Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans and Brant Wintering in North America 2004



Department of the Interior U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Division of Migratory Bird Management Arlington, Virginia

This report is for administrative use only and is not for publication without permission of the Director, U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service

Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans and Brant

Wintering in North America - 2004

Tabl	e of Contents <u>P</u>	age
Intro	ductioni	- iii
Repo	rt Summary	iv
I.	Atlantic Flyway	1 – 6
	Greater Snow Goose	2
	Atlantic Brant	2
	Tundra Swan	2
II.	Mississippi/Central Flyway7	7 - 10
	Mid-continent White-fronted Goose	7
	Mid-continent Lesser Snow Goose	•
III.	Western Central Flyway Light Goose1	1 - 28
	Western Central Flyway Lesser Snow Goose	
	Western Central Flyway Ross's Goose12	2
	Light Geese in New Mexico and Mexico20	0
IV.	Pacific Flyway2	9 - 40
	Lesser Snow Goose	80
	Ross's Goose	30
	Greater White-fronted Goose	30
	Tule Greater White-fronted Goose	31
	Tundra Swan	31
	Trumpeter Swan (Rocky Mountain Population)	31
V.	Alaska4	1 - 54
	Pacific Brant	.43
	Trumpeter Swan	43
	Emperor Goose	44
	Dusky Canada Goose	.45

Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans and Brant Wintering in North America - 2004

Waterfowl productivity analyses are conducted annually to monitor selected goose, swan, and brant populations. Methods used include satellite imagery of nesting habitat, inventory of staging concentrations, determination of percent juvenile and family size in fall and winter concentrations, as well as analysis of harvest data.

This report summarizes productivity data obtained during fall 2004 and winter 2005, and is grouped according to Flyway and population. Data for 2005 will be forthcoming in April 2006.

Thanks to all biologists and volunteers for their dedication to collect data reported in this document. Without your tireless efforts to record this data, the documentation of the knowledge and traditions of waterfowl would not be possible. Thank you to the many supervisors both public and private to allow your employees to collect this information so we can better understand the outcome of the past year's production efforts of waterfowl.

A special thanks to the Flyway Coordinators (Page iii) for their willingness to accept this additional burden in their already full schedules, to assemble each Flyway's data in one location for publication and for their efforts to strive for accuracies in the presentation of the data sets.

Any inaccuracies in the representation of the data in this report are my responsibility and I would appreciate notification of errors so we may make the necessary corrections. Comments and suggestions are always welcome in our effort to make the report more presentable!

Coordinator, 2004 Report:

Rod King, Flyway Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service/DMBM-WPS 505 Azuar Drive Mare Island, CA 94592 707-562-3002 Email: rod_king@fws.gov Individual Flyway coordination responsibilities for productivity data collection, assimilation in one place and analyses are as follows:

Atlantic Flyway:

Carl Ferguson, Flyway Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service/DMBM-WPS 11500 American Holly Drive Laurel, Maryland 20708-4016

Mississippi/Central Flyway Lesser Snow Goose & White-fronted Goose:

Fred H. Roetker, Flyway Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service/DMBM-WPS 646 Cajundome Blvd., Suite 237 Lafayette, Louisiana 70506

Western Central Flyway White Goose:

Philip Thorpe, Flyway Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service/DMBM-WPS 755 Parfet Street, Suite 496B Lakewood, Colorado 80215

Pacific Flyway:

Elizabeth Huggins, Flyway Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service/DMBM-WPS P.O. Box 1887 317 S. 7th Street, Room 205 Klamath Falls, Oregon 97601-0108

Alaska:

Deborah J. Groves, Wildlife Biologist U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 3000 Vintage Blvd, Suite 240 Juneau, Alaska 99801-7100

2004 PRODUCTIVITY REPORT SUMMARY

	SPECIES	% PRODUCTIVITY ESTIMATE	TYPE OF YEAR	% CHANGE FROM 2003
Atlantic F	lyway			
	Greater snow geese	12.1	Below Average	-23.4
	Atlantic Brant	13.1	Below Average	-23.8
	Tundra Swan	16.1	Above Average	209.0
Mississip	pi/Central Flyway			
	MC Lesser snow geese			
	LA pre-season	No data		
	LA in-season	19.0	Below Average	-24.1
	TX pre-season	No data		
	TX in-season	12.7	Below Average	-26.1
	MC Greater white-fronted gee	se 31.7	Below Average	-20.8
	WCF Lesser snow geese	14.9	Below Average	-27.7
	WCF Ross's geese	10.5	Below Average	-50.2
Pacific Fly	yway			
	Lesser snow geese			
	(Mixed flocks)	25.3	Average	18.2
	Lesser snow geese			
	Wrangel Island	No data		
	Ross's geese	13.2	Below Average	-20.0
	Greater white-fronted geese	30.0	Above Average	158.6
	Tule Greater white-fronted ge	ese 29.2	Above Average	43.1
	Tundra swan	19.2	Below Average	-13.9
	RMP Trumpeter swan	23.7	Average	3.0
Alaska				
	Pacific Brant		Below Average	
	Fall	18.2		33.0
	Winter	11.6		78.0
	Trumpeter swan	17.0	Below Average	-8.0
	Emperor geese		Below Average	
	Fall ground counts	21.9		83.0
	Fall aerial counts	11.1		19.0
	Cackling Canada geese	No data		
	Dusky Canada geese	27.8	Above Average	286

<u>TITLE</u> Waterfowl Productivity Surveys for the Atlantic Flyway - 2004

SPECIES SURVEYED	Greater Snow Goose (Chen caerulescens atlanticus)
	Atlantic Brant (Branta bernicla bernicla)
	Tundra Swan (Cygnus columbianus)
COOPERATORS:	 Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Fish, Heritage, and Wildlife Service New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Fish, Game, and Wildlife New York State Department of Environmental Conservation North Carolina Wildlife Resources Commission Pennsylvania Game Commission U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Alligator River/Pea Island National Wildlife Refuge Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge Bombay Hook National Wildlife Refuge Mattamuskeet National Wildlife Refuge Pocosin Lakes National Wildlife Refuge

COMPILED BY:

Carl Ferguson, Division of Migratory Bird Management

ABSTRACT:

Atlantic Flyway productivity surveys for greater snow geese, Atlantic brant, and tundra swans were conducted during November and December of 2004 and January of 2005. The data indicates that productivity for greater snow geese decreased -23.4 %, decreased for Atlantic brant -23.8 %, and increased, +209 % for tundra swans from 2003. These waterfowl species were surveyed in five States and on six National Wildlife Refuges within the Atlantic Flyway.

METHODS:

The procedures followed in conducting the surveys are contained in the draft <u>Standard Operating</u> <u>Procedures for Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans, and Brant, USFWS.</u>

Greater Snow Geese

Productivity Appraisals: A total of 33,748 snow geese were observed and aged in New Jersey, Maryland, North Carolina, and Pennsylvania in 2004 (Table 1.). The percent of immature birds observed was 12.1 %. This is a decrease from 2003, which was -15.8 percent. The number of young per family group observed was 1.7.

Atlantic Brant

Productivity Appraisals: During the fall of 2004, a total of 27,622 brant were aged in New Jersey and New York (Table 2.). The percent of juvenile birds observed in 2004 was 13.1 percent as compared to 17.2 percent in 2003; a decrease of -23.8 %. The number of young per family group was 2.0 in 2004, a decrease from 2.1 in 2003.

Tundra Swan

Productivity Appraisals: A record total of 12,981 swans were aged in Pennsylvania, New Jersey, Maryland, and North Carolina, with the majority of the observations coming from North Carolina. The percent of immature swans observed was 16.1 per cent, a +209 percent increase from 2003. Juvenile swans observed per family group were 2.4. Swans arrived during the normal time period this fall on the Atlantic Flyway.

DISCUSSION:

Snow Geese: Production dropped slightly from 15.8 % immature birds observed in 2003 to 12.1 % immature in 2004. Young observed per family group was 1.7, which was a slight increase from last year (2003). The total number of birds observed, (33,748) was the highest since 1997, mainly resulting from an increase of observations from the staff at Bombay Hook National Wildlife Refuge, and increased observations from Chincoteague National Wildlife Refuge.

Atlantic Brant: For 2004, production (13.1%) as indicated by percent of immature birds decreased somewhat from 2003 (17.2%). Young observed per family group was 2.0, also decreased slightly from 2003 (2.1).

Tundra Swan: This species showed a large increase from 2003 (+209 %). Young observed per family group was 2.4, an increase from 1.3 in 2003.

A higher than average number of tundra swans (12,981) and the third highest number of Atlantic brant (27,622) were observed and recorded for this productivity index in 2004. Productivity surveys should be continued in 2005. This production index should be watched closely for snow geese because of their population dynamics during the last decade, and also for Atlantic brant as there is no breeding ground survey for this species in the North. Snow goose observations rebounded to their third highest total (33,748) since 1976. Efforts should continue to maintain and expand the geographic coverage for these species. Productivity workshops will be conducted

during early fall 2005.

Productivity 2004 - Percent Immature

Moon

Species	<u>2004</u>	% Change from 2003	Type of Year	<u>1976-2003</u>
Greater Snow Geese	12.1 %	-23.4 %	Below Average	22.5 %
Atlantic Brant	13.1 %	-23.8 %	Below Average	19.5 %
Tundra Swan	16.1 %	+209 %	Above Average	13.7 %

LITERATURE CITED:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Standard Operating Procedures for Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans and Brant (Draft) 52 pp

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

This data could not have collected without the help from the following organizations and individuals:

Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Fish, Heritage and Wildlife Service - B. Evans & L. Hindman New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Fish, Game and Wildlife -C. Dravis, P. Castelli, & T. Nichols New York State Department of Environmental Conservation - J. Adams, J. Marran, F. Philips, M. Putnam, B. Swift, E. Talbe, M. Wasilco, J. Zarudsky, R. Holevinski, N. VerHague, & Marion G. North Carolina Wildlife Resource Commission - J. Fuller Pennsylvania Game Commission - J. Dunn, I. Gregg, J. Gilbert, & K. Jacobs U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Alligator River/Pea Island National Wildlife Refuge – E. Weiser Bombay Hook National Wildlife Refuge - R. Brown Back Bay National Wildlife Refuge – M. Bogue & D. Stolley Chincoteague National Wildlife Refuge - E. Savage Mattamuskeet National Wildlife Refuge - M. Legare Pocosin lakes National Wildlife Refuge - W. Stanton

Number <u>Families</u>	Fan <u>Adults</u>	ilies <u>Immature</u>	Othe <u>Adults</u>	r <u>Immature</u>	Total <u>Adults</u>	Total <u>Immature</u>	Total <u>Birds</u>	Percent Immature	Ave. Young <u>Per Family</u>
	298	358	31179	3057	31477	3415	34892	9.8	2.4
	744	874	4995	918	5739	1792	7531	23.8	2.3
	614	262	13165	3057	13779	3654	17433	21.0	1.9
	594	739	4579	1119	5173	1858	7031	26.4	2.4
	784	1104	7205	3479	7989	4583	12572	36.5	2.7
	629	983	13662	1955	14291	2938	17229	17.1	2.0
	842	1095	8890	1046	9732	2141	11873	18.0	2.6
	1751	2954	8066	6435	9817	9389	19206	48.9	3.3
	538	734	7544	2317	8082	3051	11133	27.4	2.7
	1745	2506	8585	2136	10330	4642	14972	31.0	2.6
	142	150	12665	152	12807	302	13109	2.3	2.1
	1518	2273	93327	4349	94845	6622	101467	6.5	2.9
	006	1165	8926	3299	9826	4464	14290	31.2	2.6
	1827	1956	10578	3374	12405	5330	17735	30.1	2.0
	1524	1956	18709	2691	20233	4647	24880	18.7	2.3
	2234	2696	18298	4577	20532	7273	27805	26.2	2.3
	549	281	10684	248	11233	529	11762	4.5	0.9
	4079	5396	8711	4896	12790	10292	23082	44.6	2.6
	1313	927	15791	1721	17104	2648	19752	13.4	1.4
	1423	1402	11287	1149	12710	2551	15261	16.7	1.9
	1826	1964	14655	5283	16481	7247	23728	30.5	2.0
	2406	3111	19625	5762	22031	8873	30904	28.7	2.5
	1307	1406	30528	10080	31835	11486	43321	26.5	2.3
	458	159	20562	440	21020	599	21619	2.8	1.0
	2778	2245	13597	6402	16375	8647	25022	34.6	1.6
	803	529	9160	2154	9963	2683	12646	21.2	1.3
	890	245	18984	325	19874	570	20444	2.8	0.5
	223	182	7528	1268	7751	1450	9201	15.8	1.6
	968	839	28691	3250	29659	4089	33748	12.1	1.7
	1241	1428	16124	2989	17365	4417	21782	22	2
	334.1	361.0	281.1	156.3	282.6	182.0	266.8	-23.4	5.5
	-22.0	-41.3	77.9	8.7	70.8	-7.4	54.9	-45.1	-19.0

Table 1. - Historical Population and Productivity Data for the Atlantic Flyway - Greater Snow Geese

Ave. Young	Per Family	2.4	2.3	2.1	2.8	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.5	2.2	2.0	1.7	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.6	1.7	2.1	2.1	1.8	2.1	2.0	2.3	-4.8	-11.65
Percent	Immature	8.9	29.5	5.9	41.0	33.7	21.3	23.5	32.3	21.3	15.8	3.7	26.5	26.4	21.0	10.9	24.5	2.2	21.2	10.2	21.6	15.3	17.5	24.1	1.5	25.1	24.7	6.9	17.2	13.1	19.1	-23.8	-31.3
Total	Birds	7159	12067	11315	14594	24849	15857	20727	18826	15210	18114	20669	16635	17133	17550	18523	9417	20194	23194	21410	17885	24997	27349	23098	37288	23564	22404	60463	25903	27622	20942.6	6.6	31.9
Total	Immature	639	3556	699	5980	8370	3373	4862	6073	3245	2853	769	4400	4523	3688	2014	2307	442	4915	2178	3859	3837	4778	5563	555	5924	5525	4202	4460	3613	3698.5	-19.0	-2.3
Total	Adults	6520	8511	10646	8614	16479	12484	15865	12753	11965	15261	19900	12235	12610	13862	16509	7110	19752	18279	19232	14026	21160	22571	17535	36733	17640	16879	56261	21443	24009	17244.1	12.0	39.2
Ŀ	Immature	393	3177	361	4024	6733	2124	3853	5293	2456	2179	506	3599	3856	2514	1176	911	230	1544	968	1071	2011	1479	2942	235	3155	3787	3045	2276	1,950	2353.5	-14.3	-17.1
Oth	Adults	6312	8200	10362	7233	15247	11444	14863	12172	11310	14701	19690	11634	12068	12957	15777	5845	19510	15042	18029	11556	19523	19683	15545	36369	15098	15308	55047	19460	22,337	16070.9	14.8	39.0
lies	Immature	246	379	308	1956	1637	1249	1009	780	789	674	263	801	667	1174	838	1396	212	3371	1210	2788	1826	3299	2621	320	2769	1738	1157	2184	1,663	1345.0	-23.9	23.6
Fami	Adults	208	311	284	1381	1232	1040	1002	581	655	560	210	601	542	905	732	1265	242	3237	1203	2470	1637	2888	1990	364	2542	1571	1214	1983	1,672	1173.2	-15.7	42.5
Number	Families	104	162	144	703	622	523	429	292	335	283	105	313	274	466	387	710	124	1679	619	1242	830	1502	1006	185	1305	811	637	1022	848	600.5	-17.0	41.2
	Year	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	1976 - 2003 Mean	2004 % change from 2005	2004 % change from mea

Table 2. - Historical Population and Productivity Data for the Atlantic Flyway - Atlantic Brant

e. Young	er Family	1.2	2.2	1.3	1.6	1.8	2.3	2.0	2.0	2.2	2.0	1.3	1.6	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.0	1.3	1.1	1.2	0.8	1.2	1.6	0.9	1.2	0.9	1.3	2.4	1.5	81.7	2 65
A٧	a a	<u>.</u>	.7	с.	80.	.5	2	S	80.	8.	9.	7.	8.	ø.	2	.3	ŝ	÷	0.	2	-	0.	5	7	4	2	8.	0.	2	-	9.	9.	ŝ
Percent	Immature	7	19	29	œ	10	30	1	19	19	23	œ	6	14	15	10	12	4	15	19	œ	10	7	15	10	10	6	80	5	16	13	209	18
Total	Birds	7913	3684	627	1433	2060	1479	5576	7537	8913	11394	11903	8210	10260	13836	11604	3719	11800	13320	5214	9720	15290	11552	13042	13660	7229	13386	25212	35019	12981	10164.0	-62.9	27.7
Total	Immature	562	727	184	126	217	447	639	1496	1764	2684	1034	808	1522	2097	1191	456	489	2000	1000	787	1534	871	2042	1423	737	1313	2027	1816	2085	1142.6	14.8	82.5
Total	Adults	7351	2957	443	1307	1843	1032	4937	6041	7149	8710	10869	7402	8738	11739	10413	3263	11311	11320	4214	8933	13756	10681	11000	12237	6492	12073	23185	33203	10896	9021.4	-67.2	20.8
	<u>Immature</u>	541	412	176	102	182	410	357	616	1248	1251	723	629	1051	1306	629	237	292	1582	365	519	420	174	345	326	160	166	878	887	784	570.9	-11.6	37.3
Other	<u>Adults</u>	7309	2672	433	1280	1807	1000	4656	5152	6682	7397	10405	7199	8172	10856	9872	3002	11070	10462	3115	8458	11956	8974	8675	10993	5117	10169	20402	31927	9,067	8186.1	-71.6	10.8
S	Immature	21	315	8	24	35	37	282	880	516	1433	311	179	471	791	562	219	197	418	635	268	1114	697	1697	1097	577	1147	1149	929	1,301	571.8	40.0	127.5
Familie	Adults	42	285	10	27	36	32	281	889	467	1313	464	203	566	883	541	261	241	858	1099	475	1800	1707	2325	1244	1375	1904	2783	1276	1,829	835.3	43.3	119.0
Number	Families	18	144	9	15	19	16	144	448	240	716	235	109	247	461	297	139	125	434	497	234	922	846	1411	200	676	947	1276	694	535	429.1	-22.9	24.7
	<u>Year</u>	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	1976 - 2003 Mean	2004 % change from 2003	2004 % change from Meai

Table 3. - Historical Population and Productivity Data for the Atlantic Flyway - Tundra Swan

Title: Mid-Continent White-fronted Geese Productivity Report - 2004

Submitted by: Fred Roetker, Flyway Biologist, Lafayette, LA

<u>Abstract:</u> Productivity appraisals of Mid-Continent White-fronted Geese were conducted in Texas and Louisiana. The percentage of immature birds was 31.7. The average number of young per family was 1.68.

