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## I. GENERAL INFORMATION:

A. File Number: NADA 141-286
B. Sponsor:
Intervet Inc.
P.O. Box 31829160 Intervet Lane
Millsboro, DE 19966
Drug Labeler Code: 057926
C. Proprietary Name(s): PANACUR Plus Soft Chews
D. Established Name(s): Fenbendazole/ivermectin/praziquantel
E. Pharmacological Category: Antiparasitic
F. Dosage Form(s): Chewable tablets
G. Amount of Active Ingredient(s):
2.16 g small chews: $\quad 454 \mathrm{mg}$ fenbendazole 27 mcg ivermectin 23 mg praziquantel
5.4 g large chews: $\quad 1,134 \mathrm{mg}$ fenbendazole 68 mcg ivermectin 57 mg praziquantel
H. How Supplied:I. How Dispensed:Rx
J. Dosage(s):PANACUR Plus Soft Chews should beadministered orally at monthly intervals at therecommended minimum dose level of 100 mgfenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mgpraziquantel per kg of body weight.
K. Route(s) of Administration: ..... Oral
L. Species/Class(es): ..... Dogs
M. Indication(s):
canis (roundworm), Ancylostoma caninum (hookworm), Trichuris vulpis (whipworm), and Dipylidium caninum (tapeworm), and for the prevention of heartworm disease caused by Dirofilaria immitis in adult dogs.

## II. EFFECTIVENESS:

## A. Dosage Characterization:

The effectiveness of 6 mcg ivermectin per kg body weight against Dirofilaria immitis is well documented in the literature. ${ }^{1,2}$ The effectiveness of 5 mg praziquantel per kg body weight against $D$. caninum is also documented in the literature. ${ }^{3}$ Two dose characterization studies were done to assess the effectiveness of a single dose of 100 mg per kg fenbendazole when administered in combination with 6 mcg ivermectin and 5 mg praziquantel per kg against Ancylostoma caninum (dose-limiting species) and Trichuris vulpis infections in dogs.

## B. Substantial Evidence:

## 1. Dose Confirmation-Toxocara canis

## Study No. 2045-009-01

Title: Dose confirmation study to confirm the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product (PANACUR Plus) when administered to dogs as a single treatment against natural Toxocara canis infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness study
Purpose: This study was performed to assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg body weight against natural infections of $T$. canis in dogs.

[^0]Clinical Investigator: Dwight D. Bowman, Ph.D. College of Veterinary Medicine Cornell University Ithaca, NY

Animals: A total of 20 mixed-breed dogs ( 10 males and 10 females) over three months of age and weighing 6.86 to 35.82 kg ( 15.1 to 78.8 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (10 dogs per group):

Group 1: (5 males, 5 females) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 2: ( 5 males, 5 females) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

Route of Administration: Oral

Test Duration: 8 days
Study Design: Dogs with natural T. canis infections were acquired. Dogs qualified for the study by Day 2 based upon physical examination, serum chemistry, hematology and positive T. canis fecal samples. Dogs were randomly assigned to either the treatment or control group. Treatment (either test article or control) was administered orally on Day 0 and dogs were necropsied on Day 7.

Statistical Methods: For log worm counts, ANOVA was used to compare treatments and contrasts were used to make pairwise comparisons where appropriate.

Results: The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that the control dogs were adequately infected with $T$. canis, the geometric mean worm count for the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group was significantly less than the geometric mean worm count of the control group ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), and the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $94.8 \%$ ( $\geq 90 \%$ ) effective against natural $T$. canis infections. See Table 1 below.

Table 1. Effectiveness of PANACUR Plus Soft Chew against natural T. canis infection

| Treatment | Geometric Mean | \% Effectiveness |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IFP* combination | 0.2 | $94.8 \%$ |
| Control | 4.4 | --- |

*IFP-ivermectin, fenbendazole, praziquantel

Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against natural infections of T. canis in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: There were no adverse reactions reported in this study.

## Study No. 2045-014-01

Title: Dose confirmation study to confirm the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product (PANACUR Plus) when administered to dogs as a single treatment against induced Toxocara canis infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness study.
Purpose: This study was performed to assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg body weight against induced infections of $T$. canis in dogs.

Clinical Investigator: Ms. Robyn Slone
PLRS, Inc.
Corapeake, NC

Animals: A total of 12 purpose-bred Beagles (6 males and 6 females) approximately five months of age and weighing 4.1 to 8.8 kg ( 9.0 to 19.4 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (6 dogs per group):

Group 1: (3 male, 3 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 2: (3 male, 3 female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

## Route of Administration: Oral.

Test Duration: 8 days

Study Design: Dogs were determined to be free of intestinal parasites and were inoculated orally with approximately 300 T. canis eggs on Day -49. Dogs qualified for the study by Day -4 based upon physical examination, hematology, and serum chemistry and presence of induced infection with $T$. canis. Dogs were randomly assigned to either the treatment or control group. Treatment (either test article or control) was administered on Day 0 and dogs were sacrificed and necropsied on Day 7.

