A. Goosefish (Monkfish)

Southern Demersal Working Group (WG) Meeting

The Southern Demersal Working Group met during October 25 - 27, 2004 at the Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole. MA, USA, with the following participants:

Jay Burnett **NEFSC** Jon Brodziak **NEFSC** Matt Cieri **MEDMR** Allison Ferreira **NERO** Phil Haring **NEFMC** Jay Hermsen **NERO** Kathy Lang **NEFSC** Chris Legault **NEFSC** Paul Nitschke **NEFSC** Anne Richards NEFSC (lead) Kathy Sosebee **NEFSC**

Mark Terceiro NEFSC (chair)

Michele Traver NEFSC

Goosefish / Monkfish Terms of Reference

1. Review results of the 2004 Cooperative Monkfish Survey; make comparison to the results of the 2001 survey.

WG Response: Given the late finish of the 2004 cooperative survey, and the time required for processing the survey data, analysis of the 2004 cooperative survey data is not complete. However, preliminary stock biomass and exploitation rate estimates from the 2004 survey were made and compared to 2001 survey results.

2. Characterize the commercial catch including landings and discards.

WG Response: This TOR was completed. The WG notes that discard estimates for 2001 and later may be subject to further revision.

3. Update other monkfish survey indices (i.e., NEFSC and MADMF indices) and analyses based on those indices.

WG Response: This TOR was completed. The MADMF indices were not updated, as MADMF staff indicated that their indices were of little utility for monkfish due to low catch rates. Therefore the MADMF indices have been dropped from the assessment.

4. Evaluate the current status of the stock assessment units relative to existing reference points.

WG Response: This TOR was completed for the biomass reference point (neither management unit is overfished). The WG noted that the lack of reliable estimates of instantaneous fishing mortality rates precludes evaluation with respect to fishing mortality reference points.

5. Review, evaluate, and report on the status of the SARC/Working Group Research Recommendations offered in the previous SARC-reviewed assessment (i.e., SAW 34 in November 2001).

WG Response: This TOR was completed.

Introduction

Goosefish fisheries are managed in the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) through a joint New England Fishery Management Council - Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council Monkfish Fishery Management Plan (FMP). The FMP defines two management areas for monkfish (northern and southern), divided roughly by a line bisecting Georges Bank.

The FMP and its subsequent modifications define monkfish biological reference points as follows:

Monkfish in the northern and southern management areas are defined as being overfished (below $B_{threshold}$) when the three-year moving average autumn survey weight per tow falls below one half of $B_{target.}$ $B_{target.}$ is defined as the median of the three-year moving average autumn survey weight per tow during 1965-1981. Thus $B_{threshold} = 1.25$ for the northern management region and =0.93 for the southern management region. For both management areas, $F_{threshold}$ is set equal to F_{max} , currently estimated as F=0.2 (NEFSC 2002). The overfishing definition does not include an F_{target} reference point. Optimum yield is addressed by adjusting annual TACs and trip limits based on how biomass indices compared to annual biomass targets.

Table A1 provides a summary of recent regulatory measures affecting monkfish.

The two assessment and management areas for goosefish (northern and southern) were defined based on differences in temporal patterns of recruitment (NEFSC survey indices for 10-20 cm goosefish), the spatial and temporal distribution of all sizes of goosefish in NEFSC surveys, perceived differences in growth patterns, and differences in the contribution of fishing gear types (mainly trawl, gill net, and dredge) to the landings. NEFSC surveys continue to indicate different recruitment patterns in the two units in the most recent years. The perceived differences in growth were based on studies about 10 years apart and under different stock conditions (Armstrong (1987): Georges Bank to Mid-Atlantic Bight, 1982-1985; Hartley (1995): Gulf of Maine, 1992-1993). Age, growth, and maturity information later available from the NEFSC surveys and the 2001 cooperative monkfish survey indicated only minor differences in age,

growth, and maturity between the areas. A genetics study (Chickarmane et al. 2000) indicated no differences among goosefish collected from North Carolina to Maine in depths up to 300 m. There continue to be significant differences in the contribution of different gear types to the landings in the two areas.

The recent biological evidence (growth, maturity, and genetic information) suggests that use of a single stock hypothesis in the assessment might be appropriate. However, substantial differences in the fisheries exist, and current management maintains separate management areas to accommodate these differences.

The research survey strata and statistical areas used to define the northern and southern management regions are as follows:

Survey	Northern Area	Southern Area
NEFSC Offshore bottom trawl	20-30, 34-40	1-19, 61-76
ASMFC Shrimp	1 -12	
Shellfish	49-54, 65-68, 71-72, 651,661	1-48, 55-64, 69-70 73-74, 621, 631
Statistical areas	511-515, 521-523 561	525-526, 562, 537-543, 611-636

The southern deepwater extent of the range of American goosefish (*Lophius americanus*) overlaps with the northern extent of the range of blackfin goosefish (*Lophius gastrophysus*) (Caruso, 1983). These two species are very similar morphologically, and this may create a problem in identification of survey catches and landings from the southern extent of the range of goosefish. The potential for a problem however is believed to be small. The NEFSC closely examined winter and spring 2000 survey catches for the presence of blackfin goosefish and found none. The cooperative goosefish survey conducted in 2001 caught only 8 blackfin goosefish of a total of 6,364 goosefish captured in the southern management region.

The spatial distribution of goosefish catches in winter, spring, and autumn bottom trawl surveys and the summer scallop survey is shown in Figure A1. The winter and scallop surveys do not sample in the Gulf of Maine.

Larval distributions have been inferred from collections by the NEFSC Marine Resources Monitoring, Assessment and Prediction (MARMAP) ichthyoplankton survey (Steimle et al. 1999). Larvae were collected during March-April over deeper (< 300 m) offshore waters of the Mid-Atlantic Bight. Later in the year, they were most abundant across the continental shelf at 30 to 90 m. Larvae were most abundant at integrated water column temperatures between 10-16° C, and peak catches were at 11-15° C regardless of month or area. Relatively few larvae were caught in the northern stock area.

Fishery Data

U.S. Landings

Landings statistics for goosefish are sensitive to conversion from landed weight to live weight, because a substantial fraction of the landings occur as tails only (or other parts). The conversion of landed weight of tails to live weight of goosefish in the NEFSC weigh-out database is made by multiplying landed tail weight by a factor of 3.32.

For 1964 through 1989, there are two potential sources of landings information for goosefish; the NEFSC "weigh-out" database, which consists of fish dealer reports of landings, and the "general canvass" database, which contains landings data collected by NMFS port agents (for ports not included in the weigh-out system) or reported by states not included in the weigh-out system (Table A2). All landings of goosefish are reported in the general canvass data as "unclassified tails." Consequently, some landed weight attributable to livers or whole fish in the canvass data may be inappropriately converted to live weight. This is not an issue for years 1964 through 1981 when only tails were recorded in both databases. However, for years 1982 through 1989, the weigh-out database contains market category information which allows for improved conversions from landed to live weight. The two data sources produce the same trends in landings, with general canvass landings slightly greater than the weigh-out system. It is not known which of the two measures more accurately reflects landings, but the additional data sources argue for use of the general canvass landings for years 1964 through 1981 while market category details available in the weigh-out system argue for use of this database for years 1982 through 1989. Until the mid-1970's, many of the goosefish caught were sold outside of dealers or used for personal consumption, introducing further uncertainty into the early estimates of landings.

Beginning in 1990, most of the extra sources of landings in the general canvass database were incorporated into the NEFSC weigh-out database. However, North Carolina reported landings of goosefish to the Southeast Fisheries Science Center and until 1997 these landings were not added to the NEFSC general canvass database. Since these landings most likely come from the southern management region, they have been added to the weigh-out data for the southern management region for 1977-1997 (TableA2).

Beginning in July 1994, the NEFSC commercial landings data collection system was redesigned to consist of vessel trip reports (VTR data) and dealer weigh-out records. The VTRs include area fished for each trip which is used to apportion dealer-reported landings to statistical areas. Each VTR trip should have a direct match in the dealer data base; however, this is not always true. For data with no matches, we dropped the record if there was a VTR with no dealer landings and retained the record if there were dealer landings but no VTR. For dealer landings with no matching VTR, we apportioned the landings to area using proportions calculated from successfully matched trips pooled over gear, state and quarter.

Total landings (live weight) remained at low levels until the middle 1970s, increasing from hundreds of metric tons to around 6,000 mt in 1978 (Table A2, Figure A2). Landings remained stable at between 8,000-10,000 mt until the late 1980s. Landings increased steadily from the late 1980s to a peak of 28,500 mt in 1997. Landings declined slightly from 1997 through 2000, but

have increased since then, to over 26,000 mt in 2003. By region, landings began to increase in the north in the mid-1970s, and began to increase in the south in the late 1970s. Most of the increase in landings during the late 1980s through mid-1990s was from the southern region. Since 1998, landings in the south have declined while landings in the north have increased.

Trawls, scallop dredges and gill nets are the primary gear types that land goosefish (Table A3, Figure A3). During 1998-2000, trawls accounted for 54% of the total landings, scallop dredges about 17%, and gill nets 29%, but during the most recent 3-year period (2001-2003), gillnets increased to 36% and scallop dredges dropped to 8%, while trawls remained essentially constant at 55% of the landings. In recent years trawl landings have been greater in the northern than the southern area, while more scallop dredge and gill net landings have come from the south than from the north.

Until the late 1990s, total landings were dominated by landings of goosefish tails. From 1964 to 1980 landings of tails rose from 19 mt to 2,302 mt, and peaked at 7,191 mt in 1997 (Table A4). Landings of tails declined after 1997, but are still an important component of the landings. Landings of gutted whole fish have increased steadily since the early 1990s and are now the largest market category on a landed-weight basis. On a regional basis, more tails were landed from the northern area than the southern area prior to the late 1970s (Tables A5, A6). From 1979 to 1989, landings of tails were about equal from both regions. In the 1990's, landings of tails from the south predominated, but since 2000, landings of tails have been greater in the north.

Beginning in 1982, several market categories were added to the system (Table A4). Tails were broken down into large (> 2.0 lbs), small (0.5 to 2.0 lbs), and unclassified categories and the liver market category was added. In 1989, unclassified round fish were added, in 1991 peewee tails (<0.5 lbs) and cheeks, in 1992 belly flaps, and in 1993 whole gutted fish were added.

Goosefish livers have become a very valuable product. Landings of livers increased from 10 mt in 1982 to an average of over 600 mt during 1998 - 2000. During 1982-1994, ex-vessel prices for livers rose from an average of \$0.97/lb to over \$5.00/lb, with seasonal variations as high as \$19.00/lb. Landings of unclassified round (whole) or gutted whole fish jumped in 1994 to 2,045 mt and 1,454 mt, respectively; landings of gutted fish continued to increase through 2000. The tonnage of peewee tails landed increased through 1995 to 364 mt and then declined to 153 mt in 1999 and 4 mt in 2000 when the category was essentially eliminated by regulations.

Foreign Landings

Landings (live wt) from NAFO areas 5 and 6 by countries other than the US are shown in Table A2 and Figure A2. Reported landings were high but variable in the 1960s and 1970s with a peak in 1973 of 6,818 mt. Landings were low but variable in the 1980s, declined in the early 1990s, and have generally been below 300 mt in recent years.

Size Composition of U.S. Landings and Catch

Table A7 shows the number of commercial samples taken through the port sampling program for 1996-2003. Length frequencies of the samples taken during 2001-2003 are shown in Figures A4-A6. Tail lengths were converted to total lengths using relations developed by Almeida et. al. (1995).

Length composition data collected by the NEFSC fishery observer program (sea sampling data) were summarized for 1996-2000. Sea sampling data for goosefish were collected aboard trawls, scallop dredges and gill nets (drift and sink). Figures A7 and A8 show length frequency distributions from sea sampling data by major gear type, stock region and year. Discards were generally between 20-40 cm, while kept fish were greater than 40 cm.

Discard Estimates

Catch data from the fishery observer and VTR databases were used to investigate discarding frequencies and rates. The number of tows or trips with goosefish discards available for analysis varied widely among stocks and gear types (Tables A8 and A9). Discard ratios (kg discarded / kg kept) from the two data sources showed similar patterns even though the estimates based on observer data were generally higher than reported in VTRs (Figures A9, A10). Gill nets consistently have had the lowest discard ratios. Discarding has increased in the trawl fishery in recent years, particularly in the south. This may reflect imposition of size limits starting in 2000 and decreased trip limits in the south starting in 2002. In addition, the WG noted a potential bias in discard estimates due to increased observer sampling in the multispecies groundfish fishery. Monkfish discard rates may differ between the directed monkfish fisheries and bycatch fisheries. In the first half of 2001, the high discard ratio stems largely from estimates from the multispecies fishery. The most frequent discard reasons were that fish were too small for regulations or the market, and this may reflect the appearance of a relatively strong 1999 year class in the north. The WG group recommends that in the future, attempts be made to stratify by component of the trawl fishery when estimating discards.

The total amount of goosefish discarded was derived by calculating discard ratios from the observer program on a management region, gear type and half-year basis. We applied the discard ratios to reported landings (live weight, by stock, gear type and half-year cells) to derive metric tons discarded and total catch (Tables A10 and A11, Figure A11). If no sampling data were available for a cell, we applied the overall mean discard ratio for all gears and years. The overall annual discard ratio (Table A11) ranged from 0.07 - 0.96 mt discarded per mt kept. The percentage of the catch discarded has ranged from 6-50%, with the highest rates occurring in 2001.

Catch per Unit Effort by Gear and Depth

Commercial catch per unit effort (CPUE) from the VTR database was examined by gear type in order to determine if a depth effect was present, especially in the deepest waters. Scallop dredge, large and small mesh gill net, and otter trawls were examined separately. Depth zones were categorized in 20 fathom increments starting with 0-20 fathoms (zone 1) and ending with zone

10 (greater than 180 fathoms). Obvious outliers were removed before analysis based on examination of the actual logbooks.

Table A12 presents the number of observations, median CPUE by depth zone and the estimated depth effect from a generalized linear model incorporating year, quarter, vessel ton class and depth zone. Dredge gear does not fish in deep waters and does not show changes in CPUE with depth. Large and small mesh gill nets fish in deeper waters, but do not show a trend in CPUE with depth. In contrast, trawls fish in deep waters and show an increasing trend in CPUE with depth. However, this apparent trend is due to a loss of low CPUE values at greater depths; maximum catch rate is consistent over all depths. Examining only directed trips (trips in which at least half of the catch (kg) was goosefish) removes the apparent trend with depth by removing most of the low catch rates in shallow water (Table A13). Thus catch per unit effort does not appear to have a depth effect associated with any gear. However, the low sample sizes in the deepest water do not allow definite conclusions to be reached.

During the examination of catch rates by depth, it was observed that few trawl trips fall into the directed category, as defined above. Table A14 shows the number of directed and total trips by gear and stock area and the associated landings. Although trawl trips are infrequently directed in both the north and south (6% and 7% of trips respectively) the proportion of catch associated with these trips is much higher in the south (30% north, 74% south). This difference between north and south was not apparent in either gill net fishery.

Research Survey Abundance and Biomass Indices

NEFSC Survey Indices

NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl survey indices were standardized to adjust for statistically significant effects of trawl type and vessel on catch rates as noted below. The trawl conversion coefficients apply only to the spring survey during 1973-1981.

Effect	Coefficient	Source
Trawl	Weight: 0.2985 Number: 0.4082	Sissenwine and Bowman, 1977
Vessel	Weight: Not significant Number: 0.83	NEFSC, 1991

Northern Region

Indices from NEFSC autumn research trawl surveys indicate that biomass fluctuated without trend between 1963-1975, appears to have increased briefly in the late 1970's, but declined thereafter to near historic lows during the 1990's. In 2000 the index increased to its highest level since 1984 (Table A15, Figure A12). The three year moving average of the biomass index has remained above Bthreshold since 2000 and is currently at 81% of Btarget (Table A35).

40th SAW

Assessment Report

Abundance (Table A15, Figure A13) declined during the early 1960s, and then fluctuated without trend until the late 1980s. Abundance increased steadily from the late 1980s to a peak in 1994, declined during the late 1990s, then increased sharply in 2000, reflecting a relatively strong 1999 yearclass (Figure A14). Abundance has declined steadily since 2000, but remains high relative to the earlier part of the time series.

Indices from the NEFSC spring research trawl surveys reflect similar trends of relatively high biomass levels in the mid 1970s (but with possible declines in the late 1970s), a declining trend from the early 1980s to the lowest values in the time series in 1998 and an increasing trend since then (Table A16, Figure A15). As in the autumn survey series, abundance in numbers fluctuated until the early 1980s (Table A16, Figure A16). Since 1996, numbers have trended upwards and reached the highest levels in the time series in 2001-2003.

Length distributions have become increasingly truncated over time (Figure A17). By 1990, fish greater than 60 cm long were uncommon in length frequency distributions. The minimum, mean and maximum lengths in the trawl surveys declined steadily from the early 1980s until around 2000, when they began to increase again (Figure A18).

Several modes potentially representing strong year classes have appeared consistently in survey distributions in recent years. Abundance indices were estimated for goosefish of lengths corresponding to ages 1 and 2 to help identify potential recruitment patterns (Figure A14, Table A17). To the extent that these indices reflect recruitment, recruitment in the northern area has increased in the past decade. Relatively strong year-classes were produced in 1993 and 1999. Survey abundance at age data (available since the mid-1990s) corroborate the suggestion of relatively strong 1993 and 1999 year-classes (Table A18) in the northern area.

Survey age data are available for 1993-2003 from the autumn trawl survey and for 1995-2004 for the spring trawl survey (Table A18). The mean length at age is shown in Table A19 and Figures A19 and A20. Within the range of ages observed in the surveys, growth is essentially linear and there are no obvious differences with gender or stock..

Southern Region

Biomass indices from the NEFSC autumn research survey were high during the mid-1960s, fluctuated around an intermediate level during the 1970s-mid 1980s, then declined to consistently low levels since the late 1980s (Table A20, Figure A21). A slight upward trend has been evident since 2000. The three year moving average of the index exceeded Bthreshold in 2003, and is currently at 50% of Btarget (Table A35). Abundance in numbers shows similar trends, with a spike in 1972, fluctuations around a relatively low level since the mid-1970s and a slight increase in 2002 and 2003 (Figure A22).

NEFSC spring surveys reflect similar trends as the autumn series: biomass remained fairly high during the mid 1970s - early 1980s, but fluctuated around lower levels thereafter (Table A21, Figures A23 and A24). A spike was observed in 2003, but the 2004 index was low again.

Biomass indices based on the NEFSC winter flatfish survey fluctuated without trend during the 1990s, but have remained relatively high since 2001, consistent with autumn survey indices (Table A22, Figure A25). Abundance indices have fluctuated without trend (Table A22, Figure A26). Although the winter survey series has a short duration, the gear used in the winter survey is more effective for capturing monkfish than the gear used in autumn or spring surveys. Age data are available for the winter survey for 1997-2004 (Table A23). The mean length at age for the winter survey samples is similar to mean length at age from NEFSC spring surveys (Figure A20).

Abundance indices based on the NEFSC sea scallop survey show an increasing trend during 1984-1994 followed by a rapid decline from 1994-1998 and fluctuations at a somewhat higher level since then (Table A24, Figure A28). Length distributions from the southern region show increasing truncation over time (Figure A29), which is reflected in declines in minimum, mean and maximum length over time (Figures A30 and A31). Maximum lengths declined by approximately 20 cm or more over the time series.

As in the northern region, fish greater than 60 cm have been rare since the 1980s, especially when compared to the 1960s. Any recent strong recruitment does not appear to survive long enough to contribute substantially to increased stock biomass.

ME-NH Survey Indices

Since 1999, the ME Department of Marine Resources, in conjunction with the state of New Hampshire, has been conducting an inshore trawl survey for groundfish. Surveys are performed each autumn and spring. A total of 5 regional areas are sampled; from the ME Canadian border to the MA/NH border. Each region is then further divided into 5 depth strata: 5-20 fathoms, 20-35 fathoms, 35-55 fathoms, and > 55 fathoms. Surveys utilize a modified shrimp bottom trawl that has 2" mesh with a ½ inch mesh liner in the cod end. The net has a sweep of 4" cookies, 70' footrope, and 59' headrope. A NetMind system is deployed for each tow. Normal protocol is to tow for 20 minutes at ~ 2.5 knots.

Figure A32 shows the distribution of catches for all survey years combined. Length frequency distributions suggest differences between autumn and spring surveys. The spring surveys seem to sample smaller monkfish, a difference which probably reflects growth from spring to fall (Figure A33). The modal size in both seasons approximates age two monkfish. These surveys (particularly the fall) may become useful indicators of recruitment as the time series develop (Figure A34).

Cooperative Goosefish Surveys

Summary of 2001 Cooperative Goosefish Survey

An industry-based survey for goosefish was conducted during Feb 27 - April 6, 2001 using two commercial trawlers fishing concurrently in the northern and southern management regions. The survey used a stratified random design with sampling effort proportional to reported fishing effort during 1995-1999. Additional station locations were assigned by fishermen. The stratum boundaries were those used in NEFSC bottom trawl surveys (defined by depth), with an additional set of strata from Georges Bank south in 100 to 500 fathoms. Standard protocols for tow speed, tow time, scope ratios and biological sampling were followed by each vessel. Experimental tows were made with each of the 3 nets (2 flat nets, 1 rockhopper) to estimate net efficiency and wingspread at a range of depths. Video footage from cameras attached to the net provided no evidence of herding of goosefish by the gear, nor of strong escape responses. Area swept estimates of population size and biomass were derived using tow duration, vessel speed (as recorded by GPS) and wingspread under a range of assumptions regarding net efficiencies.

A total of 284 survey tows were used to estimate goosefish abundance. Swept area biomass and population size were estimated using nominal tow distances for the F/V Mary K and inclinometer distances for the F/V Drake, and assuming intermediate net efficiencies. The resulting estimates were 135 thousand metric tons (69,000 in the north, 66,000 in the south) and 91 million goosefish (53 million in the north, 38 million in the south). Minimum estimates (assuming 100% efficiency of nets and the same tow distance assumptions) were 72 thousand metric tons (33,000 north, 39,000 south) and 48 million goosefish (25 million north, 23 million south). Bootstrapped estimates of the coefficient of variation for these estimates ranged 4-7%.

Biological results included the following:

- growth rates are similar in the northern and southern areas, and between males and females
- sex ratios are length- and age-dependent. Most fish larger than 70 cm and age 7 are females. In the southern area, sex ratios are skewed towards males in the 40-60 cm size range.
- -Female maturity (L_{50}) is 40 cm (4.7 years) in the north and 46 cm (5.1 years) in the south (43 cm or 4.8 years, regions combined). Male maturity (L_{50}) is 35 cm (4.1 years) in the north and 37 cm (4.3 years) in the south (36 cm or 4.2 years, regions combined).

2004 Cooperative Goosefish Survey

Methods

The 2004 cooperative monkfish survey was conducted during March 1 - June 20, 2004 using one fishing vessel (F/V Mary K). All survey tows were completed by June 16, 2004. The Mary K was equipped with two nets (flat net and rockhopper) (Figure A35, Tables A25, A26). These were different nets than were used on the 2001 survey; however, they had the same codend mesh size (6 inch stretch mesh) as used in the 2001 survey. The survey stations were the same locations where successful tows were completed during the 2001 cooperative monkfish survey (Figure A36). However, not all stations could be occupied either because of problems with 40th SAW

31

Assessment Report

fixed gear or because of severe weather conditions, particularly during March and April. A total of 304 tows were made; 255 of these were successful survey tows (105 north, 150 south). A NetMind gear mensuration system was used to measure wingspread on all tows (only about 15% of tows successfully collected wingspread data). Bottom contact time was recorded using an inclinometer, GPS data were captured from the ship's GPS, and bottom temperature was recorded using a SeaBird SBE temperature and pressure recorder. Survey catches were processed using standard procedures for NEFSC surveys. Biological data were collected electronically using the NEFSC FSCS (Fisheries Scientific Computer System) package.

Gear experiments included depletion experiments and comparative (side-by-side) tows with the two nets. The depletion experiments were used to estimate efficiency of the nets. For each depletion experiment, standard 30 minute tows were repeated along a given tow path until catch rates dropped to near zero or until no further reduction in catches was observed. Four experiments were done with the flat net, one experiment was completed with the rock hopper. Approximately 10 comparison tows were completed.

Provisional area-swept estimates of total biomass and abundance were developed using estimates of net efficiency from the 2001 survey, wingspread estimates from 2004 survey NetMind data, and nominal tow duration for each of the 2004 survey tows. Wingspread for each tow was estimated from relationships between wingspread and depth developed from tows with valid wingspread readings. Inclinometer data were not analyzed in time for the WG meeting; inclinometer data were used in 2001 to refine the estimates of tow duration.

Results – 2004 Cooperative Goosefish Survey

Due to severe weather during the spring, use of only one survey vessel, and the length of time needed for data loading and auditing, survey data were not available for analysis until approximately 2 weeks before the working group meeting. Therefore, only a limited set of results is available at this time, and all results should be considered preliminary as internal data checking (beyond standard audits) and refinement was limited.

Table A27 summarizes the general accomplishments of the survey and compares them to the 2001 cooperative survey.

Biology

Length-weight relationships are similar for males and females and between management regions (Figure A37). In 2001, mature females in the south were heavier at length than males, probably because of the weight of developing egg veils. That pattern was not seen in 2004, possibly because the sampling occurred later in the year in 2004, and many females may have already spawned.

Age-length relationships are similar to those observed in 2001, with growth nearly identical between males and females until age 7, when male growth slows and females continue a linear increase in length up to age 10, the oldest age observed in the surveys (Figure A38). No males 40^{th} SAW

32

Assessment Report

older than age 8 were observed in 2001, and no males older than age 7 were observed in 2004. No differences were detectable in mean length at age between management areas (Figure A39).

Goosefish weight at age increases exponentially up through the oldest ages observed in the survey, and does not differ between management areas (Figure A40).

Sex ratio patterns are similar to those observed in 2001, with a roughly 50:50 male:female sex ratio in the north until approximately 60 cm, a rapid decline in the proportion of males greater than 60 cm, and no males greater than about 70 cm. In the south, male:female sex ratios are approximately 50:50 in the 20-40 cm size range, become skewed towards males in the 40-60 cm size range, then decline to zero (100% females) by around 70 cm. The WG examined sex ratios and their spatial distribution in the NEFSC winter surveys during 1999-2004 (southern region) for comparison. The same pattern in sex ratio with length was observed (Figure A41). The spatial distribution of sex ratios for monkfish 50-65 cm showed a preponderance of males in the southern most strata, but no area where females dominated (Figure A42).

Population Estimates

Reliable wingspread measurements were available for 41 tows for the flat net and 6 tows for the rockhopper. A polynomial relation between wingspread and tow depth (Figure A43) was used to estimate wingspread for tows for which the mensuration gear did not operate properly. No wingspread measurements were obtained for the rockhopper net for tows shallower than about 200 m. To derive an estimate of the intercept for the rockhopper, we calculated expected wingspread each based net geometry for net on wingspread=1/2[(headrope+footrope)/2]; H. Milliken, NEFSC personal communication) and added the difference to the intercept for the flat net. We assumed a polynomial relationship would apply to the rockhopper wingspread vs. depth relation, and fit the curve through the observed points and the estimated intercept. The resulting relation (Figure A43) was used to estimate wingspread for the rockhopper tows.

Swept area biomass and population size estimates are given in Table A28. Minimum biomass estimates (assuming 100% efficiency of nets) are 28.5 thousand mt (kt) in the north and 65.9 kt in the south (94.4 kt total). This compares with an estimated total of 71.8 kt in 2001, divided roughly equally between the areas (NEFSC 2002). Minimum population numbers are 14.4 million in the north and 36.6 million in the south (total 51 million). This compares with an estimated minimum number of 47.7 million in 2001 (25 million in the north, 22.6 million in the south). Assuming the 'intermediate' net efficiencies estimated for the 2001 survey (flat net = 0.60, rockhopper=0.432) and using nominal tow distances, the biomass estimates are 51.8 kt (north), 109.8 kt (south), and 161.6 kt total. The corresponding population number estimates are 25.7 million fish (north), 61.0 million (south), and 90.9 (areas combined).

The length composition of the population estimated from the cooperative survey (based on minimum population size and proportion at length within stratum) is shown in Figure A44. In the south, most of the population is below the minimum landing size required under the FMP (equivalent to 53 cm total length). Length frequencies from the NEFSC winter survey for 2004 are very similar to the length frequencies derived from the cooperative survey (Figure A45).

Egg Production Indices From NEFSC Survey Length Composition Data

NEFSC survey indices were used to develop indices of egg production. Composite length frequencies, based on a five year summation of catch per tow at length, $\bar{I}(L,t)$ were multiplied by predicted eggs at length Egg(L) and the fraction mature (PMAT(L)). The computational formula is:

$$SSB(t) = \sum_{L} SSB(L,t) = \sum_{L} PMAT(L) * Eggs(L) * \overline{I}(L,t)$$

where

$$PMAT(L) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{13.9568 - 0.03862325L}}$$

$$L = length(mm)$$

Parameters for PMAT(L) were derived by fitting the logistic function to derived percentiles of fraction mature described in Hartley (1995). The fecundity-length relationship was obtained from Armstrong (1987).

$$Eggs(L) = 0.0683L^{3.74}$$

Results for the indices of egg production (Figures A46 and A47, Table A29) mirror the progressive decline in mean length. The egg production indices declined steadily from the late 1970s until the late 1990s, when they began to increase slightly. Currently, about 14% of egg production is by fish less than L₉₉. This compares with 1-5% in the first decade of the time series.

Estimation of Mortality and Stock Size

Natural Mortality Rate

The instantaneous natural mortality rate for monkfish is assumed to be 0.2, based on an expected maximum age of 15-20 years given previous studies of age and growth (Armstrong 1987, Armstrong et al. 1992, Hartley 1995).

Mortality estimates from NEFSC Surveys

Mortality rates were estimated from NEFSC survey abundance at age data using cohort-based catch curves (Table A30, Figures A48-A56) and Heinke's method (Table A31). The annual estimates from both methods are highly variable and the Heinke method results in many unreasonable estimates. This is likely due to inter-annual variations in catchability coupled with the overall low catch rates of goosefish in the NEFSC surveys.

Exploitation ratios were calculated from the cooperative survey using the same methods as used for SARC 34. The estimates were produced using two methods: using landings and exploitable biomass from the cooperative survey (> 40 cm north, > 52 cm south), and using catch (landings plus discards) and total biomass from the cooperative survey. In each case, landings (catch) were added to the cooperative survey estimate of biomass to derive a proxy for biomass at the beginning of 2003, and the cooperative survey biomass was taken as biomass at the beginning of 2004. The exploitation ratio was calculated using the average between 2003 and 2004 biomass estimates. The estimates were produced under assumptions of 100% and 'intermediate' net efficiencies (from 2001 cooperative survey) and using nominal tow distances. This produced the exploitation ratios shown in Table A32. The results from the catch and biomass method were very similar to the results from landings and exploitable biomass (Table A32).

An additional set of exploitation ratios was generated using survey biomass estimates and fishing year 2003 (May 2003-April 2004) landings and catch (Table A33). The results were very similar to the estimates derived above, with exploitation ratios somewhat lower in the north using fishing year landings.

For comparison with yield per recruit -based reference points adopted in Framework 2 of the Monkfish FMP, exploitation ratios were converted to F assuming M=0.2.

Bayesian Surplus Production Model

The Southern Demersal Working Group updated the Bayesian surplus production models developed for SARCs 31 and 34. SARC 34 felt the approach had value, but that data limitations were a significant impediment to its application at that time. The WG extended the SARC34 analyses (NEFSC 2002) using the same basic model structure, but with the following modifications (see Appendix I for documentation):

- A beta function prior was implemented for the distribution of r, the intrinsic rate of increase (mean = 0.5, CV = 20%)
- 2001 and 2004 estimates of biomass from the cooperative monkfish surveys were included as inputs

Estimates of the mean and quantiles of the posterior distributions of key model parameters and important outputs are listed in Table A34. There the variable BRATIO is the ratio of stock biomass in year 2003 to the biomass that would produce maximum surplus production. The variable HRATIO is the ratio of the harvest rate in year 2003 to the harvest rate that would produce maximum surplus production. The parameter K is the carrying capacity. The parameter M is the shape parameter for the production curve in the Pella-Thomlinson model. The variable B2004 is population biomass at the start of year 2004. The variable BMSP is the population biomass that would produce maximum surplus production (MSP). The variables qFALL and qSCALLOP are the catchability coefficients for the fall groundfish and the scallop survey biomass time series. The parameter r is the intrinsic growth rate of the stock. The parameter sigma2 is the process error variance, while the parameters tau2FALL and tau2SCALLOP are the observation error variances for the fall groundfish and the scallop survey biomass time series.

Model results indicated that fishing mortality has increased and stock biomass has decreased during the assessment time series of 1964-2003. When 2001 and 2004 biomass estimates were used as inputs for surplus production modeling, the median (50^{th} percentile) model results for the northern area indicated that $F_{msy} = 0.18$, $B_{msy} = 60,100$ mt, $F_{2003} = 0.25$, and $B_{2003} = 72,100$ mt. The median model results for the southern area indicated that $F_{msy} = 0.20$, $B_{msy} = 82,300$ mt, $F_{2003} = 0.13$, and $B_{2003} = 107,300$ mt. Given the provisional nature of the 2004 cooperative survey biomass estimates and potential for subsequent revision, the 2004 WG considers the surplus production model results to be preliminary and not yet sufficient for evaluation of the status of the stock with respect to reference points.

Evaluation of Stock Status with Respect to Reference Points

Monkfish in the northern and southern management areas are defined as being overfished (below $B_{threshold}$) when the three-year moving average autumn survey weight per tow falls below one half of $B_{target.}$ is defined as the median of the three-year moving average autumn survey weight per tow during 1965-1981. Thus $B_{threshold} = 1.25$ for the northern management region and 0.93 for the southern management region. For both management areas, $F_{threshold}$ is set equal to F_{max} , currently estimated as F=0.2 (NEFSC 2002). The overfishing definition does not include an Ftarget reference point. Optimum yield is addressed by adjusting annual TACs and trip limits based on how biomass indices compare to annual biomass targets.

Northern Region

The current three-year moving average catch per tow (kg/tow from NEFSC offshore autumn research vessel survey) of 2.025 kg/tow is above Bthreshold (=1.25) (Table A35). The three-year running average has been above Bthreshold since 2000. The moving average remains below the biomass target of 2.496 kg/tow (median of three-year moving average during 1965-1981). Re-sampling from the error distribution of the indices used in calculating the biomass threshold and the current 3-year running average indicates that the probability the current 3-year average is at or above the biomass threshold is equal to 0.98 (Figure A56). The WG concluded that current F estimates are too uncertain to be used for evaluation of stock status relative to fishing mortality reference points.

Southern Region

The current three-year moving average catch per tow (kg/tow from NEFSC offshore autumn research vessel survey) of 0.93 is equal to Bthreshold (=0.93) (Table A35). The moving average was below Bthreshold from1986-2002. Re-sampling from the error distribution of the indices used in calculating the biomass threshold and the current 3-year running average indicates that the probability the current 3-year average is at or above the biomass threshold is equal to 0.56 (Figure A57). The three-year average remains well below the biomass target of 1.848 kg/tow (median of three-year moving average during 1965-1981). The WG concluded that current F estimates are too uncertain to be used for evaluation of stock status relative to fishing mortality reference points.

40th SAW 36 Assessment Report

Trends in Stock Biomass, Recruitment, and Mortality

For the northern component, NEFSC autumn and spring research survey indices show an overall decline in biomass between 1975 and 1999 and a somewhat higher level since then (Tables A15 and A16, Figures A12 and A13). The increases since 2000 reflect increases in both spring and autumn survey abundance indices since 1998 (numbers per tow, Figures A13 and A16). The improved recruitment during the 1990s reflects contributions from several year classes (particularly 1993 and 1999). The maximum and mean lengths of goosefish caught in NEFSC surveys have increased in the past 3-4 years, but remain low relative to the entire time series (Figure A18).

For the southern component, biomass and abundance indices from the NEFSC spring and autumn surveys have fluctuated around the time series low since the mid-1980s, but have increased slightly since 2000 (Tables A20 and A21, Figures A21-A24). The 2002 yearclass appears to be relatively strong (Figure A14). The NEFSC winter flatfish survey shows an increasing trend in biomass since 1999 (Table A22, Figure A25); however, the survey has only been conducted since 1992. The maximum and mean lengths of goosefish in NEFSC surveys have stabilized during the past decade, but remain low relative to the time series (Figures A30 and C31).

For both stock components, indices of egg production (Figures A46-A47) mirror the progressive decline in abundance of larger fish in survey catches and the slight recovery of biomass in the northern region especially.

The WG did not consider available mortality estimates sufficiently precise for evaluating trends in mortality.

Working Group Comments

The Working Group discussed the increase in discards in 2000 through 2003. Minimum size limits and trip limits went into effect in May 2000, after the FMP was implemented. This appears to have increased regulatory discards. The recent discard estimates in the trawl fishery also could be biased by relative sampling effort in the multispecies fisheries (monkfish taken as bycatch) and directed monkfish trips if there are differences in discard patterns between vessels fishing under a groundfish day-at-sea or a monkfish day-at-sea. In the southern management area, both the trip limit and the minimum size limit are more constraining than in the northern management area. A recommendation was made to stratify the observer data by type of trip (monkfish vs. groundfish) to better characterize the discards. A preliminary examination done by stratifying discard rates by mesh size (>6.5 in. and <= 6.5 in) as a proxy for fishery type revealed higher discard rates on trips with mesh <= 6.5 inches. A more complete investigation is needed; however, the WG anticipates that this will be hampered by difficulties associated with linking the various databases (observer, dealer, etc.).

The Working Group noted the disparity between apparent longevity of males and females as well as the shape of the sex ratio curve for the Southern Region. A J-shaped curve usually represents 40th SAW

Assessment Report

cases where one sex stops growing and accumulates numbers at a certain length while the other sex continues to grow and becomes the only sex at larger lengths. In the case of monkfish, no males have been found to be older than 7 years which should not result in a J-shaped sex ratio curve. A recommendation was made to implement a tagging study, to determine where males go after age 7 or where females are from age 5 to 7. Also a recommendation was made for a program which would pay fishermen for bringing in any monkfish over 120 cm for biological sampling.

Selection of appropriate models for the depth-wingspread relationships was discussed by the Working Group. A polynomial function gave a good fit and conformed to expectations that wingspread should show a convex relationship with depth. The lack of wingspread data for the rockhopper net at shallow depths was addressed by examining several assumed values for the intercept. The WG decided to use an intercept for the rockhopper equal to the intercept of the flatnet relationship plus the difference in expected wingspread between the two gears, and assumed the same shape curve applied to the rockhopper as the flat net. The resulting relationship was used to assign wingspread to the rockhopper tows.

The Working Group decided to use nominal tow distances and intermediate net efficiency estimates for comparison of the 2001 and 2004 biomass estimates. Net efficiency estimates for the 2004 cooperative survey were not yet available, so efficiency estimates from the 2001 survey were used to calculate biomass for the 2004 survey. The 2004 estimates are provisional and are likely to change when the net efficiency estimates for 2004 become available.

Bayesian surplus production analyses from SARC 34 were updated with three additional years of catch and NEFSC survey data plus the biomass estimates from the 2004 cooperative research survey. A run starting with 1980 (SARC 34 recommendation) and assuming a uniform prior for r (intrinsic rate of increase) gave unrealistic results. Using the entire time series (1964-2003) and use of a beta-distribution prior with mean=0.5 and CV=20% for r gave more realistic results. The Working Group, however, considered these results preliminary given the provisional nature of the 2004 cooperative survey biomass estimates.

Research Recommendations

SARC 34 Recommendations and Actions Taken

1) Research should be continued to define stock structure, including genetic studies, reproductive behavior analyses, morphometric studies, parasite studies, elemental analyses, and studies of egg and larvae transport.

WG Response: An elemental analysis project is underway by Jonathan Grabowski at the University of Maine. Samples for the study were collected during the 2004 cooperative monkfish survey and analysis is expected to be completed by 2006. A study on reproductive behavior has been completed by Chris Chambers of NEFSC Sandy Hook Lab.

2) The SARC recommends changing the overfishing definitions for goosefish. Research on yield per recruit for goosefish should examine the effect and possible causes of differential natural mortality rates by sex, methods to estimate gear selectivity, and the incorporation of discards.

WG Response: The recommendations of SARC 34 were implemented in Framework 2 of the FMP in May 2003. The WG plans to update the estimation of selectivity patterns and the yield per recruit analysis for the next assessment review, tentatively scheduled for 2007. The WG will also explore the feasibility of estimating discards by trawl fishery strata (multispecies bycatch, directed monkfish).

3) Surplus production modeling should continue with special emphasis placed on uncertainty in under-reported catches and population size prior to 1980.

WG Response: The Bayesian surplus production model for goosefish was updated for this assessment by including 2001-2003 fishery catch, trawl survey indices, and the 2004 cooperative survey biomass estimates. As noted above concerning the current uncertainty of the 2004 cooperative survey biomass estimates and potential for subsequent revision, the Southern Demersal WG considers the surplus production results to be preliminary and not yet sufficient for evaluation of the status of the stock with respect to reference points. The WG plans to continue development of the model in the next assessment, since it appears to have potential to serve as a valuable tool for integration of the estimation of population biomass and mortality rates and reference points.

4) Size selectivity studies should be conducted in the trawl fishery to investigate the potential effectiveness of minimum mesh size and shape regulations to reduce discards of undersize monkfish. Additionally, comparative studies of the size selectivity and catchability of trawls and gill nets should be undertaken in order to understand the differences in the numbers of large fish captured in the two gear types.

WG Response: A cooperative research project is underway to investigate fishery selectivity patterns in the trawl fishery the Gulf of Maine (6.5 inch vs. 10 inch square mesh; M. Raymond of Associate Fisheries of Maine and C. Glass of Manomet CCS).

5) Another cooperative survey for monkfish should be conducted in 2004.

WG Response: The 2004 cooperative survey has been conducted, but analytical results are not yet complete.

6) Improved sampling rates (as observed in 2000-2001) for commercial landings should be maintained, which should eventually lead to an age-based assessment approach for this species.

WG Response: The overall commercial fishery landings sampling intensity (samples per mt) was 171 mt per length sample in 2000 and 149 mt per sample in 2001. Sampling intensity improved to 121 mt per sample in both 2002 and 2003.

- 7) Tagging studies should be considered as a basis to evaluate adult movement and rates of growth.
- WG Response: A limited number goosefish (46 individuals) were tagged as part of the Rutgers/SMART/MADMF gillnets fishery project. No returns have yet been reported from this project.
- 8) Spatial distribution of mature and immature fish and the potential effects of size limits on fishing behavior should be evaluated as a basis for advising on strategies to minimize catch and discard of immature fish.
- WG Response: Elimination of minimum size regulations were considered, but not adopted, in the development of Amendment 2 to the FMP as a means to reduce discards. Instead, the minimum size regulation was reduced in the southern area to be consistent with the northern area.
- 9) Indices of abundance should be developed from industry "study fleets,@ including coverage from outside the depth and spatial range of the NEFSC research surveys.

WG Response: A Study Fleet-NMFS cooperative research project has been implemented in several New England ports. Information on patterns of monkfish landings and cpue are expected to result from this project; no results are available at present.

Recommendations of Southern Demersal Working Group

- 1) Explore the feasibility of estimating trawl fishery discards separately for monkfish caught as bycatch on multispecies DAS and on directed monkfish trips, since possession limits are different and annually variable for these components of the fishery.
- 2) Update the SARC 34 selectivity analysis and yield-per-recruit calculations for the next assessment review, tentatively scheduled for 2007.
- 3) Implement a reward program for large monkfish specimens (> 120 cm total length). The goal of this program would be to gain information on longevity and natural mortality rate of monkfish, and extend age and growth studies.
- 4) Tagging studies should be considered as a basis to evaluate adult movement, spatial segregation by sex, and growth rates.
- 5) Given the time needed for thorough analysis of data from the cooperative surveys, the WG recommends that if a cooperative survey is conducted in winter/spring 2007, review of the survey should not be scheduled until at least the SARC in Spring 2009.
- 6) The cooperative monkfish surveys have greatly increased knowledge of monkfish biology, and have helped improve the reliability and accuracy of the stock assessment. An additional benefit has been increased industry acceptance of assessment results. However, the Northeast Region=s management and science agencies should carefully weigh the benefit:cost of the 40th SAW 40 Assessment Report

cooperative monkfish surveys in considering whether to undertake a survey for 2007. If a survey is conducted in 2007, it is critical that sampling protocols (e.g. net and ground gear designs, survey timing, vessels) be examined and standardized to the extent possible to maximize the value of annual cooperative survey estimates. Sampling intensity should be evaluated to determine optimal levels and allocation of sampling effort.

Literature Cited

- Almeida, F. P., D.-L. Hartley, and J. Burnett. 1995. Length-weight relationships and sexual maturity of goosefish off the northeast coast of the United States. N. Am. J. Fish. Manage. 15:14-25.
- Armstrong, M. P. 1987. Life history of the goosefish, *Lophius americanus*. M. Sc. Thesis, College of William and Mary in Virginia. 80 pp.
- Armstrong, M. P., J. A. Musick, and J. A. Colvocoresses. 1992. Age, growth and reproduction of the goosefish, *Lophius americanus* (Pisces: Lophiiformes) U. S. Fishery Bulletin. 90:217-230.
- Beverton, R. J. H. and S. J. Holt. A review of methods for estimating mortality rates in exploited fish populations, with special reference to sources of bias in catch sampling. Rapprts et Proces-Verbaux des Reunions 140: 67-83.
- Caruso, J. H. 1983. The systematics and distribution of the lophiid angler fisher: II. Revision of the genera *Lophiomus* and *Lophius*. Copeia 1:11-30.
- Chikarmane, H.M., Kuzirian, A.M, Kozlowksi, R, Kuzirian, M. and Lee, T. 2000. Population genetic structure of the goosefish, *Lophius americanus*. Biol. Bull. 199: 227-228.
- Cleveland, W. S. 1979. Robust locally weighted regression and smoothing scatterplots. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 74(368): 829-836.
- Cochran, W. G. 1977. Sampling techniques. Wiley. NY.
- Conan, G. Y. and E. Wade. 1989. Geostatistical mapping and global estimation of harvestable resources in a fishery of northern shrimp (Pandalus borealis). Int. Coun. Explor. Sea C.M. 1989/D:1, 11p.
- Hartley, D. 1995. The population biology of the goosefish, *Lophius americanus*, in the Gulf of Maine. M. Sc. Thesis, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. 142 p.
- Martinez, C.M. 1999. The reproductive biology of the goosefish, *Lophius americanus*. M.S. Thesis, University of Rhode Island.
- Myers R. A. and P. Pepin. 1990. The robustness of lognormal-based estimators of abundance. Biometrics 46:1185-1192.
- NEFSC. 1991. Report of the twelfth northeast regional stock assessment workshop (12th SAW). NEFSC Ref. Doc. 91-03.
- NEFSC. 1997. Report of 23rd Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop(23rdSAW). NEFSC Reference Document 97-05.

- NEFSC. 2000. 31st Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop(31st SAW). NEFSC Reference Document 00-15.
- NEFSC. 2002. 34th Northeast Regional Stock Assessment Workshop(34th SAW). NEFSC Reference Document 02-06.
- Pennington, M. 1983. Efficient estimators of abundance, for fish and plankton surveys. Biometrics 39:281-286.
- Pennington, M. 1986. Some statistical techniques for estimating abundance indices from trawl surveys. Fishery Bulletin US 84:519-525.
- Rao J. N. K. and C. F. J. Wu. 1988. Resampling inference with complex survey data. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 83:231-241.
- Sissenwine, M. P. and E. W. Bowman. 1977. Fishing power of two bottom trawls towed by research vessels off the northeast coast of the USA during day and night. ICES C.M. 1977:B30.
- Sitter R. R. 1992. A resampling procedure for complex survey data. J. Amer. Stat. Assoc. 97:755-765.
- Smith S. J. 1990. Use of statistical models for the estimation of abundance from groundfish trawl surveys. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 47:894-903.
- Smith S. J. 1996. Analysis of data from bottom trawl surveys, in H. Lassen, ed., "Assessment of groundfish stocks based on bottom trawl surveys" NAFO Scientific Council Studies, 28, pp 25-53.
- Smith S. J. 1997. Bootstrap confidence limits for groundfish trawl survey estimates of mean abundance. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 54:616-630.
- Smith S. J. 2000. Notes for National Graduate School Fish Biology and Fisheries Course on: Design and analysis of trawl/dredge surveys for abundance. Sep. 20-25 1998, Tvarminne, Finland.
- Smith S. J. and S. Gavaris. 1993. Improving the precision of fish abundance estimates of Eastern Scotian Shelf cod from bottom trawl surveys. N. Amer. J. Fish. Manage. 13:35-47.
- Steimle, F.W., W.W. Morse, and D.L. Johnson. 1999. Essential fish habitat source document: goosefish, Lophius americanus, life history and habitat characteristics. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NE-127.

