

Accelerator Safety Workshop Safety Interlocks Breakout Session August 7, 2007



- Contributers
 - Steve Butala
 - Laren Weber
 - Kamran Vaziri
 - Paul Rossi
 - John Anderson
 - Bob Casey
 - Asher Etkin
 - DeVaughn Nelson
 - Jim Sturrock
 - Mark Gully
 - Paul Wright
 - Don Gregory
 - Jonathan Reich

- Contributers
 - Jannifer Kozak
 - Ian Evans
 - Gary Clifton
 - Quentin Hasse
 - Ron Mlekodaj
 - Isidoro Campisi
 - Kelly Mahoney
 - Nick Friedman
 - John Forrestal
 - Marty Knott
 - Bob Mueller
 - Paul Neeson



Definition of Critical Component (Bob Casey Talk)

- System that will save your life (APS)
- 2 Shutters (APS)
- 1 Shutter and PSS at others
- Config Control could be a problem
- 1 Dipole AC and DC interlocked (SNS)
 - RSO Locks used
- Must perform an analysis to determine what is safe
- Real estate is an issue that may restrict second shutter
- Both methods are in use now at different labs.
- SUMMARY
 - There is no standard!
 - But safer to have 2 devices
 - Risk Analysis.....bottom line



LOTO using Control Systems (Paul Wright)

- Multiple devices LOTO'd with a control system
- Voltage decay relay in system
- Individual Locks may not be needed?
- Variance required from DOE and OSHA
- Power the systems to single breaker
- Kirk Keys used at APS
- Big question??? How do you test the system
 - May have to test before each use
- SUMMARY
 - More info on volt decay relay
 - Legal aspect.... credit for LOTO??



Recommendations for Guidance on Design

- Safety PLC's is an option
 - Redundancy built-in
 - Still need redundant field devices
- Redundant systems still allowed
- Specification is very important
- Testability
 - Diode injection (APS Gen 3 PSS)
 - Built –in testability at the design stage
 - Size of facility a factor
 - Test Programs used (should minimize)
 - Fatigue a factor
- Modularity



- Recommendations for Guidance on Design (cont.)
 - Cross trip
 - Harder to test
 - More hardware / less reliable
 - More reliable by virtue of redundant trip



Recommendations for Guidance on Maintenance

- It's a good idea
- 6 month validation cycle
- 12 month validation cycle
- Hardware Revision/Series issues
 - Inventory control very important
- Revalidate after replacement
 - Only I/O on card tested
- Any change to code
 - Full revalidation
 - Graded approach
 - "Compare" programs available



- Recommendations for Guidance on Maintenance (cont.)
 - Relay based systems
 - One for One replacement
 - Full validation of sub system required after tool required replacement
 - Less for plug-in type
 - Full end to end testing required



Recommendations for Guidance on Testing

- Test as appropriate to get desired risk reduction
- Over-testing can be a problem
 - Dumping Power supplies
 - Exercising contactors, relays, etc
 - End to end test <u>should</u> be performed last (procedure dependant)
- Humans are the weak link
- Engineered systems are prefered
- Configuration Control is important
 - Labeling
- Forces
 - Not recommended during testing
- Testing required after off-normal operation of equipment



Recommendations for Guidance on Records Keeping

- What should be retained
 - Executed Validations
 - Repairs
 - System descriptions
- Store in project archives (electronically)
 - Procedures
 - Executed Procedures....NO
- Keep for 75 Years?



- Other Discussions
- Robotics
 - What are the interlock requirements?
 - Risk level set by ANSI R15.06 (1999)
- 2 of 3 voting to increase reliability
 - Some are using this