Statistical Methods: For log worm counts, ANOVA was used to compare treatments and contrasts were used to make pairwise comparisons where appropriate.

Results: The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that the control dogs were adequately infected with T. canis. The geometric mean worm count in the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group was significantly less than the geometric mean worm count of the control ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), and the PANACUR Plus soft chew was $92.9 \%$ ( $\geq 90 \%$ ) effective against induced infections of T. canis. See Table 2 below.

Table 2. Effectiveness of PANACUR Plus Soft Chew against induced T. canis infection

| Treatment | Geometric Mean | \% Effectiveness |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IFP* combination | 0.9 | $92.9 \%$ |
| Control | 12.8 | --- |

*IFP-ivermectin, fenbendazole, praziquantel
Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against induced infections of $T$. canis in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: Self-limiting loose watery stool occurred twice in one dog receiving the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews.

## 2. Dose Confirmation-Ancylostoma caninum

## Study Number 2045-006-03

Title: Dose confirmation study to confirm the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin and praziquantel combination soft chew product when administered to dogs as a single treatment against natural Ancylostoma caninum infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness study
Purpose: This study was performed to assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel minimum per kg bodyweight against natural infections of A. caninum in dogs.

Clinical Investigator: Prof. Dawie Kok<br>ClinVet International (Pty) Ltd Bloemfontein, Republic of South Africa

Animals: A total of 24 adult mixed-breed dogs (11 males and 13 females) weighing 4.95 to 16.68 kg ( 10.9 to 36.7 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (12 dogs per group):

Group 1: (6 male and 6 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 2: ( 5 male and 7 female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

Route of Administration: Oral
Test Duration: 7 days
Study Design: Dogs were identified as naturally infected with A. caninum by fecal examination for the presence of eggs. They were then ranked by mean fecal egg count in descending order and randomly assigned to either the treatment or control group. Treatment (either test article or control) was administered on Day 0 and dogs were sacrificed and necropsied on Day 7.

Statistical Methods: For log worm counts, ANOVA was used to compare treatments and contrasts were used to make pairwise comparisons where appropriate.

Results: The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that the control dogs were adequately infected by A. caninum. The geometric mean worm count in the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group was significantly less than the geometric mean worm count of the control group ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), and the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $94.6 \%$ ( $\geq 90 \%$ ) effective against natural infections of A. caninum. See Table 3 below.

Table 3. Effectiveness of PANACUR Plus Soft Chew against natural A. caninum infection

| Treatment | Geometric Mean | \% Effectiveness |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IFP* combination | 1.4 | $94.6 \%$ |
| Control | 25.6 | --- |

*IFP-ivermectin, fenbendazole, praziquantel
Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against natural infections of $A$. caninum in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: One dog administered the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews experienced loose stool on three separate days post-treatment.

## Study Number 2045-007-01

Title: Dose confirmation, non-interference study to evaluate the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin and praziquantel combination soft chew product when administered to dogs as a single treatment against induced Ancylostoma caninum infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness and non-interference study.
Purpose: The objectives of this study were to a) assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel minimum per kg bodyweight against induced infections of $A$. caninum in dogs, b) demonstrate that praziquantel alone is not effective against induced $A$. caninum infections in dogs, and c) demonstrate that ivermectin alone is not effective against induced $A$. caninum infections in dogs.

Clinical Investigator: Dr. Brian Schricker
Liberty Research Inc.
Waverly, NY
Animals: A total of 40 adult purpose-bred Beagles ( 20 males and 20 females) weighing 8 to 17 kg ( 17.6 to 37.4 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (10 dogs per group):

Group 1: (5 male, 5 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 2: (5 male, 5 female) Ivermectin soft chew (a minimum of 6 mcg ivermectin per kg bodyweight).
Group 3: (5 male, 5 female) Praziquantel soft chew (a minimum of 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).
Group 4: (5 male, 5 female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

## Route of Administration: Oral

Test Duration: 8 days

Study Design: Dogs were inoculated orally with approximately 300 viable $\mathrm{L}_{3} A$. caninum larvae 28 days prior to treatment. Dogs were ranked by mean fecal egg count in descending order within sex prior to randomization to treatment.
Treatment (either test article, praziquantel only, ivermectin only, or control) was administered on Day 0. Necropsies and parasite counts were done on Day 7.

Statistical Methods: For log worm counts, ANOVA was used to compare treatments and contrasts were used to make pairwise comparisons where appropriate.

Results: The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that the control dogs were adequately infected with $A$. caninum. The geometric mean worm count in the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group was significantly less than the geometric mean worm count of the control group ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), and the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $96.2 \%$ ( $\geq 90 \%$ ) effective against induced infection of $A$. caninum.

The worm counts at necropsy demonstrated that ivermectin alone was 95.3 \% ( $\geq$ $90 \%$ ) effective against $A$. caninum, and the geometric mean worm count of the combination group was not significantly greater than the geometric mean worm count of the ivermectin group ( $\mathrm{p}=0.375$ ). Thus, ivermectin was effective against induced infections of $A$. caninum.

The worm counts at necropsy demonstrated that praziquantel alone was 0 \% (< $90 \%$ ) effective against $A$. caninum, and the geometric mean worm count of the combination group was significantly less than the geometric mean worm count of praziquantel group ( p 0.001 ). Thus, praziquantel was not effective against induced infections of $A$. caninum. See Table 4 below.

Table 4. Effectiveness of PANACUR Plus Soft Chew, praziquantel, or ivermectin against induced $A$. caninum infection

| Treatment | Geometric Mean | \% Effectiveness |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IFP* combination | 1.7 | $96.2 \%$ |
| Praziquantel | 53.1 | $0 \%$ |
| Ivermectin | 2.1 | $95.3 \%$ |
| Control | 45.7 | --- |

*IFP-ivermectin, fenbendazole, praziquantel
Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against induced infections of A. caninum in dogs. Praziquantel alone was not effective against induced infections of A. caninum in dogs. Ivermectin alone dosed at up to $11.7 \mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{kg}$ bodyweight was effective against induced infections of A. caninum in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: Six of the ten dogs in each group (60 \%), experienced some type of adverse reaction either the day of treatment or on Day 1 through Day 7. For the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group, four dogs had diarrhea, and two of the dogs experienced both diarrhea and vomiting. In the ivermectin group, two dogs had diarrhea/soft stool, one dog vomited, and three dogs experienced episodes of both vomiting and diarrhea/soft stool. In the praziquantel group, three dogs had diarrhea/soft stool, two dogs experienced episodes of both vomiting and diarrhea, and one dog experienced excess salivation. In the control group, six dogs had diarrhea/soft stool. Between Days -13 and -1 of the study, 27 of the total 40 dogs experienced at least one episode of diarrhea/soft stool. Diarrhea/soft stool occurred more frequently on treatment day (Day 0) than on any other day in the study (Day 13 through Day 7). Vomiting and salivation occurred only after treatment.

## 3. Dose confirmation-Trichuris vulpis

## Study No. 2045-015-01

Title: Dose confirmation study to confirm the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product (PANACUR Plus) when administered to dogs as a single treatment against induced Trichuris vulpis infections.

Type of study: Laboratory effectiveness study.
Purpose: This study was performed to assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg body weight against induced infections of $T$. vulpis in dogs.

Clinical Investigator: Ms. Robyn Slone
PLRS, Inc.
Corapeake, NC
Animals: A total of 20 purpose-bred Beagles (10 males and 10 females) approximately seven months of age and weighing 6.18 to 11.1 kg (13.6 to 22.2 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (10 dogs per group):

Group 1: (5 male, 5 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 2: (5 male, 5 female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

Route of Administration: Oral
Test Duration: 8 days
Study Design: Dogs were determined to be free of intestinal nematode parasite infection and then inoculated orally with approximately 700 larvated infective $T$. vulpis ova on Day -81. Dogs qualified for the study by Day -5 , prior to dosing, based upon physical examination, hematology, serum chemistry, and presence of induced infection with T. vulpis. Dogs were randomly assigned to either the treatment or control group. Treatment (either test article or control) was administered on Day 0 and dogs were sacrificed and necropsied on Day 7.

Statistical Methods: For log worm counts, ANOVA was used to compare treatments and contrasts were used to make pairwise comparisons where appropriate.

Results: The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that the control dogs were adequately infected by T. vulpis. The geometric mean worm count in the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group was significantly less than the geometric mean worm count of the control group ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), and the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $99.2 \%(\geq 90 \%)$ effective against induced infections of $T$. vulpis in dogs. See Table 5 below.

Table 5. Effectiveness of PANACUR Plus Soft Chew against induced T. vulpis infection

| Treatment | Geometric Mean | \% Effectiveness |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IFP* combination | 0.3 | $99.2 \%$ |
| Control | 35.5 | --- |

*IFP-ivermectin, fenbendazole, praziquantel
Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against induced infections of T. vulpis in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: Two adverse reactions were reported in the study. Both events were instances of self-limiting diarrhea and occurred in one dog in the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group and one dog in the control group.

## 4. Dose confirmation and non-interference-Trichuris vulpis

Study No. 2045-018-01

Title: Dose confirmation, non-interference study to confirm the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin and praziquantel combination soft chew product when administered to dogs as a single treatment against natural Trichuris vulpis infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness and non-interference study.
Purpose: The objectives of this study were to a) assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of approximately 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg body weight against natural infections of T. vulpis in dogs, b) demonstrate that ivermectin alone is not effective against natural $T$. vulpis infections in dogs, and c) demonstrate that praziquantel alone is not effective against natural $T$. vulpis infections in dogs.

Clinical Investigator: Dwight D. Bowman, Ph.D. College of Veterinary Medicine Cornell University Ithaca, NY

Animals: A total of 40 mixed-breed dogs ( 20 males and 20 females) over six months of age and weighing 7.45 to 39.55 kg ( 16.4 to 87.0 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (10 dogs per group):

Group 1: (5 male, 5 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 2: (4 male, 6 female) Ivermectin soft chews (a minimum of 6 mcg ivermectin per kg bodyweight).

