Appendix O AASF #2

Baseline Inventory

A baseline inventory is necessary for two reasons. The quantities of waste generation or toxic material use are assessed to target specific waste streams, materials being used, or activities for pollution prevention. annual reports on waste generation and toxic material use will be compared with the baseline inventories to evaluate the effectiveness of pollution prevention projects and to monitor progress in achieving the Army Aviation Support Facility's pollution prevention goals. A baseline inventory for AASF #2 is not available.

| BASELINE INVENTORY FOR AASF #2 1994 | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Waste Type | RCRA Waste Code(s) | Waste (lbs) | % of Total Waste | Process or Operation Generating Waste | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | AASF #2 POLLUTION PREVENTION GOALS | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Waste Type | Subtype | Reduction Goal (%) | Baseline Year | Target Year | | | | | |
| Hazardous Waste | | | | | | | | | |
| Solid Waste | | | | | | | | | |
| Ozone Depleting Chemical Use | | | | | | | | | |
| TRI Reportable Releases | | | | | | | | | |

Pollution Prevention Opportunity Assessment

The PPOA enables the Army Aviation Support Facility to examine the alternatives available for pollution prevention. The modules identify the waste stream and the operations from which the stream may be generated, describe the process, and present several pollution prevention alternatives. Each alternative is described along with its advantages and disadvantages.

Assessment modules that apply to the AASF are:

Electronic Equipment Battery Changeout Halon Use in Fire Extinguishers Manual Surface Preparation Using Rags Refrigerants (CFCs) from Refrigeration, Cooling-Equipment Maintenance Solid Waste Aircraft Washing Waste Solvents from Parts Cleaning

Past Pollution Prevention Projects

The status of past pollution prevention projects are discussed. Each project is described to include location implemented, implementation date, targeted waste type (e.g., hazardous waste, EPA Toxic 17 Wastes, ozone-depleting chemical), actual waste, actual implementation costs, actual savings, and funding sources.

Project Title: ZEP Parts Washer Description: Replace Safety Kleen parts washer with the ZEP washer that uses an aqueous based solution. OR23000001. Location: AASF #1 Implementation Date: 1993 Targeted Waste Type(s): Hazardous Wastes, EPA Toxic 17 Waste Reduction: Implementation Costs: Savings: Elimination of the waste stream has saved the installation _____ per year in reduced waste disposal cost. Funding Source: Year end funds.

Project Title: Aerosol Can Depressurizer

Description: A Lab Safety Aerosol Can Depressurizer that relieves the pressure in aerosol cans and allows the residual contents to be collected for disposal. With the contents thoroughly depleted the can may be recycled as scrap metal. EPR number OR 00099004.
Location: AASF #2
Implementation Date: 2000
Targeted Waste Type(s): Solid Waste (metal), Reactive Hazardous Waste generic
Waste Reduction: Metal, Reactive HW
Implementation Costs: \$577.00
Savings: \$1,350.00
Funding Source: 2000 year end funds

Project Title: Weapons Cleaning/Parts Washer System IT48WC **Description:** The Inland Technology IT-48WC Weapons Cleaning System NSN 6850-01-397-2539 is a high volume usage system that recycles the Breakthrough solvent continuously through a high efficiency filtration system. EPR number OR00099002. Location: AASF #2 Implementation Date: 2000 Targeted Waste Type(s): Other Hazardous Materials Waste Reduction: 1,1,1-Trichloroethane Implementation Costs: \$3,684.15 Savings: \$2,031.00 Funding Source: 2000 year end funds.

Project Title: Secondary Containment Structures
Description: As required by the SPCCP for this facility and 40 CFR 112.3 and OAR 340-047-0160. A secondary containment structure is needed to be built to house the fuel hauling vehicles that are located at this facility. EPR OR15200001.
Location: AASF#2
Implementation Date: 2002
Targeted Waste Type(s): Petroleum's, Oils and Lubricants
Waste Reduction: Soil contamination.
Implementation Costs: \$72,218
Savings:
Funding Source: NGB

Current Pollution Prevention Projects

The status of currently funded pollution prevention projects are discussed next. Each project will be described to include location to be implemented, anticipated implementation date, targeted waste type (e.g., hazardous waste, EPA Toxic 17 Wastes, ozone-depleting chemicals), expected waste reduction, estimated implementation costs, estimated savings, and funding sources.