<u>Methods:</u> The procedures used in conducting these appraisals were developed by Lynch (1969). The Texas data was collected during the period October 25-27, 2004. Eight state and federal cooperators put forth an excellent effort to obtain representative data near Eagle Lake, Garwood, and El Campo, Texas. In Louisiana, the sampling occurred during October, November, and December, 2004; and January and February, 2004 in southwestern Louisiana near Gueydan and Lake Arthur.

<u>Results:</u> The sample of 14,726 birds indicated 31.7% were immature. The average young per family was 1.68, based on 715 families observed. The 2003 data reflected 40.0% immature (17,658 records) and 1.91 goslings per family.

<u>Discussion:</u> Similar to the trend evident the last five years, significant numbers of whitefronts were three to four weeks behind their normal arrival dates in southwestern Louisiana. Hunting pressure was heavy across the region. Many hunters suggested that they put more effort into goose hunting in 2004 due to the lack of ducks.

Literature Cited:

- Lynch, J. J. 1969. Appraisals of annual productivity and mortality among geese, swans, and other birds. Annual Report, Part II and Appendix A. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 26pp.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1977. Standard Operating Procedures for Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans, and Brant (Draft) 52 pp.

Acknowledgments:

David Boudreaux, Crowley, Louisiana deserves special recognition for his five month effort to sample birds in southwest, Louisiana. David mentored under John Lynch and has tirelessly continued annual productivity surveys since.

Cooperators:

U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

David Boudreaux, Patrick Walther, Al Jones, Matt Whitbeck, Mike Nance, Leo Gustafson, Steve LeJeune, Justin Roach, Will Roach

Texas Parks & Wildlife David Lobpries Table 1. Historical Mid-Continent White-fronted Goose age ratios (% Imm.) and average young per family (Y/F). Data for 1979 and after are weighted by flock size.

Year	n	% Imm	n*	Y/F
1956		33.8		1.18
1957		46.3		1.80
1958		42.8		2.30
1959		51.6		2.58
1960		50.4		2.83
1961		19.7		2.00
1967		36.4		2.04
1962		30.4 40.7		2.00
1903		49.7		2.02
1904		20.9		2.37
1905		30.0		2.75
1966		43.0		2.92
1967		30.2		2.57
1968		34.4		2.80
1969		41.2		2.87
1970		44.5		2.72
1971		34.4		2.36
1972		28.4		2.29
1973		42.8		2.70
1974		32.6		2.37
1975		41.9		2.29
1976		21.2		2.18
1977		38.1		2.35
1978		8.9		1.49
1979		33.0		3.18
1980		34.0		2.26
1981		36.6		2.04
1982		29.9		1.80
1983		38.0		2.15
1984		44.7		1.79
1985		30.9		1.62
1986		29.5		1.61
1987		24.6		1.39
1988		28.5		1.52
1989		32.2		1.87
1990		29.2		1.69
1991		29.4		1.76
1992		21.2		1.61
1993		29.2		1.45
1994		33.0		1 70
1995		40.2		1.82
1996		40.7		1.52
1997		30.8		1 46
1998		34.7		1.40
1999		37.2		1.83
2000		36.0		1.00
2000		22.1		1.30
2007		34.1		1.75
2002	17659	40.0	1280	1 01
2003	14726	40.0	715	1.91
2004	14720	51.7	110	1.00
Mean ^a		34.9		2.1
2004				
% Change from 2003		-20.8		-12.0
% Change from Mean		-9.2		-19.5

^a Mean excludes 2004 n = number of geese sampled. n* = number of families sampled

<u>Title:</u> Lesser Snow Goose Productivity Surveys for the Central and Mississippi Flyways – 2004

Submitted by: Fred Roetker, Flyway Biologist, Lafayette, LA

<u>Abstract:</u> Louisiana lesser snow geese (blue phase dominant) provided the following productivity data: in-season, 19.0% immature birds, young/family 1.25; In Texas (white phase dominant) the following results were indicated: in-season 12.7% immature birds, and young/family 1.42.

<u>Methods:</u> The procedures used in conducting these appraisals were developed by Lynch (1969) and are outlined in the Standard Operating Procedures for Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans, and Brant (Draft) 1977. Louisiana in-season data was collected from November, 2004 through March, 2005. The Texas in-season data was collected during November and December on Anahuac National Wildlife Refuge in Chambers County.

<u>Results:</u> Lesser snow geese wintering in Louisiana are primarily blue phase, ranging from 90-95% blue at Delta NWR located at the mouth of the Mississippi River to 65-80% blue in southwestern Louisiana, or west of the Atchafalaya River. The mid-continent lesser snow geese which winter in other regions of the Central and Mississippi Flyways, except New Mexico, 90-95% white, indicate the white phase to be dominant, 55-65%. The 11,768 inseason records from fifteen flocks showed 19.0% to be immature geese with an average young/family of 1.25 goslings. The 2989 records from Texas during the goose season indicated 12.7% to be immature birds with an average young/family of 1.42 goslings.

<u>Discussion</u>: The trend for significant numbers of snow geese to arrive late in southwestern Louisiana continued in 2004. Similar to 2003, birds appeared to be four to five weeks late. Only limited numbers of snow geese were present when the hunting season opened in both states, November 6. As a result, no pre-season productivity data was collected in either state.

Literature Cited:

- Lynch, J. J. 1969. Appraisals of annual productivity and mortality among geese, swans, and other birds. Annual Report, Part II and Appendix A. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 26pp.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1977. Standard Operating Procedures for Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans, and Brant (Draft) 52 pp.

Acknowledgments:

Cooperators:

U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service David Boudreaux, Crowley, LA Matt Whitbeck, Anahuac NWR Steve LeJeune, Anahuac NWR

	Pre-Season	P		In-Se	ason LA			Pre-sea	son TX			In-seas	on TX	
Year n	% Imm.	ч*	Y/F n	% lmm.	n*	Y/F	c	% lmm.	n*	Υ/F	c	% Imm.	ч*	Y/F
1984	31.4		1.76	22.3		1.44		ł		I		26.5		1.76
1985	33.4		1.96	28.9		1.84		ł		I		24.5		1.62
1986	20.0		1.92	12.6		1.55		I		I		8.4		1.45
1987	34.9		2.25	24.0		1.81		I		I		12.2		1.73
1988	35.6		2.00	28.5		1.61		ł		I		25.2		ł
1989	34.5		1.91	29.4		1.67		I		I		27.4		I
1990	29.0		1.61	23.0		1.52		I		I		20.1		2.10
1991	30.3		1.73	29.1		1.50		31.4		2.60		17.7		1.64
1992	8.6		1.43	8.1		1.36		10.8	·	1.30		2.3		I
1993	29.4		1.83	32.9		1.52		ł		I		22.8		1.43
1994	29.5		1.76	29.6		1.51		27.7		1.78		28.3		1.80
1995	37.1		1.82	30.5		1.49		ł		I		ł		ł
1996	30.6		1.70	27.5		1.47		I		I		34.5		1.65
1997	27.3		1.53	31.4		1.28		28.7	·	1.95		I		I
1998	25.6		1.54	29.4		1.43		ł		I		40.2		2.05
1999	26.3		1.61	24.2		1.40		23.5	·	1.69		25.2		1.80
2000	32.5		1.61	23.9		1.42		ł		I		28.5		1.67
2001	29.2		1.63	30.2		1.29		I		I		26.9		1.61
2002	ł		I	22.5		1.33		I		I		19.6		1.75
2003	I		- 12526	25.0	648	1.37		I		I	2472	17.2	39	1.54
2004	I		11768	19.0	562	1.25		I		I	2989	12.7	26	1.42
Mean ^a	29.2		1.8	25.7		1.5		24.4		1.9		22.6		1.7
2004														
% Change from 2003 % Change from Mean				-24.1 -25.9		-8.8 -16.1						-26.1 -43.9		-7.8 -16.8
^a Mean does not include 20	004													

Table 1. Historical Lesser Snow Goose age ratios (% Imm.) and average young per family (Y/F) for Louisiana and Texas.

n = number of geese sampled.n* = number of families sampled

WESTERN CENTRAL FLYWAY LIGHT GOOSE PRODUCTIVITY REPORT – WINTER 2004-2005

Philip Thorpe, Division of Migratory Bird Management, Lakewood, CO

ABSTRACT: Productivity appraisals of the Western Central Flyway Light Goose Population (WCFP) were conducted in 3 U. S. States and 1 Mexican State between 6 December 2004 and 24 January 2005. These surveys yielded a combined population estimate of 228,065 light geese. We estimate the WCFP was composed of 63.2% adult snow/blue geese and 36.8% adult Ross's geese. The blue morph comprised 3.7% of the adult snow goose population. The average percentage of immatures in our samples was 14.9% for snow/blue geese and 10.5% for Ross's geese. The average number of immatures per snow goose family was 1.9. Productivity of snow geese was 27.7% lower than 2003 and was 26.6% lower than the 1984-2003 average. The productivity of Ross's geese was 50.2% and 39.7% lower than 2003 and the 1984-2003 average, respectively. Unfavorable weather in the central and western Arctic during the nesting period likely contributed to below average production observed during our annual wintering ground surveys of the WCFP.

Surveys concerning flock characteristics of light geese have been conducted on migration and wintering grounds in the Central Flyway since 1978. The procedures for these appraisals are from Lynch and Singleton (1964) and Lynch (1969). The method of flock sampling was described by Drewien (1988). Flock size, species composition, color morph, adult:immature ratio, and family size are collected at major migration and wintering areas in Colorado, New Mexico, Texas, and Chihuahua, Mexico. Habitat conditions and specific information on surveys in the Middle Rio Grande Valley, NM and in Chihuahua are reported in Appendix A.

RESULTS

Above average precipitation (150-200% of normal) was reported for southeastern Colorado, New Mexico, and western Texas during the period from November – February, 2004-2005. Although the drought in the southwestern United States appeared to be easing, western New Mexico and northeastern Colorado remained on the National Drought Mitigation Center's 1 March drought monitor map as areas in moderate drought, primarily because reservoir water levels remain low (National Drought Mitigation Center 2005). Drought effects on summer-seeded crops remained obvious in southeastern Colorado with localized crop failures and crop disaster relief still being distributed to farmers. However, the winter wheat crop throughout the survey region appeared in good condition and more advanced than we have seen in several years, a reflection of the recent increase in precipitation. In Texas, approximately 53% of the playas in the panhandle contained water compared to <1% during winter 2003-2004. Across the U.S. portion of the WCFP wintering range, temperatures during November-February were 1-3° F above normal. The 2004-2005 productivity appraisals for the WCFP involved Colorado, Texas, and New Mexico and the Mexican State of Chihuahua and included 18 concentration areas (Fig. 1). Light goose flocks were surveyed during the following dates: New Mexico, 9-10 December (Bosque del Apache NWR), 8-9 December (Bitter Lake, Las Vegas, and Maxwell NWRs), 15-17 December (Rio Grande Valley, Appendix A); Texas, 6-7 December; Colorado, 13-17 December; and Chihuahua, 12-24 January (Appendix A).

A 14.1% sample (n = 32,089) of the total light goose population estimate was classified by species, age, and color morph (white or blue)(Table 1). Adult snow/blue and Ross's geese comprised 63.2% and 36.8% of the sample, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 2). The proportion of adult Ross's geese was 37.3% higher than 2003 (26.8%) and 87.8% higher than the 1984 - 2003 average (19.6%) in the WCFP (Table 2). The total 2004 WCFP estimate was 18.7% and 18.8% higher than the 2003 estimate and the 1984 - 2003 average, respectively (Table 2, Fig. 3).

Lesser Snow Geese

Immature snow/blue geese accounted for 14.9% (n = 3,719) of 25,001 snow/blue geese sampled (Table 1). Of 21,282 adult snow/blue geese sampled, 3.7% (n = 781) were blue morph (Table 1). Average family size was 1.9 immatures/family (n = 991 families), which was the same as the 2003 estimate, but was 5.0% lower than the 1984 - 2003 average (2.0) (Table 2).

We observed 20 snow goose neck-collars during the survey, 14 in Texas and 6 in Colorado (Table 3).

Ross's Geese

Immature Ross's geese represented 10.5% (n = 1,155) of the 11,042 Ross's geese sampled (Table 1). This was 50.2% and 39.7% lower than the 2003 estimate (21.1%) and the 1984 - 2003 average (17.4%), respectively (Table 2).

We observed 26 Ross's goose neck-collars during the survey this year including 2 in Colorado, 20 in eastern New Mexico, and 4 in Texas (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The WCFP breeds primarily in the central and western Canadian Arctic and have large nesting colonies near the Queen Maud Gulf and on Banks Island. Reports from the Arctic breeding grounds indicated that snow cover in the Queen Maud Gulf melted earlier than normal, but light geese arrived later than normal possibly due to extensive snow cover to the south. The late arrival delayed nest initiation and unfavorable weather conditions during the nesting period resulted in poor production from the Queen Maud Gulf nesting colonies. Late spring phenology and similar poor weather conditions during incubation occurred at the Banks Island nesting colony and reduced nest initiation and poor production was observed (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2004). Our results coincide with those predictions for both Ross's and snow goose production and confirm biologists' forecasts.

This year we surveyed light goose wintering areas between Lubbock and Hereford, Texas. The surveys were hampered because above average precipitation made roads impassable much of the time during December. Three small samples (n = 42, n = 30, n = 216) were collected, but not included in Table 2 because of concerns about sample bias. Small flocks can be biased towards productive or non-productive birds or towards one species (Lynch 1969). We found that the largest sample taken from a flock of 900 (n = 216) contained 37% immature snow geese and 29% immature Ross's geese. While these findings do not match our findings across the region or the expectations from the breeding grounds, they may represent smaller flocks of families ahead of the larger wintering flocks. Both the proportion of blue phase snow geese (9.3%) and the proportion of Ross's geese (20.4%) within the flock coincided with larger samples taken from the northern Texas panhandle. We hope to continue surveys in this region in the future. Time, personnel, and financial constraints do not permit us to expand into all areas of the wintering range, but would improve our assessment of the WCFP.

This survey serves as the only standardized check on species composition for the WCFP. It has become especially important to monitor these species given the population explosion of light geese during the last decade and the implementation of harvest strategies in 1999 to control their populations.

I want to thank the agencies and field stations listed as contributors for their support of this survey. Thanks to Erv Boeker for volunteering his time to help collect data. Thanks to landowners in Texas and Colorado for allowing access to their land. I thank Tim Moser and Rod King for comments that helped improve this report.