Appendix I

Bayesian Surplus Production Documentation

See text for explanation of variables. WinBugs program statements used to produce Bayesian surplus production estimates are shown below for northern and southern management regions.

```
*****************
Northern Goosefish
Bayesian State-Space Implementation
of Pella-Thomlinson Production Model
# Jon Brodziak, NEFSC, October 2004
model NGOOSE
# Prior distributions
# Gamma prior for shape parameter, M
# as 1+gamma(2,2) with mean=1 and var=1/2
x \sim dgamma(2,2)
M < -x+1
# Lognormal prior for carrying capacity parameter, K
#Uniform prior for K from 10 kt to 10000 kt
K \sim dunif(10,10000)
# Beta prior for intrinsic growth rate parameter, r
# with mean=0.5 and CV=20%
y ~ dbeta(12.0,12.0)
r < -0.1 + (0.9 * y)
# Gamma priors for survey catchability coefficients
# within interval (0.0001.10)
iqFALL \sim dgamma(0.001,0.001)I(0.1,10000)
qFALL <- 1/iqFALL
# Gamma prior for process error variance, sigma2
isigma2 \sim dgamma(a0,b0)
sigma2 <- 1/isigma2
# Gamma priors for observation error variances, tau2
itau2FALL ~ dgamma(c0FALL,d0FALL)
tau2FALL <- 1/itau2FALL
# Lognormal priors for time series of proportions of K, p[]
# Time series starts in 1964 and ends in 2003
Pmean[1] < -0
P[1] \sim dlnorm(Pmean[1],isigma2) I(0.001,4)
dlow[1] <- dlowpre*NomCatch[1]</pre>
dup[1] <- duppre*NomCatch[1]</pre>
Catch[1] \sim dunif(dlow[1], dup[1])
# Low precision catch during 1964-1992
 Pmean[i] < log(max(P[i-1]+r*P[i-1]*(1-pow(P[i-1],M-1.0))-Catch[i-1]/K,0.001))
```

44

40th SAW

```
P[i] \sim dlnorm(Pmean[i], isigma2)I(0.001,4)
  dlow[i] <- dlowpre*NomCatch[i]</pre>
  dup[i] <- duppre*NomCatch[i]</pre>
  Catch[i] ~ dunif(dlow[i],dup[i])
# High precision catch during 1993-2003
for (i in 30:N) {
  Pmean[i] \le log(max(P[i-1]+r*P[i-1]*(1-pow(P[i-1],M-1.0))-Catch[i-1]/K,0.001))
  P[i] \sim dlnorm(Pmean[i],isigma2)I(0.001,4)
  dlow[i] <- dlowcur*NomCatch[i]</pre>
  dup[i] <- dupcur*NomCatch[i]</pre>
  Catch[i] ~ dunif(dlow[i],dup[i])
# Lognormal likelihood for cooperative survey biomass in 2001
# based on observed biomass (Bobs2001) and efficiency (eff)
PREDmean2001 <- log(K*P[38])
SurveyB2001 <- Bobs2001/eff
SurveyB2001 ~ dlnorm(PREDmean2001, SurveyPrec2001)
# Lognormal likelihood for observed survey indices
#FALL SURVEY LIKELIHOOD 1964-2003 P[1:40]
for (i in 1:NFALL) {
  ImeanFALL[i] <- log(qFALL*K*P[i])</pre>
  IFALL[i] ~ dlnorm(ImeanFALL[i],itau2FALL)
  RESIDFALL[i] <- IFALL[i] - qFALL*K*P[i]
# Compute exploitation rate and biomass time series
# 1964-2003 P[1:40]
for (i in 1:N) {
  B[i] \leftarrow P[i] * K
  H[i] \le -Catch[i]/B[i]
P2004 \le max(P[N]+r*P[N]*(1-pow(P[N],M-1.0))-Catch[N]/K,0.001)
B2004 <- P2004*K
# Lognormal likelihood for cooperative survey biomass in 2004
# based on observed biomass (Bobs2004) and efficiency (eff)
PREDmean2004 <- log(B2004)
SurveyB2004 <- Bobs2004/eff
SurveyB2004 ~ dlnorm(PREDmean2004, SurveyPrec2004)
# Compute reference points
BMSP \leq- K*pow((1.0/M),(1.0/(M-1.0)))
PMSP <- BMSP/K
MSP \le r*BMSP*(1.0-(1.0/M))
HMSP <- r*(1.0-(1.0/M))
INDEXMSPFALL <- qFALL*BMSP
BMSPRATIO <- B[N]/BMSP
BLIMITRATIO <- 2*B[N]/BMSP
HRATIO <- H[N]/HMSP
# END OF CODE
# Vector C() is total catch in thousand mt, 1964-2003
# Catch is GC for 1964-1981, WO+NC for 1982-1995, WO+D for 1996-2003
# Vector IFALL() is autumn kg/tow index, 1964-2003 (NFALL = 40 yrs)
# Sigma is state equation error with parameters a0,b0
\# TauFALL is autumn observation error with parameters c0FALL,d0FALL
# Observed cooperative survey swept-area biomass set using
```

40th SAW 45 Assessment Report

```
# intermediate efficiency and inclinometer distances Table C35, part C).
list(
NomCatch=c(0.0495,0.0407,0.3289,0.594,0.4939,0.264,0.2189,0.2343,0.4807,
0.7788, 1.32, 2.0647, 2.4816, 3.4837, 4.3736, 4.4748, 3.9853, 3.4881,
4.246,4.2339,4.6222,5.0776,4.7597,5.456,5.5726,7.0301,6.3822,
6.2623, 7.6153, 11.7095, 12.045, 13.2352, 12.626, 11.07, 8.058, 9.915, 11.544,
17.78497751,16.8105705,17.89984931),
IFALL = c(1.71235, 2.50877, 3.26621, 1.28262, 2.03626, 3.7046, 2.23697, 2.9139, 1.40358, 3.11401, 2.06265, 1.71083, 3.38701, 5.5675, 5.10086, 5.1329, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11401, 3.11
4.45818, 1.98444, 0.935873, 1.61742, 3.01021, 1.44087, 2.35346, 0.873207, 1.52452, 1.38425, 1.00069, 1.23533, 1.104, 1.04435, 0.973433, 1.71112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 1.07112, 
1,0.669,0.974,0.825,2.495,2.048,2.103,1.925),
N=40,NFALL=40,
a0=4.0,b0=0.01,
c0FALL=2.0,d0FALL=0.01,
dlowpre=0.90,
duppre=1.10,
dlowcur=0.99,
dupcur=1.01,
Bobs2001=68.680, eff=1.0, SurveyPrec2001=10.0,
Bobs2004=51.766, eff=1.0, SurveyPrec2004=1.0)
# Use a highly precise hammer to nail down trend
# Bobserved=68.680, eff=1.0, SurveyPrec=0.021)
# Assume a CV of 10% on survey biomass to set SurveyPrec
\# 0.1*68.68 = 13.74 = STDEV, PRECISION = 1/VARIANCE = 1/47.17 = 0.021
# P[1:40] from 1964-2003
# Initial Condition 1
0.75,0.75,0.5,0.5,0.5,0.4,0.4,0.4,0.3,0.3,0.3,0.2,0.2,0.2,0.2,0.2,0.2,0.2,0.2,
0.2, 0.2, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4, 0.4),
Catch=c(0.0495,0.0407,0.3289,0.594,0.4939,0.264,0.2189,0.2343,0.4807,
0.7788, 1.32, 2.0647, 2.4816, 3.4837, 4.3736, 4.4748, 3.9853, 3.4881,
4.246,4.2339,4.6222,5.0776,4.7597,5.456,5.5726,7.0301,6.3822,
6.2623, 7.6153, 11.7095, 12.045, 13.2352, 12.626, 11.07, 8.058, 9.915, 11.544,\\
17.78497751,16.8105705,17.89984931),
K=150.
x=1.1,
y=0.5,
iqFALL=100,
isigma2=100,
itau2FALL=100)
# Initial Condition 2
0.2, 0.2, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4, 0.4
Catch=c(0.0495,0.0407,0.3289,0.594,0.4939,0.264,0.2189,0.2343,0.4807,
0.7788, 1.32, 2.0647, 2.4816, 3.4837, 4.3736, 4.4748, 3.9853, 3.4881,
4.246.4.2339.4.6222.5.0776.4.7597.5.456.5.5726.7.0301.6.3822.
6.2623, 7.6153, 11.7095, 12.045, 13.2352, 12.626, 11.07, 8.058, 9.915, 11.544,\\
17.78497751,16.8105705,17.89984931),
K=100,
x=1.1,
y=0.5,
igFALL=100,
isigma2=100,
itau2FALL=100)
```

```
Southern Goosefish
Bayesian State-Space Implementation
of Pella-Thomlinson Production Model
# Jon Brodziak, NEFSC, October 2004
model SGOOSE
# Prior distributions
# Gamma prior for shape parameter, M
# as 1+gamma(2,2) with mean=1 and var=1/2
x\sim dgamma(2,2)
M < -1+x
# Lognormal prior for carrying capacity parameter, K
# Uniform prior for K from 10 kt to 10000 kt
K \sim dunif(10,10000)
# Beta prior for intrinsic growth rate parameter, r
# with mean=0.5 and CV=20%
y \sim dbeta(12.0, 12.0)
r < -0.1 + (0.9*y)
# Gamma priors for survey catchability coefficients
# within interval (0.0001,10)
iqFALL \sim dgamma(0.001,0.001)I(0.1,10000)
qFALL <- 1/iqFALL
iqSCALLOP \sim dgamma(0.001, 0.001)I(0.1, 10000)
qSCALLOP <- 1/iqSCALLOP
# Gamma prior for process error variance, sigma2
isigma2 \sim dgamma(a0,b0)
sigma2 <- 1/isigma2
# Gamma priors for observation error variances, tau2
itau2FALL ~ dgamma(c0FALL,d0FALL)
tau2FALL <- 1/itau2FALL
itau2SCALLOP ~ dgamma(c0SCALLOP,d0SCALLOP)
tau2SCALLOP <- 1/itau2SCALLOP
# Lognormal priors for time series of proportions of K, p[]
# Time series starts in 1964 and ends in 2003
Pmean[1] < 0
P[1] ~ dlnorm(Pmean[1],isigma2) I(0.001,4)
dlow[1] <- dlowpre*NomCatch[1]</pre>
dup[1] <- duppre*NomCatch[1]</pre>
Catch[1] ~ dunif(dlow[1],dup[1])
# Low precision catch during 1964-1992
for (i in 2:29) {
 Pmean[i] \gets log(max(P[i-1] + r*P[i-1]*(1-pow(P[i-1],M-1.0)) - Catch[i-1]/K, 0.001))
 P[i] \sim dlnorm(Pmean[i], isigma2)I(0.001,4)
 dlow[i] <- dlowpre*NomCatch[i]
 dup[i] <- duppre*NomCatch[i]</pre>
 Catch[i] ~ dunif(dlow[i],dup[i])
```

40th SAW 47 Assessment Report

```
# High precision catch during 1993-2003
for (i in 30:N) {
 Pmean[i] \le log(max(P[i-1]+r*P[i-1]*(1-pow(P[i-1],M-1.0))-Catch[i-1]/K,0.001))
 P[i] \sim dlnorm(Pmean[i],isigma2)I(0.001,4)
 dlow[i] <- dlowcur*NomCatch[i]</pre>
 dup[i] <- dupcur*NomCatch[i]</pre>
 Catch[i] ~ dunif(dlow[i],dup[i])
# Lognormal likelihood for cooperative survey biomass in 2001
# based on observed biomass (Bobs2001) and efficiency (eff)
PREDmean2001 <- log(K*P[38])
SurveyB2001 <- Bobs2001/eff
SurveyB2001 ~ dlnorm(PREDmean2001, SurveyPrec2001)
# Lognormal likelihood for observed survey indices
#FALL SURVEY LIKELIHOOD 1964-2003 P[1:40]
for (i in 1:NFALL) {
  ImeanFALL[i] <- log(qFALL*K*P[i])</pre>
  IFALL[i] ~ dlnorm(ImeanFALL[i],itau2FALL)
  RESIDFALL[i] <- IFALL[i] - qFALL*K*P[i]
# SCALLOP SURVEY LIKELIHOOD 1984-2003 P[20:40]
for (i in 1:NSCALLOP) {
  ImeanSCALLOP[i] <- log(qSCALLOP*K*P[i+20])
  ISCALLOP[i] ~ dlnorm(ImeanSCALLOP[i],itau2SCALLOP)
  RESIDSCALLOP[i] <- ISCALLOP[i] - qSCALLOP*K*P[i+20]
# Compute exploitation rate and biomass time series
# 1964-2003 P[1:40]
for (i in 1:N) {
  B[i] \le P[i] *K
  H[i] \leftarrow Catch[i]/B[i]
P2004 \le max(P[N]+r*P[N]*(1-pow(P[N],M-1.0))-Catch[N]/K,0.001)
B2004 <- P2004*K
# Lognormal likelihood for cooperative survey biomass in 2004
# based on observed biomass (Bobs2004) and efficiency (eff)
PREDmean2004 <- log(B2004)
SurveyB2004 <- Bobs2004/eff
SurveyB2004 ~ dlnorm(PREDmean2004, SurveyPrec2004)
# Compute reference points
BMSP \leq- K*pow((1.0/M),(1.0/(M-1.0)))
PMSP <- BMSP/K
MSP \le r*BMSP*(1.0-(1.0/M))
HMSP <- r*(1.0-(1.0/M))
INDEXMSPFALL <- qFALL*BMSP
INDEXMSPSCALLOP <- qSCALLOP*BMSP
BMSPRATIO <- B[N]/BMSP
BLIMITRATIO <- 2*B[N]/BMSP
HRATIO <- H[N]/HMSP
# END OF CODE
# Vector C() is total catch in k mt, 1964-2003
# Vector IFALL() is autumn kg/tow index, 1964-2003 (NFALL = 40 yrs)
# Vector ISCALLOP is scallop kg/tow index, 1984-2003 (NSCALLOP = 20 yrs)
# Sigma is state equation error with parameters a0,b0
```

40th SAW Assessment Report

```
# TauSCALLOP is scallop survey observation error
                          # with parameters c0SCALLOP,d0SCALLOP
                          list(
                          NomCatch = c(0.0671, 0.0869, 0.0759, 0.0649, 0.0396, 0.0473, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0715, 0.264, 0.2013, 0.4587, 0.6688, 1.4454, 2.2803, 5.1667, 6.6385, 4.5568, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0715, 0.2649, 0.2013, 0.4587, 0.6688, 0.0458, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0583, 
                          4.0942.4.5265.4.0689, 4.6882, 4.4407, 4.1382, 5.0545, 9.1883, 7.9244, 10.8515, 15.3362, 16.6078, 13.3386, 16.0875, 18.028, 20.694, 20.593, 18.028, 20.694, 20.593, 20.694, 20.593, 20.694, 20.593, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.6944, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 
                           17.849,12.96,19.45451328,11.0591012,14.38517574),
                          IFALL = c(5.48579, 5.16263, 6.98617, 1.12164, 0.849839, 1.1379, 1.35723, 0.786386, 4.91809, 1.98611, 0.710169, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.87322, 1.39471, 2.04263, 1.08444, 1.874264, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.08464, 1.0846
                          56,0.385586,0.387,0.592,0.5,0.304,0.477,0.709,1.253,0.828),
                          ISCALLOP = c(1.06814, 1.07323, 0.934246, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.046, 0.958, 2.41766, 1.44351, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09344, 1.81403, 1.24137, 1.40098, 2.21551, 1.87721, 2.63923, 3.09495, 2.09414, 1.81403, 2.09414, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 1.4014, 
                          41,2.321,1.68,1.653,2.775),
                          N=40,NFALL=40,NSCALLOP=20,
                          a0=4.0,b0=0.01,
                          c0FALL=2.0,d0FALL=0.01,
                          c0SCALLOP=2.0,d0SCALLOP=0.01,
                          dlowpre=0.90,
                          duppre=1.10,
                          dlowcur=0.99,
                          dupcur=1.01,
                          Bobs2001=66.23, eff=1.0, SurveyPrec2001=10.0,
                          Bobs2004=109.807, eff=1.0, SurveyPrec2004=1.0)
                          # Use a highly precise hammer to nail down trend
                          # Bobserved=66.23, eff=1.0, SurveyPrec=0.0228)
                          # Assume a CV of 10% on survey biomass to set SurveyPrec
                          # 0.1*66.23 = 6.623 = STDEV, PRECISION = 1/VARIANCE = 1/43.864 = 0.0326
                          # P[1:40] from 1964-2003
                          # Initial Condition 1
                          list(
                          0.2, 0.2, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4, 0.4),
                          Catch = c(0.0671, 0.0869, 0.0759, 0.0649, 0.0396, 0.0473, 0.0583, 0.0715, 0.264, 0.2013, 0.4587, 0.6688, 1.4454, 2.2803, 5.1667, 6.6385, 4.5562, 0.2013, 0.4587, 0.2013, 0.4587, 0.2013, 0.4587, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2
                          4.0942, 4.5265, 4.0689, 4.6882, 4.4407, 4.1382, 5.0545, 9.1883, 7.9244, 10.8515, 15.3362, 16.6078, 13.3386, 16.0875, 18.028, 20.694, 20.593, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8515, 10.8
                          17.849,12.96,19.45451328,11.0591012,14.38517574),
                          x=1.1,
                          y=0.5,
                          K=200,
                          iqFALL=100,iqSCALLOP=100,
                           isigma2=100,
                          itau2FALL=100,itau2SCALLOP=100)
                          # Initial Condition 2
                          list(
                          0.2, 0.2, 0.3, 0.3, 0.3, 0.4, 0.4),
 Catch = c(0.0671, 0.0869, 0.0759, 0.0649, 0.0396, 0.0473, 0.0583, 0.0583, 0.0715, 0.264, 0.2013, 0.4587, 0.6688, 1.4454, 2.2803, 5.1667, 6.6385, 4.5562, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2013, 0.2
 4.0942, 4.5265, 4.0689, 4.6882, 4.4407, 4.1382, 5.0545, 9.1883, 7.9244, 10.8515, 15.3362, 16.6078, 13.3386, 16.0875, 18.028, 20.694, 20.593, 18.028, 20.694, 20.593, 20.694, 20.593, 20.694, 20.593, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694, 20.694,
 17.849,12.96,19.45451328,11.0591012,14.38517574),
 x=1.1,
 y=0.5,
K=200,
 iqFALL=100,iqSCALLOP=100,
 isigma2=100,
 itau2FALL=100,itau2SCALLOP=100)
```

TauFALL is autumn observation error with parameters c0FALL,d0FALL

Table A1. Monkfish FMP Timelir

Monkfish	FMP Timeline
	FMP implemented:
	multi-level limited access program
	two management areas
	target TACs
	effort limitations (DAS) – Year 3 default
	measures (0 DAS)
	trip limits
Nov. 1999	bycatch allowances
1	minimum fish sizes and minimum mesh size
	gear restrictions
	spawning season closures
	a framework adjustment process
	permitting and reporting requirements
	other measures for administration and
	enforcement.
	Amendment 1 effective – EFH Omnibus
Nov. 1999	Amendment
May. 2000	DAS implemented
Jul. 2000	SAW 31
Spring	Cooperative Survey
2001	,
	Hall v. Evans decision - trip limit on gillnet vessels
Fall 2001	set equal to trawls, based on permit category.
Jan. 2002	SAW 34
	Councils submit Framework 1 – one-year
Spring	postponement of default measures while the
2002	Councils prepared Amendment 2.
	Emergency Rule – Framework 1 disapproved for
	non-compliance with F threshold in the original
	plan (which had been invalidated by SAW 31 and
	SAW 34). Implemented a revision to the OFD
	based on SAW 34 recommendations, and
	management measures in FW 1
May. 2002	
	Framework 2 - modified the OFD reference points
	recommended by SAW 34, established an index-
	and landings-based method for setting TACs to
	achieve annual rebuilding goals, and for
	calculating DAS and trip limits. Also eliminated the
May. 2003	default measures.
,	40.441

FY		
MAY	Trip Limits (lbs. tail wt./DAS) SFMA only	
	A&C: 1,500 trawls, 300 gillnets	
2000	B&D: 1,000 trawls, 300 gillnets	
2001	Gillnet trip limits set equal to trawl/permit category (11/01)	
	A&C: 550	
2002	B&D: 450	
	A&C: 1,250	
2003	B&D: 1,000	
	A&C: 550 (with 28 DAS in the SFMA)	
2004	B&D: 450 (with 28 DAS in the SFMA)	

Table A2. Landings (calculated live weight, mt) of goosefish as reported in NEFSC weighout data base (1964-1993) and vessel trip reports (1994-2003) (North = SA 511-523, 561; South = SA 524-639 excluding 551-561 plus landings from North Carolina for years 1977-1995); General Canvas database (1964-1989, North = ME, NH, northern weigh out proportion of MA; South = Southern weigh out proportion of MA, RI-VA); Foreign landings from NAFO database areas 5 and 6. Shaded cells denote suggested source for landings which are used in the total column at the far right (see text for details).

	We	igh Out Plus	NC	G	eneral Canv	as as		
Year	US North	US South	US Total	US North	US South	US Total	Foreign	Total
196			64		61	106	0	106
196			54	37	79	115	0	115
196			312	299	69	368	2,397	2,765
196			547	540	59	598	11	609
196		2	453		36	485	2,231	2,716
196			262	240	43	283	2,249	2,532
197			211	199	53	251	477	728
197			223	213	53	266	3,659	3,925
197			461	437	65	502	4,102	4,604
197			848	708	240	948	6,818	7,766
197	•		1,297	1,200	183	1,383	727	2,110
197			2,134	1,877	417	2,294	2,548	4,842
197	•		2,663		608	2,865	341	3,206
197			3,967	3,167	1,314	4,481	275	4,756
197			5,273	3,976	2,073	6,049	38	6,087
197			7,548	4,068	4,697	8,765	70	8,835
198	•		7,927	3,623	6,035	9,658	132	9,790
198			5,597	3,171	4,142	7,313	381	7,694
198	•		7,582		4,492	8,249	310	7,892
198			7,964		4,707	8,624	80	8,044
198			7,901	4,220	4,171	8,391	395	8,296
198	•		8,878		4,806	9,258	1,333	10,211
198			8,364		4,264		341	8,705
198			8,722		3,933	8,926	748	9,470
198			9,661		4,775		909	10,570
198		8,353	14,744		8,678	14,910	1,178	15,922
199	•		13,006				1,557	14,563
199			15,558				1,020	16,578
199			20,865				473	21,338
199	•		25,743				354	26,097
199	•		23,076				543	23,619
199			26,657				418	27,075
199			26,794				184	26,978
199	•		28,328				189	28,517
199			26,676				190	26,866
199			25,213				151	25,364
200			20,876				176	21,052
200			23,301				149	23,450
200	•		22,895				294	23,189
200	15,103	10,963	26,066				309	26,375

