26626

relevant, such persons should contact staff in advance to discuss the matter. For further information about these

conferences, please contact:

- W. Mason Emnett, Office of the General Counsel—Energy Markets, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–6540, Mason.Emnett@ferc.gov.
- Matschneit, Office of Energy Markets and Reliability, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 502–6243, Daniel.Hedberg@ferc.gov.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. E7–8973 Filed 5–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Notice of Commission Staff Attendance at Midwest Iso-Related Meetings

May 3, 2007.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission hereby gives notice that members of the Commission and Commission staff may attend the following Midwest ISO-related meetings:

• Reliability First and Midwest Reliability Organization Resource Adequacy Conference (9 a.m.–4:30 p.m., ET)

• May 10, 2007.

- Marriott Downtown Indianapolis, 350 West Maryland Street, Indianapolis, Indiana.
- Midwest ISO Supply Adequacy Working Group/OMS Resource Adequacy Working Group (1 p.m.– 5 p.m., ET)
 - May 17, 2007.
 - Lakeside Conference Center, 630 West Carmel Drive, Carmel, IN 46032.

Further information may be found at *http://www.midwestiso.org* and *http://www.rfirst.org*.

The discussions at each of the meetings described above may address matters at issue in the following proceedings:

- Docket No. ER02–2595, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER04–375, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER04–458, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

- Docket Nos. ER04–691 and ER04–106, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. EL04–104, Public Utilities With Grandfathered Agreements In the Midwest ISO Region
- Docket Nos. ER05–6, EL04–135, EL02– 111 and EL03–212, *Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.*
- Docket No. ER05–752, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
- Docket No. ER05–1083, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER05–1085, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER05–1138, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER05–1201, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER05–1230, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. EL05–103, Northern Indiana Power Service Co. v. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. and PJM Interconnection, L.L.C.
- Docket No. EL05–128, Quest Energy, L.L.C. v. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER06–18, *Midwest* Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER06–27, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket Nos. EC06–4 and ER06–20, *E.ON U.S., LLC*
- Docket No. ER06–1308, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket Nos. ER06–360, ER06–360, ER06–361, ER06–362, ER06–363, ER06–372 and ER06–373, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER06–356, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER06–532, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER06–313, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. EL06–31, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. EL06–49, Midwest Independent Transmission Systemerator, Inc.

- Docket No. ER06–56, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER07–478, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER07–550, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.
- Docket No. ER07–701, Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc.

These meetings are open to the public.

For more information, contact Patrick Clarey, Office of Energy Markets and Reliability, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at (317) 249–5937 or *patrick.clarey@ferc.gov*, or Christopher Miller, Office of Energy Markets and Reliability, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission at (317) 249–5936 or *christopher.miller@ferc.gov*.

Kimberly D. Bose,

Secretary. [FR Doc. E7–8935 Filed 5–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[AMS-FRL-8311-3]

California State Motor Vehicle Pollution Control Standards; Request for Waiver of Federal Preemption; Opportunity for Public Hearing

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice announcing an additional hearing and hearing locations.

SUMMARY: EPA previously announced the opportunity for public hearing and written comment on the California Air Resources Board's request for a waiver of preemption for its Greenhouse Gas Emission (GHG) regulations for passenger cars, light-duty trucks and medium-duty passenger vehicles beginning with the 2009 model year (MY). This previous announcement occurred on April 30, 2007 at 72 FR 21260. By this notice EPA is announcing the location of the May 22, 2007 hearing which commences at 9 a.m. EPA is also announcing an additional hearing, and location, for May 30, 2007 which will commence at 9 a.m. If you wish to present testimony at the May 22, 2007 hearing please follow the directions provided at 72 FR 21260. If you wish to present testimony at the May 30, 2007 hearing please follow the contact directions below.

ADDRESSES: The May 22, 2007 hearing will take place at the EPA Potomac Yard Conference Center, 2777 Crystal Drive—Room S–1204, Arlington, VA 22202. The May 30, 2007 hearing will take place at the Byron Sher Auditorium, Cal/EPA Headquarters, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If

you wish to present testimony at the Sacramento, CA hearing then provide notification by May 23, 2007 to David Dickinson, Compliance and Innovative Strategies Division (6405J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave, NW., Washington, DC 20460, e-mail address:

Dickinson.David@EPA.GOV.

Dated: May 4, 2007.