Group 3: (5 male, 5 female) Praziquantel soft chews (a minimum of 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 4: (6 male, 4 female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

Route of Administration: Oral
Test Duration: 8 days
Study Design: Dogs with natural T. vulpis infections were acquired. Dogs qualified for the study by Day -2 based upon physical examination, serum chemistry, hematology and positive $T$. vulpis fecal samples. Dogs were randomly assigned to
one of the four treatment groups. Treatment (either test article, ivermectin only, praziquantel only, or control) was administered on Day 0, and dogs were necropsied on Day 7.

Statistical Methods: For log worm counts, ANOVA was used to compare treatments and contrasts were used to make pairwise comparisons where appropriate.

Results: The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that the control dogs were adequately infected by $T$. vulpis, the geometric mean worm count in the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group was significantly less than the geometric mean worm count of the control group ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ), and the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $99.6 \%$ ( $\geq 90 \%$ ) effective against natural infections of T. vulpis.

The worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that ivermectin alone was $76.4 \%$ effective against natural infections of T. vulpis ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Thus, ivermectin was not effective ( $<90 \%$ ) against $T$. vulpis.

The worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that praziquantel alone was $27.8 \%$ effective against natural infections of T. vulpis ( $\mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). Thus, praziquantel was not effective ( $<90 \%$ ) against T. vulpis. See Table 6 below.

Table 6. Effectiveness of PANACUR Plus Soft Chew, praziquantel, or ivermectin against natural T. vulpis infection

| Treatment | Geometric Mean | \% Effectiveness |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| IFP* combination | 0.3 | $99.6 \%$ |
| Praziquantel | 58.7 | $27.8 \%$ |
| Ivermectin | 19.2 | $76.4 \%$ |
| Control | 81.3 | --- |

*IFP-ivermectin, fenbendazole, praziquantel
Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against natural infections of T. vulpis in dogs. Ivermectin and praziquantel did not demonstrate effectiveness against natural infections of $T$. vulpis in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: There were no adverse reactions reported in this study.

## 5. Dose confirmation-Dipylidium caninum

## Study Number 2045-004-01

Title: Dose confirmation study to confirm the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product (PANACUR Plus) when administered to dogs as a single treatment against natural Dipylidium caninum infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness study.

Purpose: This study was performed to assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight against natural infections of $D$. caninum in dogs.

Clinical Investigator: Dwight D. Bowman, PhD<br>Cheri-Hill Kennel and Supply<br>Stanwood, MI

Animals: A total of 20 adult mixed-breed dogs (12 males and 8 females) weighing 14.55 to 39.32 kg ( 32.0 to 86.5 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (10 dogs per group):

Group 1: (5 male, 5 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 2: ( $7 \mathrm{male}, 3$ female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

## Route of Administration: Oral.

Test Duration: 12 days
Study Design: Dogs were identified as naturally infected with $D$. caninum by fecal examination for presence of proglottids, and were randomly assigned to either the treatment or control group. Treatment (either test article, ivermectin only, praziquantel only, or control) was administered on Day 0. Necropsies and parasite counts were done on Day 12.

Statistical Methods: For log scolex counts, ANOVA was used to compare treatments and contrasts were used to make pairwise comparisons where appropriate.

Results: The results of the scolex counts at necropsy demonstrated that the control animals were adequately infected with $D$. caninum. The geometric mean scolex count in the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group was significantly less than the mean scolex count of the control group ( $\mathrm{p}=0.002$ ), and the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $100 \%$ effective against natural infections of $D$. caninum in dogs. See Table 7 below.

Table 7. Effectiveness of PANACUR Plus Soft Chew against natural D. caninum infection

| Treatment | Geometric Mean | Percent Effectiveness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IFP* combination | 0.00 | $100 \%$ |


| Control | 7.03 | --- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against natural infections of $D$. caninum in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: There were no adverse reactions reported in this study.

## 6. Dose confirmation and non-interference-Dipylidium caninum

## Study Number 2045-005-01

Title: Dose confirmation, non-interference study to confirm the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin and praziquantel combination soft chew product (PANACUR Plus) when administered to dogs as a single treatment against natural Dipylidium caninum infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness and non-interference study.
Purpose: The objectives of this study were to a) assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight against natural infections of $D$. caninum in dogs, b) demonstrate that fenbendazole alone is not effective against natural $D$. caninum infections in dogs, and c) demonstrate that ivermectin alone is not effective against natural $D$. caninum infections in dogs.

Clinical Investigator: Prof. Dawie Kok
ClinVet International (Pty) Ltd
Republic of South Africa.
Animals: A total of 40 adult mixed-breed dogs ( 9 males and 31 females) weighing 3.77 to 13.77 kg ( 8.3 to 30.3 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (10 dogs per group):

Group 1: (2 male, 8 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight).

Group 2: (2 male, 8 female) Fenbendazole soft chews (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole per kg bodyweight).

Group 3: (2 male, 8 female) Ivermectin soft chews (a minimum of 6 mcg ivermectin per kg bodyweight).

Group 4: (3 male, 7 female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

## Route of Administration: Oral

Test Duration: 12 days
Study Design: Dogs were identified as naturally infected with $D$. caninum by fecal examination for presence of proglottids, and were each randomly assigned to one of the study groups. Treatment (either test article, fenbendazole only, ivermectin only, or control) was administered on Day 0. Necropsies were done on Day 12, and parasite counts were performed on fixed specimens on various days within two months of Day 12.

Statistical Methods: For log scolex counts, ANOVA was used to compare treatments and contrasts were used to make pairwise comparisons where appropriate.

Results: The results of the scolex counts at necropsy demonstrate that the control animals were adequately infected by $D$. caninum. The geometric mean scolex count in the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group was significantly less than the geometric mean scolex count of the control group ( $p<0.001$ ), and the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $100 \%$ effective against natural infections of $D$. caninum in dogs.

The scolex counts at necropsy demonstrate that the fenbendazole alone was less than $90 \%$ effective. Thus, fenbendazole was not effective against $D$. caninum.

The scolex counts at necropsy demonstrate that the ivermectin alone was less than $90 \%$ effective. Thus, ivermectin was not effective against $D$. caninum. See Table 8 below.

Table 8. Effectiveness of PANACUR Plus Soft Chew, fenbendazole, or ivermectin against natural $D$. caninum infection

| Treatment | Geometric Mean | \% Effectiveness |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IFP* combination | 0.00 | $100 \%$ |
| Fenbendazole | 19.25 | $0 \%$ |
| Ivermectin | 38.22 | $0 \%$ |
| Placebo | 16.46 | - |
| *IFP-ivermectin |  |  |

*IFP-ivermectin, fenbendazole, praziquantel
Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against natural infections of $D$. caninum in dogs. Fenbendazole and ivermectin did not demonstrate effectiveness against natural infections of $D$. caninum in dogs.
Adverse Reactions: One dog treated with the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews developed dark diarrhea, dehydration and was euthanized six days after treatment.

Pre-treatment clinicopathological findings included hypoalbuminemia, low total protein, and low hematocrit. Necropsy revealed moderate duodenal ulceration, large numbers of Helicobacter organisms in the pylorus, and diffuse mild interstitial pneumonia. The relationship between the necropsy findings and the development of clinical disease after treatment with the drug product is unclear because the dog had evidence of subclinical disease upon enrollment. Diarrhea occurred in one dog in each treatment group and two dogs in the control group.

## 7. Dose confirmation-Dirofilaria immitis

## Study Number 2045-010-01

Title: Dose confirmation study to confirm the efficacy of a palatable fenbendazole, ivermectin and praziquantel combination soft chew product (PANACUR Plus) when administered to dogs as a single treatment against induced Dirofilaria immitis infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness study
Purpose: This study was performed to assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight for prevention of induced infections of $D$. immitis in dogs when given 30 days after infestation.

Clinical Investigator: Dr. John McCall
TRS Labs, Inc.
Athens, GA
Animals: A total of 20 purpose-bred Beagles (10 males and 10 females) approximately six to nine months of age and weighing 8.73 to 14.45 kg (19.2 to 31.8 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (10 dogs per group):

Group 1: (5 male, 5 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight)

Group 2: (5 male, 5 female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

Route of Administration: Oral
Test Duration: 125 days
Study Design: Dogs were determined to be free from existing heartworm infections and healthy on physical exam, hematology and serum chemistry. Dogs were randomly allocated into either the treatment or control group, then inoculated
subcutaneously with approximately 50 viable L3 D. immitis larvae 30 days prior to administration of the test article or control (Day 0). Antigen testing for D. immitis was performed again on Day 90 of the study to detect any potential $D$. immitis infestations that were prepatent at the time the initial heartworm screening was performed. All dogs were observed hourly for 4 hours after treatment then daily until necropsy on Day 125.

Results: The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that the control dogs were adequately infected by $D$. immitis (geometric mean 36.2). No heartworms were recovered from the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group. The PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $100 \%$ effective against induced infections of $D$. immitis in dogs.

Conclusions: The study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against induced infections of $D$. immitis in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: There were no adverse reactions reported in this study.

## 8. Dose confirmation and non-interference-Dirofilaria immitis

## Study Number 2045-011-01

Title: Dose confirmation, non-interference study to confirm the efficacy of a fenbendazole, ivermectin and praziquantel combination soft chew product when administered to dogs as a single treatment against induced Dirofilaria immitis infections.

Type of Study: Laboratory effectiveness and non-interference study
Purpose: The objectives of this study were to a) assess the effectiveness of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product (PANACUR Plus) when administered as a single dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight against experimentally induced heartworm infections (D. immitis) in dogs, b) demonstrate that $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ fenbendazole alone is not effective against experimentally induced $D$. immitis infections in dogs, and c) demonstrate that $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ praziquantel alone is not effective against experimentally induced $D$. immitis infections in dogs.

Clinical Investigator: Dr. John McCall
TRS Labs, Inc.
Athens, GA
Animals: A total of 40 purpose-bred Beagles (20 males and 20 females) approximately six to nine months of age and weighing 6.64 to 15.86 kg (14.6 to 34.9 pounds) at the time of treatment were used in this study.

## Dosage Groups (10 dogs per group):

Group 1: (5 male, 5 female) PANACUR Plus Soft Chew (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight)

Group 2: (5 male, 5 female) Fenbendazole soft chews (a minimum of 100 mg fenbendazole per kg bodyweight)

Group 3: (5 male, 5 female) Praziquantel soft chews (a minimum of 5 mg praziquantel per kg bodyweight)

Group 4: (5 male, 5 female) Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).

## Route of Administration: Oral

Test Duration: 125 days
Study Design: Dogs were determined to be free from existing heartworm infections and healthy on physical exam, hematology and serum chemistry. Dogs were randomly allocated into either the combination soft chew treatment, fenbendazole soft chew treatment, praziquantel soft chew treatment or control groups. All dogs were inoculated subcutaneously with approximately 50 viable L3 D. immitis larvae 30 days prior to administration of either the combination soft chew, fenbendazole soft chew, praziquantel soft chew or control (Day 0). Antigen testing for $D$. immitis was performed again on Day 90 of the study to detect any potential $D$. immitis infestations that were prepatent at the time the initial heartworm screening was performed. All dogs were observed hourly for 4 hours after treatment then daily until necropsy on Day 125.

Results: The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrate that the placebo treated dogs were adequately infected by $D$. immitis (geometric mean 23.3). No heartworms were recovered from the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group. The PANACUR Plus Soft Chew was $100 \%$ effective against induced infections of $D$. immitis in dogs.

The results of the worm counts at necropsy demonstrated that fenbendazole alone and praziquantel alone, were both less than $100 \%$ effective against induced infections of $D$. immitis. Thus, fenbendazole and praziquantel were not effective against $D$. immitis.

Conclusions: This study demonstrated that the PANACUR Plus Soft Chews were effective against induced infections of $D$. immitis in dogs. Fenbendazole and praziquantel did not demonstrate effectiveness against induced infections of D. immitis in dogs.

Adverse Reactions: One dog from the PANACUR Plus Soft Chew group vomited within the one-hour period after dosing. The dog was redosed in accordance to the protocol. No other adverse reactions occurred during the study.

## 9. Field Safety Study:

## Study Number 2045-012-00

Title: Clinical study to evaluate the palatability and safety of fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chews in dogs.

Type of Study: Field safety and palatability study.
Purpose: This study was performed to assess the palatability and safety of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew product administered at a monthly minimum dose of 100 mg fenbendazole, 6 mcg ivermectin, and 5 mg praziquantel per kg body weight in dogs.

## Clinical Investigators:

| Dr. Brett Berryhill | Dr. Diane Cosko |
| :--- | :--- |
| Baton Rouge, LA | Lebec, CA |
| Dr. Garry Cowan | Dr. Richard DeVries |
| Wichita, KS | Albany, NY |
| Dr. Sam Geller | Dr. Donald Heagren |
| Quakertown, PA | Durham, NC |
| Dr. Steve Hodes | Dr. Mark Lapierre |
| Mine Hill, NJ | Greensboro, NC |
| Dr. James Winsor |  |
| Inver Grove Heights, MN |  |

Animals: A total of 106 healthy client-owned dogs ( 53 females and 53 males) were enrolled in the study. Dogs were between 7 months and 13 years of age and weighed 4.5 to 61.64 kg ( 9.9 to 135.6 pounds) in body weight at the time of enrollment.

Dosage Groups: All dogs were offered the investigational drug at the proposed label dose.

Route of Administration: Oral
Test Duration: Approximately 65 days

Study Design: Treatment was given to the dogs by the owners on Day 0 and then monthly until approximately Day 60. The dogs were offered the chews by hand or chews were placed in an empty bowl. If the dog did not accept the chews within 5 minutes, the chews were offered with a small amount of food. If the dog did not consume the chews by these methods, the dose was placed in dog's mouth either broken into pieces or whole and the dog was encouraged to chew. At each dosing, the owners assessed and recorded palatability. Owners observed their dogs for adverse reactions throughout the study. Dogs returned to the investigator between Days 65 and 72 for a final physical examination, serum chemistry, and hematology evaluation.

Palatability was calculated as follows:
The dog's voluntary acceptance percentage was determined with the following formula:

The number of trials where the animal voluntarily consumed the entire dose within 5 minutes when offered by hand or from an empty food bowl $\times 100$ Total number of trials per animal

The average across all dogs was determined with the following formula:
The sum of all the dogs' voluntary acceptance percentages
The total number of dogs
PANACUR Plus was considered palatable if the average of the dog voluntary acceptance percentages was greater than or equal to $70 \%$.

Results: One hundred and six dogs were included in the field study analysis for palatability. By the third dose, the average percent voluntary acceptance for PANACUR Plus was calculated to be $59 \%$ when offered from the hand or from an empty food bowl and $68 \%$ when offered from the hand, from an empty food bowl, or with a small amount of food. Eighteen percent of dogs refused the third dose of PANACUR Plus.

Gastrointestinal disturbances such as diarrhea, change in stool color, soft stool and vomiting were adverse reactions experienced during the study. Table 9 lists the adverse reactions reported and the numbers of dogs experiencing them.

Table 9. Adverse reactions reported in the field study ${ }^{1}$

| Adverse Reaction | No. of Dogs (\%) <br> $\mathbf{N}=105$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Diarrhea / soft stool | $9(8.6)$ |
| Light colored stool | $9(8.6)$ |
| Vomiting | $7(6.7)$ |
| Decreased appetite | $2(1.9)$ |
| Lethargy | $2(1.9)$ |


| Hypersalivation | $1(1.0)$ |
| :--- | :--- |

${ }^{1}$ Dogs may have experienced more than one of the observations during the study.
Conclusions: Gastrointestinal disturbances such as diarrhea or soft stool, change in stool color, and vomiting represented the majority of adverse reactions experienced by dogs in this study. Most of these reactions were mild in nature and resolved with little or no medical intervention, indicating PANACUR Plus Soft Chews are safe for use in dogs. Palatability was not demonstrated in this study. In the event that the product is refused, the label recommends alternative treatment.

## III. TARGET ANIMAL SAFETY:

## A. Safety in Ivermectin-Sensitive Dogs - Study No. 2045-013-01

Title: Safety of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel chewable combination product administered orally to ivermectin-sensitive Collies at 1X, 3X, or 5X the maximum exposure dose for a Shetland Sheepdog.

Study Type: Laboratory safety study

Investigator: Amy Cada, Ph.D.<br>DeSoto Research Farm<br>DeSoto, Kansas

Purpose: This study was performed to determine whether Collies with a known sensitivity to ivermectin would develop signs of ivermectin toxicosis when administered PANACUR Plus Soft Chews at 1X, 3X, or 5X the maximum potential exposure dose. The maximum potential exposure dose was based upon the largest $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ exposure to the active ingredients that could be received by an average sized adult Shetland Sheepdog ( 7.6 kg ), which is the smallest breed identified as having potential ivermectin sensitivity.

Test Animals: Sixteen ivermectin-sensitive Collies (9 males and 7 females), between 7 months and 7 years of age, weighing 16.5 to 33.5 kg ( 36.3 to 73.7 pounds) on Day -2 were enrolled. These dogs were selected on the basis of having previously exhibited clinical signs of ivermectin toxicity following oral administration of 120 $\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ivermectin.

Dogs were ranked according to ivermectin sensitivity (mild, moderate, severe), followed by body weight within sex, beginning with the heaviest dog receiving a severe score. Dogs were then assigned sequentially into four blocks of 4 dogs each, three treatment groups and one control group. Within a block, dogs were randomly allocated to 1 of 4 treatment groups, with a minimum of one female per treatment group.

Table 10. Dosage groups in study number 2045-013-01

| Treatment <br> Group | No. and Gender <br> of Dogs | Fenbendazole <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | Ivermectin <br> $(\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | Praziquantel <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{f}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 X | $2 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{f}$ | 149 | 8.9 | 7.5 |
| 3 X | $3 \mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{f}$ | 447 | 26.7 | 22.5 |
| 5 X | $2 \mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{f}$ | 745 | 44.5 | 37.5 |

${ }^{a}$ Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{m}=$ males, $\mathrm{f}=$ females

Route: Oral
Study Duration: 7 days
Study Schedule and Observations: Test article and control treatments were administered once on Day 0 . Health observations were made at $1,2,3,4,5,6,8,10,12$, 18, and 24 hours post-dose for signs of ivermectin toxicosis. On Days 2 through 6 observations were made at least twice daily. Hematology and clinical chemistry analysis were performed on each animal on Day -4 and Day 6.

Results: A mild ivermectin toxicity reported as mydriasis was observed in one dog treated with the 5 X dose as a single observation 8 hours post-treatment lasting 1 hour. Dogs treated with 0 X and 5 X experienced more soft stools and diarrhea than dogs in the other treatment groups, which was attributed to the laxative effect caused by the large number of chews administered to these dogs. There was no clinically relevant effect on hematology or clinical chemistry.

Conclusion: Oral administration of a 1X and 3X dose of PANACUR Plus Soft Chews to ivermectin-sensitive Collies was not associated with any signs of ivermectin toxicity. At 5X, a mild sign of ivermectin toxicity (mydriasis) was observed in one dog.

## B. Target Animal Safety Study - Study No. 2045-003-01

Title: Target animal safety study in Beagle dogs administered a fenbendazole, ivermectin, and praziquantel combination soft chew at 1X, 3X and 5X the maximum adult toy breed dose rate bi-weekly for a total of 6 treatments.

