down. But the ones that are up are causing
10	the problems. And so we need to remember that there's
11	a lot of people that enjoy Canada geese out there. As
12	far as Canada geese spreading thistles and things like
13	that, that's not true. They don't spread thistles.
14	MR. RON REED: I'll disagree with that. I'm on
15	the state weed commission and I know.
16	MR. SENG: Sir? Let the gentleman speak.
17	MR. CHUCK DIETER: Okay. I'm just saying I've
18	done a lot of water fowl research, and there's no
19	evidence of that stuff. But I will agree with the

MR. CHUCK DIETER: Okay. I'm just saying I've done a lot of water fowl research, and there's no evidence of that stuff. But I will agree with the landowners. I'm a landowner and have a lot of friends that are landowners. I think the state is doing a heck of a job with the depredation. Every one of the hunters in here pays \$5 per license for the depredation program which the state is running right now. And so I think the landowners — the only way to really keep

things going is to pay them somehow. We need to do a
farm bill or something like he said to reimburse them
some way for the damage. I think that's -- in the long
run, we're going to get complaints that there's one or
two Canada geese out there -- because we need to
reimburse the farmers for their losses. That's the
bottom line in my opinion or else there's always going
to be complaints.

As far as spring goose seasons, the problem with that is we get a lot of different subspecies of Canada geese migrating through here in the spring. If we open it in the spring, we're not just going to be shooting our local Canada geese, we're going to be shooting a lot of migrators, which the population in northern Canada is hurting. And so that's not a real good option either. It seems to make sense if we could just target the ones that are causing the problems. But it's something that we need to consider. We have to look at it on both sides here. But I think the State Game and Fish Department will do a heck of a job in this state. There's no doubt in my mind. And I think they'll be willing to listen to the farmers. And I think you made a good option there so thank you.

MR. SENG: Thank you. 207?

25 MR. GEORGE VANDEL: George Vandel, V-A-N-D-E-L,

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and I'm with the South Dakota Department of Game, Fish and Parks in Pierre, South Dakota. I want to indicate my support of course for Alternative F. I think the Service has done an outstanding job in putting together all the information, going through all the hoops. You came here and you listened to us, and I think that you've incorporated those into your draft EIS. And I want to applaud you. I certainly hope that you're able to take it through the rest of the process, that we can avoid a few court hearings and so forth. And the sooner we get some of these additional tools, I think the sooner we'll be on our way to at least attempting to try to solve this problem. I don't think I'm going to guarantee anybody here that we're going to be able to render completely the problems with giant Canada geese. When you have a bird that's that big and that abundant, there are going to be some issues. But I do think Alternative F does provide us with a lot of additional tools that we can put to work. I'm not going to ask the folks to stand up in

I'm not going to ask the folks to stand up in here, but I notice there's a pretty good segment of Game and Fish people that are here because they're real interested in this subject. They get beat up a lot sitting at their home territories from people who have too many geese, and at the same time if they go too

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	far, they get beat up by people who have about who
2	want more geese. I guess I'm starting to wonder why
3	we're preferring Alternative F and turning it all over
4	to the states.

So we made a decision a long time ago that we were largely responsible for bringing these goose populations back, and so we take our responsibilities very seriously. We could have easily pushed this off on USDA APHIS and the Fish & Wildlife Service, and we chose not to. We expanded a lot of staff time, a lot of money. We got additional funding through that \$5 surcharge, which half of that goes to wildlife depredation. And we put on additional manpower, we put on additional seasonal employees, we purchased some equipment. We got a lot of folks in this room who work very hard and will continue to work very hard. But I think those additional tools will give us the additional flexibility. You've got to have the flexibility because every situation's unique. In some cases, some things work; and some cases, others don't. And by having all those tools, I think it will be very helpful.