LITERATURE CITED

- Drewien, R. C. 1988. Snow and Ross's goose survey in Chihuahua, Mexico, winter 1987- 88. Pages 37-49 in J. F. VOELZER, compiler. 1987. Productivity surveys of geese, swans, and brant wintering in North America - 1987. U.S. Fish Wildlife Service, Office of Migratory Bird Management, Portland, OR.
- Lynch, J. J. 1969. Appraisals of annual productivity and mortality among geese, swans, and other birds. Annual Report, Part II and Appendix A. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 26pp.
- Lynch, J. J. and J. Singleton. 1964. Winter appraisals of annual productivity in geese and other water birds. Waterfowl Trust Ann. Rep. 15:114-126.
- National Drought Mitigation Center. 2005. The Drought Monitor, Lincoln, NE (<u>http://www.drought.unl.edu/dm/monitor.html</u>)
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004. Waterfowl Population Status, 2004. U. S. Department of the Interior. Washington, D. C. 54pp. (<u>http://migratorybirds.fws.gov/reports/status04/Waterfowl_Status_Report_04_Final.pdf</u>)

CONTRIBUTORS:

Colorado Division of Wildlife Travis Black, Lamar Jeff Yost, Lamar Jim Schreivogel, Rocky Ford Don Lewis, Rocky Ford New Mexico Department Game and Fish Bobby Sands, Jarales Texas Parks and Wildlife Department Bill Johnson, Canyon U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service **Division of Migratory Bird Management** Erv Boeker (volunteer), Lakewood, CO Kammie Kruse, Lakewood, CO Tim Moser, Lakewood, CO Philip Thorpe, Lakewood, CO James Voelzer, Portland, OR Bitter Lake National Wildlife Refuge Gordon Warrick, Roswell, NM Bosque del Apache NWR Colin Lee, Socorro, NM Bernard Lujan, Socorro,NM Las Vegas National Wildlife Refuge Philip Garcia, Las Vegas, NM Maxwell National Wildlife Refuge Patty Hoban, Maxwell, NM Region 2 Dave Haukos, Lubbock, TX Lindsay Smyth, Lubbock, TX Region 6 - Regional Office John Cornely, Lakewood, CO Universidad Autonoma de Chihuahua

Alberto Lafón, Chihuahua, MX

Others

Rod Drewien, Portal, AZ

			Craniae com	nocition	Chombelline and	o oci anti oci	and flools com	notition	D acc'e		otioc	Such/mon2	family size
	- - f	•	opecies coll.	Ipusition	SILOW/DILLE BO				NU55 5	guuse age I.	auros		
Location	Population estimate ¹	и	%o snow/blue ²	% Ross's ²	Adult snow/ blue	Immature snow/ blue	% Imm. snow/blue	$\frac{1}{2}$ Blue ²	.bA	Imm.	‰ Imm.	No. of families	Avg. mm./ family
COLORADO Lamar Area Rocky Ford Area	42,000 8,000	2,069 0	72.1	27.9	1,083/88	369/9	24.4	7.5	454	66	12.7	32	2.2
Colorado total	50,000	2,069	72.1	27.9	1,083/88	369/ 9	24.4	7.5	454	99	12.7	32	2.2
TEXAS Cactus Lake Lake Rita Blanca	37,000 30,000	3,246 2,945	73.8 46.4	26.2 53.6	1,798/266 1,064/78	324/ 25 300/ 8	14.5 21.2	12.9 6.8	732 1,317	101 178	12.1 11.9	19 11	1.8 2.3
Texas total	67,000	6,191	61.0	39.0	2,862/344	624/33	17.0	10.7	2,049	279	12.0	30	2.0
NEW MEXICO Bitter Lake NWR	3,500	1,277	18.3	81.7	210/3	46/ 0	17.8	1.4	953	65	6.4	0	ı
Bosque del Apache NWR ³	31,350	12,658	63.1	36.9	10,602/259	1,773/24	14.2	2.4	3,539	415	10.5	479	1.8
Maxwell NWR Las Vegas NWR	115 1,000	0 0					(10.3-16.7) ⁴	(1.0-3.3) ⁴					(1.6 - 2.0) ⁴
New Mexico total ⁵	35,965	13,935	58.2	41.8	10,812/262	1,819/ 24	14.3	2.4	4,492	480	9.7	479	1.8
MEXICO Mexico total ⁶	75,100	9,894	66.8	33.2	5,744/87	831/ 10	12.6	1.5	2,892	330	10.2	450	1.9
/estern Central Flyway totaî	228,065	32,089	63.2	36.8	20,501/781	3,643/76	14.9	3.7	9,887	1,155	10.5	166	1.9

Table 1. Distribution and flock characteristics of the Western Central Flyway Light Goose Population, winter 2004-05.

² Generated using adult component only.

³ Separate samples for snow and Ross's geese used. J. F. Voelzer only appraised snow geese; Species composition and Ross's goose data calculated using data from Appendix A, Table 2 - Rio Grande Valley, NM. Because of this, species composition can not be calculated directly from data in this table. Snow goose data for Bosque del Apache NWR weighted for differences in flock size from 14 different flocks sampled.

⁴ Range of data collected on 14 flocks appraised at Bosque del Apache NWR by J. F. Voelzer.

⁵ See footnote 3. Species composition and Ross's goose data calculated using data from Appendix A, Table 2 - Rio Grande Valley, NM. Because of this, species composition can not be calculated directly from data in this tab 6 See Appendix A for flock characteristics by individual survey area.

			Average flock co	mposition				Snow/blue fa	mily size
	Population	No. geese	0	1		% Imma	ature	Avg. imm./	No. families
Year	estimate1	sampled	% Snow/blue ²	% Ross's ²	% Blue ²	Snow/blue	Ross's	family	sampled
	2								
1960	5,826 3								
1961	12,349 3				2.5				
1962	7,997 3				3.0				
1963	44,402 3				2.3	17.0			
1964	23,321 3				1.8	12.0			
1965	38,167 3								
1966	231 3				2.3				
1967	123 3				1.3	50.0			
1968	5 3				1.1				
1969	0 3				0.8				
1970	34,806 3				0.6				
1971	35 3				1.0	47.0			
1972	0 3				1.7	40.0			
1973	1,719 3				1.0	13.0			
1974	16,341 ³				2.5	52.0			
1975	42,330				0.5	21.7			
1976	66,326				0.5	61.1			
1977	72,617				2.5	42.0			
1978	85,390 ³	5,787			1.0	39.3			
1979	94,283 ³	6,776	86.7	13.3	2.2	20.6	21.6		
1980	98,996 ³	8,833	85.6	14.4	3.5	35.2	30.7		
1981	75,073	5,705	84.4	15.6	2.6	25.1	22.1		
1982	141,702	2,512				12.7			
1983	36,493	8,988	71.1	28.9	1.8	39.9	19.2		
1984	63,043 ³	15,453	93.6	6.4	1.8	24.9	22.1		
1985	176,713	25,217	91.9	8.1	1.4	30.1	22.9		
1986	121,395	23,721	85.3	14.7	0.9	3.7	12.2	2.0	378
1987	120,655	29,548	86.5	13.5	1.1	19.4	8.1	2.1	2,185
1988	134,352 ³	27,241	86.2	13.8	1.1	27.3	16.3	2.3	1,603
1989	172,813	31,689	89.1	10.9	1.7	21.0	27.2	2.1	1,214
1990	166,900	28,321	84.2	15.8	1.3	21.5	12.3	1.9	1,297
1991	91,739 ³	22,918	84.8	15.2	1.8	11.7	11.4	1.8	812
1992	139,162	21.629	80.1	19.9	1.0	15.6	8.0	1.9	850
1993	196,700	35,538	76.4	23.7	1.7	34.2	20.3	2.4	1.414
1994	161.290	26,531	74.4	25.6	1.3	18.9	13.8	2.0	916
1995	193,915	33.648	75.5	24.5	2.4	22.3	18.2	2.1	1.302
1996	183.290	37.005	82.0	18.0	1.7	29.0	20.9	2.4	2.019
1997	218.658	41.183	70.2	29.8	2.4	15.8	14.0	1.8	1.364
1998	240 410	43 771	75.4	24.6	19	31.8	24.9	21	2 202
1999	309 861	44 072	78.9	21.1	31	27.3	27.4	2.1	2,202
2000	221 736	40.270	75.9	24.1	23	12.6	12.8	1.8	1.066
2000	211,640	37 783	76.0	24.0	1.9	9.8	18.7	1.0	816
2002	236 775	47 868	69.0	31.0	1.9	7.8	14.9	1.6	841
2002	192 132	32 527	73.7	26.8	2.0	7.0 20.6	21.9	1.0	1 140
2003	228.065	32 080	63.2	36.8	2.0	14 0	10.5	1.9	001
1055.004	220,000	52,009			5.7	14.9	10.5	1.9	<i>))</i>]
Average, 1975-83	72,424	6,434	82.0	18.1	2.2	28.8	23.4	-	-
Average, 1984-03 ³	192,003	32,347	80.4	19.6	1.7	20.3	17.4	2.0	1,310
% change, '84-'03 avg	18.7	-4.3 -0.8	-13./	37.3 87.8	85.0 117.6	-27.7	-30.2	-5.0	-13.1 -24.4

Table 2. Population estimates and productivity data for the Western Central Flyway Light Goose Population, winters 1960-2004.

¹ Population estimates preceeding 1978 are from the Mid-winter Waterfowl Survey, estimates following 1978 are from ground and aerial estimates made during productivity surveys. Coverage in Chihuahua, Mexico initiated in 1984.

² Generated using adult component only.

³ Incomplete survey coverage.

⁴ Average for surveys prior to the initiation of the Mexico survey in 1984. Population estimate average only includes years of complete survey coverage. Flock characteristic averages include 1978 - 1983 (years with a sample).

⁵ Average reflects the addition of the Mexico productivity survey that began in 1984. Population estimate average only includes years of complete survey coverage. Flock characteristic averages include all years from 1984 to 2003.

-			Snow			Ros	s's	
Location	Red ²	Black ³	Yellow ⁴	Green ⁵	Blue ⁶	Blue ⁴	Yellow ²	Total
COLORADO								
Lamar Area		3	1		2	2		8
Rocky Ford Area								0
NEW MEXICO								
Bitter Lake NWR						10	10	20
TEXAS								
Rita Blanca Res.		1	2				4	7
Cactus		2	8		1			11
TOTAL	0	6	11	0	3	12	14	46

Table 3. Location and number of neck-collared lesser snow and Ross's geese observed during productivity surveys in Colorado, Texas, and New Mexico, December 2004¹.

¹ See Appendix A for location and number of collars seen in the Rio Grande Valley, NM and Chihuahua, Mexico.

² Baffin Island, W. Hudson Bay, La Perouse Bay, Wrangel Is.

³ Western Arctic

⁴ Central Arctic E.

⁵ Akimiski Is., Cape Henrietta Maria, Southampton Is.,

⁶ Alaska



Fig. 1. Locations surveyed in the Western Centrap Flyway to assess species composition and productivity of lesser snow and Ross's geese, fall and winter, 2004-2005.



Fig. 2. Proportion of adult snow and Ross's geese in the Western Central Flyway Population, Winters 1979 – 2004. Data for 1982 were unavailable.



Fig. 3. Population estimates of Western Central Flyway light geese during winters 1985 – 2004. Incomplete survey years, 1988 and 1991, were excluded. Population estimates for each species were calculated using species compositions weighted for the Flyway based on each year (see Table 2).

SNOW AND ROSS'S GEESE SURVEYS IN THE MIDDLE RIO GRANDE VALLEY, NEW MEXICO, AND IN CHIHUAHUA, MEXICO, WINTER 2004-05

Rod C. Drewien, P. O. Box 16172, Portal, AZ 85632

Alberto Lafon T., Universidad Autonoma de Chihuahua, Francisco R. Almada Km 1, Chihuahua, Chih., Mexico

January 2005

<u>ABSTRACT</u>: Flocks of lesser snow geese and Ross's geese (light geese) were surveyed in the Middle Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico and at 7 areas in Chihuahua, Mexico during winter 2004-05. In New Mexico, geese peaked at 39,150 on 6 January, -14.7% below the 20-year mean. In Chihuahua, 75,100 geese were recorded at 7 areas during 12-24 January and numbers were -4.1% below the mean. An estimated \approx 112,475 light geese were at survey sites in the Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico and at 7 areas in Chihuahua in mid January 2005. Samples of geese (New Mexico-10,892, Chihuahua-9,894) were classified by species, color morph and age. Species composition of adults in New Mexico was 63.1% snow geese and 36.9% Ross's geese; 1.88% of adult snow geese were blue morph. In Chihuahua, 66.8% of adults were snow geese and 33.2% were Ross's geese; 1.49% of adult snow geese were blue morph. The percentages of immature snow geese were 12.9% in New Mexico and 12.6% in Chihuahua, and were – 36.0% and –37.5% below the 20-year means, respectively. The mean number of immatures per family was 1.65 in New Mexico and 1.92 in Chihuahua. Ross's geese averaged 10.5% and 10.2% immatures in New Mexico and Chihuahua, respectively. Recruitment in New Mexico and Chihuahua was –27.6% and –42.0% below average, respectively. Four blue morph Ross's geese were recorded during surveys.

Snow and Ross's geese wintering in New Mexico and the Northern Highlands of Mexico, including the state of Chihuahua, belong to the Western Central Flyway Light Goose Population (Central Flyway Council 1982). Most originate from nesting colonies in the western and central Canadian Arctic with smaller numbers from Alaska and west Hudson Bay colonies. Rare individuals from Wrangel Island, Russia and eastern Arctic colonies on Baffin and Southampton Islands, La Perouse Bay and Cape Henrietta Maria also have been recorded. The senior author has monitored wintering light geese flocks for various population parameters in the Middle Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico annually since 1978 and at 5-7 locations in Chihuahua, Mexico since 1984.

Locations surveyed in the Rio Grande Valley included the Edeal Dairy at Los Lunas and the Bosque del Apache NWR (Bosque Refuge); light geese were not at State Waterfowl Management Areas during our survey. These winter sites were described by Taylor and Kirby (1990). In Chihuahua, 7 wetland units were surveyed. Laguna Encinillas has not been surveyed since 1996 and starting in 1997 we substituted a new unit, Lagunas Tejanero and Tascate (Drewien and Shea 1998). These 2 small wetlands and the nearby small Laguna Enns, located in 1998, are in the Cuauhtemoc Valley in west-central Chihuahua and northwest of Laguna Bustillos in the Mennonite farm country. Various areas surveyed in Chihuahua were described by Saunders and Saunders (1981), Drewien and Brown (1985, 1987, 1993), Turner et al. (1994) Drewien et al. (1996, 2003), and Drewien and Shea (1998).

Information collected from flocks at each location included estimates of total numbers, species composition, color morph (white:blue), adult:immature composition, and family size for snow geese; neckband sightings were also recorded. Proportions of snow:Ross's geese and % blue morphs were calculated from samples of <u>adults</u> only. Flock survey methods have been described elsewhere (e.g., Drewien and Brown 1985, 1993, Drewien et al. 2003) and include recording spot samples of 50-150 geese at intervals along a continuous "W" pattern to insure sampling along edges and within interior of flocks.. This is important for sampling Ross's geese as they usually concentrate in the interior of mixed light geese flocks. We surveyed geese in the Rio Grande Valley on 15-17 December 2004 and in Chihuahua between 12-24 January 2005.

Surveys were funded by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Migratory Bird Management. We thank Philip Thorpe and James Voelzer for providing funds for the survey. Bernard Lujan and Colin Lee, Bosque Refuge, kindly provided goose count data and other information for the Middle Rio Grande Valley; and Michael Schwitters assisted with collar reading in Chihuahua.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Habitat and Survey Conditions

Habitat conditions were improved in the Middle Rio Grande Valley compared to recent winters dominated by drought. Corn production at the Bosque Refuge and the New Mexico Game and Fish Wildlife Management Areas were above average providing adequate winter food (Bernard. D. Lujan, pers. comm.). For the third winter, mortality in light geese from avian cholera was not recorded.

In Chihuahua, drought continued and wetland water levels were very low into late November. However, abnormally high precipitation in December provided much needed water and filled small depressional wetlands and provided several inches of water in the basins of larger wetlands that had been dry or nearly dry (e.g., L. Mexicanos, L. Babicora). In the Madera Valley, the small Penitas Reservoir was dry in November but running over the spillway by 12 January. In the Cuauhtemoc Valley we noted some unharvested corn fields were partially flooded from the recent heavy rains. Conditions at the tri-wetland complex of Tejanero-Tascate-Enns varied. Laguna Tascate was dry, whereas Laguna Enns, a small (<100 ha) but deeper wetland, had moderate water levels. Laguna Tejanero, although reported dry for most of the fall, was covered with up to 6-inches of water from the rains. Water levels were extremely low at Laguna Bustillos and A. Gonzales Reservoir where vast areas of exposed mud flats were present. Laguna de los Mexicanos was surrounded by extensive mudflats with shallow water confined to the center (geese were walking in the center of the wetland). Laguna Babicora, often the most important light goose winter area in the Interior Highlands, had very low water levels with only some 20% of the wetland basins containing shallow water and they were surrounded by extensive mud flats. We were unable to approach geese within sufficient distances to classify them by species and age at larger wetlands surrounded by vast mud flats. We found no geese in the Madera Valley west of L. Babicora although thousands of sandhill cranes were present; water levels at L. Golondrinas were low. The largest goose concentrations we found were at N. Casas Grandes and Laguna Bustillos.