Study Type: Laboratory safety study

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Investigator: } & \text { Mark Allan, BVSc } \\
& \text { Intervet, Inc. } \\
& \text { DeSoto, Kansas }
\end{array}
$$

Purpose: This study was performed to determine the safety of a fenbendazole, ivermectin, praziquantel soft chew product in Beagle dogs when administered at 1X, 3X,
or 5X the maximum potential exposure dose for an average size adult toy breed dog (3 lbs).

Test Animals: Twenty four $16 \pm 1$ week-old Beagles ( 12 males and 12 females), weighing 4.7 to 6.75 kg ( 10.34 to 14.85 pounds) on Day -1, were blocked by weight and randomized to one of four treatment groups.

Table 11. Dosage groups in study number 2045-003-01

| Treatment <br> Group | No. and Gender <br> of Dogs | Fenbendazole <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | Ivermectin <br> $(\mathrm{mcg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | Praziquantel <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0 \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 3 dogs/gender | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1 X | 3 dogs/gender | 324 | 19.3 | 16.4 |
| 3 X | 3 dogs/gender | 972 | 57.9 | 49.2 |
| 5 X | 3 dogs/gender | 1620 | 81.5 | 82.0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Control ( $0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ delivered in the tablet formulation without active ingredients).
Route: Oral
Study Duration: 77 days
Study Schedule and Observations: Dogs were dosed once (by oral administration) every two weeks for a total of six treatments on Days $0,14,28,42,56$, and 70 with either the test article or control. Physical exams were performed on Days $-10,-2,19,40,61$, and 75 . Body weight was measured on Days $-10,-1,6,13,20,27,34,41,48,55,62,69$, and day 76 or 77 . Hematology, serum chemistry, and urinalysis samples were collected on Days $-10,-3,20,41,62$, and Day 76 or 77 . Necropsies were performed on Day 76 or 77.

Statistical Methods: For variables measured multiple days during the study, such as hematology, a repeated measures analysis of variance was used to test the effects of treatment, treatment by day, treatment by sex, and treatment by sex by day. For variables measured once, such as organ weights, an analysis was used to test the effects of treatment and treatment by sex. When present, pre-treatment measurements were included as covariates. Follow-up pairwise mean comparisons between the control group and the treated groups were performed, as necessary. For categorical variables, a Fisher's Exact Test was performed by day and treatment.

Results: All dogs remained healthy and gained weight throughout the study. There were no clinically relevant treatment related changes in food consumption, hematology, clinical chemistries, coagulation parameters, urinalyses, necropsies, or histopathology.

The primary clinical signs were gastrointestinal reactions, including soft feces, diarrhea and vomiting, observed within 24 hours of dosing in all groups. Abnormal feces were attributed to a laxative effect produced by the large quantity of chews ingested by dogs in the control and 5X groups.

A significant increase in vomiting ( $\mathrm{p}=0.06$ ) was observed 4 hours post-dosing in the 5 X group on 3 out of 6 dosing days. One dog in the 5X group displayed mild salivation associated with vomiting on Day 56 (treatment \#5).

Conclusion: This study demonstrates the safety of PANACUR Plus in adult dogs at doses equivalent to the maximum exposure dose for an average adult toy breed dog (3 lbs). Adverse reactions were mild and included soft feces, diarrhea and vomiting.

## IV. HUMAN FOOD SAFETY:

This drug is intended for use in dogs, which are non-food animals. Because this new animal drug is not intended for use in food producing animals, CVM did not require data pertaining to drug residues in food (i.e., human food safety) for approval of this NADA.

## V. USER SAFETY:

The product labeling contains the following information regarding safety to humans handling, administering, or exposed to PANACUR Plus:

Human Warnings are provided on the product label as follows: "Not for human use.
Keep this and all drugs out of the reach of children. If accidental ingestion occurs, contact a Poison Control Center or a physician immediately."

## VI. AGENCY CONCLUSIONS:

The data submitted in support of this NADA satisfy the requirements of section 512 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and 21 CFR Part 514. The data demonstrate that PANACUR Plus, when used according to the label, is safe and effective for the treatment and control of adult Toxocara canis (roundworm), Ancylostoma caninum (hookworm), Trichuris vulpis (whipworm), and Dipylidium caninum (tapeworm) and for the prevention of heartworm disease caused by Dirofilaria immitis in adult dogs.

## A. Marketing Status:

This product may be dispensed only by or on the lawful order of a licensed veterinarian (Rx marketing status). Adequate directions for lay use cannot be written because professional expertise and proper diagnosis are required to determine the existence of heartworm infections and to monitor safe use of the product.

## B. Exclusivity:

Under section 512(c)(2)(F)(ii) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, this approval qualifies for THREE years of marketing exclusivity beginning on the date of the approval.

## C. Patent Information:

The sponsor did not submit any patent information with this application.

## VII. ATTACHMENTS:

Facsimile Labeling:
Package Insert
Owner Information Bag (Front and Back)
Blister Label - Small Size ( 2.16 g) Soft Chew
Blister Label - Large Size ( 5.4 g) Soft Chew
Small Carton Label
Large Carton Label
Finished Carton Diagram
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