The final thing I'd like to say is I don't think people need to worry about us decimating the goose population. We consider that to be a very valuable

1	resource. We want it managed more in I guess where our
2	citizens want it to be managed. But on the other hand,
3	we worked so hard to bring them into this state, and we
4	recognize the value that they have not only from a
5	consumptive use but also a nonconsumptive use that
6	we're certainly going to manage them wisely. We are
7	going to do it by a public forum. We're going to
8	aggressively take a look once the final EI comes out
9	and start picking apart which one of those we can use.
10	We'll go to our commissions and we'll go back to the
11	public and let them know what we're going to do and
12	involve them in that process.
13	So once again, thank you very much for coming
14	here, and I appreciate your support for Alternative F.
15	MR. SENG: Thank you. 208?
16	MR. LIEBERMAN: My name's Josh Lieberman,
17	L-I-E-B-E-R-M-A-N. My Grandpa's Stan Lieberman so I
18	grew up hunting for a lot of years. And I just now
19	started living in Brookings, and I started hunting in
20	Day County here in the last four years. And when I go
21	up there throughout the summer I mean we got more
22	geese up there than we know what to do with. And I
23	hunt every possible weekend. And the possession limit,
24	it's a killer. I mean you can't give enough birds
25	away. I mean if I go up there with all my buddies and

hunt with five, six guys every day during the weekend,
and we'll be out of the field by 10:00 with our birds.
And you can only do that for so many days, and you've
got to get rid of them. That's one big hurt right
there.

The spring thing, it's not really -- I don't know if it's such a good idea. If you want to kill the birds and if you just want to -- if you want to actually hurt the population and bring it down, yeah, you can go ahead. And I mean you can snow goose hunt all day and put a thousand rags out and you'll have 500 Canadians drop in on you all day. I mean if you want to take your plugs out and you want to kill the geese, you can go out there with five, ten guys and kill two, 300 geese in a day if you want to, but I don't really know if that's the solution either. I think -- I don't know if it's more of a problem that -- I don't know.

We're putting a lot of funding into the pockets of like, say, Ducks Unlimited and stuff. You know. I mean we're putting all this money in towards these private organizations which is supposed to benefit something good. Well, it's going -- I think that the money that's going towards our licenses should be directly deposited for the loss of farmers, because if our money's going to programs that are supposed to be

1	helping the habitat and the production of Canadian
2	geese, it's not working right now. And I think we just
3	need to reimburse the farmers because they're the ones
4	getting hurt.
5	My father-in-law, he farms. He's got a dairy farm
6	right out by north of Clark, and they probably lose,
7	oh, 40 to 60 acres every year. And that's a lot of
8	money. I mean these people aren't planting crops for
9	nothing you know. So I mean I do my best. But when
10	you got laws in the way, and you can only possess only
11	so many birds, I think that's one of the biggest
12	things. I heard some comments about plugs not helping.
13	I find I totally disagree. I think if you were to
14	get four or five guys, which I usually take out every
15	weekend or try to every weekend, you take your plugs
16	out and you up your daily limit to five, eight birds;
17	eight to ten birds; whatever. You can take care of
18	them. Thanks.
19	MR. SENG: Thank you. 209?
20	CARD 209: Pass.
21	MR. SENG: 210?
22	MR. TONY BAKER: My name's Tony Baker from
23	Watertown, South Dakota. I'm a hard core water fowler.
24	And I mean give the power to the state, sure. I mean
25	you're right. They're probably the best people to have

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

it. But this early hunting season, this isn't going to
be a good deal at all, the proposals you guys are
putting forth.

Earlier you put some numbers up of what you expect the geese to be, and basically what you did there was try to predict the weather for the next ten years. And the weatherman can't even predict what the weather's going to be the next day. So I think that was a mighty task you guys put forth to do there. I don't believe -- I don't believe the numbers will probably be met. It's easy to overstate when you're living in boom times. But I tell you what, the way for those numbers to be met is if we implement this early hunting season with the proposed leniencies. Because as the first person stated -- I can't remember his name -- geese are smart. And they get smart and they get smarter. And pretty soon, they're going to be just unhuntable. They're going to be like snow geese are today. We have electronic calls, all those things that you guys are proposing. I believe there's many, many different means that could be taken here.

Personally, you know, a lot of the farmers said today that they give permission all the time. I haven't seen it. Opening early season this year, we asked 34 farmers; 32 declined us. I mean it's hard to

kill geese if you don't got land to do it. We did most
of our killing on public land, and if we were able to
get private land, I can't imagine the decimation we
could have caused.

