



MMWRTM

Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report

Recommendations and Reports

August 30, 2002 / Vol. 51 / No. RR-12

Women and Smoking: A Report of the Surgeon General

Executive Summary

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

SAFER • HEALTHIER • PEOPLETM

The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Epidemiology Program Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, GA 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Women and smoking: a report of the Surgeon General (Executive Summary). *MMWR* 2002;51(No. RR-12):[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Julie L. Gerberding, M.D., M.P.H.
Director

David W. Fleming, M.D.
Deputy Director for Science and Public Health

Dixie E. Snider, Jr., M.D., M.P.H.
Associate Director for Science

Epidemiology Program Office

Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc.
Director

Office of Scientific and Health Communications

John W. Ward, M.D.
Director
Editor, MMWR Series

Suzanne M. Hewitt, M.P.A.
Managing Editor

Patricia A. McGee
Project Editor

Malbea A. Heilman
Beverly J. Holland
Visual Information Specialists

Quang M. Doan
Erica R. Shaver
Information Technology Specialists

Contents

Message from Tommy G. Thompson, Secretary of Health and Human Services	i
Foreword	ii
Preface from the Surgeon General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	iii
Chapter 1. Major Conclusions	2
Chapter 2. Patterns of Tobacco Use Among Women and Girls	3
Chapter 3. Health Consequences of Tobacco Use Among Women	3
Chapter 4. Factors Influencing Tobacco Use Among Women	7
Chapter 5. Efforts to Reduce Tobacco Use Among Women	8
A Vision for the Future: What Is Needed to Reduce Smoking Among Women	8
Bibliography	12

Notice

The issue of *MMWR Recommendations and Reports* (Vol. 51, No. RR-12) is a reprint of the Executive Summary of the Surgeon General's Report entitled *Women and Smoking*, released March 2001. The report is included in the *MMWR* series of publications so that the material can be readily accessible to the public health community.

Message from Tommy G. Thompson Secretary of Health and Human Services

Ever since the first Surgeon General's report on smoking in 1964, Americans have learned about the dangerous effects of smoking and how tragically this habit can end life. It is an irrefutable fact that smoking cigarettes and using other tobacco products causes cancer and often results in other debilitating illnesses and death. Our Nation, rightfully, remains on an important quest to raise public awareness of the dangers of smoking and to deter people from choosing this costly habit, particularly our young people.

Too often and for too long, however, smoking has been largely viewed in the context of men's health. But smoking wreaks a great and unique toll on the health of our women and teenage girls as well. The impact smoking is having on our Nation's women is alarming. Therefore, the work of Surgeon General David Satcher and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in producing this report of the Surgeon General on women and smoking could not come at a better time. Frankly, this update and expansion of the 1980 Surgeon General's report, *The Health Consequences of Smoking for Women*, is long due. And we are all grateful for the time and hard work put into this report by the Surgeon General, his office, the Office of Women's Health, the CDC, the National Institutes of Health, and researchers and scientists from around the world.

This report shines a bright light on the devastating impact of smoking on women and the need for the Nation to come together and address this problem. Just look at a sample of the statistics summarized in this report.

- An estimated 27,000 more women died of lung cancer than of breast cancer in 2000.
- Three million women have died prematurely because of smoking since 1980, and on average, these women died 14 years prematurely.
- Twenty-two percent of women smoked cigarettes in 1998.
- And 30 percent of high school senior girls reported smoking in the past month, according to recent information.

The report goes well beyond just the statistical impacts of smoking to lay out specific health problems incurred by women who smoke. This report found that women who smoke have a lower bone density and experience a premature decline of lung function. These women also are at increased risk of conception delay and both primary and secondary infertility. For pregnant women who smoke, the risk is increased for low birth weight, perinatal mortality—both stillbirth and neonatal deaths—and sudden infant death syndrome after the child is born. Of course, there are the health dangers of smoking that most of us are familiar with but remain just as real and just as

deadly for women: cancer, emphysema, heart disease, and stroke. Now, studies suggest that even exposure to environmental tobacco smoke has a causal link to cancer and heart disease.

If we are going to succeed in reducing the number of women who smoke in this country, we must first succeed in preventing our teenagers and young women from picking up the habit. Our antismoking efforts at the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services will focus intensively on keeping tobacco out of the hands of teenage girls and college-age women. These young women are greatly influenced by their peers and the glamor of smoking portrayed through magazines, television, and movies; we must be aggressive in educating them that smoking is very addictive, harmful, and lethal. These young women must know that once they start, it will be difficult to stop—and that the health risks are very real and costly.

All of society must engage in this endeavor, as well as the overall challenge of reducing smoking in this Nation, if we are to succeed. We must re-energize our efforts and commit time and resources—private and public—to the prevention of smoking initiation. We need to provide parents, teachers, and community leaders with tools and information that effectively convey the destructive message of cigarette use. And we need all aspects of the media to join the effort in addressing this societal problem. Our best defense against the dangers of smoking is a comprehensive approach to tobacco use prevention, which includes education that is accessible to all.

We must also strengthen the enforcement activities aimed at preventing youth smoking, including no marketing geared toward teenagers and absolutely no tobacco sales to minors. We must fully support law enforcement sting operations and other improved methods of ensuring that persons who purchase tobacco products are adults.

The cost of smoking is simply too high in this country. The impacts are a financial drain on our Nation's health care system, costing up to \$73 billion annually. But more important, we are losing too many of our mothers and daughters prematurely as a result of smoking. We simply can't afford to lose any women to this harmful habit.

This Surgeon General's report provides an opportunity for America to focus on how damaging smoking is to the well-being of our women and girls. We must seize this opportunity to prevent smoking by women, and help those who do smoke to quit, which will improve the overall health of women in this country. And we must do this by working together as a Nation, for that is the only way we can truly succeed in addressing this devastating problem.

Foreword

Women and girls in the United States are in the throes of an epidemic of tobacco-related diseases. Over time, the once-wide gender gap in smoking—and its health consequences—has narrowed considerably. Cigarette smoking was rare among women in the early part of this century, increased until the 1960s, and finally began to decline in the mid-1970s. During the past decade, however, reductions in smoking prevalence among adult women were minor, and tobacco use among teens increased markedly. By the late 1990s, more than one in five adult women was a regular smoker, and about 30 percent of high school senior girls reported having smoked within the past 30 days. Many of the tobacco-related diseases that have manifested today are the result of the cumulative effects of smoking initiated several decades ago. Unless we succeed in further curbing tobacco use among women and girls, the health effects of tobacco use will remain great for the foreseeable future.

This report reviews in detail what we know about smoking-related diseases among women, and documents that the toll of smoking on women's health is wide-ranging and staggering. Like their male counterparts who smoke, women smokers are at increased risk of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and pulmonary disease, but women also experience unique risks related to menstrual and reproductive function. In the face of so many strides that were made during the twentieth century to improve health outcomes for women, including enormous declines in maternal mortality, heart disease, and cervical cancer, to name but a few, it is tragic that an entirely preventable factor continues to claim so many women's lives.

Through its detailed examination of smoking patterns by demographic and other characteristics, this report confirms that it is often women who are more socioeconomically disadvantaged and girls who perform less well academically who are most likely to smoke in our society today. For example, the smoking prevalence in 1998 among women with 9 to 11 years of education was almost three times higher than that

among women who had 16 or more years of education. Once a mark of sophistication among women in the social forefront, then adopted by middle-class women, smoking has increasingly become an addiction borne by women with the least resources in our society. The long history of tobacco marketing targeted to women is also chronicled here. The positive imagery in cigarette advertisements is greatly at odds with the negative health consequences suffered by so many women who smoke.

The importance of vigilance in our efforts to prevent initiation of smoking by girl and to promote cessation among female smokers of all ages is underscored by this report. To be successful, we know that a multipronged approach is necessary, including anti-tobacco media campaigns, increases in tobacco prices, promotion of nonsmoking in public places, curbs on tobacco advertising and promotion, enforcement of legislation to reduce youth's access to tobacco products, and effective tobacco use treatment programs. Women who smoke represent diverse subgroups of the population with unique issues and needs. An important subgroup is pregnant women, among whom smoking has declined in recent years but remains significant. Efforts to assist quitting among pregnant women (and their partners) can greatly impact not only their health but that of their infants and children. We must dramatically accelerate declines in smoking among both women and girls. Exciting models of new tobacco control programs in states show that this can be done. In Florida, where the Tobacco Pilot Program was begun in 1998, the prevalence of current smoking among middle school girls declined from 18.1 percent in 1998 to 10.9 percent in 2000—a 40-percent decline in just two years.

The challenge facing this Nation now is to establish effective tobacco control programs in every state and nationwide. No one who reads this report can help but recognize that combating smoking and the forces that promote it deserves to be among our very highest priorities for women's health.

Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H.
Director
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
and
Administrator
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
(1998–2002)

Preface from the Surgeon General, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services

Two decades have passed since the first Surgeon General's report on women and smoking was published in 1980. That report pointed out the first signs of an epidemic of smoking-related disease among women. This report documents that the epidemic became full-blown.

Cigarette smoking is the leading cause of preventable death in the United States, and women's share of tobacco-related disease has risen dramatically over the past half century. The point is underscored by the 600-percent increase since 1950 in women's death rates for lung cancer, a disease that is primarily attributable to smoking. Lung cancer accounted for only 3 percent of all female cancer deaths in 1950, whereas in 2000 it accounted for an estimated 25 percent. Already in 1987, lung cancer had surpassed breast cancer as the leading cause of cancer death in U.S. women, and in 2000 nearly 27,000 more women died of lung cancer (67,600) than breast cancer (40,800). In fact, more women are estimated to have died of lung cancer in the year 2000 than of cancers of the breast, uterus, and ovary combined. Of course, lung cancer is but one of the many diseases for which risk is greater among smokers than nonsmokers.

Despite these facts, 22.0 percent of U.S. adult women smoked in 1998. Moreover, between 1992 and 1997, the percentage of high school senior girls who reported smoking within the past 30 days increased from 26.1 percent to 35.2 percent before declining to 29.7 percent in 2000.

Since the first Surgeon General's report on women and smoking in 1980, thousands of studies have expanded both our knowledge of the effects of smoking on women's health and our understanding of the myriad factors that influence smoking initiation, maintenance, and cessation. The need for an updated compendium on women and smoking is great, and this report addresses that need.

Ironically, in the face of the overwhelmingly negative health effects of smoking, tobacco marketing has always used positive imagery and has attempted to capitalize on issues important to women and to exploit the women's movement. The same tobacco brand that for so long featured the slogan "You've come a long way, baby" more recently launched an advertising campaign with the theme "Find your voice." Tobacco advertisements suggest that women who smoke are liberated, sexually attractive, athletic, fun loving, and slim, whereas in reality women who smoke are often nicotine dependent,

physically unhealthy, socioeconomically disadvantaged, or depressed. Tobacco companies also have tried to ingratiate themselves with women's causes, providing funding for women's sports, for women's professional organizations, and for anti-domestic violence programs and other issues of salience to women, not to mention providing huge sums in advertising revenues to women's magazines. Perhaps such support has contributed to the fact that women's lung cancer does not have a voice, in contrast to breast cancer, which has such a well-developed and effective advocacy community.

Although the *Healthy People 2000* objective of reducing the prevalence of current smoking among U.S. adult men and women to 15 percent is unlikely to be met, we should emphasize that nearly 80 percent of adult women in this country choose not to smoke. Nonsmoking is now by far the accepted norm. If the recommendations in this and previous reports were fully implemented, the *Healthy People 2010* objective to reduce the rate of tobacco use among girls and women in the country by more than 50 percent could be met. Hopeful signs now exist that the lung cancer epidemic may have peaked among U.S. women. As this report goes to press, encouraging news comes from a report issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention based on data from California and from the National Cancer Institute. In California, which has been at the forefront of tobacco control activities and where smoking prevalence has declined more rapidly than in the rest of the country, the lung cancer incidence rate among women has actually declined in recent years. Another report from California found that 33,300 fewer heart disease deaths occurred in the state between 1989 and 1997 among women and men combined than would have been expected during that time had earlier trends in heart disease mortality relative to the rest of the United States continued. California was the first state to implement a comprehensive statewide tobacco control program funded by a cigarette surtax that began in 1989. Today all states have enormous monetary settlement payments from the state lawsuits with the tobacco industry to recover the cost of smoking-related disease; unfortunately, few states have used these new resources to make the level of investments in the proven tobacco control strategies that could reduce the disease and death rates related to smoking.

Women in the United States and a number of other developed countries are less likely to be smokers than was the case

30 years ago. However, just the opposite trend is feared for women in many other parts of the world, particularly women in developing countries where smoking prevalence has traditionally been low but where the tobacco industry now recog-

nizes tremendous market potential and is aggressively pursuing females. Thwarting increases in the use of tobacco among women around the world represents one of the greatest public health opportunities of our time.

David Satcher, M.D., Ph.D.
Surgeon General (1997–2002)

Women and Smoking: A Report of the Surgeon General

Executive Summary

This is the second report of the U.S. Surgeon General devoted to women and smoking. The first was published in 1980 (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [USDHHS] 1980), 16 years after the initial landmark report on smoking and health of the Advisory Committee to the Surgeon General appeared in 1964 (U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare [USDHEW] 1964). The 1964 report summarized the accumulated evidence that demonstrated that smoking was a cause of human cancer and other diseases. Most of the early evidence was based on men. For example, the report concluded, “Cigarette smoking is causally related to lung cancer in men.... The data for women, though less extensive, point in the same direction” (USDHEW 1964, p. 37). By the time of the 1980 report, the evidence clearly showed that women were also experiencing devastating health consequences from smoking and that “the first signs of an epidemic of smoking-related disease among women are now appearing” (USDHHS 1980, p. v). The evidence had solidified later among women than among men because smoking became commonplace among women about 25 years later than it had among men. However, it was still deemed necessary to include a section in the preface of the 1980 report titled “The Fallacy of Women’s Immunity.” In the two decades since, numerous studies have expanded the breadth and depth of what is known about the health consequences of smoking among women, about historical and contemporary patterns of smoking in demographic subgroups of the female population, about factors that affect initiation and maintenance of smoking among women (including advertising and marketing of tobacco products), and about interventions to assist women to quit smoking. The present report reviews the now massive body of evidence on women and smoking—evidence that taken together compels the Nation to make reducing and preventing smoking one of the highest contemporary priorities for women’s health.

A report focused on women is greatly needed. No longer are the first signs of an epidemic of tobacco-related diseases among women being seen, as was the case when the 1980 report was written. Since 1980, hundreds of additional studies have expanded what is known about the health effects of smoking among women, and this report summarizes that knowledge. Today the Nation is in the midst of a full-blown epidemic. Lung cancer, once rare among women, has surpassed breast cancer as the leading cause of female cancer death in the United States, now accounting for 25 percent of all cancer deaths among women. Surveys have indicated that many women do

not know this fact. And lung cancer is only one of myriad serious disease risks faced by women who smoke. Although women and men who smoke share excess risks for diseases such as cancer, heart disease, and emphysema, women also experience unique smoking-related disease risks related to pregnancy, oral contraceptive use, menstrual function, and cervical cancer. These risks deserve to be highlighted and broadly recognized. Moreover, much of what is known about the health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke among nonsmokers comes from studies of women, because historically men were more likely than women to smoke and because many women who did not smoke were married to smokers.

In 1965, 51.9 percent of men were smokers, whereas 33.9 percent of women were smokers. By 1979, the percentage of women who smoked had declined somewhat, to 29.9 percent. However, the decline in smoking among men to 37.5 percent was much more dramatic. The gender gap in adult smoking prevalence continued to close after the 1980 report, but since the mid-1980s, the difference has been fairly stable at about 5 percentage points. In 1998, smoking prevalence was 22.0 percent among women and 26.4 percent among men. The gender difference in smoking prevalence among teens is smaller than that among adults. Smoking prevalence increased among both girls and boys in the 1990s. In 2000, 29.7 percent of high school senior girls and 32.8 percent of high school senior boys reported having smoked within the past 30 days (University of Michigan 2000).

In recent years, some research has suggested that the impact of a given amount of smoking on lung cancer risk might be even greater among women than among men, that exposure to environmental tobacco smoke might be associated with increased risk for breast cancer, and that women might be more susceptible than men to weight gain following smoking cessation. Other research indicated that persons with specific genetic polymorphisms may be especially susceptible to the effects of smoking and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. These issues remain active areas of investigation, and no conclusions can be drawn about them at this time. Nonetheless, knowledge of the vast spectrum of smoking-related health effects continues to grow, as does knowledge that examination of gender-specific effects is important.

Smoking is one of the most studied of human behaviors and thousands of studies have documented its health consequences, yet certain questions and data needs exist with respect to women and smoking. For example, there is a need to better understand why smoking prevalence increased among

teenage girls and young women in the 1990s despite the overwhelming data on adverse health effects; to identify interventions and policies that will prevent an epidemic of tobacco use among women whose smoking prevalence is currently low, including women in certain sociocultural groups within the United States and women in many developing countries throughout the world; to study the relationship of active smoking to diseases among women for which the evidence to date has been suggestive or inconsistent (e.g., risks for menstrual cycle irregularities, gallbladder disease, and systemic lupus erythematosus); to increase the data on the health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke on diseases unique among women; to provide additional research on whether gender differences exist in susceptibility to nicotine addiction or in the magnitude of the effects of smoking on specific disease outcomes; and to determine whether gender differences exist in the modifying effects of genetic polymorphisms on disease risks associated with smoking. Many studies of smoking behavior and of the health consequences of smoking have included both females and males but have not reported results by gender. Investigators should be encouraged to report gender-specific results in the future.

Other recent reports of the Surgeon General have been devoted to smoking and youth (USDHHS 1994), smoking and racial or ethnic minorities (USDHHS 1998), and interventions to reduce smoking (USDHHS 2000). The reader is encouraged to consult those reports for comprehensive reviews of the evidence on these topics. The present report focuses on data specific to women and girls and on comparisons of results by gender.

Chapter 1. Major Conclusions

1. Despite all that is known of the devastating health consequences of smoking, 22.0 percent of women smoked cigarettes in 1998. Cigarette smoking became prevalent among men before women, and smoking prevalence in the United States has always been lower among women than among men. However, the once-wide gender gap in smoking prevalence narrowed until the mid-1980s and has since remained fairly constant. Smoking prevalence today is nearly three times higher among women who have only 9 to 11 years of education (32.9 percent) than among women with 16 or more years of education (11.2 percent).
2. In 2000, 29.7 percent of high school senior girls reported having smoked within the past 30 days. Smoking prevalence among white girls declined from the mid-1970s to the early 1980s, followed by a decade of little change. Smoking prevalence then increased markedly in the early 1990s, and declined somewhat in the late 1990s. The increase dampened much of the earlier progress. Among black girls, smoking prevalence declined substantially from the mid-1970s to the early 1990s, followed by some increases until the mid-1990s. Data on long-term trends in smoking prevalence among high school seniors of other racial or ethnic groups are not available.
3. Since 1980, approximately 3 million U.S. women have died prematurely from smoking-related neoplastic, cardiovascular, respiratory, and pediatric diseases, as well as cigarette-caused burns. Each year during the 1990s, U.S. women lost an estimated 2.1 million years of life due to these smoking attributable premature deaths. Additionally, women who smoke experience gender-specific health consequences, including increased risk of various adverse reproductive outcomes.
4. Lung cancer is now the leading cause of cancer death among U.S. women; it surpassed breast cancer in 1987. About 90 percent of all lung cancer deaths among women who continue to smoke are attributable to smoking.
5. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke is a cause of lung cancer and coronary heart disease among women who are lifetime nonsmokers. Infants born to women exposed to environmental tobacco smoke during pregnancy have a small decrement in birth weight and a slightly increased risk of intrauterine growth retardation compared to infants of nonexposed women.
6. Women who stop smoking greatly reduce their risk of dying prematurely, and quitting smoking is beneficial at all ages. Although some clinical intervention studies suggest that women may have more difficulty quitting smoking than men, national survey data show that women are quitting at rates similar to or even higher than those for men. Prevention and cessation interventions are generally of similar effectiveness for women and men and, to date, few gender differences in factors related to smoking initiation and successful quitting have been identified.
7. Smoking during pregnancy remains a major public health problem despite increased knowledge of the adverse health effects of smoking during pregnancy. Although the prevalence of smoking during pregnancy has declined steadily in recent years, substantial numbers of pregnant women continue to smoke, and only about one-third of women who stop smoking during pregnancy are still abstinent one year after the delivery.
8. Tobacco industry marketing is a factor influencing susceptibility to and initiation of smoking among girls, in the United States and overseas. Myriad examples of

tobacco ads and promotions targeted to women indicate that such marketing is dominated by themes of social desirability and independence. These themes are conveyed through ads featuring slim, attractive, athletic models, images very much at odds with the serious health consequences experienced by so many women who smoke.

Chapter Conclusions

Conclusions from Chapters 2–5 are presented below. Separate conclusions are not included for Chapter 1 because it is a summary of the report. Chapter 6, which presents a vision for the future, is reproduced in its entirety following the conclusions for Chapters 2–5.

Chapter 2. Patterns of Tobacco Use Among Women and Girls

1. Cigarette smoking became prevalent among women after it did among men, and smoking prevalence has always been lower among women than among men. The gender-specific difference in smoking prevalence narrowed between 1965 and 1985. Since 1985, the decline in prevalence has been comparable among women and men.
2. The prevalence of current smoking among women increased from less than 6 percent in 1924 to 34 percent in 1965, then declined to 22 to 23 percent in the late 1990s. In 1997–1998, smoking prevalence was highest among American Indian or Alaska Native women (34.5 percent), intermediate among white women (23.5 percent) and black women (21.9 percent), and lowest among Hispanic women (13.8 percent) and Asian or Pacific Islander women (11.2 percent). By educational level, smoking prevalence is nearly three times higher among women with 9 to 11 years of education (30.9 percent) than among women with 16 or more years of education (10.6 percent).
3. Much of the progress in reducing smoking prevalence among girls in the 1970s and 1980s was lost with the increase in prevalence in the 1990s: current smoking among high school senior girls was the same in 2000 as in 1998. Although smoking prevalence was higher among high school senior girls than among high school senior boys in the 1970s and early 1980s, prevalence has been comparable since the mid-1980s.
4. Smoking declined substantially among black girls from the mid-1970s through the early 1990s; the decline among white girls for this same period was small. As adolescents age into young adulthood, these patterns are now being reflected in the racial and ethnic differences in smoking among young women. Data are not available on long-term trends in smoking prevalence among high school seniors of other racial and ethnic groups.
5. Smoking during pregnancy appears to have decreased from 1989 through 1998. Despite increased knowledge of the adverse health effects of smoking during pregnancy, estimates of women smoking during pregnancy range from 12 percent based on birth certificate data to as high as 22 percent based on survey data.
6. Historically, women started to smoke at a later age than did men, but beginning with the 1960 cohort, the mean age at smoking initiation has not differed by gender.
7. Nicotine dependence is strongly associated with the number of cigarettes smoked per day. Girls and women who smoke appear to be equally dependent on nicotine when results are stratified by number of cigarettes smoked per day. Few gender-specific differences have been found in indicators of nicotine dependence among adolescents, young adults, or adults overall.
8. The percentage of persons who have ever smoked and who have quit smoking is somewhat lower among women (46.2 percent) than among men (50.1 percent). This finding is probably because men began to stop smoking earlier in the twentieth century than did women and because these data do not take into account that men are more likely than women to switch to or to continue to use other tobacco products when they stop smoking cigarettes. Since the late 1970s or early 1980s, the probability of attempting to quit smoking and to succeed has been equally high among women and men.
9. Prevalence of the use of cigars, pipes, and smokeless tobacco among women is generally low, but recent data suggest that cigar smoking among women and girls is increasing.
10. Smoking prevalence among women varies markedly across countries; the percentages range from an estimated 7 percent in developing countries to 24 percent in developed countries. Thwarting further increases in tobacco use among women is one of the greatest disease prevention opportunities in the world today.

Chapter 3. Health Consequences of Tobacco Use Among Women

Total Mortality

1. Cigarette smoking plays a major role in the mortality of U.S. women.

2. The excess risk for death from all causes among current smokers compared with persons who have never smoked increases with both the number of years of smoking and the number of cigarettes smoked per day.
3. Among women who smoke, the percentage of deaths attributable to smoking has increased over the past several decades, largely because of increases in the quantity of cigarettes smoked and the duration of smoking.
4. Cohort studies with follow-up data analyzed in the 1980s show that the annual risk for death from all causes is 80 to 90 percent greater among women who smoke cigarettes than among women who never smoked. A woman's annual risk for death more than doubles among continuing smokers compared with persons who have never smoked in every age group from 45 through 74 years.
5. In 1997, approximately 165,000 U.S. women died prematurely from a smoking-related disease. Since 1980, approximately three million U.S. women have died prematurely from a smoking-related disease.
6. U.S. females lost an estimated 2.1 million years of life each year during the 1990s as a result of smoking-related deaths due to neoplastic, cardiovascular, respiratory, and pediatric diseases, as well as from burns caused by cigarettes. For every smoking attributable death, an average of 14 years of life was lost.
7. Women who stop smoking greatly reduce their risk of dying prematurely. The relative benefits of smoking cessation are greater when women stop smoking at younger ages, but smoking cessation is beneficial at all ages.

Lung Cancer

8. Cigarette smoking is the major cause of lung cancer among women. About 90 percent of all lung cancer deaths among U.S. women smokers are attributable to smoking.
9. The risk for lung cancer increases with quantity, duration, and intensity of smoking. The risk for dying of lung cancer is 20 times higher among women who smoke two or more packs of cigarettes per day than among women who do not smoke.
10. Lung cancer mortality rates among U.S. women have increased about 600 percent since 1950. In 1987, lung cancer surpassed breast cancer to become the leading cause of cancer death among U.S. women. Overall age-adjusted incidence rates for lung cancer among women appear to have peaked in the mid-1990s.
11. In the past, men who smoked appeared to have a higher relative risk for lung cancer than did women who smoked, but recent data suggest that such differences

have narrowed considerably. Earlier findings largely reflect past gender-specific differences in duration and amount of cigarette smoking.

12. Former smokers have a lower risk for lung cancer than do current smokers, and risk declines with the number of years of smoking cessation.

International Trends in Female Lung Cancer

13. International lung cancer death rates among women vary dramatically. This variation reflects historical differences in the adoption of cigarette smoking by women in different countries. In 1990, lung cancer accounted for about 10 percent of all cancer deaths among women worldwide and more than 20 percent of cancer deaths among women in some developed countries.

Female Cancers

14. The totality of the evidence does not support an association between smoking and risk for breast cancer.
15. Several studies suggest that exposure to environmental tobacco smoke is associated with an increased risk for breast cancer, but this association remains uncertain.
16. Current smoking is associated with a reduced risk for endometrial cancer, but the effect is probably limited to postmenopausal disease. The risk for this cancer among former smokers generally appears more similar to that of women who have never smoked.
17. Smoking does not appear to be associated with risk of ovarian cancer.
18. Smoking has been consistently associated with an increased risk for cervical cancer. The extent to which this association is independent of human papillomavirus infection is uncertain.
19. Smoking may be associated with an increased risk for vulvar cancer, but the extent to which the association is independent of human papillomavirus infection is uncertain.

Other Cancers

20. Smoking is a major cause of cancers of the oropharynx and bladder among women. Evidence is also strong that women who smoke have increased risks for cancers of the pancreas and kidney. For cancers of the larynx and esophagus, evidence among women is more limited but consistent with large increases in risk.
21. Women who smoke may have increased risks for liver cancer and colorectal cancer.

22. Data on smoking and cancer of the stomach among women are inconsistent.
23. Smoking may be associated with an increased risk for acute myeloid leukemia among women but does not appear to be associated with other lymphoproliferative or hematologic cancers.
24. Women who smoke may have a decreased risk for thyroid cancer.
25. Women who use smokeless tobacco have an increased risk for oral cancer.

Cardiovascular Disease

26. Smoking is a major cause of coronary heart disease among women. For women younger than 50 years, the majority of coronary heart disease is attributable to smoking. Risk increases with the number of cigarettes smoked and the duration of smoking.
27. The risk for coronary heart disease among women is substantially reduced within 1 or 2 years of smoking cessation. This immediate benefit is followed by a continuing but more gradual reduction in risk to that among non-smokers by 10 to 15 or more years after cessation.
28. Women who use oral contraceptives have a particularly elevated risk of coronary heart disease if they smoke. Currently, evidence is conflicting as to whether the effect of hormone replacement therapy on coronary heart disease risk differs between smokers and nonsmokers.
29. Women who smoke have an increased risk for ischemic stroke and subarachnoid hemorrhage. Evidence is inconsistent concerning the association between smoking and primary intracerebral hemorrhage.
30. In most studies that include women, the increased risk for stroke associated with smoking is reversible after smoking cessation; after 5 to 15 years of abstinence, the risk approaches that of women who have never smoked.
31. Conflicting evidence exists regarding the level of the risk for stroke among women who both smoke and use either the oral contraceptives commonly prescribed in the United States today or hormone replacement therapy.
32. Smoking is a strong predictor of the progression and severity of carotid atherosclerosis among women. Smoking cessation appears to slow the rate of progression of carotid atherosclerosis.
33. Women who are current smokers have an increased risk for peripheral vascular atherosclerosis. Smoking cessation is associated with improvements in symptoms, prognosis, and survival.

34. Women who smoke have an increased risk for death from ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysm.

Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD) and Lung Function

35. Cigarette smoking is a primary cause of COPD among women, and the risk increases with the amount and duration of smoking. Approximately 90 percent of mortality from COPD among women in the United States can be attributed to cigarette smoking.
36. In utero exposure to maternal smoking is associated with reduced lung function among infants, and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke during childhood and adolescence may be associated with impaired lung function among girls.
37. Adolescent girls who smoke have reduced rates of lung growth, and adult women who smoke experience a premature decline of lung function.
38. The rate of decline in lung function is slower among women who stop smoking than among women who continue to smoke.
39. Mortality rates for COPD have increased among women over the past 20 to 30 years.
40. Although data for women are limited, former smokers appear to have a lower risk for dying from COPD than do current smokers.

Sex Hormones, Thyroid Disease, and Diabetes Mellitus

41. Women who smoke have an increased risk for estrogen-deficiency disorders and a decreased risk for estrogen-dependent disorders, but circulating levels of the major endogenous estrogens are not altered among women smokers.
42. Although consistent effects of smoking on thyroid hormone levels have not been noted, cigarette smokers may have an increased risk for Graves' ophthalmopathy, a thyroid-related disease.
43. Smoking appears to affect glucose regulation and related metabolic processes, but conflicting data exist on the relationship of smoking and the development of type 2 diabetes mellitus and gestational diabetes among women.

Menstrual Function, Menopause, and Benign Gynecologic Conditions

44. Some studies suggest that cigarette smoking may alter menstrual function by increasing the risks for

dysmenorrhea (painful menstruation), secondary amenorrhea (lack of menses among women who ever had menstrual periods), and menstrual irregularity.

45. Women smokers have a younger age at natural menopause than do nonsmokers and may experience more menopausal symptoms.
46. Women who smoke may have decreased risk for uterine fibroids.

Reproductive Outcomes

47. Women who smoke have increased risks for conception delay and for both primary and secondary infertility.
48. Women who smoke may have a modest increase in risks for ectopic pregnancy and spontaneous abortion.
49. Smoking during pregnancy is associated with increased risks for preterm premature rupture of membranes, abruptio placentae, and placenta previa, and with a modest increase in risk for preterm delivery.
50. Women who smoke during pregnancy have a decreased risk for preeclampsia.
51. The risk for perinatal mortality—both stillbirth and neonatal deaths—and the risk for sudden infant death syndrome (SIDS) are increased among the offspring of women who smoke during pregnancy.
52. Infants born to women who smoke during pregnancy have a lower average birth weight and are more likely to be small for gestational age than are infants born to women who do not smoke.
53. Smoking does not appear to affect the overall risk for congenital malformations.
54. Women smokers are less likely to breastfeed their infants than are women nonsmokers.
55. Women who quit smoking before or during pregnancy reduce the risk for adverse reproductive outcomes, including conception delay, infertility, preterm premature rupture of membranes, preterm delivery, and low birth weight.

Body Weight and Fat Distribution

56. Initiation of cigarette smoking does not appear to be associated with weight loss, but smoking does appear to attenuate weight gain over time.
57. The average weight of women who are current smokers is modestly lower than that of women who have never smoked or who are long-term former smokers.
58. Smoking cessation among women typically is associated with a weight gain of about 6 to 12 pounds in the year after they quit smoking.

59. Women smokers have a more masculine pattern of body fat distribution (i.e., a higher waist-to-hip ratio) than do women who have never smoked.

Bone Density and Fracture Risk

60. Postmenopausal women who currently smoke have lower bone density than do women who do not smoke.
61. Women who currently smoke have an increased risk for hip fracture compared with women who do not smoke.
62. The relationship among women between smoking and the risk for bone fracture at sites other than the hip is not clear.

Gastrointestinal Diseases

63. Some studies suggest that women who smoke have an increased risk for gallbladder disease (gallstones and cholecystitis), but the evidence is inconsistent.
64. Women who smoke have an increased risk for peptic ulcers.
65. Women who currently smoke have a decreased risk for ulcerative colitis, but former smokers have an increased risk—possibly because smoking suppresses symptoms of the disease.
66. Women who smoke appear to have an increased risk for Crohn's disease, and smokers with Crohn's disease have a worse prognosis than do nonsmokers.

Arthritis

67. Some but not all studies suggest that women who smoke may have a modestly elevated risk for rheumatoid arthritis.
68. Women who smoke have a modestly reduced risk for osteoarthritis of the knee; data regarding osteoarthritis of the hip are inconsistent.
69. The data on the risk of systemic lupus erythematosus among women who smoke are inconsistent.

Eye Disease

70. Women who smoke have an increased risk for cataract.
71. Women who smoke may have an increased risk for age-related macular degeneration.
72. Studies show no consistent association between smoking and open-angle glaucoma.

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) Disease

73. Limited data suggest that women smokers may be at higher risk for HIV-1 infection than nonsmokers.

Facial Wrinkling

74. Limited but consistent data suggest that women smokers have more facial wrinkling than do nonsmokers.

Depression and Other Psychiatric Disorders

75. Smokers are more likely to be depressed than are nonsmokers, a finding that may reflect an effect of smoking on the risk for depression, the use of smoking for self-medication, or the influence of common genetic or other factors on both smoking and depression. The association of smoking and depression is particularly important among women because they are more likely to be diagnosed with depression than are men.
76. The prevalence of smoking generally has been found to be higher among patients with anxiety disorders, bulimia, attention deficit disorder, and alcoholism than among individuals without these conditions; the mechanisms underlying these associations are not yet understood.
77. The prevalence of smoking is very high among patients with schizophrenia, but the mechanisms underlying this association are not yet understood.
78. Smoking may be used by some persons who would otherwise manifest psychiatric symptoms to manage those symptoms; for such persons, cessation of smoking may lead to the emergence of depression or other dysphoric mood states.

Neurologic Diseases

79. Women who smoke have a decreased risk for Parkinson's disease.
80. Data regarding the association between smoking and Alzheimer's disease are inconsistent.

Nicotine Pharmacology and Addiction

81. Nicotine pharmacology and the behavioral processes that determine nicotine addiction appear generally similar among women and men; when standardized for the number of cigarettes smoked, the blood concentration of cotinine (the main metabolite of nicotine) is similar among women and men.
82. Women's regulation of nicotine intake may be less precise than men's. Factors other than nicotine (e.g., sensory cues) may play a greater role in determining smoking behavior among women.

Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) and Lung Cancer

83. Exposure to ETS is a cause of lung cancer among women who have never smoked.

ETS and Coronary Heart Disease

84. Epidemiologic and other data support a causal relationship between ETS exposure from the spouse and coronary heart disease mortality among women nonsmokers.

ETS and Reproductive Outcomes

85. Infants born to women who are exposed to ETS during pregnancy may have a small decrement in birth weight and a slightly increased risk for intrauterine growth retardation compared with infants born to women who are not exposed; both effects are quite variable across studies.
86. Studies of ETS exposure and the risks for delay in conception, spontaneous abortion, and perinatal mortality are few, and the results are inconsistent.

Chapter 4. Factors Influencing Tobacco Use Among Women

1. Girls who initiate smoking are more likely than those who do not smoke to have parents or friends who smoke. They also tend to have weaker attachments to parents and family and stronger attachments to peers and friends. They perceive smoking prevalence to be higher than it actually is, are inclined to risk taking and rebelliousness, have a weaker commitment to school or religion, have less knowledge of the adverse consequences of smoking and the addictiveness of nicotine, believe that smoking can control weight and negative moods, and have a positive image of smokers. Although the strength of the association by gender differs across studies, most of these factors are associated with an increased risk for smoking among both girls and boys.
2. Girls appear to be more affected than boys by the desire to smoke for weight control and by the perception that smoking controls negative moods; girls may also be more influenced than boys to smoke by rebelliousness or a rejection of conventional values.
3. Women who continue to smoke and those who fail at attempts to stop smoking tend to have lower education and employment levels than do women who quit

smoking. They also tend to be more addicted to cigarettes, as evidenced by the smoking of a higher number of cigarettes per day, to be cognitively less ready to stop smoking, to have less social support for stopping, and to be less confident in resisting temptations to smoke.

4. Women have been extensively targeted in tobacco marketing, and tobacco companies have produced brands specifically for women, both in the United States and overseas. Myriad examples of tobacco ads and promotions targeted to women indicate that such marketing is dominated by themes of both social desirability and independence, which are conveyed through ads featuring slim, attractive, athletic models. Between 1995 and 1998, expenditures for domestic cigarette advertising and promotion increased 37.3 percent, from \$4.90 billion to \$6.73 billion.
5. Tobacco industry marketing, including product design, advertising, and promotional activities, is a factor influencing susceptibility to and initiation of smoking.
6. The dependence of the media on revenues from tobacco advertising oriented to women, coupled with tobacco company sponsorship of women's fashions and of artistic, athletic, political, and other events, has tended to stifle media coverage of the health consequences of smoking among women and to mute criticism of the tobacco industry by women public figures.

Chapter 5. Efforts to Reduce Tobacco Use Among Women

1. Using evidence from studies that vary in design, sample characteristics, and intensity of the interventions studied, researchers to date have not found consistent gender-specific differences in the effectiveness of intervention programs for tobacco use. Some clinical studies have shown lower cessation rates among women than among men, but others have not. Many studies have not reported cessation results by gender.
2. Among women, biopsychosocial factors, such as pregnancy, fear of weight gain, depression, and the need for social support, appear to be associated with smoking maintenance, cessation, or relapse.
3. A higher percentage of women stop smoking during pregnancy, both spontaneously and with assistance, than at other times in their lives. Using pregnancy-specific programs can increase smoking cessation rates, which benefits infant health and is cost effective. Only about one-third of women who stop smoking during pregnancy are still abstinent one year after the delivery.

4. Women fear weight gain during smoking cessation more than do men. However, few studies have found a relationship between weight concerns and smoking cessation for either women or men. Further, actual weight gain during cessation does not predict relapse to smoking.
5. Adolescent girls are more likely than adolescent boys to respond to smoking cessation programs that include social support from the family or their peer group.
6. Among persons who smoke heavily, women are more likely than men to report being dependent on cigarettes and to have lower expectations about stopping smoking, but it is not clear if such women are less likely to quit smoking.
7. Currently, no tobacco cessation method has proved to be any more or less successful among minority women than among white women in the same study, but research on smoking cessation among women of most racial and ethnic minorities has been scarce.
8. Women are more likely than men to affirm that they smoke less at work because of a worksite policy and are significantly more likely than men to attribute reduced amount of daily smoking to their worksite policy. Women also are more likely than men to support policies designed to prevent smoking initiation among adolescents, restrictions on youth access to tobacco products, and limits on tobacco advertising and promotion.
9. Successful interventions have been developed to prevent smoking among young people, but little systematic effort has been focused on developing and evaluating prevention interventions specifically for girls.

A Vision for the Future: What Is Needed to Reduce Smoking Among Women

This report summarizes what is known about smoking among women, including patterns and trends in smoking prevalence, factors associated with smoking initiation and maintenance, the consequences of smoking for women's health, and interventions for smoking cessation and prevention. The report also describes historical and contemporary tobacco marketing targeted to women. Evidence of the health consequences of smoking, which had emerged somewhat earlier among men because of their earlier uptake of smoking, is now overwhelming among women. Tragically, in the face of continually mounting evidence of the enormous consequences of smoking for women's health, the tobacco industry continues to heavily target women in its advertising and promotional

campaigns and is now attempting to export the epidemic of smoking to women in areas of the world where the smoking prevalence among females has traditionally been low. The single overarching theme emerging from this report is that *smoking is a women's issue*. What is needed to curb the epidemic of smoking and smoking-related diseases among women in the United States and throughout the world?

Increase Awareness of the Impact of Smoking on Women's Health and Counter the Tobacco Industry's Targeting of Women

- Increase awareness of the devastating impact of smoking on women's health.** Since 1980, when the first Surgeon General's report on women and smoking was published documenting the serious health consequences of smoking among women, the number of women affected by smoking-related diseases has increased dramatically. Smoking is now the leading known cause of preventable death and disease among women. Each year during the 1990s it accounted for more than 140,000 deaths among U.S. women. By 1987, lung cancer became the leading cause of cancer death among women, and in 2000 approximately 27,000 more women in the United States died of lung cancer (67,600) than of breast cancer (40,800). Smoking also claims women's lives through deaths due to other types of cancer as well as to cardiovascular, pulmonary, and other diseases—all risks shared with men who smoke. In addition, women experience unique health effects due to smoking, such as those related to pregnancy. In 1997, smoking accounted for an estimated 165,000 premature deaths among U.S. women. Exposure to environmental tobacco smoke also contributes to lung cancer and heart disease deaths among women and affects the health of their infants. The media, including women's magazines and broadcast programming, can play an important role in raising women's awareness of the magnitude of the impact of smoking on their health and in prioritizing the importance of smoking relative to the myriad other health-related topics covered.
- Expose and counter the tobacco industry's deliberate targeting of women and decry its efforts to link smoking, which is so harmful to women's health, with women's rights and progress in society.** Even in the face of amassing evidence that a large percentage of women who smoke will die early, the tobacco industry has exploited themes of liberation and success in its advertising—particularly in women's magazines—and promotions targeted to women. Through its sponsorship

of women's sports, women's professional and leadership organizations, the arts, and so on, the industry has attempted to associate itself with things women most value (e.g., recent heavily advertised support from a major tobacco company for programs to curb domestic violence against women) (Levin 1999; Bischoff 2000–01). Such associations should be decried for what they are: attempts by the tobacco industry to position itself as an ally of women's causes and thereby to silence potential critics. Women should be appropriately concerned by and speak out against tobacco marketing campaigns that co-opt the language of women's empowerment, and they should recognize the irony of attempts by the tobacco industry to suggest that smoking—which leads to nicotine dependence and death among many women—is a form of independence. Such efforts on the part of women would be unnecessary if the tobacco industry would voluntarily refrain from targeting women and associating tobacco use with women's freedom and progress.

Support Women's Anti-Tobacco Advocacy Efforts and Publicize that Most Women Are Nonsmokers

- Encourage a more vocal constituency on issues related to women and smoking.** Taking a lesson from the success of advocacy to reduce breast cancer, concerted efforts are needed to call public attention to the toll that lung cancer and other smoking-related diseases is exacting on women's health and to demand accountability on the part of the tobacco industry. Women affected by tobacco-related diseases and their families and friends can partner with women's and girls' organizations, women's magazines, female celebrities, and others—not only in an effort to raise awareness of tobacco-related disease as a women's issue, but also to call for policies and programs that deglamorize and discourage tobacco use. Some excellent but relatively small-scale efforts have already taken place in this area, but because of the magnitude of the problem, these efforts deserve much greater support.
- Recognize that nonsmoking is by far the norm among women.** Although in recent years smoking prevalence has not declined as much as might be hoped, nearly four-fifths of U.S. women are nonsmokers. In some subgroups of the population, smoking is relatively rare (e.g., only 11.2 percent of adult women who have completed college are current smokers, and only 5.4 percent of black high school senior girls are daily smokers). Despite the positive images of women in tobacco advertisements, it is important to recognize that among adult women, those

who are the most empowered, as measured by educational attainment, are the least likely to be smokers. Moreover, most women who do smoke say they would like to quit. The fact that almost all women have either rejected smoking for themselves or, if they do smoke now, wish to quit, should be promoted.

Continue to Build the Science Base on Gender-Specific Outcomes and on How to Reduce Disparities Among Women

- **Conduct further studies of the relationship between smoking and certain outcomes of importance to women's health.** For example, does exposure to environmental tobacco smoke increase the risk for breast cancer? Some case-control studies suggested that possibility, but the link remains controversial, especially because relatively little evidence exists thus far supporting an association between active smoking and breast cancer. Any health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke may be particularly important among women in developing countries, where the vast majority of women are non-smokers but smoking prevalence among men is high. Tobacco products, particularly the cigarette brands that have been most heavily promoted to women smokers, may vary significantly in the levels of known carcinogens; however, little data exist on how much brands vary in toxicity and whether any of these possible variations may be related to the changes in lung cancer histology over the last decades. More research is needed to evaluate whether changes in the tobacco product and increased exposure to tobacco-specific nitrosamines may be related to the increased incidence rates of adenocarcinoma of the lung. More data are also needed on the effects of employment in tobacco production on women's health, including data on reproductive outcomes among women who work with tobacco during pregnancy. This topic is not covered in the present report because of a paucity of information. In general, much better data are needed on the health effects of smoking among women in the developing world. Are the effects similar to those reported in the literature to date, which is based largely on studies of women smokers in the developed world, or are they modified by differences in lifestyle and environmental factors such as diet, viral exposures, or other sources of indoor air pollution?
- **Encourage the reporting of gender-specific results from studies of factors influencing smoking behavior, smoking prevention and cessation interventions, and the health effects of tobacco use, including use of new**

tobacco products. The evidence to date has suggested that more similarities than differences exist between women and men in the factors that influence smoking initiation, addiction, and smoking cessation. When differences in smoking history are taken into account, health consequences also are generally similar. These conclusions are tempered by the fact that many research studies are not reporting gender-specific results. However, some studies do report gender differences in smoking cessation and the health effects of smoking; thus, issues regarding gender differences are not entirely resolved. For example, it is still not known whether susceptibility to lung cancer is greater among women smokers than among men smokers, or whether women are more likely than men to gain weight following smoking cessation. Researchers are strongly encouraged to use existing data sets to examine results by gender and to do so in future studies. Where these additional analyses suggest important gender differences, more research is needed to focus on the development of interventions tailored to the special needs of girls and women. As new "reduced-risk" tobacco products are marketed in the future, it will also be important to learn whether gender differences exist in the appeal and use of such products, as well as the health consequences of their use.

- **Better understand how to reduce current disparities in smoking prevalence among women of different groups, as defined by socioeconomic status, race, ethnicity, and sexual orientation.** Women with only 9 to 11 years of education are about three times as likely to be smokers as are women with a college education. American Indian or Alaska Native women are much more likely to smoke than are Hispanic women and Asian or Pacific Islander women. Limited data also suggest that lesbian women are more likely to smoke than are heterosexual women. Among teenage girls, whites are much more likely to smoke than are blacks. How can the decline in smoking among women who are less well educated be accelerated? Why are smoking rates so high among American Indian women? What contributes to the relatively low smoking prevalence among Hispanic women and Asian or Pacific Islander women, and what can be done to prevent smoking among them from rising in the future? What positive influences contributed to the vast majority of black teenage girls resisting smoking throughout the 1990s, in stark contrast to the relatively high smoking prevalence among white girls during the same period? The objective is to reduce smoking to the lowest possible level across all demographic groups. The answers to these questions will provide crucial information for intervention efforts.

- **Determine why, during most of the 1990s, smoking prevalence declined so little among women and increased so markedly among teenage girls.** This lack of progress is a major concern and threatens to prolong the epidemic of smoking-related disease among women. What are the influences that have kept smoking prevalence relatively stagnant among women and have contributed to the sharp increases in prevalence among teenage girls? Tobacco control policies are known to be effective in reducing smoking, and smoking prevalence tends to decline most where these policies are strongest. However, efforts to curb tobacco use do not operate in a vacuum, and powerful pro-tobacco influences (ranging from tobacco advertising to the use of tobacco in movies) have promoted the social acceptability of smoking and thereby have dampened the effects of tobacco control programs. Moreover, ongoing monitoring of tobacco industry attempts to target women in this country and abroad are necessary for a comprehensive understanding of the influences that encourage women to smoke and for designing effective countermarketing campaigns. If, for example, smoking in movies by female celebrities promotes smoking, then discouraging such practices as well as engaging well-known actresses to be spokespersons on the issue of women and smoking should be a high priority.
- **Develop a research and evaluation agenda related to women and smoking.** As noted above, the impact of smoking and of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke on the risk of some disease outcomes has been inadequately studied for women. Determining whether gender-tailored interventions increase the effectiveness of various smoking prevention and cessation methods is important, as is documenting whether any gender differences exist in the effectiveness of pharmacologic treatments for tobacco cessation. A need also exists to determine which tobacco prevention and cessation interventions are most effective for specific subgroups of girls and women, especially those at highest risk for tobacco use (e.g., women with only 9 to 11 years of education, American Indian or Alaska Native women, and women with depression). The sparse data available on smoking among lesbian women suggest that prevalence exceeds that of U.S. women overall, but better data are clearly needed. Research designed to reduce disparities in smoking prevalence across all subgroups of the female population deserves high priority to help eliminate future disparities in smoking-related diseases. The components of programs and policies targeted to individual women, and those targeted to communities that produce the greatest reduction in smoking, need to be

identified. Progress on these and other issues will be facilitated by the development of an agenda of research and evaluation priorities related to women and smoking.