William L. Wehrum,

Acting Assistant Administrator, Office of Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. E7–9025 Filed 5–9–07; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMNETAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRI-8311-8]

Office of Research and Development; Ambient Air Monitoring Reference and Equivalent Methods: Designation of a New Equivalent Method

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of the designation of a new equivalent method for monitoring ambient air quality.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has designated, in accordance with 40 CFR Part 53, a new equivalent method for measuring concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) in the ambient air. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth Hunike, Human Exposure and Atmospheric Sciences Division (MD– D205–03), National Exposure Research Laboratory, U.S. EPA, Research Triangle

Laboratory, U.S. EPA, Řesearch Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711. Phone: (919) 541–3737, e-mail: *Hunike.Elizabeth@epa.gov*.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with regulations at 40 CFR Part 53, the EPA evaluates various methods for monitoring the concentrations of those ambient air pollutants for which EPA has established National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQSs) as set forth in 40 CFR Part 50. Monitoring methods that are determined to meet specific requirements for adequacy are designated by the EPA as either reference methods or equivalent methods (as applicable), thereby permitting their use under 40 CFR Part 58 by States and other agencies for determining attainment of the NAAQSs.

The EPA hereby announces the designation of a new equivalent method for measuring concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) in the ambient air. This designation is made under the provisions of 40 CFR Part 53, as amended on December 18, 2006 (71 FR 61271).

The new equivalent method is an automated method (analyzer) that utilizes a measurement principle based on ultraviolet fluorescence. The newly designated equivalent SO_2 method is identified as follows:

EQSA-0507-166, "SIR, S.A. Model S-5001 U.V. Fluorescence SO₂ Analyzer," operated with a full-scale measurement range of 0–0.5 ppm, with an integration time setting of 1 minute, and with or without an optional PCMCIA Card or the optional Internal Span permeation oven.

An application for an equivalent method determination for the candidate method based on this SO₂ analyzer was received by the EPA on October 4, 2006. The sampler is commercially available from the applicant, SIR USA, 826 West Braddock Road, Alexandria, VA 22302– 3605 or from SIR Spain, Avenida de la Industria, 3; 28760 Tres Cantos (Madrid), Spain.

A test analyzer representative of this method has been tested in accordance with the applicable test procedures specified in 40 CFR Part 53 (as amended on December 18, 2006). After reviewing the results of those tests and other information submitted by the applicant in the application, EPA has determined, in accordance with Part 53, that this method should be designated as an equivalent method. The information submitted by the applicant in the application will be kept on file, either at EPA's National Exposure Research Laboratory, Research Triangle Park North Carolina 27711 or in an approved archive storage facility. That information will be made available for inspection (upon request and with advance notice) to the extent consistent with 40 CFR Part 2 (EPA's regulations implementing the Freedom of Information Act).

As a designated reference or equivalent method, this method is acceptable for use by states and other air monitoring agencies under the requirements of 40 CFR Part 58, Ambient Air Quality Surveillance. For such purposes, the method must be used in strict accordance with the operation or instruction manual associated with the method and subject to any specifications and limitations (*e.g.*, configuration or operational settings) specified in the applicable designation method description (see the identifications of the method above).

Use of the method should also be in general accordance with the guidance and recommendations of applicable sections of the "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution measurement Systems, Volume I," EPA/ 600/R-94/038a and "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume II, Part 1," EPA-454/R-98-004 (available at http://www.epa.gov/ttn/amtic/ qabook.html). Vendor modifications of a designate reference or equivalent method used for purposes of Part 58 are permitted only with prior approval of the EPA, as provided in Part 53. Provisions concerning modification of such methods by users are specified under Section 2.8 (Modifications of Methods by Users) of Appendix C to 40 CFR Part 58.

In general, a method designation applies to any sampler or analyzer which is identical to the sampler or analyzer described in the application for designation. In some cases, similar samplers or analyzers manufactured prior to the designation may be upgraded or converted (e.g., by minor modification or by substitution of the approved operation or instruction manual) so as to be identical to the designated method and thus achieve designated status. The manufacturer should be consulted to determine the feasibility of such upgrading or conversion.

Part 53 requires that sellers of designated reference or equivalent method analyzers or samplers comply with certain conditions. These conditions are specified in 40 CFR 53.9 and are summarized below:

(a) A copy of the approved operation or instruction manual must accompany the sampler or analyzer when it is delivered to the ultimate purchaser.

(b) The sampler or analyzer must not generate any unreasonable hazard to operators or to the environment.

(c) The sampler or analyzer must function within the limits of the applicable performance specifications given in 40 CFR 50 and 53 for at least one year after delivery when maintained and operated in accordance with the operation or instruction manual.

(d) Any sampler or analyzer offered for sale as part of a reference or equivalent method must bear a label or sticker indicating that it as been designated as part of a reference or equivalent method in accordance with Part 53 and showing its designated method identification number.