# 2006 Replacement Ratio Study 

## Summary of findings (November 2006)

Average age at retirement: 59 years old
Average years of service at retirement: 21.7 years of service

## Average monthly retirement benefit

- For all retirees from 1990-2005, the average monthly retirement benefit at time of retirement was $\$ 2,023$ per month, or about $\$ 24,275$ annually
- For those retirees in the most recent year (2005), the average monthly retirement benefit was \$2,293 per month, or about \$27,515 annually


## Average public employee salaries at retirement

- For all retirees from 1990-2005, the final average salary at retirement was \$40,672 annually
- For 2005 retirees, the final average salary at retirement was $\$ 52,418$ annually


## Average salary replacement ratio (see chart on following page)

- For all retirees from 1990-2005, the average annual retirement benefit equaled $55 \%$ of final average salary at the time of retirement
- For 2005 retirees, the average annual retirement benefit equaled $51 \%$ of final average salary
- For all retirees from 1990-2005, there were $8.6 \%$ who received annual benefits more than $100 \%$ of final average salary
- For 2005 retirees, there were $4.4 \%$ who received annual benefits more than $100 \%$ of final average salary


## For members who retire with 30 years of service (see chart on following page)

- From 1990-2005, the average retirement benefit for 30 -year members equaled $80 \%$ of final average salary and the average monthly benefit was $\$ 3,258$ per month
- The average retirement benefit for 30 -year members peaked at $100 \%$ of final average salary in 2000 and their average monthly benefit was $\$ 4,200$ per month
- For 2005 only, the average retirement benefit for 30 -year members equaled $84 \%$ of final average salary and the average monthly benefit was $\$ 3,944$ per month
- $11.54 \%$ of retirees from 1990-2005 had 30 years of service
- $15.4 \%$ of retirees in 2005 had 30 years of service


## Summary of findings (continued)

Average salary replacement ratio based on final average salary (FAS)

|  | Retirees with 30 Years <br> of Service |  | All Retirees in Study |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Calendar <br> Year | \# of <br> Retirees in <br> Study | Average <br> Replacement <br> Ratio Based on <br> FAS | \# of <br> Retirees in <br> Study | Average <br> Replacement <br> Ratio Based <br> on FAS | \% of Retirees <br> Receiving <br> >100\% of FAS |
| 1990 | 146 | $61 \%$ | 1,866 | $44 \%$ | $.0 \%$ |
| 1991 | 217 | $61 \%$ | 2,377 | $45 \%$ | $.1 \%$ |
| 1992 | 205 | $67 \%$ | 2,432 | $48 \%$ | $.5 \%$ |
| 1993 | 289 | $66 \%$ | 2,744 | $48 \%$ | $.5 \%$ |
| 1994 | 302 | $67 \%$ | 3,298 | $49 \%$ | $.3 \%$ |
| 1995 | 304 | $66 \%$ | 2,827 | $47 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| 1996 | 281 | $70 \%$ | 2,477 | $49 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| 1997 | 295 | $83 \%$ | 3,107 | $57 \%$ | $7.5 \%$ |
| 1998 | 465 | $89 \%$ | 4,567 | $65 \%$ | $12.0 \%$ |
| 1999 | 548 | $93 \%$ | 4,644 | $65 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ |
| 2000 | 273 | $100 \%$ | 2,112 | $63 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ |
| 2001 | 391 | $99 \%$ | 3,146 | $66 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| 2002 | 670 | $96 \%$ | 4,605 | $68 \%$ | $17.4 \%$ |
| 2003 | 942 | $93 \%$ | 7,631 | $66 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| 2004 | 471 | $84 \%$ | 3,259 | $55 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| 2005 | 393 | $84 \%$ | 2,548 | $51 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Total/Avg | $\mathbf{6 , 1 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ | 53,640 | $55 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 . 6 \%}$ |

# 2006 Replacement Ratio Study 

## Exclusions and Assumptions

11/17/06

The Replacement Ratio Study population of 53,640 retirements was drawn from 76,552 retirements from January 1990 through December 2005, and covers retired members who selected comparable monthly benefit options. The techniques used in the 2006 PERS Replacement Ratio Study are consistent with the techniques used in previous studies.

The calculations do not include any federal Social Security benefits that a retiree may be eligible for based on the retiree's work history. The calculations also do not include the effects of the ongoing Strunk/Eugene benefit adjustments, which will generally impact retirements occurring in 2000-2004 and reduce the reported replacement ratios for those periods by several percentage points.

## EXCLUSIONS:

Job Class Exclusions
The study is limited to retirees in the General Service and Police \& Fire job classes from January 1990 through December 2005.

Job class 1 (General Service) includes 49,514 members; job class 2 (Police \& Fire) includes 4,126 members. Excluded job classes are:

- Job class 3, Police/Fire Unit (able to buy service units)
- Job class 4, TIAA/CREF
- Job class 5, