Preservation of Protein During Harvest and Storage

L. Kung, Jr.¹ and R. E. Muck² ¹University of Delaware, Newark and ²USDA-ARS, Madison

Objective of This Review

Review the processes involved that account for the major changes in plant proteins during ensiling

Describe the factors that can impact these processes

Discuss methods to reduce proteolysis during ensiling

Introduction

A significant proportion of forage fed to dairy cows is ensiled

The goal of ensiling is to preserve as much nutrients and energy as possible to feed at a later date

However, ensiling is an uncontrolled process that can lead to marked degradation of plant protein

Such losses can approach \$100 million annually for alfalfa alone Distribution of Nitrogen in Perennial Grasses and Legumes

Standing Crop 80-95% of CP is true protein a. Fraction 1 leaf protein rubisco b. Fraction 2 leaf protein c. Chloroplast protein 5-20% NPN

Post- ensiling 30-40% of CP is true protein



(peptides, free AA, nitrate and ammonia)

Changes in N Fractions in Fresh Versus Ensiled Forage



Oshima et al., 1979

Primary Nitrogen Transactions During Ensiling

Two Major Processes

Proteins

Soluble NPN (AA + Peptides)

Microbial

Plant

Soluble NPN (*NH3-N + AA + Amines)

*NH3-N as a % of total N is usually small ~6-12%

There is No Relationship Between Soluble N and NH3-N in Legume Silages



R. Ward, 2006. CVAS Data set ~ 1300 legume samples

Major Phases of Silage Making Phase 1 – Initial Aerobic Phase

Phase 2 – Primary Fermentation

Phase 3 – Stable Phase

Phase 4 – Feed Out Phase

Nitrogen Transactions During Ensiling

enterobacteria

Phase 1 – Initial Aerobic Phase proteins — AA

plant proteases (24-48 h)

 $NO, NO_2, NH3-N$



nitrates



Nitrogen Transactions During Ensiling Phase 2 – Primary Fermentation

Continuation of Phase 1 factors
Plant protease activity declines rapidly
Enterobacteria continue until pH < 5.0

Lactic acid bacteria - some strains AA -> NH3-N, amines

Nitrogen Transactions During Ensiling

Phase 3 – Stable Phase proteins AA Clostridia AA Only under unfavorable conditions AA AA AA AA AA AA Clostridia

Phase 4 – Feed Out Phase

Variety of N fractions used by aerobic bacteria and molds Maillard reactions with excessive heating

Plant Proteases

Leaves are always high in protease activity - growth and senescence pH optimum from 5 to 8 but activity can be found below pH 4 (Heron et al., 1989) High temperature optimum: 45 to 55°C Mostly carboxyl and thiol proteases In freshly grazed forage, may add to total proteolysis in the rumen (Kingston-Smith et al., 2005)

Microbial Activity

Lactic acid bacteria – limited contribution to proteolysis cell envelope proteinases (Prt) proteins->oligopeptides amino acid decarboxylases AA-> amines

Enterobacteria

weak proteolytic activity amino acid decarboxylase and deaminase reduce NO3

Microbial Activity

Proteolytic Clostridia

C. sporogenes, C. bifermentans, C. sphenoides

Deamination

Stickland reaction (coupled oxidation and reduction)

 Catabolism of AA by Clostridia
 Deamination Arg -> citrulline + NH₃

Decarboxylation His -> histamine and CO₂

Oxidation/Reduction (Stickland Reaction) Oxid: Ala + 2 H₂0 -> acetic acid + NH₃ + CO₂ Red: Gly -> acetic +NH₃

Relationship Between Butyric Acid and Ammonia in Legume Silages



R. Ward, 2006. CVAS Data set ~ 1300 legume samples

NH3-N is Negatively Correlated with Legume Silage DM



NH3-N, CP equivalent, % of DM

R. Ward, 2006. CVAS Data set ~ 1300 legume samples

Example of a Clostridial Grass Silage Where Accumulation of NH3-N Can be Substantial

 DM, %
 23

 CP, %
 8

 NH3-N, % of CP
 54

 Butyric acid, %
 3.3

Factors Affecting Proteolysis

Forage type legumes > grasses (exc. perennial ryegrass) alfalfa > birdsfoot trefoil > red clover