But I would also like to say that I am for getting rid of possession limits because I did run into that many times as I'm sure some of the other hunters did here this last season. I'd also maybe like to throw out a suggestion: To get a list of farmers together that are having these problems and that would allow hunters to hunt their land and maybe give that to the Game, Fish and Parks, you know, for guys like me that are having these permission problems and such. That's all I have today.

MR. SENG: Thank you. 211?

MR. CHARLES PETERSON: I'm Charles Peterson from Brookings. I was surprised that a spring season was not included in the list of alternatives. I believe it demonstrates a lack of imagination by those people preparing the list of alternatives. I believe that a significant reduction can be accomplished by a spring season more or less concurrent with the light geese season. Individual limits do not have to be as large as they do for snow geese so I think that should be considered. And I believe the people in South Dakota

1	would respond very well to that. I had some Canada
2	geese fly over me while snow goose hunting. Would have
3	been glad to do my part if I would have been permitted
4	to do so. Thank you.
5	MR. SENG: Thank you. 212? That's all the cards
6	we gave out. Is there anyone who hasn't spoken who
7	would still like to?
8	MR. JOHN POLLMANN: My name is John Pollmann. I'm
9	from Brookings. I just want to echo some of the things
10	that have already been said tonight. I wasn't quite
11	sure what I was going to say before. Like Lee, Steve,
12	and many others said, I support the giving control to
13	the state. I think that's where it needs to be. We
14	know best here in South Dakota how to handle our own
15	problems.
16	I don't support the August opening. The birds can
17	hardly fly it seems during that time. And some of the
18	things that haven't been said, you know, even in
19	September when you shoot them, they're hard enough to
20	pick. Imagine shooting them in August when they still
21	have all the pin feathers. Electronic calls I'm not in
22	support of. I think it takes away from the sport.
23	Part of being a water fowl hunter is knowing how to
24	call and using the decoys and trying to outsmart them.

I just think you'd run into problems. Not necessarily

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

problems but you're turning it into something that it shouldn't be by using electronic calls.

> You know there's a problem. Obviously, there are landowners that are losing money, they are losing crops. But when we shoot these geese I'm going to assume in September, the damage has already been done, and so we need to find a way to alleviate those problems when it's occurring. I don't know if that means, you know, mass destruction, egg destruction, the ganders and goslings. I don't really want to see that. As a fan of waterfowl, the last thing I want to think about is, you know, those geese being killed when they're young. That means less geese we see in the fall. If there's a way to even keep the geese off the ground through certain sprays, whatever, that would be wonderful. That way we're keeping them off the ground but we're not killing them. I think that's about all I have. P-O-L-L-M-A-N-N.

MR. SENG: We have another over here?

MR. TREVOR MANTEUFEL: My name's Trevor Manteufel, M-A-N-T-E-U-F-E-L. I'm from Brookings; originally from Minot, North Dakota. I moved here to Brookings about two and a half years ago so I've kind of seen how these geese have just kind of been stock piling all the way through the upper Midwest here.

And just to highlight on a few things. As far as
getting permission from landowners, this year this past
season was definitely the best hunting season I've ever
had in my life. I took the whole fall off of
work and basically hunted all fall. So I saved all my
money in the fall from summer just so I could hunt, and
that worked out pretty good for me. I did most of my
hunting the early season up at Day County and Deuel
County north of here. And the landowners that I talked
to I did my scoping in August. And I just met these
guys and just went up to their doors, and the opening
weekend, Labor Day weekend, camped out in the farmer's
front yard. We pitched a couple tents. And they were
more than happy to let us hunt in their stock dam right
behind their house.
So I don't think you know, if you do your
homework, you can find a lot of farmers. There's a lot
of farmers in this room here that are willing to let
people go out and shoot as many geese as they want just

As far as the unplugged gun rule, I'm for it. A lot of people -- you know, there's a lot of good callers in this room, get the geese real close. A couple guys can just take out a whole family. The more geese on the ground, that's less smart birds that are

as long as it's up to the limit you know.

getting away. It's all about the education I would think.