 $40^{\text{th}}\,\mathrm{SAW}$

	Total	63.94	53.43	311.74	547.25	453.37	262.49	210.79	222.85	461.48	848.29	1297.13	2134.71	2670.49	3973.11	5238.13	6544.87	6589.37	5511.99	7551.29	7977.32	7871.31	8757.60	8344.98	8694.41	9266.66	14698.19	12984.19	15527.53	20849.85	25706.56	22987.78	26413.87	26550.27	28327.43	26675.84	25213.27	20875.69	23300.74	22895.46	26065.57
ned	Other			0.14				90.0		1.57	8.96	24.82	10.13	14.86	53.11	99.62	96.98	62.47	152.22	29.68	55.54	60.81	58.21	47.79	40.99	39.26	33.20	29.96	39.45	34.69	218.40	637.57	799.62	77.67	248.67	160.65	77.58	225.85	40.98	55.86	336.42
Regions Combined	Dredge				7.61	4.11	3.98		0.17	1.30	17.11	7.27	11.67	53.70	202.46	774.35	2069.76	2275.51	1399.19	2060.73	2430.74	1967.53	2610.80	2620.90	2692.39	3765.42	7619.92	6884.97	5940.50	8619.48	11192.09	5758.86	5298.25	5251.52	6239.05	6138.46	4078.59	2290.51	2100.16	2027.90	1939.16
Regi	Gill Net	0.02	0.20	0.17			1.35	0.32		7.74	28.68	104.95	123.07	142.96	230.22	368.10	399.18	528.28	477.28	432.80	325.07	330.39	331.85	358.32	400.24	362.50	366.02	371.82	700.47	1336.14	2417.42	3883.88	5333.24	5611.39	6205.74	7101.15	7656.17	6107.40	8078.22	8388.70	9736.34
	Trawl	63.92	53.23	311.43	539.64	449.26	257.16	210.41	222.68	450.87	793.54	1160.09	1989.84	2458.97	3487.32	4016.02	3988.97	3723.11	3483.30	4998.08	5165.97	5512.58	5756.74	5317.97	5560.79	5399.48	6679.05	5697.44	8847.11	10859.54	11878.65	12707.47	14982.76	15609.69	15633.97	13275.58	13400.93	12251.93	13081.38	12423.00	14053.65
	Total	18.99	16.61	12.71	7.58	2.07	4.02	12.16	10.11	24.43	137.39	98.13	269.44	340.30	590.73	1137.71	1981.41	2429.17	2003.11	3352.09	3965.98	3452.32	4106.48	3953.58	3706.50	4483.44	8296.50	7142.49	9800.41	13924.75	15059.17	12126.40	14382.20	15788.60	18533.90	19309.30	15953.50	10190.60	9801.24	8866.25	10963.07
	Other			0.08							1.00	0.10	1.56	0.24	25.54	25.50	16.33	6.81	105.45	27.27	17.16	17.97	2.88	12.15	3.42	3.02	3.47	4.75	15.72	10.80	192.14	555.96	742.80	32.70	203.50	133.70	51.80	146.52	30.32	42.80	82.80
South	Dredge										4.88		2.16	6.97	57.11	507.29	1015.27	1273.50	781.53	1507.13	2118.86	1704.40	2347.22	2068.22	1996.95	2593.83	5035.79	4744.23	3907.06	6408.94	7158.01	3994.91	4109.40	4362.30	4894.50	5148.00	3339.10	1942.79	1645.94	1851.90	1701.40
	Gill Net												0.24			0.14	6.13	10.04	16.03	11.88	11.38	15.46	17.33	32.11	26.25	58.22	16.89	32.11	362.60	977.16	1722.40	2342.47	3804.60	4220.40	5201.80	6195.70	6163.90	4009.91	5102.62	5418.79	7182.90
	Trawl	18.99	16.61	12.63	7.58	2.07	4.02	12.16	10.11	24.43	131.51	98.03	265.48	333.09	508.08	604.78	943.68	1138.82	1100.10	1805.81	1818.58	1714.49	1739.05	1841.10	1679.88	1828.37	3240.35	2361.40	5515.03	6527.85	5986.62	5233.06	5725.40	7173.20	8234.10	7831.90	6398.70	4091.38	3022.36	1552.76	1995.97
	Total	44.95	36.61	299.03	539.46	451.30	258.47	198.63	212.74	437.06	709.73	1196.56	1852.55	2235.61	3136.60	3889.02	4014.16	3695.55	3217.16	3859.90	3849.45	4201.94	4615.69	4326.64	4960.05	5065.80	6391.18	5801.97	5693.57	6923.36	10645.23	11039.40	12031.60	10761.80	9793.70	7366.50	9259.80	10685.09	13499.50	14029.21	15102.50
	Other			0.05				90.0																															10.66		
North	Dredge				7.61	4.11	3.98		0.17	1.30	12.24	7.27	9.51	46.73	142.08	212.00	583.69	595.68	443.42	367.07	265.70	196.37	263.58	552.69	695.43	1171.59	2584.13	2140.73	2033.44	2210.53	4034.08	1807.84	1188.90	889.30	1344.60	990.40	739.50	347.72	454.22	176.00	237.76
	Gill Net	0.02	0.20	0.17			1.35	0.32		7.74	28.68	104.95	122.83	142.96	230.22	367.96	393.04	518.24	460.64	420.92	313.69	314.93	314.52	326.21	373.99	304.08	348.65	338.43	337.64	358.97	695.02	1571.26	1528.60	1391.00	1004.00	905.50	1492.30	2097.49	2975.60	2969.91	2553.44
	Trawl	44.93	36.41	298.80	531.85	447.19	253.14	198.25	212.57	426.45	660.85	1059.61	1711.64	2031.30	2736.74	3254.89	2966.80	2525.97	2266.33	3039.51	3233.10	3647.80	3982.26	3412.10	3853.06	3553.90	3428.68	3297.60	3298.76	4329.96	5889.87	7573.88	9257.30	8436.50	7399.90	5443.70	7002.20	8160.55	10059.02	10870.24	12057.68
1	Year	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975										1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003

Table A3. U.S. landings of goosefish (calculated live weight) by gear type.

52

Table A4. Landed weight (mt) of goosefish by market category for 1964-2003 for combined assessment areas (SA 511-636), NEFSC weightout database and vessel trip reports (1994-2003).

	Belly					Tails	Tails	Tails	Tails	All
Year	Flaps	Cheeks	Livers	Gutted	Round	Unc.	Large	Small	Peewee	Tails
1964	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.3
1965	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.1
1966	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	93.0
1967	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	164.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	164.8
1968	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	136.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	136.6
1969	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.1
1970	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.5
1971	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.1
1972	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	139.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	139.0
1973	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	255.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	255.5
1974	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	390.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	390.7
1975	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	642.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	642.8
1976	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	802.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	802.2
1977	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1194.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1194.4
1978	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1574.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1574.5
1979	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2224.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2224.7
1980	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2302.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2302.4
1981	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1654.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1654.2
1982	0.0	0.0	10.2	0.0	0.0	2059.8	153.1	53.3	0.0	2266.2
1983	0.0	0.0	11.6	0.0	0.0	2009.9	241.4	138.6	0.0	2390.0
1984	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	2121.6	186.8	44.5	0.0	2352.9
1985	0.0	0.0	28.0	0.0	0.0	2467.0	86.7	73.4	0.0	2627.1
1986	0.0	0.0	36.3	0.0	0.0	2365.4	76.4	52.2	0.0	2494.0
1987	0.0	0.0	54.2	0.0	0.0	2463.7	139.9	6.7	0.0	2610.3
1988	0.0	0.0	112.8	0.0	0.0	2646.3	195.1	34.8	0.0	2876.2
1989	0.0	0.0	146.3	0.0	15.6	3501.8	557.4	360.0	0.0	4419.2
1990	0.0	0.0	179.7	0.0	217.7	2601.8	854.1	377.4	0.0	3833.3
1991	0.0	8.6	270.3	0.0	415.4	2229.1	1661.9	614.1	36.6	4541.6
1992	0.2	3.7	321.5	0.0	386.0	2778.7	1908.1	1293.0	183.3	6163.1
1993	0.0	1.7	459.9	98.2	528.7	3503.2	1933.0	1851.1	262.4	7549.8
1994	0.0	5.3	458.1	1453.6	2044.8	1256.9	2230.7	2063.3	258.0	5808.9
1995	2.3	1.0	500.1	2763.2	2652.6	895.6	2524.6	2424.4	363.5	6208.1
1996	0.4	0.6	571.6	3475.9	1064.3	1086.9	2094.1	3032.1	269.8	6482.9
1997	0.1	0.1	630.7	3210.0	795.2	675.5	3067.7	3295.7	151.6	7190.6
1998	0.0	0.5	607.4	3592.1	581.8	862.3	3013.6	2654.8	95.5	6626.2
1999	0.1	0.2	597.4	5748.1	1131.4	537.2	2388.3	2200.8	153.4	5279.8
2000	0.0	3.7	624.0	6914.1	1091.0	293.6	1580.0	1707.3	4.3	3585.1
2001	0.5	0.0	559.0	7028.2	531.4	245.3	1958.9	2140.3	0.4	4344.9
2002	0.2	0.1	507.8	7748.4	566.8	243.0	1669.0	2108.1	0.2	4020.3
2003	0.0	1.0	486.0	7271.8	665.3	329.0	2345.6	2430.5	0.7	5105.8

Table A5. Landed weight (mt) of goosefish by market category for 1964-2003 for northern assessment area (SA 511-523 and 561), NEFSC weightout database and vessel trip reports (1994-2003).

	Belly					Tails	Tails	Tails	Tails	All
Year	Flaps	Cheeks	Livers	Gutted	Round	Unc.	Large	Small	Peewee	Tails
1964	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.5
1965	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0
1966	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	90.1
1967	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	162.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	162.5
1968	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	135.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	135.9
1969	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.8
1970	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.8
1971	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.1
1972	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	131.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	131.6
1973	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	213.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	213.8
1974	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	360.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	360.4
1975	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	558.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	558.0
1976	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	673.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	673.4
1977	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	944.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	944.7
1978	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1171.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1171.4
1979	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1209.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1209.1
1980	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1113.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1113.1
1981	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	969.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	969.0
1982	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	1145.6	15.0	2.0	0.0	1162.6
1983	0.0	0.0	9.3	0.0	0.0	1152.3	4.8	2.4	0.0	1159.4
1984	0.0	0.0	14.7	0.0	0.0	1261.9	3.7	0.0	0.0	1265.6
1985	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	0.0	1385.9	1.6	2.6	0.0	1390.2
1986	0.0	0.0	13.7	0.0	0.0	1302.7	0.3	0.2	0.0	1303.2
1987	0.0	0.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	1491.5	1.7	0.7	0.0	1493.9
1988	0.0	0.0	47.4	0.0	0.0	1516.9	5.6	3.3	0.0	1525.8
1989	0.0	0.0	58.7	0.0	11.2	1464.5	327.0	130.2	0.0	1921.6
1990	0.0	0.0	77.9	0.0	30.3	1173.7	410.7	154.0	0.0	1738.4
1991	0.0	3.3	70.0	0.0	0.3	1013.9	538.6	153.2	9.1	1714.8
1992	0.0	0.7	83.0	0.0	0.1	910.5	589.9	505.4	79.4	2085.3
1993	0.0	0.6	208.3	98.2	350.6	1034.3	867.9	1061.8	102.9	3067.0
1994	0.0	1.4	207.6	532.7	981.3	403.0	1205.7	1074.8	136.2	2819.7
1995	0.0	0.7	176.1	1213.4	1122.0	369.7	1178.6	1015.5	305.6	2869.3
1996	0.3	0.4	196.2	1114.2	756.3	92.5	933.0	1381.5	224.1	2631.0
1997	0.0	0.1	154.6	628.5	247.0	29.0	1142.6	1368.9	119.2	2659.6
1998	0.0	0.1	129.4	558.5	145.5	18.2	1067.2	818.7	79.2	1983.3
1999	0.0	0.1	173.2	1670.7	510.1	28.9	1021.8	871.7	139.4	2061.7
2000	0.0	0.1	286.6	3202.7	907.6	17.3	780.6	1044.6	2.7	1845.3
2001	0.0	0.0	270.2	3111.2	233.6	127.9	1136.1	1663.4	0.0	2927.4
2002	0.0	0.1	259.6	3789.6	24.1	79.7	1055.0	1782.4	0.0	2917.1
2003	0.0	0.4	221.5	2413.7	13.7	94.7	1582.4	2038.9	0.0	3716.0

Table A6. Landed weight (mt) of goosefish by market category for 1964-2003 for southern assessment area (SA 524-636 excluding 561), NEFSC weightout database and vessel trip reports (1994-2003).

	Belly					Tails	Tails	Tails	Tails	All
Year	Flaps	Cheeks	Livers	Gutted	Round	Unc.	Large	Small	Peewee	Tails
1964	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.7
1965	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0
1966	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8
1967	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3
1968	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6
1969	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2
1970	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7
1971	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0
1972	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4
1973	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.7
1974	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3
1975	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.8
1976	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	128.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	128.8
1977	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	249.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	249.6
1978	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	403.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	403.1
1979	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1015.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1015.6
1980	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1189.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	1189.3
1981	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	685.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	685.0
1982	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	912.4	138.1	51.3	0.0	1101.8
1983	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	857.7	236.6	136.2	0.0	1230.5
1984	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	0.0	859.7	183.1	44.5	0.0	1087.3
1985	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	1081.1	85.1	70.8	0.0	1236.9
1986	0.0	0.0	22.6	0.0	0.0	1062.6	76.1	52.0	0.0	1190.8
1987	0.0	0.0	330.2	0.0	0.0	972.2	138.2	6.0	0.0	1116.4
1988	0.0	0.0	65.4	0.0	0.0	1129.3	189.5	31.5	0.0	1350.4
1989	0.0	0.0	87.6	0.0	4.5	2037.4	230.4	229.8	0.0	2497.5
1990	0.0	0.0	101.8	0.0	187.3	1428.1	443.4	223.4	0.0	2094.9
1991	0.0	5.2	200.2	0.0	415.1	1215.2	1123.3	460.9	27.5	2826.8
1992	0.2	3.0	238.5	0.0	385.9	1868.2	1318.3	787.6	103.9	4077.9
1993	0.0	1.1	251.5	0.0	178.1	2468.9	1065.1	789.3	159.4	4482.8
1994	0.0	3.8	250.5	921.0	1063.5	853.9	1025.0	988.5	121.8	2989.2
1995	2.3	0.3	324.0	1549.8	1530.6	526.0	1346.0	1409.0	57.8	3338.8
1996	0.1	0.3	375.4	2361.7	308.0	994.4	1161.2	1650.6	45.7	3851.9
1997	0.1	0.0	476.1	2581.5	548.1	646.6	1925.2	1926.8	32.4	4531.0
1998	0.0	0.4	478.0	3033.6	436.3	844.1	1946.4	1836.1	16.3	4642.9
1999	0.1	0.1	424.2	4077.4	621.3	508.4	1366.5	1329.1	14.1	3218.0
2000	0.0	3.5	337.4	3711.3	183.4	276.3	799.3	662.6	1.6	1739.9
2001	0.5	0.0	289.1	3917.0	297.9	217.4	822.8	476.9	0.4	1517.5
2002	0.2	0.0	249.1	4012.1	551.3	166.9	628.9	330.9	0.2	1126.9
2003	0.0	0.6	264.7	4906.2	666.6	242.0	775.5	398.4	0.7	1416.5

Table A7. Number of commercial samples and length measurements taken by year, market category, and stock area. Live metric tons are also shown.

	Market		1	NORTH			S	OUTH			TOT	AL	
Year	Category	Samples	Lengths	live mt	mt/sample	Samples	Lengths	live mt	mt/sample	Samples	Lengths	mt	mt/sample
1996	tails only	1	109	306	306	1	123	3,302	3,302	. 2	232	3,608	1,804
	tails large	13	1,383	3,097	238	6	618	3,856	643	19	2,001	6,953	366
	tails small	10	1,438	4,588	459	6	609	5,479	913	16	2,047	10,067	629
	tails peewe		1,258	744	83	4	415	152	38	13	1,673	896	69
	class round		252	752	376	-	_	313	_	2	252	1,065	533
	d on, gutted	3	478	1,284	428	7	1,287	2,679	383		1,765	3,963	396
	annual total	38	4,918	10,771	-	24	3,052	15,781	-	62	7,970	26,552	428
1997	tails only	-	-	104	-	-	-	2,139	-	-	-	2,243	-
	tails large	12	1,324	3,831	319	12	1,220	6,354	530	24	2,544	10,185	424
	tails small	12	1,262	4,529	377	14	1,451	6,413	458	26	2,713	10,942	421
	tails peewe	9	863	396	44	3	300	108	36	12	1,163	504	42
un	class round	10	936	243	24	1	98	552	552	11	1,034	795	72
	d on, gutted		53	718	718	4	551	2,942	736	5	604	3,660	732
	annual total	44	4,438	9,821	-	34	3,620	18,508	-	78	8,058	28,329	
1998	tails only	-	-	72	-	-	-	2,789	-	-	-	2,861	363 -
	tails large	6	713	3,548	591	5	487	6,457	1,291	11	1,200	10,005	910
	tails small	8	877	2,728	341	4	444	6,086	1,522	12	1,321	8,814	735
	tails peewe	1	136	263	263	-	-	54	· -	1	136	317	317
un	class round	-	-	142	-	-	-	440	-	-	-	582	-
head	d on, gutted	-	-	659	-	-	-	3,436	-	-	-	4,095	-
	annual total	15	1,726	7,412	-	9	931	19,262	-	24	2,657	26,674	1,111
1999	tails only	-	-	158	-	-	-	1,224	-	-	-	1,382	-
	tails large	6	634	3,436	573	5	480	4,652	930	11	1,114	8,088	735
	tails small	19	1,997	2,926	154	8	814	4,533	567	27	2,811	7,459	276
	tails peewee	-	-	463	-	-	-	48	-	-	_	511	-
un	class round	-	-	499	-	-	-	633	-	-	-	1,132	-
head	d on, gutted	1	115	1,872	1,872	4	254	4,581	1,145	5	369	6,453	1,291
	annual total	26	2,746	9,354	-	17	1,548	15,671	-	43	4,294	25,025	582
2000	tails only	-	-	58	-	1	102	917	910	1	102	967	967
	tails large	6	567	2,592	431	7	667	2,654	380	13	1,234	5,243	403
	tails small	50	5,175	3,468	69	7	748	2,200	314	57	5,923	5,668	99
	tails peewee	-	-	9	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	14	-
un	class round	16	1,839	908	57	-	-	183	-	16	1,839	1,091	68
head	d on, gutted	21	2,095	3,651	174	14	1,175	4,231	302	35	3,270	7,881	225
	annual total	93	9,676	10,686	-	29	2,692	10,191	-	122	12,368	20,865	171
2001	tails only	-	-	425	-	-	-	722	-	-	-	1147	-
	tails large	47	5070	3772	80	6	612	2732	455	53	5682	6504	123
	tails small	54	5684	5523	102	8	741	1583	198	62	6425	7106	115
	tails peewee	-	-	0	-		-	1	-	-	-	1	-
	class round	-	-	234	-	1	113	298	298	1	113	532	532
head	d on, gutted		3241	3547	114	39	4043	4465	114	70	7284	8012	114
	annual total	132	13995	13501	-	24	5509	9801	-	156	19504	23302	-
2002	tails only	1	51	265	265	-	-	554	-	1	51	819	-
	tails large	55	6081	3503	64	14	1012	2088	149	69	7093	5591	81
	tails small	59	7038	5918	100	7	580	1099	157	66	7618	7017	106
	tails peewee	-	-	0	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
un	class round	-	-	24	-	1	91	551	551	1	91	575	575
	d on, gutted		2347	4320	188	29	2988	4574	158	52	5335	8894	171
	annual total	138	15517	14030	-	51	4706	8866	-	189	20223	22896	-
2003	tails only	-	-	314	-	-	-	803	-	-	-	1118	-
	tails large	54	5093	5254	97	9	706	2575	286	63	5799	7828	124
	tails small	63	5431	6769	107	7	566	1323	189	70	5997	8092	116
	tails peewe		-	0	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	-
	class round	1	100	14	14	2	162	667	333	3	262	680	227
	d on, gutted		3549	2752	47	21	1837	5593	266	80	5386	8345	104
;	annual total	177	14173	15103	-	39	3271	10963	-	216	17444	26065	-

Table A8. Discard ratios (mt discarded / mt kept) of goosefish by gear and half year from fishery observer and VTR databases, northern area.

North				Observer	Data	VTR Data						
					Discard	Disc			Discard	Disc		
GEAR	YEAR	HALF	No. Tows	Kept (mt)	(mt)	Ratio	No. Trips	Kept (mt)	(mt)	Ratio		
Dredge	1996	1	150	0.680	0.324	0.476	10	2.074	0.696	0.336		
		2	309	3.779	1.102	0.292	48	43.741	5.144	0.118		
		Total	459	4.460	1.426	0.320	58	45.815	5.841	0.127		
	1997	1	139	0.216	0.303	1.405	21	7.664	0.959	0.125		
		2	437	9.421	1.210	0.128	31	39.441	3.562	0.090		
		Total	576	9.637	1.514	0.157	52	47.105	4.521	0.096		
	1998	1	79	0.470	0.061	0.131	21	3.540	1.511	0.427		
		2	169	5.929	0.301	0.051	21	21.514	2.028	0.094		
		Total	248	6.399	0.362	0.057	42	25.054	3.538	0.141		
	1999	1	79	0.469	0.070	0.149	10	1.848	0.739	0.400		
		2	28	0.164	0.000	0.000	23	11.530	0.742	0.064		
		Total	107	0.633	0.070	0.110	33	13.378	1.481	0.111		
	2000	1	2	0.044	0.006	0.140	13	3.180	0.356	0.112		
		2	12	0.144	0.022	0.155	18	9.920	2.248	0.227		
		Total	14	0.188	0.028	0.152	31	13.100	2.604	0.199		
	2001	1	5	0.026	0.030	1.142	10	1.436	0.653	0.455		
		2	0	-	-	-	31	13.559	3.124	0.230		
		Total	5	0.026	0.030	1.142	41	14.995	3.777	0.252		
	2002	1	0	-	_		67	2.123	0.606	0.285		
		2	248	3.150	2.360	0.749	17	1.529	0.821	0.537		
		Total	248	3.150	2.360	0.749	84	3.652	1.427	0.391		
	2003	1	24	0.000	0.059	-	25	0.151	0.278	1.841		
		2	392	4.988	3.993	0.801	11	3.502	0.324	0.093		
		Total	416	4.988	3.993	0.801	36	3.653	0.602	0.165		
Gillnet	1996	1	70	1.818	0.248	0.136	178	35.861	0.866	0.024		
		2	102	2.240	0.305	0.136	335	120.794	2.814	0.023		
		Total	172	4.058	0.553	0.136	513	156.655	3.680	0.023		
	1997	1	55	1.770	0.068	0.038	109	3.747	0.196	0.052		
		2	76	1.430	0.278	0.194	193	16.664	0.519	0.031		
		Total	131	3.200	0.345	0.108	302	20.411	0.715	0.035		
	1998	1	83	1.098	0.032	0.029	110	10.678	0.613	0.057		
		2	160	4.808	0.209	0.044	135	10.422	0.382	0.037		
		Total	243	5.906	0.242	0.041	245	21.100	0.995	0.047		
	1999	1	80	1.236	0.084	0.068	118	21.803	0.923	0.042		
		2	136	5.828	0.072	0.012	274	99.446	6.441	0.065		
		Total	216	7.064	0.156	0.022	392	121.249	7.364	0.061		
	2000	1	117	3.091	0.106	0.034	141	39.352	2.357	0.060		
		2	226	15.921	1.244	0.078	550	283.340	19.810	0.070		
		Total	343	19.011	1.350	0.071	691	322.692	22.167	0.069		
	2001	1	470	9.398	0.217	0.023	170	70.505	2.329	0.033		
		2	591	30.079	4.235	0.141	398	180.104	14.325	0.080		
		Total	1061	39.477	4.452	0.113	568	250.609	16.654	0.066		
	2002	1	394	13.322	0.321	0.024	95	25.543	0.970	0.038		
		2	722	39.405	1.066	0.027	241	76.966	4.124	0.054		
		Total	1116	52.727	1.388	0.026	336	102.509	5.094	0.050		
	2003	1	332	13.424	0.831	0.062	65	48.492	1.746	0.036		
	2000	2	848	50.012	3.333	0.067	438	292.670	15.824	0.054		

Trawl	1996	1	388	38.342	7.550	0.197	750	352.498	26.965	0.076
		2	159	3.540	0.467	0.132	1339	348.205	23.180	0.067
		Total	547	41.883	8.017	0.191	2089	700.703	50.146	0.072
	1997	1	212	20.731	2.169	0.105	733	238.566	17.178	0.072
		2	169	14.472	1.112	0.077	1066	228.037	13.476	0.059
		Total	381	35.203	3.281	0.093	1799	466.603	30.654	0.066
	1998	1	86	5.498	0.666	0.121	588	156.483	8.120	0.052
		2	25	1.313	0.115	0.087	913	149.004	7.561	0.051
		Total	111	6.811	0.780	0.115	1501	305.487	15.681	0.051
	1999	1	47	4.042	0.398	0.098	609	268.948	12.686	0.047
		2	205	12.692	0.781	0.062	1207	246.484	21.044	0.085
		Total	252	16.734	1.179	0.070	1816	515.432	33.730	0.065
	2000	1	433	52.684	3.691	0.070	723	320.608	37.027	0.115
		2	479	61.414	5.436	0.089	1502	410.703	59.302	0.144
		Total	912	114.098	9.127	0.080	2225	731.311	96.329	0.132
	2001	1	831	34.753	13.861	0.399	890	499.266	60.278	0.121
		2	1172	48.370	13.656	0.282	1321	487.115	77.198	0.158
		Total	2003	83.123	27.516	0.331	2211	986.381	137.476	0.139
	2002	1	527	30.883	7.372	0.239	767	814.873	120.403	0.148
		2	2971	201.081	46.944	0.233	1515	527.205	99.363	0.188
		Total	3498	231.964	54.316	0.234	2282	1342.078	219.766	0.164
	2003	1	2164	278.848	66.410	0.238	523	730.155	78.438	0.107
		2	2059	165.082	24.174	0.146	1436	494.041	48.036	0.097
		Total	4223	443.930	90.583	0.204	1959	1224.196	126.474	0.103

Table A9. Discard ratios (mt discarded / mt kept) of goosefish by gear and half year from fishery observer and VTR databases, southern area.

South				Observ	er Data			VTR	Data	
					Discard	Disc			Discard	Disc
GEAR	YEAR	HALF	No. Tows	Kept (mt)	(mt)	Ratio	No. Trips	Kept (mt)	(mt)	Ratio
Dredge	1996	1	1284	12.781	4.117	0.322	107	73.882	10.078	0.136
		2	1270	23.726	4.387	0.185	96	120.084	12.570	0.105
		Total	2554	36.506	8.504	0.233	203	193.966	22.649	0.117
	1997	1	1268	21.852	4.735	0.217	68	49.945	4.450	0.089
		2	709	11.072	3.774	0.341	78	71.017	5.885	0.083
		Total	1977	32.924	8.509	0.258	146	120.962	10.335	0.085
	1998	1	574	11.001	0.525	0.048	64	52.556	5.127	0.098
		2	651	15.453	0.927	0.060	44	38.554	5.596	0.145
		Total	1225	26.454	1.451	0.055	108	91.110	10.723	0.118
	1999	1	373	3.304	1.553	0.470	38	19.313	19.493	1.009
		2	478	6.939	1.148	0.165	51	25.051	4.980	0.199
		Total	851	10.243	2.701	0.264	89	44.364	24.473	0.552
	2000	1	564	12.897	2.706	0.210	40	14.964	3.463	0.231
		2	533	5.331	1.778	0.333	59	37.653	6.109	0.162
		Total	1097	18.228	4.484	0.246	99	52.617	9.572	0.182
	2001	1	296	3.419	1.578	0.462	55	25.999	3.334	0.128
		2	-	-	-	-	83	32.462	14.111	0.435
		Total	296	3.419	1.578	0.462	138	58.461	17.445	0.298
	2002	1	-	-	-	-	72	32.438	10.782	0.332
	2002	2	672	7.786	5.842	0.750	93	20.072	20.020	0.997
		Total	672	7.786	5.842	0.750	165	52.510	30.802	0.587
	2003	1	2022	18.712	18.659	0.750	90	16.633	9.571	0.575
	2003	2	1513	10.712	11.338	1.109	65	24.001	11.085	0.462
		Total	3535	28.938	29.997	1.037	155	40.634	20.656	0.508
Gillnet	1996	1	403	37.871	2.720	0.072	309	204.625	7.884	0.039
Cillilet	1990	2	45	8.111	0.426	0.053	178	119.753	4.376	0.033
		Total	448	45.981	3.147	0.068	487	324.378	12.260	0.038
	1997	10tai	508	85.563	6.014	0.000	236	176.233	7.126	0.030
	1991	2	141	25.777	0.381	0.075	93	77.095	1.940	0.040
		Total	649	111.341	6.395	0.013	329	253.328	9.066	0.025
	1000		386						3.627	
	1998	1 2	46	77.076 5.930	6.185 0.373	0.080 0.063	149 149	154.552 161.675	7.605	0.023 0.047
			432	83.006	6.558	0.003		316.227	11.231	
	1999	Total					298			0.036
	1999	1 2	90	12.193	0.643	0.053	236	273.963	21.121	0.077
			28	2.495	0.128	0.051	161	231.345	14.164	0.061
	0000	Total	118	14.688	0.772	0.053	397	505.308	35.285	0.070
	2000	1	97	13.471	1.278	0.095	299	234.134	56.230	0.240
		2	37	6.228	0.322	0.052	111	63.333	5.744	0.091
	0001	Total	134	19.699	1.600	0.081	410	297.467	61.974	0.208
	2001	1	747	136.838	0.628	0.005	218	159.163	13.981	0.088
		2	173	28.758	0.284	0.010	174	194.088	9.144	0.047
		Total	920	165.596	0.912	0.006	392	353.251	23.125	0.065
	2002	1	326	64.125	0.212	0.003	279	314.151	27.816	0.089
		2	109	17.589	0.381	0.022	191	158.101	18.852	0.119
		Total	435	81.714	0.593	0.007	470	472.252	46.668	0.099
	2003	1	264	67.122	1.237	0.018	256	339.554	20.544	0.061
		2	422	65.390	3.278	0.050	163	186.278	7.597	0.041
		Total	686	132.512	4.515	0.034	419	525.832	28.141	0.054

Trawl	1996	1	276	6.422	1.084	0.169	268	139.753	8.706	0.062
		2	156	8.332	0.788	0.095	250	280.312	10.455	0.037
		Total	432	14.754	1.872	0.127	518	420.065	19.161	0.046
	1997	1	380	55.611	1.365	0.025	250	265.586	10.640	0.040
		2	152	24.789	2.153	0.087	177	125.820	4.496	0.036
		Total	532	80.399	3.518	0.044	427	391.406	15.136	0.039
	1998	1	209	4.439	0.480	0.108	194	149.583	3.439	0.023
		2	86	2.809	0.077	0.027	144	74.854	1.786	0.024
		Total	295	7.247	0.556	0.077	338	224.437	5.225	0.023
	1999	1	249	6.237	0.276	0.044	211	108.530	6.824	0.063
		2	77	12.318	1.460	0.119	118	54.879	2.036	0.037
		Total	326	18.556	1.736	0.094	329	163.409	8.859	0.054
	2000	1	344	3.536	2.547	0.720	182	54.788	8.693	0.159
		2	166	10.871	1.213	0.112	157	198.283	13.898	0.070
		Total	510	14.407	3.760	0.261	339	253.071	22.592	0.089
	2001	1	277	2.691	12.458	4.630	293	97.702	9.222	0.094
		2	90	1.050	0.433	0.412	186	35.619	7.349	0.206
		Total	367	3.741	12.891	3.446	479	133.321	16.571	0.124
	2002	1	199	2.539	1.145	0.451	198	20.233	6.580	0.325
		2	154	3.148	1.726	0.548	114	25.861	5.492	0.212
		Total	353	5.687	2.872	0.505	312	46.094	12.072	0.262
	2003	1	638	10.487	6.300	0.601	204	33.398	15.903	0.476
		2	330	4.462	3.493	0.783	102	21.238	4.026	0.190
		Total	968	14.949	9.792	0.655	306	54.636	19.929	0.365

Table A10. Calculation of total catch by stock area, gear, and half year using observer discard ratios.

-			Land	inas	Estin	nated			
	Discard	l Ratio	Live wei		Discard		Estim	ated Catch	(mt)
North	Jan-June				Jan-June				Total
Trawls									
1996	0.197	0.132	4411.5	4025.1	868.7	530.9	5280.2	4556.0	9836.2
1997	0.105	0.077	4087.1	3312.9	427.7	254.5	4514.7	3567.4	8082.1
1998	0.121	0.087	3173.5	2270.2	384.1	198.4	3557.6	2468.6	6026.2
1999	0.098	0.062	3958.3	3043.9	389.5	187.4	4347.9	3231.3	7579.2
2000	0.070	0.089	4011.6	4160.6	281.1	368.2	4292.7	4528.9	8821.5
2001	0.399	0.282	5229.3	4829.7	2086.5	1362.0	7315.8	6191.7	13507.5
2002	0.239	0.233	6026.5	4843.8	1440.3	1128.6	7466.8	5972.4	13439.2
2003	0.238	0.146	6991.1	5066.6	1663.9	739.7	8655.0	5806.3	14461.3
Scallop Dre	edges								
1996	0.476	0.292	38.9	850.3	18.5	247.9	57.5	1098.2	1155.7
1997	1.405	0.128	210.9	1133.7	296.3	145.7	507.1	1279.4	1786.5
1998	0.131	0.051	263.2	727.2	34.4	36.9	297.6	764.1	1061.7
1999	0.149	0.000	261.7	477.8	39.0	0.0	300.7	477.8	778.5
2000	0.140	0.155	97.9	248.0	13.7	38.5	111.7	286.5	398.1
2001	1.142	1.142	84.3	369.9	96.2	422.5	180.5	792.4	972.9
2002	0.749	0.749	61.8	114.3	46.3	85.6	108.0	199.8	307.8
2003	0.801	0.801	24.0	213.8	19.2	171.2	43.2	385.0	428.2
Gillnets									
1996	0.136	0.136	380.8	1010.2	51.9	137.7	432.6	1147.9	1580.5
1997	0.038	0.194	303.2	700.8	11.6	136.1	314.7	836.9	1151.6
1998	0.029	0.044	262.3	643.2	7.7	28.0	270.0	671.2	941.2
1999	0.068	0.012	349.2	1143.1	23.8	14.1	373.0	1157.2	1530.2
2000	0.034	0.078	383.6	1708.2	13.2	133.5	396.8	1841.7	2238.5
2001	0.023	0.141	879.0	2096.7	20.2	295.6	899.2	2392.3	3291.4
2002	0.024	0.027	751.5	2218.4	18.0	59.9	769.6	2278.3	3047.9
2003	0.062	0.067	774.0	1779.4	48.0	119.2	822.0	1898.6	2720.7
Other									
1996	0.199	0.196	34.2	10.8	6.8	2.1	41.0	12.9	53.9
1997	0.112	0.103	29.7	15.4	3.3	1.6	33.1	17.0	50.1
1998	0.107	0.052	14.3	12.7	1.5	0.7	15.8	13.3	29.1
1999	0.096	0.047	5.2	20.6	0.5	1.0	5.7	21.6	27.3
2000	0.068	0.087	20.9	58.3	1.4	5.0	22.3	63.3	85.6
2001	0.312	0.217	1.2	9.5	0.4	2.1	1.6	11.5	13.1
2002	0.174	0.207	1.4	11.7	0.2	2.4	1.7	14.1	15.7
2003	0.228	0.142	0.7	253.0	0.2	35.9	8.0	288.9	289.7

So	u	t	h

Trawls									
1996	0.169	0.095	3088.6	4084.6	521.4	386.2	3610.0	4470.7	8080.7
1997	7 0.025	0.087	3951.7	4282.4	97.0	371.9	4048.7	4654.3	8703.0
1998	0.108	0.027	3977.5	3854.4	429.8	105.2	4407.3	3959.6	8366.9
1999	0.044	0.119	4071.0	2327.7	180.0	275.9	4250.9	2603.6	6854.6
2000	0.720	0.112	2391.5	1677.1	1722.6	187.1	4114.1	1864.2	5978.3
200	1 4.630	0.412	1803.2	1219.2	8348.9	502.3	10152.1	1721.5	11873.6
2002	0.451	0.548	1044.9	507.9	471.2	278.3	1516.1	786.2	2302.3
2003	0.601	0.783	980.7	1015.3	589.4	795.0	1570.1	1810.3	3380.3
Scallop D	redges								
1996		0.185	1790.9	2571.4	576.8	475.5	2367.7	3046.9	5414.6
1997	7 0.217	0.341	2226.9	2667.6	482.5	909.2	2709.5	3576.7	6286.2
1998	0.048	0.060	2492.7	2655.3	118.9	159.2	2611.6	2814.6	5426.1
1999	0.470	0.165	1831.9	1507.2	861.2	249.3	2693.2	1756.5	4449.6
2000	0.210	0.333	1074.4	870.2	225.5	290.2	1299.8	1160.4	2460.2
200	0.462	0.462	713.2	932.8	329.5	430.9	1042.7	1363.7	2406.4
2002	0.750	0.750	1226.8	625.1	920.1	468.9	2146.8	1094.0	3240.8
2003	0.997	1.109	752.2	948.8	750.0	1052.2	1502.2	2001.0	3503.2
Gillnets									
1996	0.072	0.053	2770.6	1449.9	199.0	76.2	2969.6	1526.1	4495.7
1997	7 0.070	0.015	3712.6	1489.2	261.0	22.0	3973.6	1511.2	5484.7
1998	0.080	0.063	4133.3	2062.3	331.7	129.7	4465.0	2192.0	6657.0
1999	0.053	0.051	4375.3	1788.6	230.9	92.0	4606.2	1880.6	6486.8
2000	0.095	0.052	2810.5	1204.8	266.7	62.2	3077.2	1267.0	4344.2
200	0.005	0.010	2214.7	2887.9	11.1	28.9	2225.8	2916.8	5142.6
2002	0.003	0.022	3576.7	1842.1	10.7	40.5	3587.4	1882.6	5470.0
2003	0.018	0.050	4462.5	2720.5	80.3	136.0	4542.8	2856.5	7399.3
Other									
1996	0.139	0.139	24.8	7.9	3.4	1.1	28.2	9.0	37.2
1997	7 0.074	0.102	151.3	52.2	11.2	5.3	162.6	57.5	220.1
1998	0.078	0.057	74.4	59.4	5.8	3.4	80.2	62.7	142.9
1999	0.114	0.126	6.8	44.9	0.8	5.7	7.6	50.6	58.2
2000	0.218	0.148	122.4	24.3	26.7	3.6	149.1	27.9	177.1
200	0.100	0.024	12.7	17.6	1.3	0.4	13.9	18.1	32.0
2002	0.021	0.279	34.7	8.2	0.7	2.3	35.4	10.5	45.9
2003	3 0.277	0.226	19.0	63.7	5.3	14.4	24.2	78.1	102.3

Table A11. Annual landings, discards and total catch summarized from table A10.

	Reported Landings (live wt mt)	Estimated Discards (mt)	Overall Discard Ratio	Percent of Catch Discarded	Estimated Catch (mt)
North		,			,
1996	10762	1865	0.173	14.8	12626
1997	9794	1277	0.130	11.5	11070
1998	7367	692	0.094	8.6	8058
1999	9260	655	0.071	6.6	9915
2000	10689	855	0.080	7.4	11544
2001	13500	4285	0.317	24.1	17785
2002	14029	2781	0.198	16.5	16811
2003	15103	2797	0.185	15.6	17900
South					
1996	15789	2240	0.142	12.4	18028
1997	18534	2160	0.117	10.4	20694
1998	19309	1284	0.066	6.2	20593
1999	15953	1896	0.119	10.6	17849
2000	10175	2785	0.274	21.5	12960
2001	9801	9653	0.985	49.6	19455
2002	8866	2193	0.247	19.8	11059
2003	10963	3423	0.312	23.8	14385
Total					
1996	26550	4104	0.155	13.4	30655
1997	28327	3437	0.121	10.8	31764
1998	26676	1975	0.074	6.9	28651
1999	25213	2551	0.101	9.2	27764
2000	20864	3639	0.174	14.9	24504
2001	23301	13939	0.598	37.4	37239
2002	22896	4974	0.217	17.8	27870
2003	26065	6220	0.239	19.3	32285

Table A12. Sample size, median CPUE and GLM-estimated CPUE at depth by gear and area: 1995-2003. Zones are 20 fathom depth increments starting with 0-20 fa (zone 1) and ending with >180 fa (zone 10).

						Depth Z	one				
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Dredge											
All Areas	N	812	9161	818	15	3					
	Median	1.97	2.20	2.34	2.55	1.87					
	LSMEAN	1.79	1.99	2.11	2.14	1.58					
North	N	144	1647	319	3	2					
	Median	1.68	2.22	2.38	2.55	1.94					
	LSMEAN	1.60	1.84	1.98	2.08	1.25					
South	N	668	7514	499	12	1					
	Median	2.01	2.19	2.37	2.37	1.87					
	LSMEAN	1.78	1.97	2.10	2.04	1.66					
Small Me	sh Gill Net										
All Areas	N	6678	14515	3947	1717	1497	359	47	50	20	28
	Median	1.54	1.48	1.48	1.65	2.00	2.04	1.29	1.32	1.37	1.77
	LSMEAN	1.92	1.81	1.78	1.95	2.21	2.31	1.82	1.65	1.60	2.18
North	N	4441	13692	3914	1701	1448	328	39	44	18	6
	Median	1.48	1.46	1.48	1.65	2.00	2.09	1.27	1.18	1.32	1.07
	LSMEAN	1.83	1.77	1.78	1.96	2.21	2.37	1.78	1.59	1.48	1.80
South	N	2237	823	33	16	49	31	8	6	2	22
	Median	1.75	1.91	1.77	1.43	2.12	1.48	1.56	1.74	2.23	1.95
	LSMEAN	1.73	1.86	2.03	1.63	2.13	1.54	1.54	1.81	2.16	1.88
Large Me	sh Gill Net										
All Areas	N	10101	6678	1157	441	521	183	239	83	5	15
	Median	2.78	2.88	2.83	2.70	3.27	3.03	2.58	2.81	2.81	2.83
	LSMEAN	3.14	3.25	3.25	3.13	3.43	3.26	3.08	3.11	3.28	3.11
North	N	518	1447	688	126	119	15	7			7.00
	Median	2.76	2.66	2.70	2.72	3.31	2.76	3.29			2.83
	LSMEAN	2.93	2.74	2.80	2.91	3.26	2.98	3.39			2.77
South	N	9583	5231	469	315	402	168	232	83	5	8
	Median	2.78	2.97	3.05	2.69	3.25	3.08	2.54	2.81	2.81	2.73
	LSMEAN	3.20	3.37	3.38	3.12	3.41	3.30	3.11	3.16	3.32	3.05
Trawl											
All Areas	N	12860	25137	13807	5791	9474	3575	1167	300	115	321
	Median	1.81	2.03	2.10	2.43	2.60	2.78	2.97	3.12	3.20	3.31
	LSMEAN	1.91	2.05	2.23	2.47	2.63	2.79	2.86	3.00	2.96	3.19
North	N	4088	14247	12418	5369	9306	3532	1029	135	27	26
	Median	1.84	1.90	2.08	2.44	2.60	2.78	2.92	2.89	2.73	2.94
	LSMEAN	1.92	1.94	2.18	2.48	2.66	2.83	2.88	2.94	2.75	3.01
South	N	8772	10890	1389	422	168	43	138	165	88	295
	Median	1.79	2.21	2.47	2.33	2.55	3.08	3.31	3.27	3.28	3.34
	LSMEAN	1.90	2.17	2.42	2.29	2.44	2.85	3.13	3.03	2.98	3.11

Table A13. Sample size, median CPUE, and GLM-estimated CPUE at depth for directed trawl trips (directed trip defined by goosefish catch at least half of total catch in weight): 1995-2003. Zones are 20 fathom depth increments starting with 0-20 fa (zone 1) and ending with > 180 fa (zone 10).

						Depth 2	Zone				
		_	2	က	4	2	9	7	∞	6	10
Directed Trawl	Frawl										
All Areas	z	124	899	1134	643	738	414	307	165	78	265
	Median	3.26	3.19	3.01	3.09	3.32	3.39	3.39	3.32	3.33	3.39
	LSMEAN	3.28	3.18	3.17	3.26	3.27	3.31	3.29	3.32	3.29	3.34
North	z	29	290	893	593	402	389	201	28	က	6
	Median	3.30	3.04	2.94	3.08	3.31	3.40	3.41	3.38	3.32	3.49
	LSMEAN	3.25	3.16	3.18	3.27	3.27	3.32	3.28	3.28	3.35	3.44
South	z	92	609	241	20	59	25	106	137	75	256
	Median	3.21	3.24	3.20	3.24	3.41	3.22	3.35	3.32	3.34	3.39
	LSMEAN	3.34	3.25	3.23	3.21	3.25	3.27	3.36	3.39	3.36	3.39

99

Table A14. Sample size and associated reported catch for all trips and only "directed" trips (denoted subset) from VTR database for three gears. A "directed" trip is defined as one in which the catch of goosefish comprises at least half of the total catch for the trip. Data is summed over years 1995-2003.

Trawl						
Area	N (all data)	N (all data) N (subset) subset/all	subset/all	kept mt (all data)	kept mt (subset)	subset/all
₹	72,700	4,767	%2	32,719	13,564	41%
North	50,309	3,174	%9	24,101	7,204	30%
South	22,391	1,593	7%	8,618	6,360	74%
Large Me	Large Mesh Gill Net					
				kept mt	kept mt	
Area	N (all data)	N (all data) N (subset)	subset/all	(all data)	(subset)	subset/all
■	19,117	16,856	%88	18,338	17,668	%96
North	2,795	2,477	%68	2,812	2,674	%56
South	16,322	14,379	%88	15,526	14,994	%26
Small Me	Small Mesh Gill Net					
				kept mt	kept mt	
Area	N (all data)	N (subset)	subset/all	(all data)	(subset)	subset/all
₽	29,266	784	3%	2,096	549	78%
North	25,865	557	2%	1,711	422	25%
South	3,401	227	%2	385	127	33%

Table A15. Stratified mean weight (kg), number, individual fish weight, and length (cm) per tow for goosefish from NEFSC offshore autumn research vessel bottom trawl surveys in the northern management region (strata 20-30, 34-40); confidence limits for both the raw index and the indices smoothed using an integrated moving average (theta = 0.45); minimum and maximum lengths; number of fish caught, number of positive tows, and total number of tows completed in each year.

	Number	of Tows	06	87	88	86	86	98	88	92	94	94	92	26	106	87	126	201	211	26	93	92	82	88	88	06	87	88	87	88	88	98	98	87	93	88	06	104	106	87	06	98	88
Number of	Nonzero	Tows	39	23	30	33	14	16	30	21	27	22	59	23	27	24	26	28	28	33	30	4	27	59	23	56	15	17	22	32	33	37	45	21	40	30	27	38	44	43	20	45	39
Number	o	Fish	98	32	40	22	18	32	36	41	4	59	63	37	40	32	112	146	125	92	46	17	38	36	32	46	22	56	36	22	62	78	103	110	87	21	33	26	111	165	145	114	06
		Max	111	102	110	96	92	106	110	86	101	66	112	11	102	121	119	116	115	111	101	100	96	106	102	100	96	93	96	83	92	98	94	86	91	92	98	77	81	88	93	93	88
		%26	103	95	96	6	91	105	101	6	6	6	109	109	26	106	107	104	103	101	93	26	88	102	101	82	95	95	93	72	83	26	7	22	84	63	20	89	28	20	92	92	73
	gth	Mean	58.3	59.4	71.6	73.1	70.3	71.4	78.8	67.2	0.79	56.9	65.2	6.49	62.9	72.1	71.1	9.79	73.5	63.9	57.5	68.9	53.0	62.7	53.1	53.8	52.2	57.1	40.8	32.3	38.3	33.0	27.1	24.9	39.6	40.3	35.4	35.5	25.7	30.3	34.7	35.1	37.8
	Length	1 %09		28	. 02	73	69	. 22	. 8/	29	69	61	28	69	09	71	73	20			22					25		23					50	19	34	38	35	30	22	25	33	34	40
		3 %5	4	7	36	48	48	56	4	36	22	7	16	13	Ξ	30	32	54	19	16	13	59	17	56	12	23	12	7	6	10	10	6	6	6	12	Ξ	6	10	∞	7	12	6	∞
		Min	11	21	28	37	48	7	13	22	15	21	16	13	7	29	21	19	15	9	6	58	13	7	12	19	15	7	6	6	6	6	9	6	10	∞	80	10	∞	6	80	6	∞
		Ind wt	4.661	4.354	7.137	6.532	6.799	7.121	8.718	5.754	5.864	4.354	5.992	6.362	5.721	7.620	8.635	8.106	10.233	7.549	4.892	909.9	3.415	5.803	3.985	3.703	3.324	4.870	3.096	1.705	2.067	1.183	1.077	0.668	1.724	1.688	1.335	1.531	0.716	1.032	1.145	1.425	1.695
		M32%				0.544	0.431	0.463	0.534	0.567	0.596	0.557	0.590	0.533	0.536	0.623	0.731	0.742	0.693	0.650	0.541	0.425	0.544	0.599	0.592	0.626	0.527	0.550	0.652	0.790	0.922	1.159	1.316	1.421	1.247	1.050	0.979	1.129	1.546	2.110	2.076	1.910	1.863
	Smoothed	762%				0.258	0.205	0.220	0.254	0.269	0.283).264	0.280).253).254	0.296	347	352	0.329	309).257	0.202	0.258).284).281	767.	0.250).261	0.310	384	0.448).563	0.640	0.691	909.0	0.511	0.476	0.549	0.751	1.024	1.003	0.899	0.781
e e	l"	Mean L	0.568	451					0.368											0.448 (0.449 (_			Ĭ	_	`	1.443	.310	206
Abundance				0	0	0					0	0	0	0																								_	`	`	`	_	_
٩	Ļ	% 360	1.094	0.564	0.463	0.653	0.288	0.457	0.559	0.569	0.670	0.442	0.709	0.436															0.590														
	Raw Inde	762%	0.508	0.219	0.230	0.331	0.090	0.115	0.277	0.222	0.312	0.195	0.320	0.189	0.178	0.244	0.458	0.429	0.364	0.366	0.288	0.070	0.284	0.353	0.190	0.379	0.116	0.130	0.266	0.383	0.383	0.602	0.691	0.969	0.688	0.407	0.304	0.397	0.737	1.564	1.212	0.922	0.778
	æ	Mean	0.801	0.392	0.347	0.492	0.189	0.286	0.418	0.395	0.491	0.318	0.514	0.313	0.298	0.422	0.626	0.579	0.474	0.535	0.406	0.142	0.470	0.483	0.369	0.604	0.264	0.313	0.428	0.593	0.576	0.938	0.989	1.351	0.922	0.630	0.498	0.609	1.084	2.398	1.620	1.283	1.067
		M32%				3.631	2.990	3.320	3.910	3.647	3.607	3.145	3.602	3.475	3.635	4.819	6.183	6.501	6.143	5.003	3.363	2.461	2.635	2.991	2.582	2.520	1.967	2.023	1.922	1.716	1.719	1.657	1.617	1.632	1.797	1.575	1.380	1.515	1.726	2.490	2.768	2.930	3.098
	Smoothed	า %567				1.628	.341	1.489		.635	.618	1.410		1.558	_					2.244					1.158			206	. 298.0	. 062	. 792		.745	752	0.828	0.726	. 989.	. 869.0	. 362.0	.145	.266	1.304	218
		ı	က္	2.5	2	`	_	`	`	_	_	`	•		•								•	•	•	•	_	_	_	_	_	_			_	_	_	_	O	_		`.	`.
Biomass		Mean	2.843	2.357	2.422	2.432	2.002	2.233	2.618	2.442	2.416	2.106	2.412	2.327	2.434	3.227	4.140	4.353	4.11	3.350	2.25	1.648	1.76	2.003	1.72	1.687	1.317	1.35	1.287	1.16	1.16	1.12	1.097	1.10	1.219	1.069	0.93	1.028	1.171	1.689	1.872	1.95	1.943
		M32%	5.353	2.528	3.667	4.431	2.125	3.552	5.628	3.527	4.391	2.157	4.446	3.011	2.418	5.219	7.646	6.714	6.700	6.682	2.786	1.492	2.308	4.607	2.463	3.608	1.491	2.565	2.290	1.562	1.903	1.651	1.746	1.569	2.759	1.645	1.017	1.425	1.348	3.707	2.949	3.138	2.764
	Raw Index	762%	2.161	968.0	1.350	2.102	0.441	0.521	1.781	0.947	1.436	0.651	1.782	1.114	1.003	1.555	3.489	3.487	3.566	2.234	1.183	0.379	0.927	1.413	0.419	1.099	0.256	0.484	0.478	0.439	0.568	0.557	0.343	0.378	0.663	0.498	0.321	0.522	0.303	1.284	1.148	1.068	1.086
	Ra	Mean		1.712 (•	3.266	1.283 (2.036 (3.705	2.237 (2.914	1.404 (3.114	2.063	1.711	3.387			5.133	•		_	1.617 (2.353			1.384 (_				_	2.495	2.048	2.103	.925
		2	1963 3	1964 1		1966		1968 2		1970 2	1971 2									1980 4									1989 1		1991 1	` .							_	2000 2		2002 2	-
			۳	2	3	7	2	5	2	5	7	5	÷	7	7	÷	7	÷	ť	3	3	7	7	3	3	3	÷	3	₹	÷	3	₹	÷	ť	3	7	3	7	7	7	7	×	7

Table A16. Stratified mean weight (kg), number, individual fish weight, and length (cm) per tow for goosefish from NEFSC offshore spring research vessel bottom trawl surveys in the northern management region (strata 20-30, 34-40); confidence limits for both the raw index and the indices smoothed using an integrated moving average (theta = 0.45); minimum and maximum lengths; number of fish caught, number of positive tows, and total number of tows completed in each year.

Ī	Number	of Tows	98	87	06	96	96	87	83	87	66	107	113	139	82	87	92	06	98	81	06	83	06	82	06	98	83	87	88	88	82	88	115	87	88	88	91	98	88
er of		U	11	10	22	15	38	36	4	36	52	37	30	40	38	45	22	22	19	21	22	16	56	24	17	28	20	27	24	39	20	19	33	33	42	20	20	30	36
Number Number of	of Nonzero	Fish Tows	13	15	32	20	29	91	86	73	158	61	37	48	84	92	33	34	26	25	30	21	43	48	25	48	36	29	45	83	49	34	46	62	66	151	155	62	69
		Max	06	100	66	100	105	106	111	109	106	106	95	118	107	120	108	112	100	108	121	100	110	94	107	100	101	06	89	26	20	83	28	26	87	86	73	92	82
		82%	88	66	86	66	100	66	97	87	92	93	83	100	86	92	105	96	93	104	109	66	88	80	106	28	85	7	83	73	09	22	29	71	22	22	09	69	81
	Length	Mean 9	70.4	71.5	65.4	72.6	72.7	65.7	58.3	54.0	61.5	63.4	65.5	62.5	53.3	57.7	8.89	49.9	8.09	6.99	65.4	64.2	49.8	43.2	49.1	42.3	40.6	41.0	41.0	39.9	43.0	39.4	31.3	35.5	34.5	31.4	36.6	44.2	46.7
	۲	1 %09	89	7	62	69	74	89	28	23	09	99	73	29	43	25	61	49	62	89	63	99	49	40	47	32	32	44	40	33	4	36	19	31	53	24	34	45	48
		%9	51	33	30	23	33	56	23	19	20	31	19	14	22	71	36	13	19	13	4	16	20	7	18	12	17	7	13	16	17	6	7	4	17	7	15	13	7
		Min	20	33	30	45	13	17	20	16	14	10	15	12	17	7	25	12	17	13	1	16	10	10	15	12	16	10	10	15	15	6	7	6	15	6	12	10	6
		Ind wt	5.427	7.044	5.709	998.9	7.064	4.313	3.391	2.760	3.759	3.594	4.014	4.652	3.748	4.444	8.594	3.663	4.732	6.122	6.244	7.052	3.343	2.590	3.587	2.723	1.793	1.695	2.159	1.817	1.466	1.595	1.065	1.389	1.236	1.113	1.102	1.911	2.495
	Smoothed	195% N95%				0.177 0.409	0.258 0.594	0.268 0.619	0.267 0.616	0.253 0.583	0.260 0.599	0.186 0.430	0.142 0.328	0.144 0.332	0.194 0.447	0.219 0.506	0.229 0.529				0.229 0.527	0.232	0.299						_	_	0.401 0.915	0.339 0.773	0.377 0.861	0.521 1.189			0.976 2.244	0.943 2.231	0.732 1.976
Jance		Mean	0.201	0.219	0.265	0.269	0.391	0.407	0.406	0.384	0.394	0.283	0.216	0.219	0.294	0.333	0.348	0.365	0.349	0.347	0.347	0.352	0.454	0.481	0.427	0.502	0.528	0.582	0.576	0.672	0.606	0.512	0.570	0.787	1.053	1.347	1.480	1.450	1.203
Abundance		N95%CI	0.283	0.325	0.472	0.245	0.832	0.686	0.561	0.450	0.877	0.360	0.186	0.185	0.488	0.470	0.536	0.645	0.474	0.492	0.481	0.352	0.822	0.929	0.406	0.811	0.825	0.893	0.629	1.305	0.992	0.520	0.540	1.102	1.413	2.151	2.178	2.661	1.243
	Raw Index	L95%CI	0.074	0.046	0.216	0.072	0.453	0.184	0.315	0.228	0.469	0.159	0.095	0.102	0.270	0.282	0.155	0.191	0.181	0.199	0.200	0.138	0.398	0.321	0.157	0.374	0.158	0.475	0.275	0.662	0.344	0.158	0.288	0.547	0.843	1.221	1.334	1.058	0.577
		Mean	0.178	0.186	0.344	0.158	0.643	0.435	0.438	0.339	0.673	0.259	0.141	0.144	0.379	0.376	0.346	0.418	0.328	0.346	0.340	0.245	0.610	0.625	0.282	0.592	0.492	0.684	0.452	0.984	0.668	0.339	0.414	0.824	1.128	1.686	1.756	1.859	0.910
	p	1095%CI				2.478	3.424	2.889	2.415	2.108	2.383	1.801	1.503	1.694	2.201	2.633	3.115	2.824	2.828	2.994	3.004	2.816	2.748	2.400	2.005	2.052	1.720	1.701	1.648	1.754	1.437	1.133	1.126	1.586	2.038	2.520	2.792	2.964	3.390
	Smoothed	L95%CI U95%C				1.052	1.453	1.226	1.025	0.894	1.011	0.764	0.638	0.719	0.934	1.118	1.322	1.199	1.200	1.271	1.275	1.195	1.166	1.018	0.878	0.899	0.754	0.745	0.722	0.768	0.629	0.497	0.493	0.695	0.893	1.102	1.214	1.252	1.255
SS		Mean	1.187	1.357	1.590	1.614	2.230	1.882	1.573	1.373	1.552	1.173	0.979	1.104	1.434	1.715	2.029	1.840	1.842	1.951	1.957	1.834	1.790	1.563	1.327	1.358	1.138	1.126	1.091	1.161	0.951	0.750	0.746	1.050	1.349	1.667	1.841	1.926	2.063
Biomass		U95%CI	1.686	2.476	3.221	1.629	6.266	2.860	2.090	1.275	3.962	1.462	0.913	1.513	2.458	2.576	4.758	2.643	2.796	3.133	3.378	2.730	3.315	2.650	1.643	3.175	1.997	1.630	1.520	2.638	1.563	0.918	0.701	1.780	2.023	3.257	2.659	2.661	3.656
	Raw Index	195%CI L	0.260	0.141	0.712	0.414	3.021	0.956	0.863	0.593	1.691	0.563	0.340	0.274	0.787	0.913	1.273	0.530	0.596	1.094	0.951	0.726	906.0	0.611	0.366	0.478	-0.217	0.693	0.376	0.789	0.449	0.146	0.187	0.625	0.837	0.681	1.335	1.058	0.914
		Mean L	1968 0.973			1971 1.021		1973 1.908	1974 1.476	1975 0.934	1976 2.826	1977 1.012			1980 1.622	1981 1.744					1986 2.165								1994 0.948					1999 1.202	_	•	2002 1.997	~	2004 2.285

 $40^{ ext{th}}~ ext{SAW}$

69

Table A17. Indices of abundance (number per tow) for goosefish at lengths corresponding approximately to ages 1 and 2.

7-396.2 12.	Northern Area Autumn Spri (11 -19cm) (13 - 2	n Area Spring (13 - 20cm)	Ê	ω <u> </u>	<u>rea</u> 1) (ι	Spring 3 - 20cm)	Scallop (19 - 28cm)
0.12 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	~age 1	~age 2	~age 1	~age 1	~age 2 ~ag	je 2	~age 2
0.06 0.07 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.12			0.12			
0.04 0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00			90.0			
0.00 0.00 0.002 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.003 0.004 0.005 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.008 0.008 0.009	0.00			0.04			
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00			0.19			
0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00			0.02			
0.00 0.00 0.003 0.003 0.005 0.005 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.008 0.008 0.009 0.009 0.009 0.009 0.009 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.003 0.005 0.004 0.005 0.007 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.007 0.008 0.008 0.008 0.009 0.	0.01	0.00		0.02		0.00	
0.00 0.00 0.003 0.005 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.007 0.001 0.007 0.008 0.008 0.009 0.	0.01	0.00		0.04		0.00	
0.00 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.03 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.01 0.01	0.00	0.00		0.03		0.00	
0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.03 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.08 0.04 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09 0.09	0.02	0.00		0.03		0.01	
0.00 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.008 0.004 0.005 0.001 0.006 0.001 0.006 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.006 0.007 0.008 0.007 0.008 0.009	0.00	0.02		0.68		0.01	
0.01 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.003 0.003 0.004 0.008 0.006 0.004 0.008 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.008 0.006 0.007 0.008 0.008 0.009 0.00	0.03	0.00		0.17		0.05	
0.02 0.05 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.03 0.01 0.08 0.02 0.06 0.03 0.29 0.06 0.04 0.83 0.08 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.01 0.25 0.05 0.02 0.26 0.05 0.03 0.26 0.05 0.04 0.27 0.04 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.09 0.60 0.09 0.09 0.60 0.01 0.01 0.12 0.02 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.03 0.04 0.07 0.04 0.07 0.01 0.04 0.07 0.04 0.04 0.07 0.01 0.04 0.07 0.01 0.04 0.05 0.06 0.04 0.07 0.	0.03	0.01		0.01		0.02	
0.03 0.00 0.00 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002 0.004 0.008 0.003 0.005 0.005 0.004 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.006 0.009 0.0	0.02	0.02		0.05		0.01	
0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	0.00	0.03		0.02		0.01	
0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.08 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.03 0.02 0.04 0.03 0.05 0.01 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00		0.03		0.01	
0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.08 0.03 0.03 0.25 0.05 0.01 0.01 0.05 0.01 0.06 0.001 0.06 0.001 0.06 0.000	0.02	0.01		0.03		0.04	
0.01 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.09 0.03 0.29 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.25 0.05 0.01 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.01	0.01		0.11		0.04	
0.01 0.06 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.03 0.09 0.06 0.00 0.03 0.29 0.05 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.05 0.05 0.01 0.02 0.05 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.01 0	0.02	0.01		0.02		0.01	
0.00 0.08 0.06 0.04 0.83 0.08 0.03 0.29 0.05 0.01 0.25 0.05 0.01 0.54 0.05 0.01 0.54 0.05 0.03 0.60 0.00 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.09 1.59 0.08 0.10 1.59 0.08 0.04 0.07 0.02 0.04 0.74 0.01 0.09 0.07 0.00 0.18 0.74 0.01 0.18 0.38 0.04 0.18 0.15 0.04 0.18 0.15 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.04 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.06	0.02	0.01		90.0		0.02	
0.04 0.83 0.08 0.03 0.29 0.05 0.01 0.25 0.05 0.01 1.90 0.17 0.01 0.06 0.00 0.06 0.21 0.04 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.06 0.60 0.07 0.08 0.09 1.59 0.07 0.08 0.01 1.59 0.07 0.02 0.08 0.45 0.17 0.12 0.04 0.07 0.07 0.03 0.09 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 0.19 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.07 0.07 0.07 0.06 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.02 0.01 0.00 0.00 <	0.00	0.00	0.08	90.0		0.08	0.08
0.03 0.29 0.05 0.01 0.25 0.05 0.01 1.90 0.17 0.01 0.06 0.00 0.06 0.21 0.04 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.06 0.60 0.07 0.08 0.09 1.65 0.17 0.12 0.08 0.45 0.17 0.02 0.04 0.07 0.07 0.03 0.09 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.18 0.07 0.01 0.04 0.18 0.19 0.05 0.04 0.18 0.15 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.01 0.07 0.07 0.06	0.03	0.04	0.83	0.08		0.00	0.19
0.02 0.25 0.05 0.01 1.90 0.17 0.01 1.90 0.17 0.03 0.06 0.00 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.09 0.60 0.07 0.08 0.09 1.59 0.07 0.02 0.09 1.65 0.17 0.12 0.04 0.04 0.07 0.03 0.09 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.18 0.07 0.01 0.04 0.18 0.19 0.04 0.05 0.18 0.15 0.05 0.18 0.15 0.05 0.07 0.07 0.06 0.07 0.06 0.06	0.02	0.03	0.29	0.05		0.00	0.21
0.01 0.54 0.05 0.01 0.01 1.90 0.17 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.03	0.02	0.25	0.05		0.00	0.21
0.01 1.90 0.17 0.06 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.02	0.01	0.54	0.02		0.01	0.19
0.01 0.06 0.00 0.06 0.21 0.04 0.03 0.60 0.09 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.06 0.60 0.07 0.08 0.01 1.59 0.08 0.12 0.02 0.04 0.07 0.03 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.04 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 0.19 0.14 0.14 0.18 0.15 0.05 0.05 0.18 0.15 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.15 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.10 0.07 0.06 0.10 0.07 0.06 0.06	0.03	0.01	1.90	0.17		0.01	0.08
0.06 0.21 0.04 0.03 0.60 0.09 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.06 0.07 0.08 0.10 1.59 0.08 0.08 1.65 0.17 0.02 0.09 0.74 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 0.19 0.14 0.05 0.48 0.15 0.05 0.18 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.05 0.07 0.05 0.04 0.07 0.10 0.15 0.05 0.01 0.07 0.10 0.07 0.06 0.10 0.07 0.06	0.02	0.01	90.0	0.00		0.03	0.52
0.03 0.60 0.09 0.09 1.25 0.17 0.06 0.60 0.07 0.08 0.10 1.59 0.08 0.12 0.08 1.65 0.17 0.12 0.09 0.74 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.07 0.01 0.04 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 0.94 0.05 0.05 0.48 0.15 0.02 0.18 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.07 0.06	0.07	90.0	0.21	0.04		0.01	0.21
0.09 1.25 0.17 0.06 0.60 0.07 0.08 0.10 1.59 0.08 0.12 0.08 1.65 0.17 0.12 0.09 0.74 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.02 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 0.38 0.09 0.04 0.18 0.94 0.05 0.05 0.48 0.15 0.02 0.18 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.07 0.06	0.17	0.03	09.0	0.09		0.01	0.37
0.06 0.60 0.07 0.08 0.10 1.59 0.08 0.12 0.08 1.65 0.17 0.12 0.04 0.74 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.04 0.18 0.38 0.04 0.04 0.18 0.19 0.04 0.04 0.48 0.15 0.02 0.01 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.07 0.06 0.07	0.0	0.09	1.25	0.17		0.02	0.26
0.10 1.59 0.08 0.12 0.08 1.65 0.17 0.12 0.04 0.74 0.01 0.03 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.01 0.18 0.38 0.04 0.04 0.18 0.19 0.04 0.04 0.48 0.15 0.05 0.05 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.07 0.06	0.09	90.0	09.0	0.07	0.08	0.02	0.46
0.08 1.65 0.17 0.12 0.16 0.45 0.12 0.03 0.04 0.74 0.01 0.02 0.09 0.07 0.01 0.10 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 0.94 0.05 0.05 0.48 0.15 0.05 0.01 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.10 0.06	0.32	0.10	1.59	0.08	0.12	0.02	0.31
0.16 0.45 0.12 0.03 0.04 0.74 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.10 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 0.94 0.05 0.05 0.48 0.15 0.05 0.18 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.07 0.06	0.58	0.08	1.65	0.17	0.12	0.02	0.55
0.04 0.74 0.01 0.02 0.00 0.07 0.01 0.10 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 1.19 0.10 0.14 0.48 0.15 0.05 0.05 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.07 0.10 0.07 0.06	0.02	0.16	0.45	0.12	0.03	0.01	0.49
0.00 0.07 0.01 0.10 0.10 0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.04 0.18 0.38 0.05 0.04 0.14 0.05 0.15 0.15 0.05 0.17 0.07 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.07 0.10 0.10	0.04	0.04	0.74	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.19
0.18 0.38 0.08 0.04 0.18 1.19 0.10 0.14 0.18 0.94 0.05 0.05 0.48 0.15 0.02 0.18 0.15 0.53 0.12 0.01 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.06	0.09	0.00	0.0	0.01	0.10	0.01	0.21
0.18 1.19 0.10 0.14 0.18 0.94 0.05 0.05 0.48 0.15 0.02 0.18 0.15 0.53 0.12 0.01 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.06	0.10	0.18	0.38	0.08	0.04	90.0	0.13
0.18 0.94 0.05 0.05 0.48 0.15 0.02 0.18 0.15 0.53 0.12 0.01 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.06	0.38	0.18	1.19	0.10	0.14	0.02	0.43
0.48 0.15 0.02 0.18 0.15 0.53 0.12 0.01 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.06	0.70	0.18	0.94	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.29
0.15 0.53 0.12 0.01 0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.06	0.11	0.48	0.15	0.02	0.18	0.04	0.29
0.07 1.30 0.44 0.07 0.10 0.10 0.06	0.28	0.15	0.53	0.12	0.01	0.00	0.11
90.0	0.20	0.07	1.30	0.44	0.07	0.01	0.40
		0.10			90.0	0.00	

Table A18. Delta distribution stratified mean number per tow at age, NEFSC autumn and spring offshore surveys.

	Total 0.989 1.351 0.922 0.630 0.639 1.084 2.398 1.620 1.283	Total 0.290 0.598 0.493 0.335 0.332 0.332 0.378 0.378	Total 0.984 0.668 0.339 0.414 0.824 1.128 1.756 1.859 0.910	0.196 0.135 0.124 0.254 0.235 0.242 0.232 0.318
	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.001	9 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000 00000	9 0.008 0.000 0.000 0.004 0.024 0.036 0.038	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.013
	8 0.013 0.011 0.054 0.012 0.007 0.003 0.004 0.029 0.029	8 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	8 0.053 0.000 0.012 0.008 0.057 0.060 0.071 0.000	0.032 0.012 0.003 0.005 0.034 0.000 0.000 0.049
	7 0.031 0.024 0.014 0.011 0.000 0.040 0.062 0.023 0.027	7 0.007 0.007 0.000 0.000 0.008 0.000 0.000 0.015 0.000	7 0.163 0.065 0.024 0.079 0.012 0.060 0.095 0.188	7 0.018 0.012 0.000 0.013 0.020 0.006 0.006 0.108
	6 0.000 0.019 0.021 0.034 0.031 0.020 0.059 0.059 0.059	6 0.000 0.021 0.017 0.034 0.003 0.041 0.045 0.058	6 0.076 0.059 0.000 0.025 0.000 0.033 0.087 0.183	6 0.031 0.036 0.005 0.024 0.025 0.041 0.035 0.083
	5 0.102 0.089 0.093 0.052 0.052 0.053 0.105 0.105	5 0.014 0.049 0.060 0.060 0.044 0.023 0.023 0.048 0.0184	5 0.110 0.263 0.004 0.045 0.066 0.121 0.207 0.405 0.623	5 0.014 0.016 0.025 0.042 0.104 0.051 0.039 0.078
	0.094 0.086 0.234 0.206 0.136 0.015 0.015 0.369 0.230	4 0.062 0.063 0.059 0.035 0.040 0.067 0.079 0.073	4 0.247 0.231 0.197 0.044 0.259 0.290 0.486 0.334	4 0.043 0.028 0.052 0.087 0.061 0.070 0.070 0.021
	3 0.104 0.208 0.285 0.152 0.090 0.179 0.448 0.365 0.300	3 0.076 0.056 0.120 0.054 0.055 0.005 0.106 0.106	3 0.174 0.014 0.074 0.045 0.194 0.386 0.371 0.434 0.087	3 0.058 0.013 0.031 0.054 0.073 0.056 0.028 0.011
	2 0.176 0.287 0.062 0.062 0.016 0.150 0.482 0.118	2 0.064 0.295 0.151 0.030 0.072 0.172 0.178	2 0.153 0.036 0.000 0.162 0.238 0.505 0.153 0.162	2 0.000 0.010 0.010 0.041 0.018 0.000 0.000
	0.308 0.560 0.059 0.048 0.094 0.116 0.703 0.703 0.166	0.060 0.095 0.102 0.007 0.008 0.070 0.101 0.061 0.099	0.000 0.000 0.000 0.040 0.012 0.000 0.058 0.000	0.000 0.009 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
/eys	0.149 0.065 0.000 0.012 0.039 0.000 0.192 0.080 0.000	0.007 0.015 0.015 0.000 0.000 0.005 0.007 0.018 0.016	0 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000	00000
Autumn Surveys	Age 1993 1994 1996 1996 1996 1998 1999 2000 2000 2003	South Age 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2003	Spring Surveys North Age 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2001 2002 2003	South Age 1995 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2001 2003 2003

Table A19. Mean length (cm) at age for goosefish caught in NEFSC surveys

NEFSC	⁻all Offs	NEFSC Fall Offshore Survey	rvey									NEFSC Spring Survey	ing Survey			Age				1
North				,		Age	,		,	,	!	North	0 1		4				6	9
	0 9	- 6	2	e [4	5 2	9	/ ====	ω	o (10	1995			32.91	23		76.14 97	97.00	
1993	9.49	13.02	23.38	31.73	43.50	52.93		73.59	83.50	94.00		1996		15.00 28.48	34.80	46.09 57.3	.34 64.56			
1994	9.42	14.20	21.79	30.87	42.82	53.36	64.00	68.85	98.00			1997		27.36				89.00		
1995		11.01	24.85	32.89	41.54	54.78	65.36	73.86	85.50	91.00		1998	12.12	16.76 25.10	36.07	45.84 53.74		78.00		
1996	8.00	12.88	23.85	35.16	42.15	54.19	60.35	82.00	95.00			1999	9.00	17.04 26.63	35.50	47.98	63.58	73.81 97	97.00	
1997	9.05	12.44	28.00	34.73	43.26	54.38	67.43		86.00			2000		19.08 25.77	36.51	48.65 56.15			86.03	
1998		13.00	25.58	33.18	43.38	51.38	63.39	76.61				2001	10.69	15.69 23.47	33.88	44.04 51.79			83.28	
1999	10.37	15.06	26.92	35.98	40.55	56.50	80.09	73.32	79.00			2002		15.29 25.14	33.19	46.05 55.54	54 65.33			
2000	10.33	14.90	24.82	34.03	45.28	56.79	66.24	78.47	85.60			2003	10.70		36.13	43.91 56.33		73.00 89	89.00 95.00	00
2001		15.40	24 38	32 66	43.06	52 32	66 50	71 36		03.00		2004	000	13 92 24 16	34 27				83.40	
2002	0	10.45	26.30	32.36	13.06	54.32	62.03	74.51	00 98	00.00		1007	9.0		4.4.				2	
2002	1 0	24.4	20.02	20.00	5.00	24.43	5 6	7 1	62.00	00.00		!			2					6
2003 2004	9.30	- 1.95 4	73.00	30.70	4.04 9.4	25.90	64.60	4.40	67.00			= ee	10.30	10.11 23.03	54.35 50.45	45.50 54.01	04.70	% on://	09.29 95.00	3
mean	9.43	13.29	24.82	33.76	43.00	54.07	64.05	74.70	87.24	92.75		NEFSC Spring Survey	ing Survey	c	1	Age	7	٥	c	Ç
NEFSC Fall Offshore Survey	Fall Offs	hore Su	۷۹۷		7	Age						1995	-	25 18	35 75	46.35.55.69	69 63 70	79.03	0	2
4		-	,	c	4		G	7	α	σ	10	1996	00 6	16 14 22 88	38.07			79.85		
1003		16.01	10 95	24 27	12 24	51 EA		00 89		0	2	1990	9.5		25.07			73.50		
1007	010	17.01	24.03	24.27	5.57	71.07	80.00	00.00	00 88			1997	12.00		35.05		0.0	73.30		
1994	0.	1 1 2 2	5.5	5 5	1.0	5.07	67.00	00.00	00.00			1990	12.00		0.00				0.00	
1990		16.01	20.12	04.00	40.04	22.13	00.00					6661			00.7				3.	
1996	i C	18.00	22.58	33.08	44.53	51.84	64.67	,				2000			37.93			/8.00		
1887	9.53	11.00	24.83	35.36	47.82	54.37	64.38	71.00				2001	11.00	16.51 22.67	35.07					
1998		14.02	21.92	32.26	45.09	53.96	62.73	72.00	87.00			2002			35.45					
1999		17.08	25.11	36.09	46.61	22.00						2003		15.00 28.79	32.40			75.18 8	85.11	
2000	2.00	17.66	22.45	36.00	45.42	55.74	64.07					2004		24.25	32.00	42.42 57.03	03 61.23			
2001	8.00	14.76	25.96	33.66	45.30	56.61	80.99	78.12												
2002	6.58	16.07	23.19	33.92	44.76	53.69	63.94		81.00			mean	10.67	16.69 25.13	35.22	45.87 55.53	53 64.03	76.74 89	89.56	
2003	7.67	15.69	19.96	34.27	45.38	54.27	63.31													
mean	7.50	15.44	22.55	34.31	44.87	53.74	63.83	71.42	83.67											
NEFSC Winter Survey	Winter S	urvev			4	Age														
South	0		2	3	4	2	9	7	∞	6	10									
1997		10.81	16.42	25.16	34.28	45.54	54.30	63.66	76.03	91.00										
1998		10.32	17.36	24.86	35.72	43.17	53.62	64.42	71.98	84.00										
1999		10.67	16.73	24.91	32.82	43.92	53.60	64 04	76.65	87.00										
0000		5	14.37	24 07	37.62	13.52	53.36	63.05	27.00	5	00 90									
2002		99 6	16.77	26.41	34.43	45.18	73.00	64 92	76.49	82 73	9									
2002)	7 2 7	26.77	22.13	13.10	50.00	63.77	04.07 04.07	85.73	00 98									
2002			2.5.5	25.07	30.00	75.43	54.05	64.30	73.06	93.73	00.00									
2003			15.05	24.81	33.11	43.40	54.65	63.41	74.40	87.19										
mean		10.37	15.89	25.46	33.96	44.24	53.88	64.06	74.82	85.85	91.00									

Table A20. Stratified mean weight (kg), number, individual fish weight, and length (cm) per tow for goosefish from NEFSC offshore autumn research vessel bottom trawl surveys in the southern management region (strata 1-19, 61-76); confidence limits for both the raw index and the indices smoothed using an integrated moving average (theta = 0.45); minimum and maximum lengths; number of fish caught, number of positive tows, and total number of tows completed in each year.

Nimber	of Tows	73	83	82	87	163	164	163	161	168	161	154	153	158	165	172	219	205	159	146	143	146	146	145	146	132	129	129	136	131	129	130	135	129	131	131	131	106	132	130	130	130
of Nonzero	Tows	36	34	39	26	42	33	43	32	28	82	20	56	51	34	20	33	20	42	26	42	49	25	46	33	27	19	23	22	27	21	24	31	59	21	24	20	44	30	30	47	41
J.	Fish	102	132	83	101	86	77	101	28	22	604	280	26	127	09	94	89	182	113	176	86	109	45	100	09	29	27	22	47	106	46	46	82	72	31	43	45	109	64	21	110	128
	Max	26	101	104	86	100	98	96	104	86	66	93	101	105	92	106	101	109	104	66	73	100	93	96	78	61	91	77	81	81	74	89	83	99	89	71	87	22	20	80	81	20
	95%	91	98	91	87	83	75	88	84	92	74	77	8	87	77	92	87	84	82	71	99	72	89	72	99	26	87	22	61	22	24	25	29	24	62	20	89	25	63	20	61	23
l anoth	50% Mean	50.4	52.0	56.3	49.6	40.6	46.3	45.4	53.3	42.3	31.8	37.7	52.9	46.3	50.7	53.1	56.5	40.5	41.6	40.7	32.5	44.4	45.7	42.0	37.6	25.0	45.1	38.0	33.1	30.8	32.2	30.4	29.2	29.4	42.3	44.6	37.0	29.2	34.3	41.69	39.12	28.25
1	20%	53	23	26	21	31	45	4	22	39	23	32	24	45	21	22	61	34	34	38	56	42	47	40	34	20	36	45	54	23	30	32	22	22	45	49	36	27	33	33	4	18
	2%	17	21	15	7	19	17	4	13	œ	16	4	16	17	1	16	17	16	16	17	12	16	13	17	4	13	27	7	13	12	Ξ	13	12	13	19	6	Ξ	4	12	7	4	7
	Μ	7	4	10	7	4	12	10	4	2	12	13	4	∞	1	2	13	7	က	9	13	7	2	17	7	12	19	7	6	4	œ	10	ω	7	18	6	1	12	2	4	9	9
	Ind wt	2.926	3.467	4.199	3.563	2.173	2.131	2.273	3.566	2.813	1.298	1.568	3.277	3.030	3.166	5.024	5.384	2.779	2.664	2.363	1.060	2.304	2.445	2.444	1.681	0.575	2.391	1.646	1.265	1.085	0.919	0.944	906.0	0.777	1.638	1.914	1.525	0.672	1.102	1.722	1.512	0.858
	M260				1.915	1.211	0.933	0.878	0.836	0.985	1.856	1.411	0.837	0.845	0.701	0.687	0.700	0.961	1.133	1.241	1.110	1.023	0.784	0.770	9.676	0.670	0.571	0.618	0.632	0.758	0.671	0.649	0.748	969.0	0.566	0.577	0.623	0.711	0.767	0.859	1.173	1.477
Smoothed	195% U95%				0.634	0.401	0.309	0.291	0.277	0.326	0.614	0.467	0.277	.280	0.232	.227	0.232	0.318	.375	0.411	7.367	0.339	.259	.255	0.224	.222	0.189	0.205	0.213	0.256	.226	0.219	0.252	0.235	0.191	0.195	0.210	0.240	0.258	0.287	.377	.399
	Mean L	1	.337	.197	_	0.697 0		0.505 0	0.481 0	0.567 0	1.067 0		0.482 0																		_						0.361 0		_	_	0.665 0	0.768 0
	Σ	-	-	←.	-	Ö	O.	Ö.	Ö	0	-	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	o.	Ö	O.	Ö	o.	Ö	Ö	o.	o.	o.	o.	o.	Ö	o.	o.	Ö	Ö	o.	o.	o.	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	o.
	, 095%	1.769	2.366	1.519	2.488	0.715	0.591	0.714	0.466	0.414	6.944	1.494	0.320	0.871	0.438	0.479	0.340	0.905	1.025	1.352	0.847	1.080	0.508	0.692	0.481	0.657	0.364	0.583	0.474	1.136	0.463	0.445	0.852	0.728	0.338	0.430	0.519	0.612	0.575	0.521	1.097	1.282
Raw Index	L95%	0.745	0.907	0.778	1.364	0.324	0.206	0.281	0.235	0.150	1.281	0.857	0.116	0.434	0.189	0.265	0.178	0.483	0.427	0.578	0.373	0.470	0.114	0.356	0.169	0.307	0.097	0.181	0.113	0.245	0.220	0.135	0.344	0.258	0.131	0.186	0.146	0.289	0.270	0.236	0.560	.620
ď	Mean	1	'	1.148 (0.519 (0.399	0.497 (0.350 (0.282 (4.113																_								_	_	_		_	_	0.829 (0.951 (
			_	_											0	0			0	0	0									0	0			0	0			0	0	0	0	0
٦	095%				5.969	3.105	2.240	2.169	2.266	2.337	3.509	2.936	2.235	2.573	2.420	2.731	2.779	3.143	3.091	2.982	2.071	2.201	1.663	1.514	1.059	0.802	0.876	0.910	0.845	0.880	0.696	0.663	0.768	0.727	0.742	0.822	0.799	0.747	0.898	1.164	1.496	1.599
Smoothed	L95%				2.061	1.072	0.774	0.749	0.782	0.807	1.212	1.014	0.772	0.889	0.836	0.943	0.960	1.085	1.067	1.030	0.715	0.760	0.574	0.523	0.366	0.277	0.302	0.314	0.296	0.308	0.244	0.232	0.269	0.255	0.259	0.288	0.279	0.261	0.314	0.403	0.499	0.451
ű	Mean		4.496	4.242		1.825	1.317	1.275		1.374	2.062																											0.442		0.685	0.864	0.850
	 1095%	5.663	7.581	7.594	9.037	1.655	1.287	1.793	2.203	1.377	6.541	2.978	1.098	2.759	1.630	2.554	1.906	3.272	2.570	4.834	0.941	3.608	1.332	1.884	0.867	0.432	0.899	0.972	0.834	1.360	0.454	0.532	1.047	0.612	0.560	0.858	0.774	0.441	0.694	1.052	1.757	1.131
Paw Index	1 % 1 1 m				4.936	0.588	0.413	0.483	0.512	0.196	3.295	+	0.322									0.693																0.167	0.261	998.0	.749	.524
A C	Mean L				6.986 4	1.122 0	0.850 0	1.138 0	1.357 0	0.786 0	4.918 3		0.710 0		_								0.740 0																_	_	1.253 0	0.828 0
	1	1963				1967		1969	1970	1971 (1972				<u></u>			1979			1982 (1984 (1987 (_	1991 (1996 (2002	2003

Table A21. Stratified mean weight (kg), number, individual fish weight, and length (cm) per tow for goosefish from NEFSC offshore spring research vessel bottom trawl surveys in the southern management region (strata 1-19, 61-76); confidence limits for both the raw index and the indices smoothed using an integrated moving average (theta = 0.45); minimum and maximum lengths; number of fish caught, number of positive tows, and total number of tows completed in each year.

	Number	of Tows	150	155	166	160	165	187	132	134	162	160	161	194	204	141	150	147	149	147	149	150	132	129	128	132	128	128	131	129	143	130	131	131	131	88	91	98	88
Number of	Nonzero	۸s	31	31	31	24	48	128	20	61	78	75	99	20	66	74	99	36	22	21	36	21	33	18	23	31	17	18	18	20	70	14	30	32	22	20	20	30	36
Number Nu	of No	Fish Tows		41	40	42	6/	289	201	169	259	173	196	125	346	345	251	22	35	31	65	30	29	36	39	61	28	29	24	32	27	38	40	63	32	44	20	65	24
		ax	92	111	108	115	123	110	117	107	110	116	104	124	106	113	104	112	26	8	102	103	85	26	93	101	82	72	63	8	81	22	11	98	78	89	62	87	62
		95% Max	94	9	102	101	103	80	93	87	91	92	06	86	83	83	88	26	96	82	8	102	61	69	86	69	69	26	91	80	80	28	64	74	61	22	53	80	61
	Length		62.5	54.3	63.9	53.3	59.1	41.1	49.1	47.6	51.5	56.8	45.9	44.4	40.8	44.6	42.4	51.8	50.9	42.3	48.7	52.7	34.0	41.4	56.5	37.6	35.0	38.6	43.8	45.7	43.7	35.9	35.9	42.8	37.9	35.8	39.3	26.7	39.7
		50% Mean	63	47	92	20	29	32	4	4	48	21	33	37	35	40	38	47	47	33	43	26	30	4	23	33	78	38	4	38	4	37	32	4	38	34	37	22	37
		2%	23	22	22	16	22	19	21	22	22	21	17	4	21	22	4	24	21	22	24	15	18	24	71	23	19	19	13	19	တ	18	16	19	4	15	23	59	21
		Min	21	7	22	13	4	7	4	10	13	16	7	10	9	12	7	24	7	52	15	12	17	15	16	12	4	17	13	9	6	18	12	16	4	7	52	15	52
		Ind wt	5.344	4.064	5.699	3.675	5.071	1.744	2.367	2.044	2.777	3.803	2.184	2.589	1.636	2.259	2.800	3.514	4.067	2.052	2.917	4.612	0.971	1.807	4.861	1.819	1.235	1.319	2.866	2.637	2.083	1.064	1.110	1.899	1.222	1.098	1.183	3.726	1.565
nce	Smoothed	Mean L95% U95%	0.216	0.220		0.173	0.375 0.244 0.576	0.536 0.349 0.822	0.486 0.317 0.746	0.442 0.288 0.678	0.398 0.259 0.610	0.355 0.231 0.545	0.230	0.364 0.237 0.559	0.446 0.291 0.685	0.544 0.354 0.834	0.517 0.337 0.794	0.329 0.215 0.505	0.239 0.156 0.367	0.209 0.136 0.321	0.219 0.143 0.336	0.126	0.165	0.229 0.149 0.351	0.145	0.234 0.151 0.362	0.128	0.116	0.156 0.101 0.241	0.107	0.158 0.102 0.245	0.168 0.109 0.260	0.218 0.141 0.338	0.256 0.166 0.397	0.251 0.162 0.389	0.253 0.163 0.392	0.268 0.173 0.416	0.253 0.160 0.400	0.185 0.109 0.313
Abundance	~	M32%	0.297	0.305	0.247	0.304	0.469	1.249	0.604	0.568	0.500	0.372	0.405	0.397	0.548	1.029	1.226	0.365	0.274	0.247	0.442	0.162	0.601	0.306	0.311	0.495	0.266	0.295	0.172	0.292	0.200	0.198	0.344	0.453	0.330	0.336	0.541	0.524	0.182
	Raw Index	T62% N	0.126	0.138	0.103	0.104	0.272	0.854	0.368	0.326	0.307	0.232	0.265	0.164	0.354	0.540	0.657	0.176	0.000	0.072	0.125	0.054	0.280	0.097	0.099	0.142	0.089	960.0	0.057	0.100	0.070	0.050	0.164	0.217	0.153	0.131	0.095	0.218	0.050
		Mean L	0.211	0.221	0.175	0.204	0.371	1.051	0.486	0.447	0.403	0.302	0.335	0.281	0.451	0.784	0.942	0.270	0.182	0.159	0.283	0.108	0.440	0.202	0.205	0.319	0.177	0.195	0.114	0.196	0.135	0.124	0.254	0.335	0.242	0.234	0.318	0.371	0.116
Biomass	Smoothed	Mean L95% U95%	1.067	1.020		1.061 0.679 1.658	1.364 0.873 2.131	1.412 0.903 2.205	1.215 0.778 1.898	1.098 0.703 1.716	1.105 0.707 1.727	1.047 0.670 1.637	0.578	0.895 0.573 1.398	0.649	1.347 0.862 2.104	0.937	0.658	0.758 0.485 1.184			0.339			0.344	0.281	0.197	0.187	0.198	0.212	0.289 0.174 0.479	0.239 0.144 0.397		0.226	0.338 0.204 0.561	0.335 0.201 0.556	0.409 0.246 0.683	0.531 0.313 0.901	0.354 0.192 0.652
Bic	Raw Index	Mean L95% U95%	1968 1.142 0.552 1.731	0.938 0.427	1.005 0.460	1971 0.762 0.313 1.211	1972 1.883 1.161 2.604	1973 1.857 1.494 2.220	1974 1.129 0.728 1.530	1975 0.936 0.562 1.310	1976 1.209 0.833 1.585	1977 1.205 0.754 1.657	0.735 0.512	0.733 0.441		1981 1.816 1.145 2.486	1982 2.803 1.584 4.021		1984 0.747 0.223 1.272	1985 0.327 0.089 0.565	1986 0.823 0.342 1.303	0.496 -0.014		0.365 0.122	1.005 0.431	0.236	0.210 0.067	0.264 0.097	0.321 0.117	0.526 0.031	1996 0.284 0.112 0.457	1997 0.132 0.035 0.228	0.282	1999 0.629 0.342 0.916	2000 0.293 0.163 0.424	2001 0.244 0.089 0.399	2002 0.376 0.132 0.619	2003 1.425 0.688 2.162	2004 0.194 0.047 0.341

Table A22. Stratified mean weight (kg), number, individual fish weight, and length (cm) per tow for goosefish from NEFSC winter flatfish surveys in the southern management region (strata 1-19, 61-76); confidence limits for indices; minimum and maximum lengths; number of fish caught, number of positive tows, and total number of tows completed.

	Number	of Tows	110	109	82	123	123	119	134	138	123	167	153	66	135
lumber of	Nonzero	Tows	99	77	56	92	87	88	77	83	93	115	113	72	103
Number	οę	Fish	583	585	278	390	554	455	240	459	664	1042	737	869	896
		Max	92	86	78	101	100	91	103	87	96	84	96	95	88
		%56	25	53	61	22	61	62	69	61	22	09	99	29	99
	Length	Mean	36.0	37.7	35.1	37.9	41.1	42.0	44.9	38.3	39.1	40.0	45.2	46.5	42.5
		20%	34	36	31	36	33	43	42	32	37	37	43	47	40
		%9	22	21	16	21	24	20	20	18	22	19	28	23	22
		Min	11	တ	∞	19	10	10	10	10	7	∞	15	12	13
		Ind wt	0.986	1.188	1.078	1.245	1.498	1.667	1.983	1.340	1.261	1.451	1.824	2.050	1.675
nce	yex	795%						3.900							
Abunda	Raw Inc	1 %56	3.665	3.941	1.855	1.859	3.035	2.445	1.105	2.183	3.263	3.126	3.126	2.484	3.142
1		Mean L95%	5.176 3.665	5.002	2.534	2.738	3.779	3.172	1.416	2.803	4.516	4.346	3.978	3.458	4.673
		% 2 67	7.275	8.070	3.617	4.457	6.720	866.9	3.641	4.715	7.438	9.755	9.279	9.548	10.935
Biomass	Raw Index	1 %56	3.515	4.565	1.958	2.249	4.683	3.781	2.061	2.869	4.135	4.892	5.592	4.657	5.201
В	Y.	lean Lt	5.395	6.317	2.787	3.398	5.701	5.390	2.851	3.792	5.786	7.324	7.435	7.103	8.068
		Mean L95% U95%	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

	Total	3.172	1.416	2.803	4.516	4.346	3.978	3.458	4.673
	10	0.000	0.010	0.000	0.020	0.000	0.005	0.000	0.000
	6	0.017	0.010	0.008	0.000	0.014	0.023	0.030	0.019
	8	0.043	0.059	0.044	0.050	090.0	690.0	0.105	0.110
	7	0.188 0.	0.110	0.133	0.130	0.151	0.322	0.255	0.393
	9	0.830 0.	0.267	0.532	0.464	0.803	1.045	1.175	1.106
Age	2	0.800	0.492	0.534	1.489	0.982	1.307	0.924	1.023
`	4	0.459 (0.341	13 0.654 0.730 0.534 0.532 0.133 0.044 0.0	1.484	1.379	1.082	0.445	1.129
	3	0.672	0.063	0.654	0.833	0.743	0.094	0.436	0.835
	2	11	9.0	7.7	9.0	.19	0.0	90.0	.05
	1	0.052	0.015	0.026	0.000	0.019	0.000	0.000	0.000
	0	0.000 0.052 (0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
South			1998		2000				

Table A23. NEFSC winter offshore survey, delta distribution stratified mean number per tow at age.

 $40^{\mathrm{th}}~\mathrm{SAW}$

Table A24. Stratified mean number and length (cm) per tow for goosefish from NEFSC summer scallop surveys in the southern and the indices smoothed using an integrated moving average (theta = 0.45); minimum and maximum lengths; number of fish caught, number of positive tows, and the total number of tows completed in each year. management region (shellfish strata 1-48,55-64,69-70,73-74); confidence limits for both the raw index

	Number	of Tows	389	404	371	433	435	352	342	323	324	325	338	338	307	336	339	311	320	358	331	311	369
Number of	Nonzero	Tows	232	234	203	313	234	175	211	242	235	270	271	252	227	204	188	250	237	227	202	213	282
Number	oę	Fish	523	594	465	1429	725	373	629	808	644	1012	1151	2776	639	398	380	829	844	220	620	840	873
		Max	82	113	92	06	26	101	94	94	26	26	87	95	81	9/	29	84	66	66	66	87	98
		1 %56	09	64	53	51	49	54	22	45	52	49	51	28	29	9	63	9	22	64	62	28	09
	Length	Mean	30.6	32.8	22.1	18.7	30.3	33.7	25.6	21.0	27.3	22.4	22.5	30.0	29.9	37.2	31.5	24.6	28.2	36.9	35.1	25.0	29.9
		20%	28	30	16	13	29	34	18	4	25	15	15	28	24	33	22	17	19	36	35	16	26
		2%	12	10	10	တ	12	10	10	တ	တ	10	10	တ	တ	13	7	တ	တ	∞	7	တ	7
		Min	9	7	80	80	7	9	9	7	5	∞	80	7	7	7	9	9	80	7	7	9	6
	þ	% 3 60				2.219	2.120	2.073	2.215	2.636	2.825	3.194	3.292	2.834	2.398	1.961	1.962	2.549	2.732	2.623	2.744	3.335	
	Smoothed	1 %ኗ6ገ				1.102	1.053	1.029	1.147	1.365	1.463	1.654	1.704	1.467	1.242	1.016	1.016	1.319	1.413	1.350	1.380	1.510	
nce		Mean L	1.111	1.141	1.221	1.564	1.494	1.461	1.594	1.897	2.033	2.299	2.369	2.039	1.725	1.411	1.412	1.834	1.965	1.882	1.946	2.244	
Abundance	Xe	% 360	1.225	1.226	1.155	2.909	1.705	1.405	1.580	2.496	2.146	2.892	3.452	2.361	2.048	1.188	1.089	2.835	2.599	1.902	1.864	3.153	2.760
	Raw Index		0.911	0.921	0.714	1.927	1.182	1.078	1.222	1.935	1.608	2.387	2.738	1.826	1.580	0.904	0.827	2.047	2.043	1.458	1.441	2.396	2.125
	4	Mean L95%	1.068	1.073	0.934	2.418	1.444	1.241	1.401	2.216	1.877	2.639	3.095	2.093	1.814	1.046	0.958	2.441	2.321	1.680	1.653	2.775	2.443
1			1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991 2.216	1992	1993	1994	1995 2.093	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

Table A25. Net measurements for the 2004 cooperative survey flat net.

2004 Survey flat net	
Backstraps	14' + 15' extension = 29'
Belly	182 x 60 x 100 deep
Codend	6" 50 deep x 25 across, double 5mm
Corners	5' each side from center sq hung in 10'
Droppers	2 links with shackles
Floats	48 - orange - 8" center hole
Footrope	148'
Headrope	128'
Legs	64' 1/2" wire top, 64' 1/2" trawlex chain
Square	226 x 182 - 29 1/2 deep
Sweep	148' 6" cookies in center - 5" cookies on wings
Tickler	one
Twine	green ployethyene (4mm)
Up and Down line	7'
Wing Extensions	none

Table A26. Net measurements for the 2004 cooperative survey rockhopper net.

2004 Survey rockhopper

2004 Survey rocknop	per
Backstraps	14' + 15' extension = 29'
Belly	186 x 60 x meshes 100 deep
Codend	50 x 25 across 6" double 5mm
Corners	sq hung in 10' 5' each side from center headrope
Droppers	1 5/8" shackle
Floats	74 - 8" orange center hole
Footrope	178.6'
Headrope	151'
Legs	10 fathom (60') top 1/2" wire, bottom leg 60' 1/2" trawlex chain
Square	226 x 184 x 29 1/2 deep
Sweep	178.6'
Tickler	none
Twine	5mm 4 rows lower wings (poly) 4mm poly
Up and Down line	13'
Wing Extensions	none

Table A28.	2004 coop	perative surve	y swept ar	ea biomas:	s and popula	tion numbe	r estimates.			
A. Minimur	n biomass/	numbers							_	
			mt					Thousand	ds	
		Using Inclinometer Distance	distance	nominal minus inclinom	% difference nom-inc		Using Inclinometer Distance		nominal minus inclinom	% difference nom-inc
	North		28,536					14,441		
	South		65,877					36,579		
	Combined		94,413					51,020		
B Under I	 High Efficie	ncy Assumpti	ons							
2. 0			00							
			mt					Thousand	ds	
		Using Inclinometer Distance	Using nominal distance	nominal minus inclinom	% difference nom-inc		Using Inclinometer Distance	Using nominal distance	nominal minus inclinom	% difference nom-inc
	North									
	South									
	Combined									
C. Under I	l Intermediat	l e Efficiency A	ssumption	S						
			mt					Thousand	ds	
	North	Using Inclinometer Distance	Using nominal distance 51,766	nominal minus inclinom	% difference nom-inc		Using Inclinometer Distance	Using nominal distance 25,698	nominal minus inclinom	% difference nom-inc
	South		109,807					60,972		
	Combined		161,573					86,670		
D. Under I	_ow Efficie	ncy Assumption	ons							
			mt					Thousand	l <u> </u>	
	North	Using Inclinometer Distance	Using nominal distance	nominal minus inclinom	% difference nom-inc		Using Inclinometer Distance	Using nominal distance	nominal minus inclinom	% difference nom-inc
	South									
	Combined									

Table A29. Indices of egg production by goosefish 1967-2004 by region. Egg production index is a function of numbers at length, proportion mature at length, and fecundity at length, pooled over a 5-year interval. Proportion List is proportion of egg production generated by fish smaller than the length at 99% maturity. Maturity rates derived from Hartley (1995).

	North	North	North	North	South	South	South	South
Year	Spring	Spring P < L ₉₉	Autumn	Autumn P < L ₉₉	Spring	Spring P < L ₉₉	EPI	Autumn P < L ₉₉
1967			1.46	0.01			2.18	0.03
1968			1.23	0.00	•		1.86	0.03
1969		•	1.46	0.00			1.48	0.03
1970		,	1.4	0.00			1.1	0.03
1971		•	1.37	0.00			0.53	0.05
1972	1.15	0.01	1.39	0.01	0.63	0.02	98.0	0.04
1973	1.31	0.01	1.54	0.01	0.72	0.03	0.94	0.04
1974	1.40	0.01	1.33	0.01	0.77	0.04	0.89	0.04
1975	1.28	0.01	1.27	0.01	92.0	0.05	0.93	0.05
1976	1.54	0.01	1.32	0.01	0.81	0.05	0.93	0.04
1977	1.13	0.01	1.69	0.01	0.74	0.05	99.0	0.04
1978	0.94	0.02	1.75	0.01	0.64	0.05	0.61	0.03
1979	0.83	0.01	1.97	0.01	0.58	0.04	0.68	0.03
1980	0.88	0.01	2.19	0.01	0.54	0.04	0.64	0.03
1981	0.71	0.02	1.99	0.01	0.58	0.07	0.70	0.05
1982	98.0	0.01	1.58	0.01	0.63	0.08	0.57	0.07
1983	0.93	0.01	1.28	0.01	0.63	0.08	0.61	0.08
1984	1.00	0.02	1.1	0.01	0.62	0.07	0.53	60.0
1985	1.05	0.01	0.87	0.01	0.57	0.08	0.48	0.10
1986	1.12	0.01	0.92	0.02	0.48	90.0	0.38	0.09
1987	1.00	0.01	0.91	0.02	0.33	0.05	0.36	0.08
1988	1.05	0.01	06.0	0.02	0.26	0.07	0.26	0.07
1989	1.01	0.02	0.73	0.03	0.20	0.13	0.23	0.12
1990	0.88	0.02	0.64	0.04	0.26	60.0	0.17	0.15
1991	0.74	0.03	0.51	0.05	0.22	0.10	0.17	0.16
1992	0.67	0.05	0.52	0.07	0.18	0.13	0.17	0.17
1993	0.56	0.08	0.46	80.0	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.23
1994	0.50	0.08	0.41	60.0	0.18	60.0	0.13	0.19
1995	0.55	60.0	0.47	0.10	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.19
1996	0.49	0.12	0.46	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.18
1997	0.44	0.13	0.41	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.14
1998	0.38	0.13	0.40	0.12	0.12	0.10	0.17	0.11
1999	0.40	0.12	0.38	0.12	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.13
2000	0.36	0.12	0.44	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.17	0.13
2001	0.43	0.10	0.48	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.19	0.13
2002	0.52	0.12	0.58	0.14	0.13	0.21	0.23	0.15
2003	0.65	0.13	99.0	0.14	0.23	0.12	0.25	0.14
2004	0.79	0.11			0.19	0.12		

81

Table A30. Z estimate from catch curve analysis based on NEFSC survey indices. Catch curve estimates with r^2 <0.20 are not included (-). N/A indicated insufficient data.

NORTH	06	91	92	93	94	92	96	26	86	66
Fall	0.33	0.84	0.43	0.37	0.25		0.49	0.62	0.29	A/N
Fall Smoot	0.24	92.0	0.49	0.53	0.34	ł	0.41	0.42	0.17	A/N
Spring	N/A	N/A		0.33		0.33	0.22	0.14	0.60	N/A
Mean	0.29	08.0	0.46	0.41	0:30	0.33	0.37	0.39	0.35	N/A
SOUTH										
Fall	0.47	0.44	0.32	0.10	0.37		0.25		0.14	A/N
Fall Smoot	0.45	0.33	0.26	0.23	0.40	-	0.26	0.33	0.11	A/N
Spring	A/A	N/A	0.65	0.75	0.54	ł		0.53	98.0	0.23
Winter	!			0.83	0.76	1.07	0.80	0.62	∀/Z	A/N
Mean	0.46	0.39	0.41	0.48	0.52	1.07	0.44	0.49	0.37	0.23

82

Table A31. Estimates of total mortality from NEFSC offshore surveys.

;) 5+/6+			1.42 0.28 0.73 0.74 0.32 0.57	0.64
Ŋ			0.90 0.03 0.01 0.59 0.20 0.44	0.32
Total Mortality (Z) 3+/4+ 4+/5+ {			0.85 0.38 0.23 0.07 0.07	0.11
ge 6+			1.08 0.46 0.72 0.66 1.03 1.14 1.56	
rvey ge 5+ A			1.88 0.95 1.25 2.15 2.01 2.77 2.65	
/inter Su at Age ge 4+ A			2.34 1.29 3.64 3.39 3.39 3.39 3.78	
NEFSC Winter Survey Numbers at Age Age 3+ Age 5+ Age 6+			3.01 2.6.35 2.6.35 2.9.35 3.9.95 4.62	
5+/6+	1.20 2.37 -0.67 -0.13 0.47 0.50 0.50 0.92	0.53	0.46 2.26 0.16 1.74 1.74 0.67 2.08	0.81
Ŋ	0.53 2.73 0.65 0.05 0.09 0.09	0.59	0.60 1.14 0.18 1.08 0.15 0.17	0.59
Total Mortality (Z) 3+/4+ 4+/5+ {	0.30 0.62 -0.72 0.17 0.17 0.04 0.04	0.27	0.64 0.31 0.34 0.34 0.38 0.38 0.03 1.68	0.31
, ,,	0.30 0.12 0.04 0.08 0.14 0.13 0.25 0.28		0.08 0.00 0.03 0.03 0.04 0.04	
vey ge 5+ A	0.41 0.39 0.04 0.12 0.21 0.25 0.46 0.68		0.10 0.03 0.03 0.08 0.08 0.08 0.03	
oring Sur at Age ge 4+ A	0.66 0.62 0.24 0.17 0.50 0.75 1.17		0.14 0.00 0.09 0.16 0.24 0.15 0.02 0.35	
NEFSC Spring Survey Numbers at Age Age 3+ Age 4+ Age 5+ Age 6+	0.83 0.63 0.21 0.63 1.12 1.60 1.63		0.20 0.12 0.22 0.22 0.32 0.32 0.33	
5+/6+	1.07 1.16 1.16 1.37 -0.53 1.17 -0.54 1.12 0.76	0.68	-0.52 1.45 0.95 0.06 1.11 1.11 1.81	0.54
ity (Z 5+	0.57 0.23 1.01 1.37 0.10 0.97 -0.88 0.75	0.54	0.15 0.73 0.33 0.69 0.69 0.19 0.19	0.49
Total Mortal 3+/4+ 4+/	0.44 0.05 0.07 0.32 1.12 -0.62 0.49 0.63	0.43	0.15 0.49 0.51 0.06 0.28 0.28 -0.46	0.37
			0.01 0.02 0.03 0.05 0.05 0.00 0.06 0.07	
l Survey Numbers at Age Age 3+ Age 4+ Age 5+ Age 6+	0.16 0.18 0.15 0.09 0.20 0.20 0.27 0.24		0.02 0.07 0.09 0.15 0.09 0.01 0.25	
	0.25 0.23 0.42 0.23 0.25 0.11 0.54 0.61		0.08 0.14 0.15 0.15 0.05 0.13 0.13	
	0.36 0.70 0.51 0.35 0.39 0.99 0.82 0.66		0.16 0.24 0.20 0.24 0.17 0.24 0.31 0.55	
NEFSC Fall Survey North Number Age 3+	1993 1994 1995 1996 1998 2000 2001 2002 2003 2003	Mean	South 1993 1994 1995 1996 1996 1998 2000 2001 2002 2003 2003	Mean

83

Table A33. Additional exploitation ratios numbers for the 2004 cooperative survey, using the fishing year landings.

Intermediate Efficiency 2004 Survey Exploitable Biomass Ldgs+2004 Survey B Exploit ratio 45237 59586 0.2408116 78720 90484 0.130011936 123957 150070 0.174005464	Ldgs+2004 Survey B Exploit ratio 51766 69665.84931 0.256938651 109807 124192.1757 0.115829968 161573 193858.0251 0.166539533
Intermediate Efficiency 2004 Survey Exploitable Bi	Intermediate Efficiency 2004 Survey Biomass
100% efficiency 2004 Survey Exploitable Biomass Ldgs+2004 Survey B Exploit. ratio 24494 3843 0.36941019 47226 58990 0.199423631 71720 97833 0.266914027	Ldgs+2004 Survey B Exploit. ratio 28536 46435.84931 0.385474791 65877 80262.17574 0.179227334 94413 126698.0251 0.254818692
100% efficiency 2004 Survey Exploita	100% efficiency 2004 Survey Biomass
stances Indings and exploitable biomass Management Area Fishing year 2003 landings (mt) North 14349 South 1764 Combined	stances tch and total biomass Management Area Fishing year 2003 catch (mt) North 17899.84931 South 14385.17574 Combined
Nominal distances A. Using landings and exploitable biomass Management Area Fishing yea North South Combined	Nominal distances B. Using catch and total biomass Management Area Fi North South Combined

 $40^{\mathrm{th}}~\mathrm{SAW}$

Table A34. Monkfish surplus production results using cooperative survey biomass estimates from 2001 and 2004 and assuming a beta function prior for the distribution of r, for northern and southern monkfish stock units.

B[40] is stock biomass at the start of 2003 (000 mt), B2004 is stock biomass at the start of 2004 (000 mt), BMSP is biomass that would maximize surplus production (000 mt), BMSPRATIO is the ratio of B2004 to BMSP, H[40] is the exploitation rate in 2003, HMSP is the exploitation rate that would maximize surplus production, K is carrying capacity (000 mt), M is the shape parameter of the production curve, MSP is maximum surplus production (000 mt), qFALL is autumn survey catchability, r is the intrinsic growth rate, sigma2 is process error variance parameter, and tau2FALL is the survey error variance parameter.

Northern monkt	fish						
node	mean s	stdev	0.1	0.25	median	0.75	0.9
B[40]	76.37	25.77	0.3435	38.53	47.77	72.13	109.6
B2004	68.69	25.51	0.3287	30.65	40.24	64.73	101.8
BMSP	62.22	15.06	0.2496	39.38	45.06	60.06	81.91
BMSPRATIO	1.22	0.2457	0.003301	0.7991	0.9287	1.203	1.519
H[40]	0.2607	0.08665	0.001156	0.1289	0.1631	0.2483	0.3751
HMSP	0.192	0.06754	0.001413	0.07879	0.1118	0.1846	0.2817
HRATIO	1.49	0.6796	0.01103	0.6593	0.8549	1.359	2.232
K	139.1	41.52	0.7601	76.98	91.52	133.1	193.8
M	1.624	0.3729	0.00754	1.171	1.263	1.537	2.088
MSP	11.27	3.004	0.05185	6.164	7.903	10.98	14.89
qFALL	0.01766	0.005069	0.00008139	0.009626	0.01173	0.01704	0.02454
r	0.5423	0.08911	0.0005017	0.3714	0.427	0.5415	0.6583
sigma2	0.00825	0.01219	0.0002869	0.001363	0.001891	0.004413	0.01772
tau2FALL	0.2004	0.06097	0.000999	0.09353	0.1282	0.1954	0.278
Southern monk	fish						
node		stdev	0.1		median	0.75	0.9
B[40]	113.4	35.24	0.8673	62.71	75.04	107.3	159.1
B2004	112.7	35.77	0.9038	62.04	74.21	106.3	158.9
BMSP	98.08	50.28	2.24	49.55	57.61	82.34	158.2
BMSPRATIO	1.268	0.3409	0.01356	0.5413	0.7782	1.327	0.8727
H[40]	0.1383	0.04029	0.0009495	0.07234	0.09038	0.1341	0.1918
HMSP	0.2204	0.11	0.00486	0.0519	0.08748	0.2035	0.379
HRATIO	0.8203	0.7	0.01995	0.2924	0.3751	0.6525	1.42
K	218.9	137.3	6.223	85.59	105.6	176.6	385.1
M	1.865	0.7239	0.02968	1.108	1.198	1.624	2.897
MSP	18.05	6.19	0.169	8.128	11.03	17.47	25.62
qFALL	0.007852	0.002442	0.00007322	0.004075	0.005047	0.007507	0.01114
r	0.5498	0.09102	0.001276	0.3736	0.4311	0.5497	0.6686
sigma2	0.02588	0.03351	0.001292	0.001358	0.001982	0.01096	0.06897

Table A35. Stratified mean catch per tow in weight (kg), and 3-year moving averages, NEFSC offshore autumn research vessel bottom trawl in northern region (survey strata 20-30, 34-40); and southern region (survey strata 1-19, 61-76). B_{TARGET} is the median of the 3-year moving average (1965-1981 north, 1967-1981 south). B_{THRESHOLD} equals half of B_{TARGET}.

			Northern Managem Assessment Area	ent/			Southern Manag Assessment Area	
N	/lean		Three-year		Mean		Three-Year	
V	Veight/Tow E	3 _{THRESHOLD}	Moving Average	B _{TARGET}	Weight/Tow	B _{THRESHOLD}	Moving Average	B _{TARGET}
1963	3.757				3.724			
1964	1.712				5.486			
1965	2.509	1.250	2.659	2.496	5.163	0.930	4.791	1.84
1966	3.266		2.496		6.986		5.878	
1967	1.283		2.353		1.122		4.423	
1968	2.036		2.195		0.895		3.001	
1969	3.705		2.341		1.138		1.051	
1970	2.237		2.659		1.357		1.130	
1971	2.914		2.952		0.786		1.094	
1972	1.404		2.185		4.918		2.354	
1973	3.114		2.477		1.986		2.564	
1974	2.063		2.193		0.710		2.538	
1975	1.711		2.296		2.043		1.580	
1976	3.387		2.387		1.084		1.279	
1977	5.568		3.555		1.873		1.667	
1978	5.101		4.685		1.395		1.451	
1979	5.133		5.267		2.275		1.848	
1980	4.458		4.897		1.868		1.846	
1981	1.984		3.859		2.858		2.334	
1982	0.936		2.459		0.646		1.791	
1983	1.617		1.513		2.150		1.885	
1984	3.010		1.855		0.740		1.179	
1985	1.441		2.023		1.318		1.403	
1986	2.353		2.268		0.552		0.870	
1987	0.873		1.556		0.274		0.715	
1988	1.525		1.584		0.554		0.460	
1989	1.384		1.261		0.625		0.485	
1990	1.001		1.303		0.426		0.535	
1991	1.235		1.207		0.783		0.611	
1992	1.102		1.113		0.312		0.507	
1993	1.044		1.127		0.294		0.463	
1994	0.973		1.040		0.611		0.406	
1995	1.711		1.243		0.386		0.430	
1996	1.07		1.252		0.387		0.461	
1997	0.669		1.150 0.904		0.592		0.455	
1998	0.974				0.500		0.493	
1999	0.825		0.823		0.304		0.465	
2000	2.495		1.431 1.789		0.477 0.709		0.427	
2001 2002	2.048 2.103						0.496	
			2.215		1.253 0.828		0.813	
2003	1.925		2.025		0.8∠8		0.930	

Figure A1. Distribution of goosefish catches in NEFSC winter surveys (1992-1999), spring surveys (1968-1999), scallop surveys (1984-1999), and autumn surveys (1963-1999).




Figure A2. Monkfish commercial landings (live weight, mt) by management area.





Figure A3. Monkfish commercial landings (live weight, mt) by gear type.






Figure A4. Commercial goosefish length frequency samples taken during 2001




Figure A5. Commercial goosefish length frequency samples taken during 2002




Figure A6. Commercial goosefish length frequency samples taken during 2003




Figure A7. Size composition of kept and discarded goosefish estimated from sea sampling observations, northern region.




Figure A8. Size composition of kept and discarded goosefish estimated from sea sampling observations, southern region..

Figure A9. Discard ratios by major gear type and half year for goosefish, northern region.





Figure A10. Discard ratios by major gear type and half year for goosefish, southern region.






Figure A11. Estimated total catch (landings + discards) by management area.





Figure A12. Biomass indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC autumn bottom trawl survey for the northern management region from 1963-2003. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.






Figure A13. Abundance indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC autumn bottom trawl survey for the northern management region from 1963-2003. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.




Figure A14. Abundance indices (number per tow) for monkfish at lengths corresponding to ages 1 and 2.





Figure A15. Biomass indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey for the northern management region from 1968-2004. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.






Figure A16. Abundance indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey for the northern management region from 1968-2004. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.




Figure A17. Goosefish length composition from the NEFSC spring and autumn bottom trawl surveys in the northern management region, 1963-2004.




Figure A17. continued.







Figure A17, continued.





Autumn Survey 1990 0.1 1991 1992 1993 0.26 0.1 1994 1995 0.1 1996 0.1 120 LENGTH (cm)

Figure A17, continued.



Autumn Survey 0.5 1997 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 1998 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 11 4111 6 1999 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 2000 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 2001 0.3 0.2 0.1 2002 0.3 0.2 0.1 2003 0.3 0.2 0.1 80 100 120 140 20 40 60 0 LENGTH (cm)

Figure A17, continued.









Figure A18. Minimum, mean, and, maximum lengths for the northern management region from (A) NEFSC autumn surveys and (B) NEFSC spring surveys.




















Figure A20. Comparison of seasonal mean lengths at age in the northern and southern management regions, NEFSC fall, spring, and winter surveys.




Figure A21. Biomass indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC autumn bottom trawl survey for the southern management region from 1963-2003. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.




Figure A22. Abundance indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC autumn bottom trawl survey for the southern management region from 1963-2003. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.





Figure A23. Biomass indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey for the southern management region from 1968-2004. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.






Figure A24. Abundance indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl survey for the southern management region from 1968-2004. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.




Figure A25. Biomass indices from the NEFSC winter flatfish survey for the southern management region from 1992-2004. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.




Figure A26. Abundance indices from the NEFSC winter flatfish survey for the southern management region from 1992-2004. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.





Figure A27. Mean length at age for goosefish in NEFSC winter surveys, southern management region.



Smoothed Abundance Indices




Figure A28. Abundance indices and smoothed indices from the NEFSC scallop dredge survey for the southern management region from 1984-2003. The 95% confidence limits are shown by the dashed line.






Figure A29. Goosefish length composition from the NEFSC spring bottom trawl (March-April), winter flatfish (February), summer scallop (July-August), and autumn (September-October) bottom trawl surveys in the southern management region, 1963-2004.









 $40^{\text{th}}\,\text{SAW}$

 $40^{
m th}~{
m SAW}$




Figure A30. Minimum, mean, and, maximum lengths for the southern management region from the NEFSC autumn surveys.




Figure A31. Minimum, mean, and, maximum lengths for the southern management region from the NEFSC spring surveys.





Figure A32. Distribution of goosefish catches in inshore surveys conducted by the states of Maine and New Hampshire, and in NMFS surveys, autumn and spring, 2001-2004.

Length frequency: Fall



Length frequency: Spring




Figure A33. Length frequency distributions of monkfish caught in Maine/New Hampshire inshore surveys, fall and spring.




Figure A34. Mean number and weight per tow for goosefish in Maine/New Hampshire inshore surveys.

Assessment Report




Figure A35. Net plan for the rockhopper net used on the Mary K for the 2004 cooperative monkfish survey.





Figure A36. 2005 monkfish cooperative survey stations. Planned station locations that were not sampled are also shown (X).



110




Figure A37. Length-weight relationships for monkfish captured during the 2004 cooperative survey.





Figure A38. Monkfish age-length relationships from 2004 cooperative monkfish survey samples, by gender and management region.







Figure A39. Mean length at age in samples from 2004 cooperative survey. LCI = lower 95% confidence interval, UCI = upper 95% confidence interval.







Figure A39. Mean length at age in samples from 2004 cooperative survey. LCI = lower 95% confidence interval, UCI = upper 95% confidence interval.







Figure A40. Monkfish mean weight at age from samples taken during 2004 cooperative monkfish survey.






Figure A41. Sex ratios at length (proportion female) from 2004 monkfish survey.





Figure A42. Spatial distribution of sex ratios for monkfish 50-65 cm from NEFSC winter surveys, 1999-2004.







Figure A43. Relationships between wingspread and depth used to estimate wingspread for each survey tow for the 2004 cooperative goosefish survey.







Figure A44. Length frequency distributions for the northern and southern management regions from the 2004 cooperative survey. Numbers at length are based on minimum population size estimates.







Figure A45. Length frequency distributions of monkfish estimated from NEFSC winter surveys and cooperative surveys, 2001 and 2004. Cooperative survey estimates are minimum numbers at length, NEFSC survey estimates are stratified mean number per tow at length







Figure A46. Indices of egg production by goosefish based on composite length frequency distributions from survey indices (number per tow at length), proportion mature at length, and fecundity at length. Year represents the terminal year of a 5-year pooled length frequency sample. Proportion < L99 is the fraction of egg production from goosefish smaller than the size at 99% maturity.






Figure A47. Indices of egg production by goosefish based on composite length frequency distributions from survey indices (number per tow at length), proportion mature at length, and fecundity at length. Year represents the terminal year of a 5-year pooled length frequency sample. Proportion < L99 is the fraction of egg production from goosefish smaller than the size at 99% maturity

 40^{th} SAW




Figure A48. Estimated mortality rates from NEFSC survey abundance at age data using cohort-based catch curves for the northern region.




Figure A49. Estimated mortality rates from NEFSC survey abundance at age data using cohort-based catch curves for the southern region.




Figure A50. Estimated mortality rates from NEFSC survey abundance at age data using cohort-based catch curves for the northern region, smoothed survey indices.




Figure A51. Estimated mortality rates from NEFSC survey abundance at age data using cohort-based catch curves for the southern region, smoothed survey indices.




Figure A52. Estimated mortality rates from NEFSC survey abundance at age data using cohort-based catch curves for the northern region, spring.




Figure A53. Estimated mortality rates from NEFSC survey abundance at age data using cohort-based catch curves for the southern region, spring.





Figure A54. Estimated mortality rates from NEFSC survey abundance at age data using cohort-based catch curves for the southern region, winter.






Figure A55. Summary of Z estimates from catch curves based on NEFSC survey indices. Catch curves estimate with $r^2 < 0.20$ are not included.

Figure A56. Probability that 2003 3-year running average biomass index is above the biomass threshold (indexed at 1.0), northern region.




Figure A57. Probability that 2003 3-year running average biomass index is above the biomass threshold (indexed at 1.0), southern region.