Temperature > with higher temperatures

PH (rate of decrease, fermentable substrate) < with lower pH</p>

Factors Affecting Proteolysis

Moisture level < rates (but not always amount) as moisture declines

slow wilting under humid conditions increases proteolysis



Effect of Delayed Filling on NH3-N Content of Forages

At Filling



Probable cause: Plant protease Enterobacteria

Mills and Kung, 2002; Kung et al., 2005

After Ensiling



Clostridia

Methods to Decrease the Extent of Proteolysis During Ensiling Restricting fermentation

Use of silage additives

Potential to modify plant activity

Methods to Decrease the Extent of Proteolysis During Ensiling
Restricting fermentation

fast wilting to attain 40% DM (wide swath)
acidification (not practiced in US)

Methods to Decrease the Extent of Proteolysis During Ensiling

Additives

- treatment with acids
- ammoniation
- microbial inoculation
- exogenous protease inhibitors: experimental only

Example of the Effect of Acidification on Proteolysis During Ensiling Traditional acid treatment slows proteolysis but does not totally destroy protease activity



Example of Effect of Acidification on Proteolysis During Ensiling



Ammoniation Inhibits Plant Proteolysis

Item	Untreated	Ammoniated
Corn stalklage ¹		
TN, % of DM	0.9	2.0
Insoluble N, % of DM	0.7	1.6
Corn silage ²		
TN, % of DM	1.4	2.1
True protein N, % of DI	W 0.7	0.9
¹ Hargreaves et al., 1984		

Reduction in Soluble NPN and NH3-N In Alfalfa Silage By Inoculation



Dry Matter Content, %

Jones, et al., 1992

Example of the Effect of DM and Microbial Inoculation on Accumulation of NH3-N in Alfalfa Silage



Effect of Peptidase Inhibitors on Nitrogen Fractions (% of total N) in Perennial Ryegrass Silage

Item	No	E-64 ¹	N-em ²	Cystamine
Soluble N	75	57	57	62
Peptide N	9	7/1/	4	16
Ammonia N	10	9	5	7

¹1-trans epoxysuccinyl-leuclamido-(4 guanidino) butane ²N ethylmaleimide

Nsereko and Rooke, 1999

Methods to Decrease the Extent of Proteolysis During Ensiling

Plant modification
 – Polyphenol Oxidase System
 – Low Levels of Tannins

Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO) System

Red clover has up to 90% less proteolysis than alfalfa during ensiling (Jones et al., 1995, 1996)

> Alfalfa lacks significant levels of endogenous foliar PPO and diphenols



Polyphenol Oxidase (PPO) System

Pros

A single enzyme to be expressed

 Apply o-diphenol substrates when you want the effect - e.g. for ensiling, not hay

Cons

 Multiple enzymes need to be expressed if you want both PPO and its substrate

Probably not useful for grazing effects

Proteolytic Inhibition Requires o-diphenols



Sullivan and Hatfield, 2006

Proteolysis Occurs in PPO-Silenced Red Clover



Sullivan and Hatfield, 2006

Using the PPO System to Inhibit Proteolysis

Supply o-diphenols from exogenous sources such as food by products

Modify secondary plant pathways to produce o-diphenols

Introduce genes to produce PPO

Sullivan and Hatfield, 2006

Tannins

Condensed tannins bind with plant proteins, bacterial cell surfaces, bacterial enzymes

Pros

 Animal effects under all conditions - grazing, hay, silage

Cons

- Complicated set of enzymes to express
- Variability in tannin concentration dependent on growing environment
- Potential negative animal effects if concentration is too high

Soluble NPN in Silage As Correlated With Tannin in Fresh Forage



Tannin, % dry matter

Albrecht and Muck, 1991

Breeding Better Species Already with PPO or Tannin

Red Clover

- Agronomic issues persistence, yield
- Feeding issues why don't cows eat this like alfalfa?
- Birdsfoot Trefoil
 - Agronomic issues persistence, yield
 - Optimize tannin content?

Conclusions

Proteolysis during ensiling is a major issue with our best forages for milk production

- Breakdown of protein to soluble NPN is largely from plant proteases
- NH3-N and amines are primarily from microbial activity

Acids like formic could provide substantial reduction in soluble NPN but are unlikely to be adopted

Conclusions

Ammoniation is effective in reducing proteolysis but is not a good fit for high CP crops like alfalfa and ryegrass.

Inoculants are beneficial in reducing NH3-N but not the loss of true protein.

Conclusions

Two mechanisms are found in forage plants for reducing proteolysis: the PPO o-diphenol system in red clover and tannins in many legumes.

It would be useful to transfer these mechanisms to more productive forage species (alfalfa, ryegrass) to see if they could reduce the loss of true protein during ensiling without adverse effects on their milk producing abilities.