I also worked for North Dakota Game and Fish a few years ago, and as far as using the propane boomers, we did some depredation projects up there, and like a few other people said, the geese just get smart. They know what a shotgun does in the fall, but you know, you don't see anybody sitting out in the field with a propane boomer scaring them away. So that's all I have.

MR. SENG: Thank you.

MR. ERIN MCMANUS: My name is Erin McManus,
E-R-I-N, M-C-M-A-N-U-S. I'm from Sioux Falls here, and
I'm a hunter. And I agree with a lot of the things
that have been said tonight and disagree with a lot of
them, too, I guess. I'm sympathetic to the landowners.
I understand. I mean I'm not a farmer so I don't
completely understand; just like many landowners
probably don't understand the birds like some of us
hunters do. I think there should be some kind of
reimbursement. One thing that was mentioned to me was
maybe something for landowners that allow hunting
because there are a lot of landowners that don't -- I
mean personally, I'm not going to pay to hunt. It's
not really -- I don't know. I've lived in this state

1	my whole life and I've never had to pay to hunt
2	anywhere. And I enjoy the sport a lot, but I'm just
3	I don't know. I guess I had some traditions from my
4	family and I understand the problem but I'm not going
5	to I pay a lot of money to hunt all year-round you
6	know. Some people come here and hunt one weekend and
7	may drop a couple thousand dollars. That's great, but
8	you know, we spend money every trip on gas and motels
9	and food and all that.
10	I'm in favor of a lot of the hunting issues as far
11	as limits. Possession limits, of course, I think need
12	to be changed if you want to really effectively handle
13	this. Electronic calls I won't use even if it's legal.
14	As far as opening season in August, there's no way.
15	First of all, it's still the early season last year
16	we had 70 plus degrees. The birds are they're very
17	young. I mean if you're going to do that
18	you might as well look at something
19	prior to that as far as the nesting eggs type
20	situation.
21	I think there's a lot that we can do. As far as
22	the state goes, if it comes down to what's proposed, I
23	agree with that, letting the state handle the issue. I
24	do also think it's a great idea to have more public
25	meetings like this as far as where we can get to meet

1	with landowners that are having the problems, meet with
2	hunters that want to help them take care of the
3	problems. We're not against any landowners. We're not
4	trying to keep these geese around to damage your fields
5	or anything like that. We'd love to help you out.
6	Trust me, there's a lot of guys that will sign up on a
7	list for you to call them. If you have problems,
8	they'll be right there to help you out with it. Thank
9	you all.
10	MR. SENG: Thank you. Anyone else who has not had
11	a chance to speak who would still like to?
12	MR. SPENCER VAA: Spencer Vaa, V-A-A, and I'm the
13	state waterfowl biologist here in South Dakota for
14	Game, Fish and Parks. And I just want to just take a
15	minute here just to let the public here know what South
16	Dakota Game, Fish and Parks is doing for the Canada
17	goose damage management right now. We were the first
18	state in the Central Flyway back in 1996 to implement a
19	Canada goose depredation program. So if you're a
20	farmer out there in South Dakota who's having a problem
21	with too many geese on your place, if you call a Game,
22	Fish and Parks employee and most likely, you'll
23	probably call your local conservation officer tell
24	them you got a problem with your geese going into your
25	soybeans, corn, whatever. We can help you. We may

recommend an electric fence. We put out literally hundreds of them. A lot of times that single strand of electric fence will stop those birds from going in that soybean field.

We may recommend what we call a foraging site, i.e., a food plot. We'll pay you local rental, county rental rates, to plant a 66 feet wide, a hundred foot wide strip of wheat or oats or something like that so the geese have something to eat, and you'll get paid for it. We have different programs like that. And if you just give us a call, we'll try and help you out.

