ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6117-8]

Air Pollution Control; Proposed Actions on Clean Air Act Grants to the Santa Barbara Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed determination with request for comments and notice of opportunity for public hearing.

SUMMARY: The U.S. EPA has made a proposed determination under section 105(c) of the Clean Air Act (CAA) that a reduction in expenditures of non-Federal funds for the Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District (SBAPCD, or "District") in Santa Barbara, California is the result of a non-selective reduction in expenditures. This determination, when final, will permit the SBAPCD to keep the financial assistance awarded to it by EPA for FY–98 under section 105(c) of the CAA.

DATES: Comments and/or requests for a public hearing must be received by EPA at the address stated below by July 30, 1998.

ADDRESSES: All comments and/or requests for a public hearing should be mailed to: Sara Bartholomew, Grants and Program Integration Office (AIR-8), Air Division, U.S. EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105–3901; FAX (415) 744–1076.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sara Bartholomew, Grants and Program Integration Office (AIR–8), Air Division, U.S. EPA Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105– 3901 at (415) 744–1250.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the authority of section 105 of the CAA, EPA provides financial assistance (grants) to the SBAPCD to aid in the operation of its air pollution control programs. In FY–97 EPA awarded the SBAPCD \$328,732, which represented approximately 8% of the District's budget. In FY–98, EPA awarded the SBAPCD \$307,000, which represented approximately 7.6% of the District's budget.

Section 105(c)(1) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. 7405(c)(1), provides that "[n]o agency shall receive any grant under this section during any fiscal year when its expenditures of non-Federal funds for recurrent expenditures for air pollution control programs will be less than its expenditures were for such programs during the preceding fiscal

year. In order for [EPA] to award grants under this section in a timely manner each fiscal year, [EPA] shall compare an agency's prospective expenditure level to that of its second preceding year.' EPA may still award financial assistance to an agency not meeting this requirement, however, if EPA, "after notice and opportunity for public hearing, determines that a reduction in expenditures is attributable to a nonselective reduction in the expenditures in the programs of all Executive branch agencies of the applicable unit of Government." CAA section 105(c)(2). These statutory requirements are repeated in EPA's implementing regulations at 40 CFR 35.210(a).

In its FY-98 § 105 application, which EPA reviewed in the fall of 1997, the SBAPCD projected expenditures of non-Federal funds for recurrent expenditures (or its maintenance of effort (MOE)) of \$3,678,150. This MOE at the time of the grant award was sufficient to meet the requirements of the CAA because it was higher than the projected FY97 MOE of \$3,570,793. Based on this information, EPA awarded SBAPCD its FY98 grant in December, 1997. In January of 1998, SBAPCD submitted an actual FY97 MOE of \$3,701,408. This resulted in the projected FY98 MOE level of \$3,678,150 not being sufficient to meet the MOE requirements of the CAA because it is lower than the actual FY-97 MOE, with a shortfall of \$23,258 between the MOE for FY-97 and FY98. In order for the District to be eligible to keep its FY98 grant and to receive the additional EPA funding which has become available to SBAPCD for FY98, EPA must make a determination under Section 105(c)(2).

The SBAPCD is a single-purpose agency whose primary source of funding is permit fee revenue. Fees associated with permits issued by the SBAPCD go directly to the district to fund its operations. It is the "unit of Government" for Section 105(c)(2) purposes. The SBAPCD submitted documentation to EPA which shows that since FY97 air permit fee revenues decreased because of declining economic conditions which caused the business community to curtail operations, resulting in fewer permits issued and fees collected. As a result, the SBAPCD's overall budget and its MOE decreased. The SBAPCD also submitted documentation to EPA which shows that the District lost 12.25 staff positions since FY97. These reductions in fees and staff have been non-selective in that all programs within SBAPCD have been impacted.

In summary, the SBAPCD's MOE reductions resulted from budget cuts stemming from a loss of fee revenues

due to circumstances beyond the District's control. EPA proposes to determine that the SBAPCD's lower FY–98 MOE level meets the § 105(c)(2) criteria as resulting from a non-selective reduction of expenditures. Pursuant to 40 CFR 35.210, this determination will allow the SBAPCD to keep the funds received from EPA for FY–98.

This notice constitutes a request for public comment and an opportunity for public hearing as required by the Clean Air Act. All written comments received by July 30, 1998 on this proposal will be considered. EPA will conduct a public hearing on this proposal only if a written request for such is received by EPA at the address above by July 30, 1998.

If no written request for a hearing is received, EPA will proceed to the final determination. While notice of the final determination will not be published in the **Federal Register**, copies of the determination can be obtained by sending a written request to Sara Bartholomew at the above address.

Dated: June 17, 1998.

David P. Howekamp,

Director, Air Division, Region 9.
[FR Doc. 98–17377 Filed 6–29–98; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-6117-9]

Clean Air Act Advisory Committee; Mobile Sources Technical Review Subcommittee Notification of Public Advisory Subcommittee Open Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, notification is hereby given that the Mobile Sources Technical Review Subcommittee of the Clean Air Act Advisory Committee will meet on July 15, 1998 from 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time (pre-registration at 8:30 a.m.) at the U.S. EPA National Vehicle and Fuel Emissions Laboratory Office Building located at 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, Ph. 734/214-4200 (adjacent to the Lab Building located at 2565 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, MI 48105). This is an open meeting and seating will be on a first-come basis. During this meeting, the subcommittee will hear progress reports from its workgroups and be briefed on and discuss other current issues in the mobile source

program including: Various topics related to Tier II, OMS outreach activities, NAS/NRC study to evaluate the MOBILE model, and the mobile emissions transient test (METT) and the inspection and maintenance (I/M) programs.

