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GUIDANCE FOR INDUSTRY

Standards for the Prompt Review of Efficacy Supplements, Including Priority Efficacy Supplements

I. INTRODUCTION

Section 403(a) of the Food and Drug Administration Modernization Act of 1997 (the Modernization Act) requires that FDA “publish in the Federal Register standards for the prompt review of supplemental applications submitted for approved articles . . . .”. The legislative history indicates that this provision was directed at certain types of efficacy supplements (i.e., supplemental applications proposing to add a new use of an approved drug to the product labeling). Section 403(b)(3) of the Modernization Act requires that FDA provide guidance to “define supplemental applications that are eligible for priority review.” This guidance fulfills both Modernization Act requirements.

II. STANDARDS FOR THE PROMPT REVIEW OF EFFICACY SUPPLEMENTS

Section 101 of the Modernization Act reauthorized for an additional five years, with certain technical changes, the user fee program described in the Prescription Drug User Fee Act of 1992. Section 101 directed that the user fees authorized by the amendments in that subtitle “be dedicated toward expediting the drug development process and the review of human drug applications as set forth in the [performance] goals identified . . . in the letters from the Secretary of Health and Human Services to the chairman of the Committee on Commerce of the House of Representatives and the chairman of the Committee on Labor and Human Resources of the Senate, as set forth in the Congressional Record.” The referenced performance goals include standards for the review of all efficacy supplements (including those proposing to add a new use of an approved drug to the product labeling) as follows:

1This guidance has been prepared by the Review Management Working Group comprising individuals in the Centers for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) and Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) at the Food and Drug Administration. This guidance document represents the Agency’s current thinking on the standards for the prompt review of efficacy supplements. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to bind FDA or the public. An alternative approach may be used if such approach satisfies the requirements of the applicable statute, regulations, or both.

**Fiscal Year 1998:**

Standard Efficacy Supplements - 90% of reviews to be completed within 12 months  
Priority Efficacy Supplements - 90% of reviews to be completed within 6 months

**Fiscal Year 1999**

Standard Efficacy Supplements - 30% of reviews to be completed within 10 months  
90% of reviews to be completed within 12 months  
Priority Efficacy Supplements - 90% of reviews to be completed within 6 months

**Fiscal Year 2000**

Standard Efficacy Supplements - 50% of reviews to be completed within 10 months  
90% of reviews to be completed within 12 months  
Priority Efficacy Supplements - 90% of reviews to be completed within 6 months

**Fiscal Year 2001**

Standard Efficacy Supplements - 70% of reviews to be completed within 10 months  
90% of reviews to be completed within 12 months  
Priority Efficacy Supplements - 90% of reviews to be completed within 6 months

**Fiscal Year 2002**

Standard Efficacy Supplements - 90% of reviews to be completed within 10 months  
Priority Efficacy Supplements - 90% of reviews to be completed within 6 months

The Agency intends to use these performance goals to fulfill the requirement of the Modernization Act that it establish standards for the prompt review of efficacy supplements.

### III. DEFINITION OF SUPPLEMENTS ELIGIBLE FOR PRIORITY REVIEW

Both the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) and the Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) have previously established the criteria used to designate original and supplemental applications as appropriate for priority review, and these criteria were used in the implementation of the Prescription Drug User Fee Act of 1992. CDER’s policy specifies, in relevant part, that an application or supplement for a drug product will receive a priority review if the product, if approved “would be a significant improvement, compared to marketed products, including non-drug products/therapies in the treatment, diagnosis, or prevention of a disease.”

---

CBER’s standard operating procedures specify that a biological product original or supplemental application will receive priority review if the product, if approved, “would be a significant improvement in the safety or effectiveness of the treatment, diagnosis or prevention of a serious or life-threatening disease.”

These criteria and definitions are being used currently by the Agency to determine whether an efficacy supplement is eligible for priority review.

---