We've got a very active hunting program. South Dakota was again the first state in the Central Flyway to implement September Canada goose hunting seasons, and in fact, that was since 1996. And in fact, last year we harvested over approximately 50,000 Canada geese during that September season. So I think hunting is a very, very vital part of this overall program, and the hunters that responded in this state last year — like I say, our hunter's survey said that 50,000 Canada geese were harvested during September. And we also know from the wing bee when the hunters send in their goose tails, we know that those are resident Canada geese. They're not the small ones coming down from Canada. We're targeting the birds that are

1	causing the problems. We have guys sitting in this
2	room in the summertime all summer long that work out
3	there with their tractors and mowers and go on state
4	game production areas and federal water fowl production
5	areas and take out a little bit of that grass and mow
6	it so it looks like a golf course. And we even throw
7	down a little shelled corn to get those geese off the
8	private property and onto the public land where we
9	don't care if they eat the grass. That's what that
10	land is purchased for.
11	So there's a lot of different programs that we
12	have in place right now in South Dakota that if you're
13	a landowner that has a problem, call us
14	and we'll do the best we can. Like George said, we
15	have people hired in the summertime. All they do is go
16	out and take goose complaints. And we're trying our
17	best and I think we responded pretty well.
18	And that leads to the next thing that Alternative
19	F, the state taking over the management.
20	Because, hey, let's face it, the Fish and Wildlife
21	Service has got one guy living in Pierre. What's going
22	to happen if they handle goose complaints? What's
23	going to happen when the guy with the soybean problem
24	is going to call one guy in Pierre and expect some

action? It's not going to happen. You've got to go to

the agency that's got personnel. And like George said,

the \$5 out of your pocket when you buy a hunting

license now, half goes for depredation and half goes

for hunting access.

We have made some strides in our state I think, and we've got some experience. We've got a lot of people out there with experience now how to deal with this. We have a program and I think it's working. I'm not saying it's going to solve everything. Our population goal is 50,000 Canada geese in the state. We're at 170,000 last year so we've got a ways to go. But the encouraging thing about last year, when the Fish and Wildlife took their survey in May, is the population, instead of going up, up, up, was leveling off. So like some of the guys talked about drought, we get some dry years, and there's hunting activities and other programs, I think we've got a good chance that maybe we can get it under control. And I'm pretty sure the state is the best way to handle it. Thank you.

MR. SENG: We had another back here?

MR. DERRICK JOHNSON: I'm Derrick Johnson.

Spencer Vaa basically beat me to what I was going to say. I am one of those guys; I have worked with the state and handled goose problems before. The state does have a lot of programs to help out people, help

1	reimburse farmers. And from what I see, a lot of
2	farmers just don't realize that. They want some kind
3	of reimbursement, but they don't sign up for these
4	programs either because they don't know about it, they
5	didn't take the time to read the letter that was sent
6	to them, or a lot of farmers just don't want handouts.
7	So I don't believe that's I don't think straight
8	reimbursement is where the emphasis needs to be put on
9	handling the goose problem. Not only just because of
10	that and I don't think the state agencies like South
11	Dakota, North Dakota, places like that, they don't have
12	the funds to put that kind of money towards just paying
13	for geese. That would tap out all their funds for
14	everything else. Thanks. Thank you.
15	MR. SENG: Anyone else that has not spoken who
16	would like to?
17	UNKOWN SPEAKER: What is the recommendation of the
18	state game manager regarding spring Canada goose
19	season?
20	(Discussion between the group.)
21	MR. SENG: If you have questions about the state
22	issues, talk to George or Spencer after the meeting.
23	That would be appropriate.
24	Anyone else who wants to make a comment for the
25	public record? Okay. Then I'd like to remind you that

1	may 30 is the deadline for comments. If you want to
2	send something in or you think of something after you
3	leave here tonight, take that card with you and send
4	those comments in. Also, if you did not sign the
5	sign-up sheet and you would like to receive a copy of
6	the final EIS, the sign-up sheets are on the table in
7	the back. Most of all, I thank you all for coming out
8	tonight and giving us your comments. We really
9	appreciate you taking the time. Thank you very much.
10	(End of meeting.)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATE
3	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
4	: ss
5	COUNTY OF MINNEHAHA)
6	
7	I, MAXINE J. RISTY, Court Reporter and Notary Public
8	do hereby certify the foregoing pages 1-56, inclusive, are a
9	true and correct transcript of my stenotype notes.
10	In testimony whereof, I have hereto set my hand and
11	official seal thisday of,
12	2002.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	MAXINE J. RISTY, RPR, CSR Court Reporter and Notary Public
18	My Commission Expires: October 14, 2005
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	