Northern pintail was the most abundant duck species observed during the survey with largest concentrations noted at L. Enns (9,500) and L. Tejanero (18,500). These same lagunas held the largest concentrations of long-billed curlews and we estimated 1,900 at L. Tejanero and 450 at L. Enns.

Small grain stubble fields, mainly oats and sorghum, and harvested corn fields were present at most survey locations in Chihuahua, and provided food for geese. Irrigated fields of alfalfa, winter wheat, milo and corn were utilized extensively by light geese at N. Casas Grandes. Agricultural lands surrounding Lagunas Tejaneros, Enns, and Bustillos in the Cuauhtemoc Valley included thousands of irrigated acres of harvested corn, oats and some barley but most fields had been plowed by mid January. Harvested dry land oat fields were the primary foraging sites for geese around A. Gonzales Reservoir.

Several days with high winds negatively impacted surveys and rain limited access to some wetlands due to muddy fields and roads at A. Gonzales Reservoir, L. Babicora, and in the Cuauhtemoc Valley. We encountered more goose hunters than we have observed in many years during our survey. Goose hunting negatively impacted some surveys in the Cuauhtemoc Valley and nearby A. Gonzales Reservoir as geese were extremely wary and readily flushed when approached. During a morning survey near the reservoir, geese failed to land and feed after flying over and circling fields for >1.5 hours but then left the area towards Laguna de los Mexicanos. In an

afternoon survey, a flock of some 4,000 finally landed but as we approached them they were flushed by 2 hunters with .22 rifles; we were unable to classify any geese associated with the reservoir.

An outfitter, operating out of L. Mexicanos, was using blinds and decoys. To improve shooting opportunities at the blinds, two individuals in separate vehicles flushed feeding goose flocks in areas near blinds in hopes that the geese would find the decoys. This hunting strategy made geese extremely difficult to approach closely to classify them. The outfitter informed us that he had a booking agent in Italy and several of the hunting parties during January were from Italy, Estonia, and Lithuania.

We found that many hazed geese left Laguna de los Mexicanos and moved to other wetlands 15-30 miles north in the Cuauthemoc Valley; a neck-collared goose confirmed these movements.. On 13 January we estimated >12,000 light geese left the wetland but only 7,000 returned during mid-day hours after field feeding. A week later the outfitter moved his hunting activities northward in the Valley because so few geese remained at Laguna de los Mexicanos.

We received two separate reports, one from the outfitter and one from a Mennonite farmer, that ducks had been poisoned by Mennonite farmers mainly during April-May because they were feeding in large numbers in newly planted corn fields (and possibly other crops). We were told that the pesticide Furadan was mixed with corn and scattered in fields subject to depredations and that the large numbers of ducks were killed. We suspect most of the ducks being poisoned are resident Mexican ducks as migratory ducks that field feed should have left the region by April.. The reports were confined to the Mennonite farming area in the Cuauhtemoc Valley.

Lesser Snow Goose and Ross' Goose Populations

<u>New Mexico:</u> A peak winter population of 39,150 light geese was recorded in the Middle Rio Grande Valley on 6 January (Tables 1 and 2). The peak population estimate was –14.7% below the 20-year mean (45,922). A population of 31,350 was present in the Rio Grande Valley during the mid December survey and 37,375 during our survey in Chihuahua (Table 2).

A total of 10,892 light geese was classified by species and age (Table 2). Classification of 9,582 <u>adults</u> yielded 63.1% snow geese and 36.9% Ross's geese (Table 2). The proportion of Ross's geese in 2004 is +100.5% above the 18-year mean (x=18.4%). Of 6,043 adult snow geese classified, 1.88% (n=114) were blue morph (Table 2). During 20 winters, the percent blue morph averaged 1.8%, (sd=0.19) and has remained relatively constant (range, 1.5-2.1%). One blue morph Ross's goose was observed at Bosque NWR.

<u>Chihuahua:</u> A total of 75,100 light geese was recorded at 7 survey units or -4.1% below the 20-year mean (78,349) (Tables 1 and 2). We classified 9,894 light geese by species and age. Classification of 8,723 <u>adults</u> revealed that 66.8% were snow geese and 33.2% were Ross's geese (Table 2). The percentage of Ross's geese in light goose flocks varied by location from 4.2% at Laguna Enns to 59.4% at Laguna Bustillos (Table 2). An estimated 24,900 Ross's geese (weighted by flock size) were at 5 survey units. Three blue morph Ross's geese were recorded at N. Casas Grandes (2) and Ascension (1).

Classification of 5,831 <u>adult</u> snow geese showed that 1.49% (n=87) were blue morph (Table 2). The percentage of blue morph in Chihuahua averaged 0.60% (sd=0.11) between 1984-96 and had remained relatively constant over 13 years (range, 0.43-0.82) but increased to 1.13 during 1997-1998 winters and 1.33% during winters 1999-2000; the blue morph appears to be slowly increasing. Presence of the blue morph in 2004 varied by location with a low of 1.07% at Laguna Enns to a high of 2.01% at Laguna de los Mexicanos (Table 2).

Greater White-fronted Geese and Canada Geese

Some 1,500 white-fronted geese were observed in the Cuauhtemoc Valley but none were observed elsewhere during our survey. No Canada geese were observed during the survey.

Recruitment Estimates

<u>Snow Geese:</u> Samples totaling 6,938 snow geese (includes blue morph) in New Mexico contained 12.9% immatures (Table 2) and was -36.0% below the 20-year mean (20.2%). The percent immatures for the small sample of blue morphs (n=130) was 12.3%. The mean number of immatures/family was 1.65 (Table 3). In Chihuahua, samples totaling 6,672 snow geese (includes blue morph) contained 12.6% immatures (Table 2) or -37.5% below the 20-year mean (20.1%). The percent immatures in a small sample of blue morphs (n=97) was 10.3%. The mean number of immatures/family was 1.92 (Table 3).

<u>Ross's Geese:</u> The percent immatures in a sample of 3,954 Ross's geese in New Mexico was 10.5% (Table 2), or -27.6% below the 18-year (1986-03) mean (14.5%). In Chihuahua, immatures averaged 10.2% in Ross's geese sampled at 5 units and varied by location from 7.8% at Laguna de los Mexicanos to 14.6% at Laguna Enns (Table 2). The 10.2% immatures was -42.0% below the 18-year mean (17.6%). Most immatures were not associated in family units during winter and data on family size were not collected (Drewien and Brown 1987).

Observations of Neckbanded Lesser Snow Geese and Ross's Geese

We observed 180 neckbanded geese (86-Ross's, 94-snow) including 114 in Chihuahua and 66 in New Mexico (Table 4). Neckbanded snow geese were mainly from western and central Arctic colonies, except for 3 from (2-red and 1-green) eastern Arctic colonies on Baffin Island (1), Southampton Island (1), and LaPerouse Bay (1) (K. Meeres, CWS, pers. comm.). Most neckbanded Ross's geese were from the central Canadian Arctic (blue) and smaller numbers were from west Hudson Bay (yellow).

LITERATURE CITED

- Central Flyway Council. 1982. Management guidelines for snow and Ross' geese in the western Central Flyway. Central Flyway Council and U.S. Fish Wild. Serv., Golden, Colo. 19 pp.
- Drewien, R.C. and W.M. Brown. 1985. Snow and Ross' goose surveys at the Bosque del Apache NWR, New Mexico, and in Chihuahua, Mexico, winter 1984-85. Pages 107-114 *in* J.F. Voelzer, compiler. 1987.
 Productivity surveys of geese, swans, and brant wintering in North America 1983 to 1986. Part 1. U.S. Fish Wild. Serv., Off. Mgr. Bird Manage., Portland, OR.
- _____, and _____. 1987. Snow and Ross' goose surveys at the Bosque del Apache NWR, New Mexico, and in Chihuahua, Mexico, winter 1986-87. Pages 139-166 *in* J.F. Voelzer, compiler. 1987. Productivity surveys of geese, swans, and brant wintering in North America 1983 to 1986. Part 1. U.S. Fish Wild. Serv., Off. Mgr. Bird Manage., Portland, OR.
- _____, and _____. 1993. Snow and Ross' geese surveys in the Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico, and in Chihuahua, Mexico, winter 1992-93. Unpub. Rep., Wildl. Res. Inst., Univ. Ida., Moscow, 15 pp.
- _____, and R.E. Shea. 1998. Snow and Ross' geese surveys in the Middle Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico and in Chihuahua, Mexico, winter 1997-98. Unpub. Rep., Hornocker Wildl. Inst., Univ. Ida., Moscow, 10pp.
- _____, W.M. Brown, and D.S. Benning. 1996. Distribution and abundance of sandhill cranes in Mexico. J. Wildl. Manage. 60:270-285.
- _____, ____, and J.P. Taylor. 1991. Some population parameters of light geese wintering in the Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico and in Chihuahua, Mexico. Page 47 *in* 7th. No. Amer. Arctic Goose Conf. and Workshop. Calif. Waterfowl Assoc., Sacramento.
- _____, A. Lafon Terrazas, J.P. Taylor, J.M.Ochoa Barraza, and R.E. Shea. 2003. Status of lesser snow geese and Ross's geese wintering in the Interior Highlands of Mexico. Wildl. Soc. Bull 31:417-432.
- Saunders, G.B., and D.C. Saunders. 1981. Waterfowl and their wintering grounds in Mexico, 1937-64. U.S. Fish Wild. Serv., Resour. Publ. 138. 151 pp.
- Sharp, D., and T. Moser (compilers). 1999. Central Flyway mid-winter survey results. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Off. Migr. Bird Manage., Denver, CO.
- Taylor, J.P., and R.E. Kirby. 1990. Experimental dispersal of wintering snow and Ross' geese. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 18:312-319.
- Turner, B., R. Tomlinson, R. Leyva, and P. Dominguez. 1994. Wintering populations of lesser snow geese and Ross' geese in the Northern Highlands of Mexico, 1988-1990. Can. Wildl. Serv., Occ. Paper 84, Ottawa.

1984-2004.))		
	Rio Grande	Chihuahua	Areas	Comments
			surveyed	
Year	Valley,	Mexico	in Mexico	
	NM <u>1</u> /			
1984	41,375	25,800	9	Partial counts-Ascension & Babicora; NS $2/A$. Gonzales Reservoir
1985	62,399	90,900	7	
1986	36,902	56,129	7	
1987	39,400	56,175	7	
1988	42,367	73,900	5	NS-Bustillos, Mexicanos
1989	47,800	96,718	7	
1990	55,275	74,550	7	
1991	38,920	30,205	9	Partial count-Ascension, NS-Babicora
1992	31,000	88,562	7	
1993	46,200	105,700	9	NS-Encinillas
1994	47,950	81,140	9	Severe drought; NS-Encinillas, Partial count-Ascension
1995	45,082	57,715	7	Extreme drought-Babicora & Mexicanos dry
1996	49,200	87,100	7	Water levels low
1997	39,960	105,700	7	Water levels below normal, NS- Encinillas $\underline{3}/$
1998	50,650	91,050	7	Extreme drought-Ascension, Babicora, Mexicanos dry
1999	56,400	86,600-	7	Partial count-Mexicanos
		102,050		
2000	55,600	78,905	7	Water levels very low, several wetlands dry
2001	59,050	85,930	7	Extreme drought, several wetlands dry
2002	38,000	133,975	7	Drought, water levels very low, Babicora dry
2003	34,900	60,225	7	Extreme drought-Ascension, Babicora, Mexicanos dry
2004	39,150	75,100	7	Water levels low but improved water levels in Dec due to heavy precipitation
$\underline{1}$ / Peak counts; data 1986 count inclue	provided by J. Taylo des only the Bosque	r, C. Lee and B. L del Apache NWR	ujan, Bosque de	d Apache NWR (pers. comm.);
		•		

Table 1. Counts of lesser snow geese and Ross's geese in the Middle Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico, and at 5-7 sites in Chihuahua, Mexico, winters

2/ NS = No Survey $\overline{3}/$ Lagunas de Tejanero-Tascate-Enns substituted for L. Encinillas starting 1997-99.

	Pop.	Adult	Snow/Blue g Immature <u>1</u> /	seese (%)	Total	Adult	Koss' g Immature	eese Total	T	otal geese
Location	Est.	snow/blue	snow/blue				(0/)			
NEW MEXICO Rio Grande Valley	39,150 <u>2</u> / (37,375) <u>3</u> /	5,929/114	879/16	(12.9)	6,938	3,539	415 (10	5) 3,9	54	10,892
CHIHUAHUA										
Ascension	8,900	696/13	90/ 3	(11.6)	802	416	57 (12	1) 4	-73	1,275
N. Casas Grandes	21,500	1,712/29	237/ 2	(12.1)	1,980	1,278	143 (10	1) 1,4	21	3,401
L. Babicora	8,500									
L. Tejanero	2,550	505/10	89/1	(14.9)	605	78	10 (11	(4)	88	693
L. Enns	3,650	1,853/20	249/ 3	(11.9)	2,125	82	14 (14	(9)	96	2,221
L. Bustillos	19,600	637/ 8	97/ 1	(13.2)	743	945	6) 86	(4) 1,0	43	1,786
L. Mexicanos	7,000	341/7	0 /69	(16.5)	417	93	8 (7	9) 1	01	518
A. Gonzales Res.	3,400			~			,	、		
TOTAL $(\overline{X} \%)$	75,100	5,744/ 87	831/10	(12.6)	6,672	2,892	330 (10	.2) 3,2	22	9,894
$\frac{1}{2}$ % immatures include 1 2/ Peak count in Vallev	olue morph. 6 Ian 2005 <i>(</i> B. I niar	ners comm)								

Table 2. Population estimates and numbers of lesser snow geese and Ross's geese sampled in the Middle Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico, and in

 $\underline{2}$ Peak count in Valley, 6 Jan 2005, (B. Lujan, pers. comm.). $\underline{3}$ Estimated number of light geese in Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico in Jan 2005 during Mexico survey.

26

		Immat	ures/fam	ily			Total	Total	Mean immatures
Location	1	2	3	4	5	9	immatures	families	per family
NEW MEXICO									
Rio Grande Valley	94	67	17	9			303	184	1.65
CHIHUAHUA									
Ascension	27	24	٢	1			100	59	1.69
N. Casas Grandes	40	47	12	С			182	102	1.78
L. Babicora									
L. Tejanero	19	23	12	5	2		131	61	2.15
L. Enns	27	31	19	5	1		171	83	2.06
L. Bustillos	33	47	21	9			214	107	2.00
L. Mexicanos	17	16	4	1			65	38	1.71
A. Gonzales Res.									
Total Families -MX	163	188	75	21	б		(863)	450	1.92
(IIIIIIauures)									

Table 3. Family sizes of lesser snow geese in the Middle Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico, and in Chihuahua, Mexico, winter 2004-05.

			Snow	Geese				Ross	Geese		
Location	Yellow	Black	Red	Green	Blue	Subtotal	Blue	Yellow	White	Subtotal	Total
NEW MEXICO Bosque NWR	24	10				34	13	6		19	53
Los Lunas							8	4	1	13	13
Subtotal	24	10				34	21	10	1	32	99
CHIHUAHUA Ascension	17	S.				22	12	6	1	22	44
N. Casas Grandes	3	1				4	13	4	1	18	22
L. Babicora											
L. Tejanero	3	б				9					9
L. Enns	9	10	2	1		22		2		2	24
L. Bustillos		4			1	5	5	5	7	12	17
L. Mexicanos		1				1					1
A. Gonzales Res											
Subtotal	32	24	7	1	1	60	30	20	4	54	114
TOTAL	56	34	7	1		94	51	30	5	86	180

Table 4. Locations and numbers of neckbanded lesser snow geese and Ross's geese observed in the Middle Rio Grande Valley, New Mexico

TITLE:

Pacific Flyway Goose and Swan Productivity Surveys - 2004 SPECIES SURVEYED:

Lesser Snow Goose (<u>Chen caerulescens</u>) Ross's Goose (<u>Chen rossii</u>) Greater White-fronted Goose (<u>Anser albifrons albifrons</u>) Tule Greater White-fronted Goose (<u>Anser albifrons gambelli</u>) Tundra Swan (<u>Cygnus columbianus</u>)

COOPERATORS:

Canadian Wildlife Service(CWS) U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service(USFWS) Division of Migratory Bird Management(DMBM) Klamath Basin NWR Red Rock Lakes NWR Sacramento NWR Delevan NWR Colusa NWR Colusa NWR Sutter NWR Butte Sink (CA/Pvt./FWS) Oregon Department of Fish & Wildlife(ODFW) Utah State Division of Wildlife Resources Wrangel Island Preserve

REPORTED BY:

Elizabeth Huggins, Flyway Biologist, USFWS/Division of Migratory Bird Management

ABSTRACT:

Productivity surveys for most species and populations were conducted in 2004 and appear in the tables of this report along with a short narrative in the Results section. Productivity survey results from the portion of the Ross's goose population that winters in the northern highlands of Mexico, appear in the Western Central Flyway Report.

METHODS:

Procedures followed in conducting these appraisals are found in Lynch and Singleton (1964) and (1969). Additional techniques include analyzing aerial photographs and ocular sightings from aircraft. For this report the terms juvenile, immature, and young all refer to birds hatched in 2004.

RESULTS:

Lesser Snow Goose:

Western Arctic: No report

Mixed flocks: Table 1.

J. Isola, Mike Carpenter and M. Wolder collected data at Sacramento and Colusa NWR for 7 days from November 9, 2004 to December 14, 2005 with a result of 25.7% juvenile lesser snow geese.

Marty St. Louis collected data in the Summer Lake wildlife area for 4 days from October 20 to November 3, 2004 with a result of 23.6% juvenile lesser snow geese.

Wrangel Island: Table 2.

V. Baranyuk collected data on Wrangel Island in 2004 with a result of 4.9% juvenile lesser snow geese. Total spring population (117.5 thousand) was 35.5% higher, the breeding population was 34.3% higher and the percentage of successful nests was 9.5% higher than their long term means.

There was no data submitted this year for the Fraser/Skagit deltas.

Ross' Goose: Table 3.

Productivity appraisals for Central Flyway wintering Ross's geese in the northern highlands of Mexico continue to be conducted by Dr. Rod Drewien and are reported in the <u>Western Central Flyway White Goose Productivity Survey Report</u>.

J. Isola and M. Carpenter collected data at Sacramento and Colusa NWR for 3 days from November 9-23, 2004. A sample of 2295 total birds revealed 13.2% juvenile Ross's geese(N=1991 adults and 304 juveniles).

Greater White-fronted Goose: Table 4.

J. Isola and M. Wolder collected data at Colusa and Sacramento NWR for 3 days from November 9-17, 2004 with a result of 32.8% juvenile Pacific greater white-fronted geese(n=1096 adults and 536 juveniles).

E. Huggins collected data at the Klamath Basin NWR complex for 8 days from September 9 to October 7, 2004 with a result of 23.4% juvenile greater white-fronted geese (N=164 juveniles and 536 adults).

Tule Greater White-fronted Goose: Table 5.

M. Wolder , J. Isola and Sacramento NWR staff collected data at Sacramento, Delevan and Colusa NWR for 6 days from September 30 to November 18, 2004 with a result of 33.1% juvenile Tule greater white-fronted geese(n= 606 adult and 300 juvenile geese).

Marty St. Louis collected data in the Summer Lake wildlife area for 5 observation periods from September to October 2004 with a result of 23.5% juvenile Tule greater white-fronted geese.

Tundra Swan: Table 6 & 7.

Tom Aldrich reports on data collected in Utah with a result of 31.7% juvenile Tundra Swans (n= 13,128 adults and 6,101 juveniles) with 2.87 young/family. (Table 6&7)

Marty St. Louis collected data in the Summer Lake Wildlife Area for 7 days from October 25 to November 17, 2004 with a result of 18.41% juvenile Tundra Swans(1.94 young/family)(Table 6).

Rod King conducted surveys in the Sacramento Valley during December 7-10, 2004. He found Tundra Swan productivity rates of 19.5% juveniles +/- .15% S.E. at P=.05(N=13,733 total birds consisting of 11,061 adults and 2,672 juveniles)(Table 8). Productivity rate was not significantly different than the 20.05% juveniles in 2003. Total sample size (n=13,733) was 26% larger in 2004 than in 2003 (n= 10,858). A total of 1,233 broods consisting of 2,672 juveniles were observed this year for an average family size of 2.17 +/1 0.98 and was not significantly different than the 2.23 family size average for 2003. Total family sample size in 2004 (1,233) was also 26% larger than the sample in 2003(977),(Table 6).

Trumpeter Swan (Rocky Mountain Population): Table 8.

Data are provided from the annual fall survey and report, <u>Trumpeter Swan Survey of the</u> <u>Rocky Mountain Population (RMP), Fall 2004</u>. This report was formerly the <u>Tristate</u> <u>Trumpeter Swan Survey Report</u> (1967-1991) and is written and distributed by personnel from Red Rock Lakes NWR. The following is an excerpt from the 1993 report:

The current survey includes traditional Trumpeter Swan habitat in Montana (Centennial Valley, Madison River, upper Yellowstone River and surrounding area), Idaho (and area north of the south Fork of the Snake River and east of Camas NWR) Wyoming (Yellowstone National Park, Grand Teton National Park, National Elk Refuge, the South Fork of the Snake River and surrounding areas),

the East Rocky Mountain Front in Montana, Gray's Lake NWR and lower Snake River in Idaho, Ruby Lake NWR in Nevada, Malheur NWR and Summer Lake WA in Oregon, and the Salt and Green Rivers in Wyoming.

The primary purposes of the survey are to document the size of the resident trumpeter swan flocks and to enumerate the annual production of cygnets to fledgling age. The survey also provides some information on territorial occupancy and the distributions of failed breeders and non-breeders from year to year.

This years report was compiled by Jim Dubovsky Assistant Migratory Bird Coordinator. Observers from the Fall 2004 RMP survey counted 417 total swans in the U.S. Breeding segment of this population, a count identical to that for comparable areas last year. Numbers of white birds (318) and cygnets (99) were essentially the same as those from 2003 (321 and 96, respectively).

LITERATURE CITED

- Lynch, J.J. and J. Singleton. 1964. Winter appraisals of annual productivity in geese and other water birds. Waterfowl trust Ann. Rep. 15:114-126.
- Lynch, J.J. 1969. Appraisals of annual productivity and mortality among geese, swans, and other birds. Annual Report, Part II and Appendix A. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. 26pp.
- Trost, Robert E. and Marty Drut. 2004. Pacific Flyway Data Book. September 2004. Division of Migratory Bird Management, Portland, OR. 60pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004. Trumpeter Swan Survey of the Rocky Mountain Population U.S. Breeding Segment. Fall 2004. Migratory Birds and State Programs. Mountain-Prairie Region. Lakewood, CO.28pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2004. Waterfowl Population Status, 2004. U.S. Department of the Interior. Washington, D.C.53pp. <u>Http://migratorybirds.fws.gov/reports/status04/waterfowl.pdf</u>)

Table 1. Historical	productivity	records for L	esser sno-	w geese in	n the Pacific	c Flyway 19	61 to prest	ent.											
										MIXE	DFLOCK	s							
Year	Population* Indices	We	ster Arctic	0		Miscel	laneous Fl	ocks	Sum	mer Lk., Of	œ	Klamath E	3asin, OR	& CA	Sacrame	nto Valley, (<u> </u>	Mixed Flo Productiv	cks t√
	(thousands	.Pd	Juv.	%Juv**	Yg/Fam	Ad.	Juv.	.vnl%	.bd	Juv.	.vnL%	.bd	Juv.	.vnL%	.bd	Juv. %	Juv. Yç	j/Fam %	Juv.
1961	541														6428	1665	20.6	1.8	20.6
1962	483					4008	1673	29.5										2.1	29.5
1963	454			T		3050	1336	30.5									:	2.1	30.5
1964	483						T					0100	LCT		10882	3262	23.1	1.8	23.1
1965 1066	294					1785	1501	10.0				3276	C0L	4.8	5646	5/6	4./	2.0	4./
1967	747					17929	3494	16.3										17	16.3
1968	506					9904	3042	23.5										1.9	23.5
1969	413					5595	2192	28.2										2.1	28.2
1970	462					3394	2001	37.1										2.2	37.1
1971	513					4565	1170	20.4										1.7	20.4
1972	436					6030	895	12.9										1.7	12.9
1973	324																	2.2	39.1
1974				1.0														1.0	1.0
1975																		2.2	46.9
1976				75.1														2.0	44.8
1977																		1.8	35.1
1978																		1.9	35.7
1979	528			3.1														1.7	24.6
1980	204			3.3		2400	1238	34.0							1037	426	29.1	2.0	32.6
1981	760			11.3				29.9				1580	420	21.0	2595	1202	31.6		
1982	354	8640	7360	46.0								3221	227	6.6	2666	232	8.0		7.2
1983	548			26.8				23.0						31.0			36.0		
1984	466			32.0	2.6			25.6									31.0		
1985	550			40.0	2.3			L						24.1			44.0		L
U 1980	275			0.2				0.0											0.0
1907	C7C			0.10													22.0		22.0
1988	1.44			42.0	Ċ												0.05		35.0
1989	464			19.3,28	2.1										1000	000	24.0		24.0
1990	607														1302	070	01.0		01.0
1991	0690														23/2	610	0.01		1.0
2661	039					000	101	0.01	010	L C L	1 1				1000	1071	18.0		18.0
100/	80C					800		7.00	1354	342	187				3360	1317	13 g		09.0 15.3
1995	501								50	4	5				544	227	29.4		29.4
1996	366								3567	1130	24.0								24.0
1997	416																		
1998	354								4168	1185	22.1				197	41	20.8		21.9
1999	579								9775	2669	21.4								21.4
2000	657								858	444	34.1								34.1
2001	448								3077	828	21.2				6259	638	10.2	1.9	13.6
2002	597								2957	1766	37.4				7697	1082	12.3		21.1
2003	588								1269	255	16.7	10356	2754	21.0	4921	1491	23.3		21.4
2004									1429	442	23.6				6848	2368	25.7		25.3
Mean ^a	501	8640	7360	26.7	23	5686	2059	28	2911	963	26.7	460.8	892	18.1	4169	1037	23	10	949
2004								ì									ì	2	
% change from Mean									-0.5						64.3	128.3	10.6		1.6
% change from 2003									12.6	73.3	41.3				39.2	58.8	10.3		18.5
													╞	╞		-		+	
Soc individual Annual	22 Juli 20 400 10 10	L	torrot tree	doidur	100 CT:P	-i- coto vit	IL OIL SOCIAL			-					-				1

See individual Annual Winter Productivity Report narrative which credits participants with their respective data set for each area. For Wrangel Island Lesser snow goose age ratio data see Table 2. *Population indices include Western Arctic Snow/Ross' geese combined & Wrangle Island Snow geese compiled from surveys conducted in December. **Percent Juv. columns with more than one estimate of productivity included sample sizes that are not available. •Mean does not include current year's data.

YEAR	TOTAL	ADULTS	%JUV	BREED	COLONY	NESTS	%SUCC.	CLUTCH	BROOD	BROOD
	SPRING	SPRING	SPRING	POP	SIZE (HA)		NESTS	SIZE	SIZE LV	SIZE LV
	POP.								COLONY	ISLAND
1966								3.6		
1967								4.9		
1969				114.0	1962	58.2		3.7		
1970	150.0	120.0	20.0	120.0	2600	60.0	96.0	3.7	3.5	2.5
1971	132.0	120.0	9.1	24.0	825	12.0	55.0	4.7	3.4	2.3
1972	107.0	106.0	0.6	36.0	950	18.0	45.0	4.2	3.5	2.3
1973	86.0	85.9	0.0	12.0	200	6.0	67.0	6.0	3.9	
1974	70.0	69.5	0.7	32.0	800	15.0	0.0	4.7		
1975	56.0	56.0	0.0	56.0		28.0	74.4	3.8	3.4	2.4
1976	58.0	46.0	20.7	46.0	1840	23.0	79.0	3.7	3.2	2.8
1977	68.2	57.2	16.1	10.0	400	5.0	76.8	5.0	3.7	
1978	65.4	64.9	0.8	42.0	2200	21.0	80.0	4.2	3.7	2.4
1979	84.5	62.1	26.5	60.0	1860	30.0	90.0	3.8	3.6	
1980	90.7	80.3	11.5	20.0	315	10.0	70.0	5.4	3.3	0.4
1981	89.0	86.2	3.2	78.0	2118	39.0	95.0	4.0	3.7	3.1
1982	100.0	81.0	18.5	28.0	688	14.0	65.0	4.1	3.2	2.8
1983	95.0	92.0	2.4	3.4	120	21.0	0.9	4.0	2.2	2.1
1964	85.0	80.0	0.0	42.0	1300	21.0	03.3 97.7	3.7	3.2	2.1
1965	00.0	70.0	20.4	58.0	2100	20.0	01.1	3.7	3.2	2.4
1900	100.0	85.0	15.0	47.0	1900	23.0	80.0	3.7	3.0	2.8
1988	80.0	80.0	17.7	13.0	675	6.5	51.0	5.2	3.4	2.0
1989	70.0	70.0	1.4	60.0	1025	30.0	60.0	3.8	3.3	2.1
1990	60.0	60.0	0.0	53.0	940	26.5	49.2	3.8	3.2	2.2
1991	60.0	56.0	6.6	41.6	888	20.8	82.0	4.1	3.4	2.7
1992	70.0	56.0	20.0	46.2	742	23.1	70.1	4.0	3.5	3.5
1993	65.0	64.5	0.8	52.2	910	26.1	85.1	3.9	3.2	
1994	70.0	52.5	25.0	30.0	1000	15.0	13.0	2.8	2.1	
1995	65.0	64.0	0.8	8.8	430	4.4	50.0	4.7	2.8	
1996	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.4	740	37.7	75.4		3.7	2.4
1997	85.0	70.0	15.0	55.2	628	22.6	71.2	4.0	3.5	
1998	90.0	80.0	10.0	31.8	750	15.9	66.0	4.6	3.5	
1999	90.0	85.0	5.6	20.8	278	10.4	75.0	4.7	3.3	
2000	95.0	87.4	8.0	49.6	738	24.8	87.8	3.5	3.2	2.8
2001	105.0	92.4	12.0	48.0	900	24.0	87.0	3.6	3.2	2.3
2002	110.0	100.0	10.0	60.6	855	30.3	81.5	4.0	3.5	3.1
2003	115.0			55.0	900	27.5	77.5			2.2
2004	117.5	105.0	4.9	56.8		28.4	75.0	3.6		
Mean	86.7	77 8	0.1	42.3	1008 1	21 0	68 5	3.8	3 /	26
% chance	00.7	11.0	3.1	42.5	1000.1	21.0	00.0	5.0	5.4	2.0
from 2003	22			33			-3.2			
%change	2.2			0.0			-0.2			
from mean	35.5			34.3			9.5			

Table 2. WRANGEL ISLAND SNOW GOOSE POPULATION / PRODUCTIVITY DATA (FROM V. BARANYUK)

Year		Miscellan	eous Are	as		Saskat	chewar	ı	Sac	ramento	valley.	CA	
	Ad	Juv	%Juv.	Yg/Fam	Ad. J	luv.	%Juv.	Yg/Fam	Ad.	Juv.	%Juv.	Yg/Fam	
1965			27.1										
1966			53.2	2.9									
1967			25.4	2.6									
1968			32.4	2.6									
1969													
1970													
1971													
1972			0.4										
1973			45.1	2.7									
1974			13.7	1.8									
1975			41.5	2.7									
1976													
1977			38.5	2.3									
1978			4.1	1.6									
1979													
1980			24.0										
1981													
1982													
1983			23.0										
1984			35.6										
1985			20.0										
1986													
1987													
1988													
1989													
1990													
1991													
1992													
1993													
1994							26.0						
1995					4941	2040	29.2						
1996					459	446	46.9						
1997					4976	1539	23.6	0.33					
1998									197	76	27.8	3	
1999												-	
2000													
2001									1023	179	14 9)	
2002									6371	1202	15 0)	
2003									4274	844	16.5	2.65	
2004									1991	304	13.2	2.00	

Data on Ross' geese of the Western Central Flyway are included in the "Western Central Flyway Light Goose Productivity Surveys", section of this North American Productivity Report.

See individual Annual Winter Productivity Report narratives which credit participants with their respective data set for each area.

Historical productivity records for Greater white-fronted geese in the Pacific Flyway 1961 to present. Table 4.

