

Notice of the availability of the draft EIS: September 2004.

Notice of the availability of the final EIS: April 2005.

Ready for Commission's decision on the application: July 2005.

The schedule will be revised based on need for correction of deficiencies and need for additional information.

Final amendments to the application must be filed with the Commission no later than 30 days from the issuance date of the notice of ready for environmental analysis.

Linda Mitry,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-20003 Filed 8-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2516-026-WV and Project No. 2517-010-WV]

Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC; Notice of Proposed Restricted Service List for a Programmatic Agreement for Managing Properties Included in or Eligible for Inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places; Project No. 2516-026-WV, Dam No. 4 Hydro Station and Project No. 2517-010-WV, Dam No. 5 Hydro Station

July 31, 2003.

Rule 2010 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Rules of Practice and Procedure provides that, to eliminate unnecessary expense or improve administrative efficiency, the Secretary may establish a restricted service list for a particular phase or issue in a proceeding.¹ The restricted service list should contain the names of persons on the service list who, in the judgment of the decisional authority establishing the list, are active participants with respect to the phase or issue in the proceeding for which the list is established.

The Commission staff is consulting with the West Virginia Historic Preservation Officer (hereinafter, WVSHPO), the Maryland Historic Preservation Officer (hereinafter, MDSHPO), and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (hereinafter, Advisory Council) pursuant to the Council's regulations, 36 CFR part 800, implementing Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, (16 U.S.C. 470 f), to prepare

a Programmatic Agreement for managing properties included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places at Project Nos. 2516-026 and 2517-010.

The Programmatic Agreement, when executed by the Commission, the SHPO, and the Advisory Council, would satisfy the Commission's Section 106 responsibilities for all individual undertakings carried out in accordance with the licenses until the licenses expire or are terminated (36 CFR 800.13[e]). The Commission's responsibilities pursuant to Section 106 for the project would be fulfilled through the Programmatic Agreement, which the Commission staff proposes to draft in consultation with certain parties listed below. The executed Programmatic Agreement would be incorporated into any licenses issued.

Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, as prospective licensee for Project Nos. 2516-026 and 2517-010, is invited to participate in consultations to develop the Programmatic Agreement and to sign as a concurring party to the Programmatic Agreement. For purposes of commenting on the Programmatic Agreement, we propose to restrict the service list for Project Nos. 2516-026 and 2517-010 as follows:

- Dr. Laura Henley Dean, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, The Old Post Office Building, Suite 803, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20004.
- Charles L. Simons, Allegheny Energy Supply Company, LLC, 4350 Northern Pike, Monroeville, PA 15146.
- Nancy Herholdt, SHPO, West Virginia Division of Culture & History, Historic Preservation Office, 1900 Kanawha Boulevard East, Charleston, WV 25305-0300.
- J. Rodney Little, SHPO, Maryland Historical Trust, 100 Community Place, Third Floor, Crownsville, MD 21032-2023.
- Douglas Faris, Superintendent, C&O Canal NHP Headquarters, 1850 Dual Highway, Suite 100, Hagerstown, MD 21740.
- Vernon Isaac, Chief, Cayuga Nation, P.O. Box 11, Versailles, NY 14168.
- Bruce Gonzalez, President, Delaware Nation, P.O. Box 825, Anadarko, OK 73005.
- Larry Joe Brooks, Chief, Delaware Tribe of Indians, 220 NW Virginia Ave, Bartlesville, OK 74003.
- Leo R. Henry, Clerk, Chief, Tuscarora Nation, 2006 Mt. Hope Road, Via: Lewiston, NY 14092.
- Dr. James Kardatzke, Bureau of Indian Affairs, 711 Stewarts Ferry Pike, Nashville, TN 37214.

Any person on the official service list for the above-captioned proceedings may request inclusion on the restricted service list, or may request that a restricted service list not be established, by filing a motion to that effect within 15 days of this notice date. An original and eight copies of any such motion must be filed with the Magalie R. Salas, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, and must be served on each person whose name appears on the official service list. If no such motions are filed, the restricted service list will be effective at the end of the 15 day period. Otherwise, a further notice will be issued ruling on the motion.

Linda Mitry,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-19994 Filed 8-5-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[NM-46-1-7605; FRL-7540-1]

Adequacy Status of Submitted State Implementation Plans (SIP) for Transportation Conformity Purposes: MOBILE6 Motor Vehicle Emissions Budgets for the Albuquerque Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Area

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this notice, EPA is notifying the public that we have found the on-road motor vehicle emissions budget contained in the revision to the Albuquerque Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Plan adequate for transportation conformity purposes. As a result of our finding, the budgets from the submitted attainment demonstration SIP revision must be used for future conformity determinations in the Albuquerque area.