Act Now: We Know More than Enough

- **Support efforts, at both individual and societal levels, to reduce smoking and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke among women.** Proven smoking cessation methods are available for individual smokers, including behavioral and pharmacologic approaches that benefit women and men alike. Tobacco use treatments are among the most cost-effective of preventive health interventions; they should be part of all women's health care programs, and health insurance plans should cover such services. Efforts to maximize smoking cessation and maintenance of smoking cessation among women before, during, and after pregnancy deserve high priority, because pregnancy is a time of high motivation to quit and occurs when women have many years of potential life left. With respect to prevention, the knowledge that girls who are more academically inclined or who are more physically active are less likely to smoke suggests that supporting positive outlets for mental and physical development will contribute to reducing the tobacco epidemic as well. Because regular cigarette smoking typically is initiated early in the teenage years, effective smoking cessation and prevention programs for adolescent girls and young women are greatly needed. Societal-level efforts to reduce tobacco use and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke include media counter-advertising, increased tobacco taxes, laws to reduce youth access to tobacco products, and bans on smoking in public places.
- **Enact comprehensive statewide tobacco control programs—because they work.** There are known strategies for reducing the burden of smoking-related diseases, but making the investment in these proven strategies remains a challenge. Results from states such as Arizona, California, Florida, Maine, Massachusetts, and Oregon have demonstrated that smoking rates among both girls and women can be dramatically reduced. California was the first state to establish a comprehensive statewide tobacco control program in 1990, and it is now starting to observe the benefits of its sustained efforts: between 1988 and 1997, the incidence rate of lung cancer among women declined by 4.8 percent in California but increased by 13.2 percent in other regions of the United States (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] 2000). Another recent study concluded that the California program was associated with 33,300 fewer deaths from heart

disease between 1989 and 1997 among women and men combined than would have been predicted if trends like those observed in the rest of the country had continued (Fichtenberg and Glantz 2000). Enormous monetary settlement payments from state Medicaid lawsuits with the tobacco industry have provided the resources to fund major new comprehensive statewide tobacco control efforts. However, a recent report found that only six states were meeting the minimum funding recommendations from CDC's Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs (Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids 2001).

Stop the Epidemic of Smoking and Smoking-Related Diseases Among Women Globally

- **Do everything possible to thwart the emerging epidemic of smoking among women in developing countries.** Multinational policies that discourage spread of the epidemic of smoking and tobacco-related diseases among women in countries where smoking prevalence has traditionally been low should be strongly encouraged. Efforts to disassociate cigarette smoking from progress in achieving gender equity are particularly needed in the developing world (Magardie 2000). Because smoking prevalence among men is already high in many developing countries, even women who do not smoke themselves are already at risk because they are exposed to environmental tobacco smoke—and because they suffer the losses of male loved ones who are dying of tobacco-related diseases. It is urgent that what is already known about effective means of tobacco control at the societal level be disseminated as soon as possible throughout the world. A major measure of public health victory in the global war against smoking would be the arrest of smoking prevalence at its still generally low level among women in developing countries and a reversal of the now worrisome signs of increases in smoking among them. In November 1999, the World Health Organization sponsored an international conference on smoking among women and youth, which took place in Kobe, Japan. The conference resulted in the Kobe Declaration, which states that, “The tobacco epidemic is an unrelenting public health disaster that spares no society. There are already over 200 million women smokers, and tobacco companies have launched aggressive campaigns to recruit women and girls worldwide....It is urgent that we find comprehensive solutions to the danger of tobacco use and address the epidemic among women and girls” (World Health Organization 1999b).

- **All national governments should strongly support the World Health Organization's Framework Convention for Tobacco Control (FCTC).** The FCTC is an international legal instrument designed to curb the global spread of tobacco use through specific protocols, currently being negotiated, that cover tobacco pricing, smuggling, advertising and sponsorship, and other activities (World Health Organization 1999a). In the words of Dr. Gro Harlem Brundtland, director-general of the WHO, “If we do not act decisively, a hundred years from now our grandchildren and their children will look back and seriously question how people claiming to be committed to public health and social justice allowed the tobacco epidemic to unfold unchecked” (Asma et al., in press).

Bibliography

- Asma S, Yang G, Samet J, Giovino G, Bettcher DW, Lopez A, Yach D. Tobacco. In: *Oxford Textbook of Public Health*, in press.
- Bischoff D. Consuming passions. *Ms*. 2000–01 (Dec–Jan):60–5.
- Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, American Cancer Society, American Heart Association, and American Lung Association. *Show Us the Money: An Update on the States' Allocation of the Tobacco Settlement Dollars*. Washington: Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids, Jan 11, 2001; <<http://tobaccofreekids.org/reports/settlements/settlement2001.pdf>>.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Declines in lung cancer rates—California, 1988–1997. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 2000;49(47):1066–9.
- Fichtenberg CM, Glantz SA. Association of the California Tobacco Control Program with declines in cigarette consumption and mortality from heart disease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;343(24):1772–7.
- Levin M. Philip Morris' new campaign echoes medical experts: tobacco company tries to rebuild its image on TV and online with frank health admissions about smoking and by publicizing its charitable causes. *Los Angeles Times* 1999 Oct 13; Business Sect (Pt C):1.
- Magardie K. Tobacco groups target women. *Daily Mail and Guardian* 2000 Oct 26; <<http://www.mg.co.za/mg/za/archive/2000oct/features/26oct-tobacco.html>>.
- University of Michigan. Cigarette [press release]. Ann Arbor (MI): University of Michigan News and Information Services, 2000 Dec 14.
- U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. *Smoking and Health. Report of the Advisory Committee to the Surgeon General of the Public Health Service*. U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Public Health Service, Communicable Disease Center, 1964. DHEW Publication No. 1103.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *The Health Consequences of Smoking for Women. A Report of the Surgeon General*. Washington: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health, Office on Smoking and Health, 1980.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Preventing Tobacco Use Among Young People. A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 1994.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Tobacco Use Among U.S. Racial/Ethnic Minority Groups—African Americans, American Indians and Alaska Natives, Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, and Hispanics. A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 1998.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Reducing Tobacco Use. A Report of the Surgeon General*. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2000.

World Health Organization. *Framework Convention on Tobacco Control*. Technical Briefing Series. Papers 1–5. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1999a.

World Health Organization. WHO International Conference on Tobacco and Health, Kobe, “Making a Difference in Tobacco and Health: Avoiding the Tobacco Epidemic in Women and Youth.” Kobe, Japan, Nov 14–18, 1999b, Kobe Declaration; <<http://tobacco.who.int/en/fctc/kobe/declaration.html>>.

All *MMWR* references are available on the Internet at <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr>. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

The *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy each week, send an e-mail message to listserv@listserv.cdc.gov. The body content should read *SUBscribe mmwr-toc*. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's Internet server at <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr> or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at <ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/publications/mmwr>. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone 202-512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* series, including material to be considered for publication, to Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone 888-232-3228.

All material in the *MMWR* series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; however, citation of the source is appreciated.