<u>Combined</u> Productivity	<u>v Juv.</u>																						2	13.9											27.0	25.6	34.2	17.7	21.0	16.0	17.1	16.6	20.1	15.5	11.6	30.0
amento Valley, CA	. %Juv. <u>Yg/Fam</u>																							3 20.7 1.9	29	28	33	28-32 2.3	37	33-35	25	0 38.6	1 33	5 28	6 28	8 30.3	7 35.2	1 17.3	4 15.6			1 18.4	4 21.9	1 13	6 11.6	6 32.8
Sacra	Ad. Juv																							1465 38								98 6	1079 53	7290 283	2947 114	1350 58	1706 92	1967 41	2085 38			360 8	2981 83	3418 51	1575 20	1096 53
CA	Yg/Fam					2.6														232	2 1 R		ר. היו	2		3.24	1.92	1.8								1.38		3.54	2.13	-						
asin, OR &	%Juv.**					38.9														66	26.2		20.3	0 10.9	29	20.5	13.5,15.1	26.7,35							7 26	19 19	31 31	18.4	32.9	3 16.0	3 17.1	7 14.9	7 16.3	22.4		1 23.4
Klamath B	.d. Juv.					461 7303															1090 085.			770 460											239 1137	127 264	587 263	153 260	757 37	410 78	569 323	383 67	478 287	090 315		536 162
	A					11																	57	e											e	1		-					-			
reas	. Yg/Fam	5 2.27	9 2.52	3 2.27	7 2.29		3 2.5	2 2.49	7 2.39	2 2.35	2 2.21	7 2.37	2 2.07	3 2.47	3 1.81	2	9 2.48	9 2.01	7 2.02	1 1 82	-				7 2.9		10				0															
A	. %Juv	83 36.5	89 38.9	01 29.8	34 31.7		45 41.8	82 31.2	26 40.7	21 37.2	73 28.2	14 37.7	83 42.2	38.6	28.6	41.2	49.6	30	37.7	38.4	ŝ				19.7		15.5				00 34.(-						
Miso	Ad. Juv	2407 13	3587 22	5653 24	8270 38		2847 20	3716 16	2663 18	3071 18	2734 10	2171 13	2028 14																		3300 17															
	1																																													
Population	(thousands)	193.3	127.7	171.8	6.66	69.3	67.0	185.6	70.9	114.2	206.7	106.3								73.1	03.5		C.01.1.	91.7	112.9	100.2	93.8	107.1	130.6	161.5	218.8	240.8	236.5	230.9	295.1	324.8	277.5	344.1	319.0	413.1	393.9	353.6	433.4	358.5	422.2	374.9
Year		1961	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1080	1000	1.961	1982	J 983	1984 L	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

*Population indices from Pacific Flyway Data Book (Trost, et al). Indices after 1998 are based on number of total indicated birds from breeding ground survey and calculated according to guidelines established in the Flyway management plan. **For %Juv. columns with more than one estimate of productivity, sample sizes were not available. See individual Annual Winter Productivity Report narratives which credit participants with their respective data set for each area.

Productivity % Juv.	21.7																26.3	35.9	16.7				18.0	37.0	28.0	27.0	21.4	24.6	19.0	20.7	34.8	30.0	27.8	18.2	17.6	32.2	21.6	21.3	20.2	20.4	29.2
CA Yg/Fam	2																	1.85	2.01																						
Valley, %Juv.	21.7																33.8	37.0					18.0	37.0	28.0	27.0	21.4	24.6	19.0	20.7	34.0	30.0	20.5	9.3	13.7	39.0	19.7	16.9	17.3	21.5	33.1
amento Juv.	26																847	851									234	222	128	77	94	30	136	12	11	556	152	78	139	123	300
Sacr Ad.	66																1653	1449									863	680	546	295	182	70	529	117	69	873	619	384	664	450	606
k & CA %Juv.																																			18.6		22.0		31.3		
3asin, OR Juv. 9																																			98		292		10		
Klamath E Ad.																																			427		1038		22		
, OR %Juv.																															35.6		38.2	21.4	17.1	19	22.4	26.3	21.4	19.7	23.5
ner L.ake Juv.																															101		181	76	109	139	233	105	134	154	144
Sumn Ad.																															183		293	278	528	591	807	294	491	629	468
as Juv.															35.4	28.9	13.9	34	16.7																				22.2		
ous Are Juv. %																	213	437	167																				126		
Miscellane Ad.																	1324	847	833																				441		
<u>Year</u>	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004

Historical productivity records for Tule greater white-fronted geese, 1964 to present.

Table 5.

See individual Annual Winter Productivity Report narratives which credit participants with their respective data set for each area.

Combined Productivity	vuc %																														33.8	26.6	26.0	20.9	30.7	26.2	18.3	13.3	14.4	7.5	8.1	17.8	22.3	19.2
CA CA	r g/ram																																2.4	2.4		2.2				1.6	1.4	1.8	2.2	2.17
o Valley	.vur%																						20.0	18.0	13.0	25.0	27.0	22.0	11.0	19.1	12.7	18.0	25.0	20.0	27.4	21.9				7.3	8.7	17.4	20.1	10 J
ramento	.vnc																														89	304	4393	3813	1644	1036				200	706	997	2177	7677
Sac	.DA																														616	1386	13182	15254	4358	3695				2525	7431	4736	8681	11001
	/ram																																		2.0	1.7	2.0	1.9	2.2	1.8	1.6	1.9	2.0	C 7
<u>, OR</u>	Juv. 19																																	13.6	21.1	20.3	14.8	8.1	13.0	11.1	9.7	15.8	17.7	101
<u>nmer L</u>	% .vnr																																	947	713	355	780	737	1305	585	747	1198	1182	000
Sur	, Ad.																																	6037	2666	1390	4498	8333	8717	4704	6952	6371	5485	
)/ram		2.2	1.9	2.6	2.3	2.9	2.8	3.2	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.3	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.3	2.6	2.3	2.1	2.2	2.9	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.6	2.4	2.4	2.6	2.6	2.3	2.5	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.4	2.2	2.2	1.9	2.0	2.1	2.6	
>	31.vnr		31.8	31.4	43.7	38.3	48.9	42.7	45.6	21.5	28.5	26.5	42.0	22.2	19.6	29.4	23.8	25.1	33.8	33.8	31.7	28.8	38.1	35.8	35.3	46.1	42.6	41.8	40.3	38.0	39.2	28.4	33.2	33.3	35.4	29.5	18.5	13.8	14.7	7.1	7.5	18.1	24.5	-
Utah	Nnr. %		745	888	903	3466	5697	9288	7445	8088	2587	2160	3654	3066	702	811	1214	1685	4654	4710	6552	1905	8602	798	449	977	399	1318	1048	2610	1770	1396	1079	1979	3955	3559	4968	4132	1814	3695	2174	9942	6018	
	, Au.		1595	1937	1165	5578	5943	12463	20801 1	29478	6497	5982	5036	10764	2879	1947	3878	5040	9130	9242	14128	4715	14004	1428	824	1144	538	1834	1551	4250	2750	3250	2169	3958	7221	8493	110336 2	88631 1	68594 1	48098	26996	45069	18517	
1	E C	2 00	-	6	2	2	5	9.	7	4	.3	1	9	6.	5	9	4	.3				.5	.5	.3	.6	0	.3	.2	.2	8	.7	.7	.6											
Areas	19/13	4 0	5	1	7 2	5 2	2	3 2	3 2	5 2	5 2	3	9 2	0 2	5 2	7 2	3 2	2				3 2	1 2	7 2	7 2	3 2	t 2	t 2	3 2	2	3 2	2	7 2	2		0	~	~	8					
eous	NNC%	10.6	14.	13.9	14.	8.8	25.(21.:	34.:	19.	20.6	15.3	34.9	18.(19.(39.	23.8	.8				25.8	32.1	14.	23.	10.	23.4	17.4	14.:	22.:	25.(25.2	22.	18.2		5.7	17.:	12.3	7.8					
iscellan	.vnc																					87	119	41	74	28	68	131	1438	1236	283	268	414	470		42	119	164	103					
Σ	AG.																					250	252	237	238	245	223	624	8628	5440	821	797	1410	2116		492	570	1167	1221					
Population* Indices		32.4	46.4	40.5	42.6	34.8	48.9	35.6	74.9	31.0	98.9	82.8	33.9	69.8	54.9	51.4	47.3	45.6	53.5	65.2	83.6	91.3	67.3	61.9	48.8	66.2	52.8	59.2	78.7	40.1	47.6	63.7	62.2	79.4	52.9	98.1	122.5	70.0	119.8	89.6	87.3	58.7	102.7	0 00
<u>Year</u>	1061	1962	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1978	1979	1980	1981	J 982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	1000

*Population indices are from Pacific Flyway Data Book (Trost, et al) and are conducted in January. See individual annual Winter Productivity Report narratives which credits participants with their respective data set for each area.

Historical productivity records for Tundra swans in the Pacific Flyway, 1961 - present.

Table 6.

Table 7. Ag	ge ratios an	d family gro	up size of tu	undra swan	flocks in no	rthern Utah.			
	G	Frouped Birc	ls	Fam	ily Associat	tions	Co	mbined Tot	als
YEAR	ADULTS	JUVENILES	% YOUNG	FAMILIES	YOUNG	YOUNG/FAM	ADULTS	JUVENILES	% YOUNG
1963	1,397	527	27.4%	99	218	2.20	1,595	745	31.8%
1964	1,193	171	12.5%	372	717	1.93	1,937	888	31.4%
1965	883	541	38.0%	141	362	2.57	1,165	903	43.7%
1966	4,326	2,002	31.6%	626	1,464	2.33	5,578	3,466	38.3%
1967	4,753	3,975	45.5%	595	1,722	2.89	5,943	5,697	48.9%
1968	10,597	6,679	38.7%	933	2,609	2.80	12,463	9,288	42.7%
1969	19,527	15,414	44.1%	637	2,031	3.19	20,801	17,445	45.6%
1970	28,478	6,907	19.5%	500	1,181	2.36	29,478	8,088	21.5%
1971	5,465	1,422	20.6%	516	1,165	2.26	6,497	2,587	28.5%
1972	5,102	1,193	19.0%	440	967	2.20	5,982	2,160	26.5%
1973	3,696	2,105	36.3%	670	1,549	2.31	5,036	3,654	42.0%
1974	9,610	1,733	15.3%	5//	1,333	2.31	10,764	3,066	22.2%
1975	2,443	163	6.3%	218	539	2.47	2,879	702	19.6%
1976	1,457	1/1	10.5%	245	640	2.61	1,947	811	29.4%
1977	2,960	123	4.0%	459	1,091	2.38	3,878	1,214	23.8%
1978	3,848	342	8.2%	596	1,343	2.25	5,040	1,685	25.1%
1979	7,210	2,198	23.4%	900	2,450	2.30	9,130	4,004	33.8%
1980	7,808	3,110	28.4%	1 040	1,594	2.32	9,242	4,710	33.8%
1981	11,030	3,917	25.2%	1,240	2,035	2.11	14,128	0,002	31.7%
1902	4,173	1,305	23.0%	Z7 1 774	2 220	2.21	4,715	1,900	20.0%
1983	12,400	0,373	22.0%	65	2,229	2.00	14,004	0,002	25.9%
1904	1,290	276	20.2%	77	109	2.45	1,420	190	35.3%
1985	754	513	29.2 /0	105	464	2.23	1 1//	443 077	/6.1%
1987	402	224	35.8%	68	175	2.50	538	399	42.6%
1988	1 364	762	35.8%	235	556	2.07	1 834	1 318	41.8%
1989	1,001	696	35.5%	144	352	2.07	1,551	1,010	40.3%
1990	3,548	1.708	32.5%	351	902	2.57	4,250	2.610	38.0%
1991	2.286	1,176	34.0%	232	594	2.56	2.750	1.770	39.2%
1992	3,102	920	22.9%	209	476	2.28	3,520	1,396	28.4%
1993	1,809	630	25.8%	180	449	2.49	2,169	1,079	33.2%
erial Photo	2,380	598	20.1%	143	381	2.66	2,666	979	26.9%
1994	3,434	1,346	28.2%	262	633	2.42	3,958	1,979	33.3%
1995	5,655	2,178	27.8%	783	1,777	2.27	7,221	3,955	35.4%
1996	7,317	2,434	25.0%	588	1,125	1.91	8,493	3,559	29.5%
1997	108,626	22,934	17.4%	855	2,034	2.38	110,336	24,968	18.5%
1998	87,629	13,033	12.9%	501	1,099	2.19	88,631	14,132	13.8%
1999	67,388	10,481	13.5%	603	1,333	2.21	68,594	11,814	14.7%
2000	47,752	3,371	6.6%	173	324	1.87	48,098	3,695	7.1%
2001	26,836	2,012	7.0%	80	162	2.03	26,996	2,174	7.5%
2002	43,301	8,115	15.8%	884	1,827	2.07	45,069	9,942	18.1%
2003	18,103	5,485	23.3%	207	533	2.57	18,517	6,018	24.5%
2004	13,052	5,992	31.5%	38	109	2.87	13,128	6,101	31.7%
2005									

Table only includes Oct-Jan classification

Table & data provided by Tom Aldrich

Historical records for the Rocky Mountain Population of Trumpeter Swans, 1967 to Present.*

Year	Area	White	Cvanets	Total	% Juv	Ave. Brood Size
1967	Tristate Survey	580	58	638	9.1	0120
1968	Tristate Survey	489	174	663	26.2	
1969	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
1970						
1971	Tristate Survey	477	95	572	16.6	
1972	,					
1973						
1974	Tristate Survey	492	89	581	15.3	
1975	,					
1976						
1977	Tristate Survey	454	90	544	16.5	
1978						
1979						
1980	Tristate Survey	533	49	582	8.4	
1981	-					
1982						
1983	Tristate Survey	471	76	547	13.9	
1984	Tristate Survey	496	67	563	11.9	
1985	Tristate Survey	431	144	575	25.0	3.1
1986	Tristate Survey	365	87	452	19.2	2.7
1987	Tristate Survey	417	194	611	31.8	3.5
1988	Tristate Survey	513	146	659	22.2	2.9
1989	Tristate Survey	535	63	598	10.5	2.5
1990	Tristate Survey	468	158	626	25.2	3.0
1991	Tristate Survey	446	109	555	19.6	3.3
1992	RMP Survey**	465	98	563	17.4	3.5
1993	RMP Survey	303	51	354	14.4	2.2
1994	RMP Survey	302	152	454	33.5	2.2
1995	RMP Survey	365	62	427	14.5	
1996	RMP Survey	380	78	458	17.0	2.6
1997	RMP Survey	358	69	427	16.2	1.0
1998	RMP Survey	364	105	469	22.4	2.0
1999	RMP Survey	347	70	417	16.8	2.3
2000	RMP Survey	372	109	481	22.7	2.3
2001	RMP Survey	416	71	487	14.6	1.2
2002	RMP Survey	311	60	371	16.2	
2003	RMP Survey	321	96	417	23.0	
2004	RMP Survey	318	99	417	23.7	

*As reported by Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge.

Table 8.

** Name changed to Trumpeter Swan Survey of the Rocky Mountain Population (RMP)/U.S. Flocks Fall 1992. Note: It is the opinion of the author of table (see narrative) that a better method to assess annual productivity is to estimate the number of young produced per breeding pair because a proportion of white birds each year are subadults or adults that did not nest. However, this data is not collected as a part of the Fall survey.

TITLE:

Waterfowl Productivity Surveys for Alaska - 2004

SPECIES SURVEYED:

Pacific Brant (*Branta bernicla nigricans*) Trumpeter Swan (*Cygnus buccinator*) Emperor Goose (*Chen canagica*) Dusky Canada Goose (*Branta canadensis occidentalis*)

CONTRIBUTORS:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Izembek National Wildlife Refuge Koyukuk/Nowitna National Wildlife Refuge Complex Migratory Bird Management Anchorage Migratory Bird Management Fairbanks Migratory Bird Management Juneau U.S Forest Service (USFS) Cordova Ranger District Yakutat Ranger District U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Alaska Science Center U.S. Army Fort Wainwright Alaska Department of Fish and Game Division of Wildlife Conservation, Statewide Waterfowl Program Paul Meyers – Alaska Comox Valley Naturalists Society - British Columbia Graeme Fowler – British Columbia Russ Canniff - Washington

REPORT COMPILED BY:

Deborah J. Groves - Wildlife Biologist, Migratory Bird Management, Juneau, AK

ABSTRACT:

Productivity surveys were conducted by several agencies and individuals during late summer, fall, and/or winter of 2004 and early 2005 to estimate juvenile-to-adult age ratios for Pacific brant (*Branta bernicla nigricans*), the Pacific Coast population of trumpeter swans (*Cygnus buccinator*), emperor geese (*Chen canagica*), and dusky Canada geese (*Branta canadensis occidentalis*). The results of these surveys appear in the tables of this report, along with short narratives in the Results section. No age-ratio data were reported for cackling geese (*Branta hutchinsii*) in 2004.

Productivity % Change % Change **Species Type of Year Estimate** From 2003 From Mean **Pacific Brant Below Average** +33% -19% Fall % Juv. 18.2% -5% 2.50 +11%Fall Juv./Fam. +78%Winter % Juv. 11.6% -5% **Trumpeter Swan Below Average** Late Summer Brood Size 3.0 +0%-9% -18% Late Summer % Juv. 21.0% -25% Late Summer % Prs. w/ Brd 30.8% -18% -4% Winter % Juv. 17.0% -8% -9% Winter Juv./Fam. 2.50 +14%+9%**Emperor Goose Below Average** Fall % Juv. From ground counts 21.9% +83% -6% From aerial photos 11.1% +19% -41% Fall Juv./Fam. 2.42 +20% -14% **Cackling Goose** No Report **Dusky Canada Goose Above Average** Late Summer % Juv. 27.8% +286% +47%

The following productivity measures were estimated for 2004:

METHODS:

Fall and winter productivity appraisals generally followed procedures developed by Lynch (1969) and outlined in the Standard Operating Procedures for Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans and Brant (Draft) 1977. Additional survey methods included late-summer aerial surveys of trumpeter swans (King 1973) and dusky Canada geese (Petrula 2004), analysis of aerial photographs of emperor geese (Anderson et al. 2005), and ocular sightings from the ground (e.g. Audubon Christmas Bird Counts).

RESULTS:

Pacific Brant:

Fall Productivity: Table 1.

Kristine Sowl of Izembek National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) reported that 18.2% juveniles were estimated from a sample of 24,099 brant during ground surveys conducted at Izembek Lagoon, Alaska in September and October. She also estimated a mean of 2.50 juveniles per family group from a sample of 129 families. The proportion of juveniles was 33% higher than 2003 but 19% below the 41-year mean. The mean family group size was 11% higher than 2003 but 5% below the 38-year mean.

Winter Productivity: Table 2.

Russ Canniff collected data from Padilla and Samish Bays, WA on 4 January 2005. He estimated 11.6% juveniles from a sample of 732 brant. He also reported a mean of 2.0 juveniles per family group from a sample of 13 families. He noted that all of the brant he observed in the area were the gray-bellied variety from the western high arctic population.

Results from Padilla and Samish Bays, WA were the only winter productivity data available for the report this year. These results, compared to historical winter productivity records for the Pacific Flyway, indicated that the proportion of juveniles was 78% higher than 2003 but 5% below the 20-year mean.

In summary, Pacific brant experienced below-average production in 2004.

Trumpeter Swan:

Late-Summer Productivity: Tables 3 and 4.

Late-summer productivity surveys were conducted in Alaska between 5 August and 7 September by Koyukuk/Nowitna NWR, U.S. Army at Fort Wainwright, and USFWS Migratory Bird Management Juneau. All surveys were flown using methods described by King (1973), with modifications to allow capture of observation locations directly from the aircraft's global positioning system. Thirty eight complete and one partial 1:63,360 scale topographic maps were surveyed this year. Combining the results from all areas yielded a mean brood size of 3.0 (n=177 broods), 21.0% juveniles in the population (n=2,525 total swans), and 30.8% pairs with brood (n=543 pairs) (Table 3). The mean brood size was the same as 2003 and was 9% below the 28-year mean (Table 4). The proportion of juveniles was 25% lower than 2003 and 18% below the mean. The percentage of pairs with a brood was 18% lower than 2003 and 4% below the mean.

Winter Productivity: Table 5.

Winter productivity data were collected in two areas of Alaska this year: Cordova and the Yakutat Forelands. Paul Meyers reported that 21.7% juveniles were observed out of a total of 23 swans during the Audubon Christmas Bird Count in Cordova on 19 December. On the Yakutat Forelands, Nate Catterson of USFS, Yakutat Ranger District, reported 13.9% juveniles out of 569 total swans observed during an aerial population survey flown on 10 March 2005.

On Vancouver Island, British Columbia, Graeme Fowler reported the results of swan surveys conducted from November 2004 through February 2005 by the Comox Valley Naturalists Society. The mean % juvenile was 20.0% (n = 918) in November, 17.5% (n = 1,978) in December, 16.6% (n = 1,745) in January, and 16.7% (n = 2,263) in February. Note that only the February figure was included in Table 5.

In northwest Washington, Russ Canniff recorded age ratios for trumpeter swans in Skagit Valley and Port Susan on 24 February 2005 and Snohomish Valley on 14 February 2005. He found that 17.6% were juveniles from a sample of 4,007 swans. He also collected data on family group size from November 2004 through February 2005 and found a mean of 2.50 juveniles per family group from a sample of 106 families.

Data from all winter survey areas combined resulted in an estimate of 17.0% juveniles from a sample of 6,862 swans. This was 8% lower than 2003 and 9% below the 27-year mean. The mean family group size was 2.50 from a sample of 106 families. This was 14% higher than 2003 and 9% above the 22-year mean.

In summary, trumpeter swans experienced below-average production in 2004.

Emperor Goose: Tables 6 and 7.

Kristine Sowl reported that 21.9% juveniles were estimated from a sample of 5,888 emperor geese during ground surveys conducted at Izembek Lagoon, Alaska in September and October (Table 6). She also estimated a mean of 2.42 juveniles per family group from a sample of 235 families. The proportion of juveniles was 83% higher than 2003 but 6% below the 37-year mean. The mean family group size was 20% higher than 2003 but 14% below the mean.

Paul Anderson and Bob Stehn of USFWS, Migratory Bird Management Anchorage reported the results of aerial photo work on the Alaska Peninsula conducted in late September. They estimated the proportions of juveniles in seven major lagoons from aerial photos and then weighted the proportions by the population counts of those lagoons from an independent aerial population survey. The result was a weighted-mean estimate of 11.1% juveniles for the 2004 fall population, 19% higher than 2003 but 41% below the 19-year mean (Table 7).

In summary, emperor geese experienced below-average production in 2004.

Cackling Goose: No Report.

Dusky Canada Goose: Table 8.

Tom Rothe of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game reported the results of an aerial production survey that was flown over the west Copper River Delta on 16 July. Of a total count of 5,678 geese, 27.8% were identified as juveniles. The proportion of juveniles was 286% higher than 2003 and 47% above the 33-year mean.

Rothe and his colleagues also conducted a ground survey on Middleton Island in the Gulf of Alaska on 20-22 June (Petrula et. al 2004). The survey has been done periodically to determine the status of this island group of dusky Canada geese, which breeds in an environment free of mammalian predators and generally experiences higher productivity than its mainland counterparts. Of an estimated total 2370 geese, 37% were goslings. This compared to 34%, 40%, 48%, and 37% in 1996, 1997, 2000, and 2002, respectively.

In summary, dusky Canada geese experienced above-average production in 2004.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, P.D., W.W. Larned, E.J. Mallek, C.P. Dau, and R.A. Stehn. 2005. Monitoring emperor goose populations by aerial counts and fall age-ratio. Annual progress report, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Anchorage, AK.
- King, J.G. 1973. The use of small airplanes to gather swan data in Alaska. Wildfowl 24: 15-20.
- Lynch, J.J. 1969. Appraisals of annual productivity and mortality among geese, swans, and other birds. Annual Report, Part II and Appendix A. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 26 pp.
- Petrula, M.J. 2004. Dusky Canada goose production survey 2004. Final report to Pacific Flyway Study Committee. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Anchorage, AK. 6pp.
- Petrula, M.J., T. Rothe, D. Rosenberg, D. Crowley, and J. Coltrane. 2004. Canada goose survey on Middleton Island – 2004. Unpubl. report, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Anchorage, AK. 5pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1977. Standard Operating Procedures for Productivity Surveys of Geese, Swans, and Brant (Draft). 52 pp.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The following agencies and individuals are gratefully acknowledged for their contributions to this report:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Izembek National Wildlife Refuge Kristine Sowl Koyukuk/Nowitna National Wildlife Refuge Complex Jenny Bryant Mike Spindler Migratory Bird Management Anchorage Paul Anderson Bill Larned Chris Dau Bob Stehn Migratory Bird Management Fairbanks Ed Mallek Migratory Bird Management Juneau Jack Hodges Jim King **U.S Forest Service** Cordova Ranger District Dan Logan Yakutat Ranger District Nate Catterson U.S. Geological Survey Alaska Science Center Dave Ward U.S. Army Fort Wainwright Tony Payne Alaska Department of Fish and Game Division of Wildlife Conservation, Statewide Waterfowl Program Tom Rothe Mike Petrula Others Paul Meyers – Cordova, Alaska Comox Valley Naturalists Society - Courtenay, British Columbia Graeme Fowler - Comox, British Columbia

Russ Canniff – Snohomish, Washington

	Gi	rouped Birds		Family Associations
Year	Adults	Juveniles	% Juv.	Families Juveniles Juv./Family
1963	3968	1243	23.9	
1964	13324	4577	25.6	
1965	21210	5050	19.2	
1966	9927	7134	41.8	195 557 2.86
1967	15219	3081	16.8	359 926 2.58
1968	15110	3117	17.1	145 377 2.60
1969	12829	3577	21.8	293 780 2.66
1970	12104	6256	34.1	148 476 3.22
1971	4820	1953	28.8	295 716 2.43
1972	6599	3698	35.9	153 416 2.72
1973	12025	4999	29.4	327 938 2.87
1974	13118	632	4.6	105 239 2.28
1975	9396	5452	36.7	189 543 2.87
1976	7962	4340	35.3	237 674 2.84
1977	8856	4092	31.6	240 603 2.51
1978	10696	1842	14.7	110 326 2.96
1979	13674	2349	14.7	146 361 2.47
1980	9618	3341	25.8	177 489 2.76
1981	4109	936	18.6	154 431 2.80
1982	11509	1213	9.5	89 237 2.66
1983	6149	1947	24.0	173 515 2.98
1984	9451	1499	13.7	192 564 2.94
1985	12032	1915	13.7	624 1538 2.46
1986	15621	2823	15.3	137 352 2.57
1987	17411	7882	31.2	948 2587 2.73
1988	16138	3847	19.2	263 633 2.41
1989	13654	4281	23.9	303 914 3.02
1990	24215	5750	19.2	349 894 2.56
1991	31432	12127	27.8	415 1066 2.57
1992	55795	11044	16.5	404 1127 2.79
1993	103254	31942	23.6	979 2727 2.79
1994	21371	2808	11.6	353 735 2.08
1995	26964	15240	36.1	78 218 2.79
1996	15148	4201	21.7	50 152 3.04
1997	15216	3105	16.9	40 106 2.65
1998	8214	2836	25.7	220 488 2.22
1999	12500	3450	21.6	111 254 2.29
2000	6669	2982	30.9	91 202 2.22
2001	14829	1198	7.5	68 167 2.46
2002	18441	4751	20.5	92 222 2.41
2003	27517	4371	13.7	197 446 2.26
2004	19715	4384	18.2	129 322 2.50
Mean ^b			22.4	2.64
% Change from:				
2003			33%	11%
Mean			-19%	-5%

Table 1. Historical fall productivity records for Pacific brant at Izembek Lagoon, AK, 1963-2004.^a

^a Data supplied by Izembek National Wildlife Refuge and USGS Alaska Science Center.

^b Mean excludes 2004.

		Padilla/S	Samish E	3ays, WA ^b	Willap	oa Bay	, WA ^c	Olympic	c Penin	sula, WA ^d	Oregon Coast ^e	Combine Productiv	ity
	Year ^a	.bd	Juv.	% Juv.	.Pd	Juv.	% Juv.	.bd	Juv.	% Juv.	Ad. Juv. % Ju	v. % Juv.	
1984 2605 251 8.8 217 11 4.8 1986 3731 292 7.3 1925 186 8.8 217 11 4.8 1987 3110 1242 28.5 997 196 16.4 15.44 311 16.8 1988 2003 297 195 16.4 15.44 311 16.8 1989 2003 297 195 195 16.9 16.6 913 123 19.3 1990 3047 107 26.0 913 123 119.3 123 119.3 1991 2464 336 12.0 1189 126 91.3 123 11.9 1992 6294 669 9.6 91.3 123 11.9 24.5 2.5 1992 1994 371 17 14.6 793 20 2.5 1995 1964 530 21.5 19.1 14.6 5.3 2.3 1996 1964 530 21.5 19.1 17.9 5.0 2.5 1997 1660 186 18.6 19.1 14.6 2.3 1997 1964 53 <td>1983</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>982</td> <td>166</td> <td>14.5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>14.5</td> <td></td>	1983				982	166	14.5					14.5	
1986 3731 292 7.3 1925 186 8.8 217 11 4.8 1987 3110 1242 285 397 196 1540 311 16.8 1988 2003 297 129 1167 184 13.6 1544 311 16.8 1988 2003 297 12.9 1167 184 13.6 1544 311 16.8 1989 3047 837 21.5 982 88 8.2 2231 232 94 1991 2464 837 21.5 918 12.6 9.6 9.6 52 1992 629 11.4 8.7 21.3 12.9 13.2 52 1992 629 13.9 9.4 103.4 26 52 1993 1084 13.6 14.6 779 50 2.5 1995 1660 189 10.2 14.6 779 50 2.5 1996 1660 189 10.2 13.2 779 50 2.5 1997 1660 189 10.3 12.5 14.6 779 50 2.5 1998 11	1984				2605	251	8.8					8.8	
	1985												
	1986	3731	292	7.3	1925	186	8.8	217	-	4.8		7.7	
1988 2003 297 12.9 1167 184 13.6 15.44 311 16.8 1990 4928 622 11.2 982 88 8.2 2231 232 9.4 1991 2464 336 12.0 1189 126 9.1 88 4.2 1991 2464 639 12.0 1189 126 9.14 88 5.2 1992 6294 636 9.14 88 5.2 83 4.2 1992 6294 636 14.6 7.3 2.6 2.5 1.9 1994 3771 197 5.0 9.4 87 2.6 2.5 1995 1084 7.0 12 14.6 7.3 2.6 2.5 1995 1916 13.2 1193 2.1 12 14.6 7.3 1996 10.2 125 19 13.2 2.6 7.9 7.0	1987	3110	1242	28.5	266	196	16.4	1540	306	16.6		23.6	
1989 4928 622 11.2 982 8 8.2 2231 233 9.4 1991 2464 336 12.0 1189 126 9.6 913 123 11.9 1992 6294 660 9.6 944 88 8.5 839 45 5.2 1994 3771 197 5.0 937 97 9.4 1034 26 15.9 1994 3771 197 5.0 937 97 9.4 1034 26 2.5 1995 1083 185 14.6 70 12 14.6 703 2.5 2.3 1996 1964 530 21.3 70 12 14.6 703 2.6 2.3 1997 1660 186 15.3 12.6 13.2 3.86 2.9 7.0 2001 189 1818 183 9.1 4.30 2.6 2.3 4.76 <td< td=""><td>1988</td><td>2003</td><td>297</td><td>12.9</td><td>1167</td><td>184</td><td>13.6</td><td>1544</td><td>311</td><td>16.8</td><td></td><td>14.4</td><td></td></td<>	1988	2003	297	12.9	1167	184	13.6	1544	311	16.8		14.4	
	1989	4928	622	11.2	982	88	8.2	2231	232	9.4		10.4	
	1990	3047	837	21.5				2013	88	4.2		15.5	
1992 6294 669 9.6 944 88 8.5 839 46 5.2 1993 3771 197 5.0 937 97 9.4 1034 26 2.5 1994 3771 197 5.0 937 97 9.4 1034 26 2.5 1995 1083 185 14.6 7.3 21.3 70 12 14.6 793 20 2.5 1996 1964 530 21.3 70 12 14.6 793 20 2.5 1999 1964 530 21.5 19 13.2 779 50 6.0 1999 11660 189 10.2 132 386 29 7.0 2001 1089 11 10 381 386 29 7.0 2002 255 48 6.0 7.0 366 2.5 4.3 476 51 2.3	1991	2464	336	12.0	1189	126	9.6	913	123	11.9		11.4	
1993 3032 1074 26.2 16.9 265 16.9 1994 3771 197 5.0 937 9.4 1034 26 15.9 1995 1084 530 21.3 70 12 14.6 793 20 2.5 1995 1964 530 21.3 70 12 14.6 793 20 2.5 1997 1660 189 10.2 70 12 14.6 793 20 2.5 1998 2573 466 15.3 125 19 13.2 386 29 7.0 1999 1199 349 22.5 1818 183 9.1 430 32 6.9 2001 1089 11 1.0 361 24 6.3 7.1 2002 257 48 6.0 3.6 3.9 7.0 3.6 5.0 5.0 5.0 2003 752 48 6.0 5.1 2.5 4.3 476 5.1 9.7 20	1992	6294	699	9.6	944	88	8.5	839	46	5.2		9.0	
	1993	3032	1074	26.2				1299	265	16.9		23.6	
1995 1083 185 14.6 634 15 2.3 1997 1660 189 10.2 779 50 2.5 1998 2573 466 15.3 125 19 13.2 70 25 1998 2573 466 15.3 125 19 13.2 76 6.0 1998 2573 466 15.3 125 19 13.2 76 50 50 1999 1199 349 22.5 18 1818 183 9.1 430 32 6.9 2001 1089 11 1.0 361 24 6.2 36 7.1 2003 752 48 6.0 5.1 26 4.3 476 51 9.7 2004 647 85 14.9 7.1 26 4.3 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.1 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9	1994	3771	197	5.0	937	97	9.4	1034	26	2.5		5.3	
196 1964 530 21.3 70 12 14.6 793 20 2.5 1997 1660 189 10.2 779 50 6.0 1998 2573 466 15.3 125 19 13.2 779 50 50 6.0 1999 1199 349 22.5 1818 1813 9.1 430 32 6.9 7.0 2000 877 337 27.8 1818 183 9.1 430 32 6.9 7.0 2001 1089 11 1.0 1818 183 9.1 24 6.2 37 36 29 7.0 2002 700 779 361 24 6.2 7.1 26 4.3 476 51 9.7 2003 752 48 6.0 7.0 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.0 7.0	1995	1083	185	14.6				634	15	2.3		10.4	
	1996	1964	530	21.3	20	12	14.6	793	20	2.5		16.6	
	1997	1660	189	10.2				779	50	6.0		8.9	
	1998	2573	466	15.3	125	19	13.2					15.2	
2000 877 337 27.8 1818 183 9.1 430 32 6.9 2001 1089 11 1.0 361 24 6.2 2002 2003 752 48 6.0 368 28 7.1 2003 752 48 6.0 368 28 7.1 2004 647 85 11.6 361 25 4.3 476 51 9.7 2004 647 85 11.6 11.2 7.9	1999	1199	349	22.5				386	29	7.0		19.3	
2001 1089 11 1.0 361 24 6.2 2002 752 48 6.0 368 28 7.1 2003 752 48 6.0 551 25 4.3 476 51 9.7 2004 647 85 11.6 7.9 <	2000	877	337	27.8	1818	183	9.1	430	32	6.9		15.0	
2002 368 28 7.1 2003 752 48 6.0 551 25 4.3 476 51 9.7 2004 647 85 11.6 7.9 7.9 7.9 Mean ^f 14.9 11.2 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 7.9 % Change from: 93% N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Mean -22% N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A	2001	1089	5	1.0				361	24	6.2		2.4	
2003 752 48 6.0 551 25 4.3 476 51 9.7 2004 647 85 11.6 7.9	2002							368	28	7.1		7.1	
2004 647 85 11.6 Mean [†] 14.9 11.2 7.9 Mean 14.9 11.2 7.9 % Change from: 93% N/A N/A 2003 93% N/A N/A Mean -22% N/A N/A	2003	752	48	6.0				551	25	4.3	476 51 9.	7 6.5	
Mean ^f 14.9 11.2 7.9 % Change from: 93% N/A N/A 2003 93% N/A N/A Mean -22% N/A N/A N/A	2004	647	85	11.6								11.6	
% Change from: 2003 93% N/A N/A N/A Mean -22% N/A N/A N/A	Mean ^f			14.9			11.2			7.9		12.3	
2003 93% N/A N/A N/A Mean -22% N/A N/A N/A N/A	% Change from:												
Mean -22% N/A N/A N/A N/A	2003			93%			N/A			N/A	Ń	۲ 78%	
	Mean			-22%			N/A			N/A	/N	۲ -5%	

Table 2. Historical winter productivity records for brant in the Pacific Flyway, 1983-2004.

^a Surveys conducted some time between November of the stated year and February of the next year.

^b Data supplied by Russ Canniff and Washington Department of Wildlife. A high proportion of these birds are the "gray-bellied" variety.

 $^\circ$ Data supplied by Willapa National Wildlife Refuge and Washington Department of Wildlife.

^d Data supplied by Washington Maritime National Wildlife Refuge Complex.

^e Data supplied by Oregon Coast National Wildlife Refuge Complex.

^f Mean excludes 2004.

Table 3. Results of late	-summer 2004 prc	ductivity surv	eys for tru	mpeter sv	vans in Al	laska. ^a						
	Number of			Adults an	d Subadı	ults						
Area	1:63,360 Maps Surveved	Date(s) Surveved	ln Pairs	As Sincles	ln Flocks	Subtotal	Cvanets	Total Swans	Broods	Mean Brood Size	, VIII. %	% Pairs w/ Brood
Koyukuk/Nowitna/				0								
Kaiyuh Flats	13	8/5-9/2	462	69	213	744	257	1001	85	3.0	25.7	33.3
Tanana Flats	5	8/24-9/7	116	4	15	145	35	180	16	2.2	19.4	27.6
Copper River Delta	11	8/23-8/25	446	27	497	026	189	1159	59	3.2	16.3	26.0
Southeast Alaska	10 ^b	8/11-8/16	62	8	67	137	48	185	17	2.8	25.9	51.6
Total	39		1086	118	792	1996	529	2525	177	3.0	21.0	30.8

^a Data supplied by Koyukuk/Nowitna NWR, U.S. Army Ft. Wainwright, USFS Cordova Ranger District, and USFWS Migratory Bird Management Juneau.

^b One map was only partially surveyed.

	Number of		Adults and	I Subadult	S						
Үеаг	1:63,360 Maps Surveved	n Pairs	As Sincles	ln Flocks	Subtotal	C.vonets	Total Swans	Broods	Mean Brood Size	VIII. %	% Pairs w/ Brood
200	car voy ca		0016110		Cablola	c)glice	0.000	2000	010 0001		
1968	181	1320	108	496	1924	923	2847	257	3.6	32.4	35.4
1975	285	2102	151	740	2993	1177	4170	378	3.1	28.2	35.4
1978	13	284	36	130	450	116	566	37	3.1	20.5	26.1
1979	13	264	26	229	519	164	683	46	3.6	24.0	32.6
1980	297	3324	169	1766	5259	2437	7696	683	3.6	31.7	40.3
1981	19	632	23	673	1328	547	1875	136	4.0	29.2	41.5
1982	36	1164	97	443	1704	421	2125	138	3.1	19.8	23.4
1983	46	1260	69	488	1817	903	2720	230	3.9	33.2	35.7
1984	43	1358	125	780	2263	755	3018	230	3.3	25.0	33.1
1985	425	5120	449	2204	7773	1686	9459	588	2.9	17.8	22.6
1986	113	2560	184	678	3422	1349	4771	438	3.1	28.3	33.3
1987	73	1640	108	760	2508	1030	3538	294	3.5	29.1	35.7
1988	54	1610	103	1203	2916	1087	4003	322	3.4	27.2	39.1
1989	63	1150	105	295	1550	488	2038	158	3.1	23.9	26.8
1990	625	7056	647	2039	9742	3595	13337	1124	3.2	27.0	31.2
1991	61	1968	123	936	3027	923	3950	322	2.9	23.4	32.1
1992	80	1592	119	819	2530	825	3355	270	3.1	24.6	32.9
1993	76	1766	127	663	2556	1080	3636	341	3.2	29.7	37.0
1994	69	1982	128	1094	3204	1196	4400	374	3.2	27.2	37.2
1995	674	7946	859	3184	11989	3834	15823	1218	3.1	24.2	30.1
1996	50	1624	116	1042	2782	814	3596	256	3.2	22.6	30.5
1997	46	1212	72	566	1850	584	2434	189	3.1	24.0	30.5
1998	51	1702	104	740	2546	976	3522	281	3.5	27.7	32.4
1999	27	508	36	212	756	228	984	71	3.2	23.2	26.0
2000	733	9986	899	3049	13934	3223	17157	1149	2.8	18.8	22.4
2001	22	1164	99	491	1721	531	2252	168	3.2	23.6	28.0
2002	35	1118	111	521	1750	488	2238	165	3.0	21.8	28.3
2003	55	2066	206	844	3116	1212	4328	407	3.0	28.0	37.5
2004	39	1086	118	792	1996	529	2525	177	3.0	21.0	30.8
Mean ^b									3.3	25.6	32.0
% Change fro	m:										
2003									%0	-25%	-18%
Mean									-9%	-18%	-4%
^a Complete state agencies to me	wide censuses were et local objectives.	conducted	in 1968, 197!	5, 1980, 19	85, 1990, 1995	, and 2000 (sha	ded in gray). I	n other years,	, surveys were co	nducted by v	/arious

Table 4. Historical late-summer productivity records for trumpeter swans in Alaska, 1968-2004.^a

^b Mean excludes 2004.

			Alaska	٩		Vai	ncouve and. B(5		Skadit V	'allev/Port	Susan. WA	٩	Combined Productivity
Year ^a	Ad.	Juv.	% Juv.	No. Fam	. Juv./Fam.	Ad.	Juv.	% Juv.	Ad.	Juv.	% Juv.	No. Fam.	Juv./Fam.	<u>، ۷</u> ۵۷.
1977									214	70	24.6			24.6
1978						384	134	25.9	218	76	25.9			25.9
1979	431	129	23.0	15	2.60	459	158	25.6	273	82	23.1			24.1
1980	167	65	28.0	27	2.41	499	211	29.7	310	127	29.1	45	2.82	29.2
1981									316	92	22.5	41	2.24	22.5
1982	110	35	24.1	14	2.50				339	56	14.2	24	2.33	16.9
1983	115	29	20.1	4	1.50	533	113	17.5	330	94	22.2	39	2.41	19.4
1984	109	79	42.0	5	2.40	1101	216	16.4	359	62	14.7	29	2.14	18.5
1985	95	14	12.8	~	2.00	1336	98	6.8	340	44	11.5	22	2.00	8.1
1986	146	40	21.5	7	1.29	1228	280	18.6	356	113	24.1	49	2.31	20.0
1987	146	52	26.3	20	2.60	1081	334	23.6	347	133	27.7	49	2.71	24.8
1988	164	52	24.1			1353	304	18.3	473	111	19.0	48	2.31	19.0
1989	239	55	18.7			1209	194	13.8	568	128	18.4			15.8
1990	266	57	17.6	14	2.21	1553	295	16.0	678	111	14.1			15.6
1991	696	267	27.7	21	2.67	1049	165	13.6	810	155	16.1	64	2.42	18.7
1992	578	169	22.6	19	2.53	1639	149	8.3	905	94	9.4	45	2.09	11.7
1993	667	322	32.6	30	2.70	1801	530	22.7	762	233	23.4	167	2.40	25.1
1994	562	190	25.3	15	3.27	1543	536	25.8	927	242	20.7	112	2.41	24.2
1995	294	61	17.2			1427	398	21.8	1187	239	16.8	83	2.46	19.4
1996						1307	195	13.0	1774	312	15.0	93	2.31	14.1
1997						1540	272	15.0	1569	249	13.7	102	2.23	14.4
1998	272	35	11.4			1427	286	16.7	2180	381	14.9	76	2.34	15.3
1999	338	59	14.9			1380	198	12.5	2384	336	12.4	67	2.03	12.6
2000	585	118	16.8			1612	275	14.6	2256	355	13.6	84	2.04	14.4
2001	191	79	29.3			1763	204	10.4	1936	366	15.9	53	2.19	14.3
2002	76	17	18.3			1659	263	13.7	2256	521	18.8	149	2.31	16.7
2003	580	151	20.7			1479	339	18.6	4158	912	18.0	210	2.19	18.4
2004	508	84	14.2			1886	377	16.7	3301	706	17.6	106	2.50	17.0
Mean ^e			22.5		2.36			17.5			18.5		2.30	18.7
% Change from:														
2003			-31%		N/A			-10%			-2%		14%	-8%
Mean			-37%		N/A			-4%			-5%		6%	%6-

Table 5. Historical winter productivity records for trumpeter swans in the Pacific Flyway, 1977-2004.

^a Surveys conducted between November of the given year and February of the next year.

^b Data supplied by AK Dept. of Fish and Game, USFS Cordova and Yakutat, AK, USFWS Region 7 Migratory Bird Management, Peter Walsh, and Paul Meyers. ^c Data supplied by British Columbia Ministry of Environment, Land, and Parks, Comox Valley Naturalists Society, and Graeme Fowler.

^d Data supplied by Russ Canniff.

^e Mean excludes 2004.

		Grouped Birds		Far	nily Associa	tions ^b
Year	Adults	Juveniles	% Juv.	Families	Juveniles	Juv./Family
1966	699	265	27.5	132	331	2.51
1967	1457	585	28.6	66	215	3.26
1968	1195	585	32.9	40	112	2.80
1969	4149	2980	41.8	161	530	3.29
1970	9722	4933	33.7	383	1115	2.91
1971	8142	3458	29.8	484	1318	2.72
1972	4680	2270	32.7	210	641	3.05
1973						
1974	2025	377	15.7	50	130	2.60
1975	744	405	35.2	51	149	2.92
1976	1923	324	14.4	207	567	2.74
1977	996	683	40.7	108	302	2.80
1978	1395	495	26.2	62	188	3.03
1979	841	113	11.8	117	329	2.81
1980	1446	454	23.9	40	93	2.33
1981	1527	747	32.8	235	750	3.19
1982	1653	140	7.8	32	85	2.66
1983	1326	543	29.1	192	612	3.19
1984	2753	795	22.4	80	230	2.88
1985	2245	503	18.3	125	354	2.83
1986	3283	1381	29.6	266	794	2.98
1987	1706	808	32.1	305	993	3.26
1988	3884	1242	24.2	200	616	3.08
1989	3811	1136	23.0	145	455	3.14
1990	4002	1068	21.1	97	309	3.19
1991	8599	2882	25.1	147	480	3.27
1992	9291	1347	12.7	151	451	2.99
1993	13976	2176	13.5	161	441	2.74
1994	4658	792	14.5	301	702	2.33
1995	6434	1618	20.1	99	319	3.22
1996	3128	631	16.8	125	330	2.64
1997	1345	144	9.7	43	114	2.65
1998	1595	432	21.3	97	239	2.46
1999	2395	527	18.0	82	200	2.44
2000	1870	410	18.0	93	192	2.06
2001	1232	228	15.6	42	103	2.45
2002	4789	1842	27.8	260	696	2.68
2003	5744	785	12.0	218	439	2.01
2004	4600	1288	21.9	235	568	2.42
Mean [°]			23.3			2.81
% Change from:						
2003			83%			20%
Mean			-6%			-14%

Table 6. Historical fall productivity records (from ground counts) for emperor geese at Izembek Lagoon, AK, 1966-2004.^a

^a Data supplied by Izembek National Wildlife Refuge, USGS Alaska Science Center, and USFWS Region 7 Migratory Bird Management.

^b 1979, 1981, and 1987 data include Izembek Lagoon and Alaska Peninsula; 1984-1995 data include Izembek Lagoon and Nelson Lagoon.

^c Mean excludes 2004.

		No. Birds		
Year	No. Photos	Aged in Photos	% Juvenile ^b	
1985	155	3193	16.5	
1986	311	6380	25.4	
1987	703	10177	22.8	
1988	483	11180	24.4	
1989	390	12718	21.9	
1990	474	13541	24.1	
1991	412	14569	23.2	
1992	403	14832	15.5	
1993	255	5735	24.2	
1994	479	16881	22.8	
1995	361	11664	25.5	
1996	182	10793	17.8	
1997	205	11138	11.1	
1998	336	16544	11.8	
1999	392	13489	17.8	
2000	263	7748	11.2	
2001	365	11186	11.5	
2002	402	6458	17.8	
2003	421	8686	9.3	
2004	370	6237	11.1	
Mean ^c			18.7	
% Change from:				
2003			19%	
Mean			-41%	

Table 7.	Historical fall productivity	records (from	aerial photos)	for emperor	geese on the	Alaska
	Peninsula, 1985-2004. ^a					

^a Data supplied by USFWS Migratory Bird Management, Anchorage and Fairbanks, AK.

^b Mean of % juvenile in each of 7 lagoons from photo samples, weighted by the population counts of those lagoons from an independent aerial survey.

^c Mean excludes 2004.

		No Geese	
Year	% Juvenile	Sampled	
1071	16.0	5717	
1971	10.2	9102	
1972	10.0	0193 5973	
1973	50.0	9100	
1974	17.0	8000	
1975	17.9	0990 7002	
1970	24.Z	7092	
1977	44.3		
1970	24.0	12700	
1979	10.0	7500	
1900	23.7	7500	
1901	17.9	0/40	
1902	23.7	04/3	
1983	15.0	7740	
1984	18.3	11913	
1985	3.7	13/80	
1986	10.7	13309	
1987	9.8	12448	
1988	22.5	6917	
1989	8.6	6114	
1990	23.5	5530	
1991	21.5	7098	
1992	23.1	/633	
1993	5.0	4542	
1994	5.7	6977	
1995	3.9	5818	
1996	21.7	6329	
1997	10.5	6253	
1998	11.7	4919	
1999	14.7	4156	
2000	24.1	4397	
2001	25.4	3165	
2002	30.5	3708	
2003	7.2	5929	
2004	27.8	5678	
Mean	18.9		
% Change from:	0000		
2003	286%		
Mean	47%		

Table 8. Historical productivity data for dusky Canada geese on the Copper River Delta, AK, from July aerial surveys, 1971-2004.^a

^a Data supplied by Alaska Department of Fish and Game.

^b Mean excludes 2004.