Project Title: Propane Cylinder Recycling System

Description: The New Pig ProSolve system safely removes the valve stem so canister can be recycled as scrap steel. Activated carbon filters help remove Volatile Organic Compounds from propellant. EPR number OR00000001.
Location: AASF #2
Implementation Date:
Targeted Waste Type(s): Reactive hazardous waste - generic compressed gas, Volatile Organic Compounds.
Waste Reduction: Metal, Reactive HW
Implementation Costs: \$697.44 ea
Savings: \$5,112.00
Funding Source: AGI-EPR

Future Pollution Prevention Projects

The status of proposed pollution prevention projects is discussed next. Each project will be described to include location to be implemented, anticipated implementation date, targeted waste type (e.g., hazardous waste, EPA Toxic 17 Wastes, ozone-depleting chemicals), expected waste reduction, estimated implementation costs, estimated saving, and funding sources.

| ECONOMIC ANALYSIS SUMMARY FOR FUTURE POLLUTION PREVENTION PROJECTS | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Polluting Process | P2 Opportunity | Investment Cost (\$) | Net Annual Savings (\$) | Payback Period (Years) | Net Present Value of Operation (\$) | | |
| Safety Kleen | Solvent Waste Station Purchase and Modification | 198,500 | (5,841) | No Payback | (243,603) | | |
| Safety Kleen | Aqueous Cleaner with Jetwasher | 701,050 | 44,639 | 15.7 | (356,345) | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| POLLUTION PREVENTION IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR FUTURE PROJECTS | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|---------|--------|--------|------|---------|--|
| Project Title | LocationWaste TypeReduction Expected (lbs/year)Estimated Cost(\$)Estimated Savings (\$/yr)Expected Implement | | | | | | | |
| Cardboard Baler | Recycling Center | Solid Waste | 400,000 | 99,000 | 30,000 | CY95 | Entered | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| PO | ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY'S POLLUTION PREVENTION ACHIEVEMENT REPORT FOR 1997 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Waste Type | Subtype | Reduction Goal (%) | Baseline 1994 (lbs./year) | Current (lbs./year) | Achieved to Date (%) | | | |
| Hazardous Waste | Petroleum Naphtha | | | | | | | |
| Solid Waste | Cardboard | | | | | | | |
| Ozone Depleting Chemical Use | Class I ODS | | | | | | | |

| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY'S POLLUTION PREVENTION ACHIEVEMENT REPORT FOR 1998 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Waste Type | Subtype | Reduction Goal (%) | Baseline 1994 (lbs./year) | Current (lbs./year) | Achieved to Date (%) | | |
| Hazardous Waste | Petroleum Naphtha | | | | | | |
| Solid Waste | Cardboard | | | | | | |
| Ozone Depleting Chemical Use | Class I ODS | | | | | | |

| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY'S POLLUTION PREVENTION ACHIEVEMENT REPORT FOR 1999 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Waste Type | Subtype | Reduction Goal (%) | Baseline 1994 (lbs./year) | Current (lbs./year) | Achieved to Date (%) | | |
| Hazardous Waste | Petroleum Naphtha | | | | | | |
| Solid Waste | Cardboard | | | | | | |
| Ozone Depleting Chemical Use | Class I ODS | | | | | | |

| PO | ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY'S POLLUTION PREVENTION ACHIEVEMENT REPORT FOR 2000 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Waste Type | Subtype | Reduction Goal (%) | Baseline 1994 (lbs./year) | Current (lbs./year) | Achieved to Date (%) | | | |
| Hazardous Waste | Petroleum Naphtha | | | | | | | |
| Solid Waste | Cardboard | | | | | | | |
| Ozone Depleting Chemical Use | Class I ODS | | | | | | | |

| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY'S POLLUTION PREVENTION ACHIEVEMENT REPORT FOR 2001 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Waste Type | Subtype | Reduction Goal (%) | Baseline 1994 (lbs./year) | Current (lbs./year) | Achieved to Date (%) | | |
| Hazardous Waste | Petroleum Naphtha | | | | | | |
| Solid Waste | Cardboard | | | | | | |
| Ozone Depleting Chemical Use | Class I ODS | | | | | | |