Members of the public requesting technical information should contact:

Mr. Philip A. Lorang, Designated Federal Officer, U.S. EPA—NVFEL Office Bldg., 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, Ph: 734/214– 4374, Fax: 734/214–4821, email: lorang.phil@epa.gov.

or

Mr. John T. White, Alternate Designated Federal Officer, U.S. EPA—NVFEL Office Bldg., 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, Ph: 734/214– 4353, Fax: 734/214–4821, email: white.johnt@epa.gov.

Further information can also be obtained by visiting the FACA website for the Mobile Sources Technical Review Subcommittee and its workgroups at: http://

transaq.ce.gatech.edu/epatac/index.htm. Members requesting administrative information should contact: Ms. Jennifer Criss, FACA Management Officer, U.S. EPA—NVFEL Office Bldg., 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, FACA Help Line: 734/214–4518, Fax: 734/214–4821, email: criss.jennifer@epa.gov.

Written comments of any length (with at least 20 copies provided) should be sent to the subcommittee no later than July 5, 1998.

The Mobile Sources Technical Review Subcommittee expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements.

Donald E. Zinger,

Acting Director, Office of Mobile Sources. [FR Doc. 98–17378 Filed 6–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPPTS-44649; FRL-5798-7]

TSCA Chemical Testing; Receipt of Test Data

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces EPA's receipt of test data on *N*-Methylpyrrolidone (CAS No. 106–91–21). These data were submitted pursuant to an enforceable testing consent agreement/order issued by EPA

under section 4 of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA). Publication of this notice is in compliance with section 4(d) of TSCA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan B. Hazen, Director, Environmental Assistance Division (7408), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–543B, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 554–1404, TDD (202) 554–0551; e-mail: TSCA-Hotline@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under 40 CFR 790.60, all TSCA section 4 enforceable consent agreements/orders must contain a statement that results of testing conducted pursuant to testing enforceable consent agreements/orders will be announced to the public in accordance with procedures specified in section 4(d) of TSCA.

I. Test Data Submissions

Test data for N-Methylpyrrolidone were submitted by the N-Methylpyrrolidone Producers Group, Inc. on behalf of its member companies: ARCO Chemical Company, BASE Corporation, and ISP Management Company, Inc. These companies are the test sponsors of record for the consent order. The report was submitted pursuant to a TSCA section 4 enforceable testing consent agreement/ order at 40 CFR 799.5000 and was received by EPA on May 22, 1998. The submission includes a final report entitled "Oncogenicity Study with N-Methylpyrrolidone (NMP) Two-Year Feeding Study in Sprague Dawley Rats." This chemical is an inert, stable, polar solvent that is used in a wide variety of processes. Its commercial uses result from its strong and frequently selective solvent power. One of the major uses of NMP is the extraction of aromatics from lubricating oils. It is also used as a medium for polymerization and as a solvent for finished polymers. It is the preferred solvent in a variety of chemical reactions and the manufacture of numerous chemical intermediates and in products such as plastics, surface coatings, and pesticides. An important new use of this chemical is as a substitute for methylene chloride in paint strippers. NMP is also used in the recovery and purification of acetylenes, olefins, and diolefins, in the removal of sulfur compounds from natural and refinery gases, and in the dehydration of natural gas.

EPA has initiated its review and evaluation process for this data submission. At this time, the Agency is unable to provide any determination as to the completeness of the submission.

II. Public Record

EPA has established a public record for this TSCA section 4(d) receipt of data notice (docket number OPPTS-44649). This record includes a copy of the study reported in this notice. The record is available for inspection from 12 noon to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, in the TSCA Nonconfidential Information Center (also known as the TSCA Public Docket Office), Rm. B-607 Northeast Mall, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Requests for documents should be sent in writing to: Environmental Protection Agency, TSCA Nonconfidential Information Center (7407), 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460 or fax: (202) 260-5069 or e-mail: oppt.ncic@epamail.epa.gov.

Authority: 15 U.S.C. 2603.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Test data. Dated: June 17, 1998.

Charles M. Auer,

Director, Chemical Control Division, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.

[FR Doc. 98–17383 Filed 6–29–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of proposed information collections contained in 1998 Biennial Review - Streamlining of Radio Technical Rules; Comments Requested

June 19, 1998.

SUMMARY: On June 11, 1998, the Federal **Communications Commission** (Commission) adopted a Notice of Proposed Rule making (NPRM) in MM Docket No. 98-93 (FCC No. 98-117) in the matter of 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review - Streamlining of Radio Technical Rules. The summary of the NPRM was published in the Federal Register on June 22, 1998. The NPRM contains proposed information collections subject to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the PRA that does not display a valid control number. The NPRM has been submitted to OMB for review under Section 3507(d) of the PRA.

The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork