

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-6988-9]

**Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Soil Ingestion Research Study****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this document announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Soil Ingestion Research Study (EPA ICR Number 1965.01). The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected burden and cost; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before July 2, 2001.

**ADDRESSES:** Send comments, referencing EPA ICR No. 1965.01 to the following addresses: Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Collection Strategies Division (Mail Code 2822), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; and to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For a copy of the ICR contact Sandy Farmer at EPA by phone at (202) 260-2740, by E-mail at [farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:farmer.sandy@epamail.epa.gov), or download off the Internet at <http://www.epa.gov/icr> and refer to EPA ICR No. 1965.01. For technical questions about the ICR contact Larry J. Zaragoza (703-603-8867).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** *Title:* Soil Ingestion Research Study (EPA ICR No. 1965.01). This is a new collection.

*Abstract:* This ICR supports research to examine the amount of soil ingested. Soil is ingested in two ways, incidental ingestion from everyday hand to mouth activity and ingestion resulting from inhaled particles of soil that are deposited in upper and middle respiratory tract and swallowed. The ingestion of soil is important because contaminated soils from a hazardous waste site poses risks to individuals exposed to contaminated soil. This research should help any environmental program concerned with contaminated soils but is specifically being sponsored

by Superfund. This research will evaluate ingestion by comparing the amount of trace metals that are ingested in food with the amount of metals that are excreted, any amount in excess of the ingested trace metals is attributed to incidental soil ingestion. Because of the possibility of trace metal ingestion from a variety of sources (like food and toothpaste), a questionnaire to identify and characterize sources of trace metals that can affect daily variation in trace metals is an important part of the experimental design of these studies. About 20 study volunteers are paid and are expected to participate in this study for about two weeks. Each night the study participants would participate in a questionnaire that will later be used to help interpret daily variations in trace metals.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15. The **Federal Register** document required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on November 21, 2000 (65 FR 69936); no written comments were received.

*Burden Statement:* The annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 5 minutes per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

*Respondents/Affected Entities:* About 20 paid volunteers will be involved in responding to this collection as part of a paid research study.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 20 responses.

*Frequency of Response:* Daily for 2 weeks.

*Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden:* 20 hours.

*Estimated Total Annualized Capital, O&M Cost Burden:* 0.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the addresses listed above. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1965.01 in any correspondence.

Dated: May 21, 2001.

**Oscar Morales,**

*Director, Collection Strategies Division.*

[FR Doc. 01-13842 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[Region II Docket No. NJ45-222, FRL-6990-3]

**Adequacy Status of the Submitted 2002, 2005 and 2007 Rate of Progress and Updated Attainment Demonstration Budgets for the Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards for Transportation Conformity Purposes for the New Jersey Severe Ozone Nonattainment Areas****AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Notice of adequacy.

**SUMMARY:** In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets for volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides in the submitted rate of progress state implementation plan (SIP) for the New Jersey severe nonattainment areas to be adequate for conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the D.C. Circuit Court ruled that submitted state implementation plan budgets cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, the New Jersey portions of the New York-New Jersey-Connecticut severe ozone nonattainment area can use the motor vehicle emissions budgets of volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides for 2002, 2005 and 2007 from the submitted rate of progress SIP for future conformity determinations. These budgets also apply to the Warren County marginal nonattainment area. The New Jersey portions of the Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton severe ozone nonattainment areas can use the motor vehicle emissions budgets of volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides for 2002 and 2005 from

the submitted Rate of Progress SIP for future conformity determinations. These budgets also apply to the Atlantic City moderate ozone nonattainment area.

**DATES:** This finding is effective June 18, 2001.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Melanie A. Zeman, Mobile Source Team, Air Programs Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 290 Broadway, 25th Floor, New York, New York 10007-1866, (212) 637-4022, e-mail address: zeman.melanie@epa.gov.

The finding and the response to comments will be available at EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

Today's notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 2 sent a letter to the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection on May 23, 2001 stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted rate of progress plan (dated April 11, 2001) for the New Jersey portions of the New York-New Jersey-Connecticut and Philadelphia-Wilmington-Trenton severe nonattainment areas are adequate for conformity purposes. This finding will also be announced on EPA's conformity website: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/traq>, (once there, click on the "Conformity" button, then look for "Adequacy Review of SIP Submissions for Conformity").

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA's conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to state air quality implementation plans (SIPs) and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from EPA's completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudge EPA's ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in guidance (May 14, 1999 memo titled "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision"). We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: May 23, 2001.

**Kathleen C. Callahan,**

*Acting Regional Administrator Region 2.*

[FR Doc. 01-13780 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-U**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[ER-FRL-6618-6]

**Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments**

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review

Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 14, 2000 (65 FR 20157).

**Draft EISs**

ERP No. D-AFS-G36152-NM Rating LO, Santa Fe National Forest, Santa Fe Municipal Watershed Project, Severe Crown Fire Reduction and Sustainable Forest and Watershed Conditions Restoration, Implementation, Pecos Wilderness to Cochitti Lake, Santa Fe National Forest, Santa Fe County, NM.

**Summary**

EPA has no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-BIA-K60031-NV Rating EC2, Moapa Paiute Energy Center/Associated Facilities Construction, Operation and Maintenance of a 760-Megawatt (MW) Baseload Natural Gas-Fired Combined Cycle Power Plant, Land Lease and Water Use Approval, R-O-W Grants, Temporary Use, COE Section 10/404 and EPA NPDES Permits, Moapa River Indian Reservation and BLM Lands, Clark County, NY.

**Summary**

EPA expressed concerns, and requested additional information regarding: lack of evaluation for

reasonable alternatives, impacts to groundwater resources, air quality impacts, and endangered species act compliance.

ERP No. D-BOP-G81010-LA Rating LO, Pollock Federal Correctional Institution, Construction and Operation, near Town of Pollock, Grant Parish, LA.

**Summary**

EPA expressed no objection to the project proposal.

ERP No. D-BOP-K80043-AZ Rating EC2, Southern Arizona Federal Correctional Facility, Construction and Operation, Pima and Yuma Counties, AZ.

**Summary**

EPA expressed concerns regarding the lack of detail relating to specific site location information and potential impacts of the prison industry component of the project. EPA requested additional information on those areas, and also requested additional analysis of the "no action" alternative.

ERP No. D-IBR-J39029-SD Rating EC2, Angostura Unit—(Dam, Reservoir and Irrigation Facilities) Renewal of a Long-Term Water Service Contract, Cheyenne River Basin, Pine Ridge Reservation, Bismarck County, SD.

**Summary**

EPA expressed environmental concerns over the level of analysis of direct and indirect impacts, and the lack of information regarding the cumulative effects of the Angostura project and the means to mitigate the significant impacts of each alternative.

**Final EISs**

ERP No. F-AFS-K61145-CA Programmatic EIS—Ansel Adams, John Muir and Dinkey Lakes Wildernesses, Proposed New Management Direction, Amending the Land and Resource Management Plans for the Inyo and Sierra National Forests, Implementation, Inyo, Madera, Mono and Fresno Counties, CA.

**Summary**

No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-IBR-L28008-ID Arrowrock Dam Outlet Works Rehabilitation, Construction and Operation, To Remove 10 Lower Level Ensign Valves and Replace with 10 Clamshell Gates, Boise River, City of Boise, ID.

**Summary**

EPA is pleased that the final EIS includes a modification from the draft EIS which reduces the likelihood of