DATES: These budgets are effective August 21, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The essential information in this notice will be available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/oms/transp/conform/adequacy.htm>. You may also contact Ms. Peggy Wade, Air Planning Section (6PD-L), U.S. EPA Region 6, 1445 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75202-2733, telephone (214)665-7247, E-mail address: Wade.Peggy@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Throughout this document "we," "us," and "our" refer to EPA. The word

¹ 18 CFR 385.2010.

“budget(s)” refers to the mobile source emissions budget for carbon monoxide (CO). The word “SIP” in this document refers to the State Implementation Plan revision submitted to satisfy the commitment of the City of Albuquerque to revise its mobile source budgets for the Albuquerque maintenance area with MOBILE6. (MOBILE6 is the most recent emissions factor model, released by EPA on January 29, 2001.)

On May 15, 2003, we received the MOBILE6 SIP revision for the Albuquerque carbon monoxide maintenance area. The revised carbon monoxide budgets, reported in tons per day (tpd), are as follows:

Year	CO (in tpd)
1996	416.31
1999	373.05
2002	369.53
2005	367.28
2006	312.65

On May 23, 2003, the availability of these budgets was posted on EPA’s Web site for the purpose of soliciting public comments. The comment period closed on June 23, 2003, and we received no comments.

Today’s notice is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. EPA Region 6 sent a letter to the Albuquerque Environmental Health Department on July 15, 2003, finding that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the Albuquerque carbon monoxide maintenance area are adequate and must be used for transportation conformity determinations.

Transportation conformity is required by Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. EPA’s conformity rule, 40 CFR part 93, requires that transportation plans, programs and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do so. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The criteria by which EPA determines whether a SIP’s motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that such an adequacy review is separate from EPA’s completeness review, and it should not be used to prejudge EPA’s ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved.

On March 2, 1999, the DC Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that budgets

contained in submitted SIPs cannot be used for conformity determinations unless EPA has affirmatively found the conformity budget adequate. We have described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in the policy guidance dated May 14, 1999, and titled Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999, Conformity Court Decision. We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination. You may obtain a copy of this guidance from EPA’s conformity Web site or by contacting us at the address above.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: July 29, 2003.

Lawrence E. Starfield,
Deputy Regional Administrator, Region 6.
[FR Doc. 03–20032 Filed 8–5–03; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL –7540–6]

Science Advisory Board Staff Office; Request for Nominations for Experts for a Science Advisory Board Panel on Council for Regulatory Environmental Modeling (CREM) Guidance Advisory Panel

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).
ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office is announcing the formation of a new SAB panel to provide advice on EPA’s interim guidance on environmental models and development of a models knowledge base, and is soliciting nominations for members of the panel.

DATES: Nominations should be submitted no later than August 27, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Nominations should be submitted in electronic format through the *Form for Nominating Individuals to Panels of the EPA Science Advisory Board* provided on the SAB Web site. The form can be accessed through a link on the blue navigational bar on the SAB Web site www.epa.gov/sab. To be considered, all nominations must include the information required on that form. Anyone who is unable to submit nominations via this form may contact Dr. K. Jack Kooyoomjian, Designated Federal Officer (DFO), as indicated below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Any member of the public wishing further

information regarding this Request for Nomination may contact Dr. K. Jack Kooyoomjian, (DFO), U.S. EPA Science Advisory Board Staff Office; 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Suite 6450, Washington, DC 20460; by telephone/voice mail at (202) 564–4557; by fax at (202) 501–0582; or via e-mail at kooyoomjian.jack@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Science Advisory Board (SAB) Staff Office is announcing the formation of a new Advisory Panel, known as the Council for Regulatory Environmental Modeling (CREM) Guidance Advisory Panel to provide advice on issues related to EPA’s Interim Guidance on Environmental Models as well as the development of a models knowledge base. The interim guidance is meant to outline best practices in the development, evaluation and use of environmental models that inform the decision-making process, while the knowledge base is a web-accessible inventory of environmental models intended to promote transparency in the data, algorithms, assumptions, and uncertainties underlying models and to enable developers and analysts to more easily identify information needs.

The CREM Guidance Advisory Panel is being formed to provide advice to the Agency, as part of the EPA SAB’s mission, established by 42 U.S.C. 4365, to provide independent scientific and technical advice, and recommendations to the EPA Administrator on the technical bases for EPA decision making. The SAB is a chartered Federal Advisory Committee, which reports directly to the Administrator.

Background: In its mission to protect human health and safeguard the natural environment, EPA must often make decisions that attempt to minimize the *potential* human and ecological health risks posed by certain activities (*e.g.*, the release of toxic chemicals to the environment). EPA uses mathematical models to anticipate possible future events, and to simulate the behavior of systems where monitoring data are difficult to obtain or limited due to resource constraints.

In its continuing efforts to assist EPA maintain the scientific credibility of its decisions, the SAB has consistently recommended that EPA institutionalize a cross-Agency approach to model assessment and use. In 1989, the SAB suggested that such an approach would help avoid the inappropriate secondary use of models and reduce duplication in modeling efforts for similar applications thereby conserving Agency resources. In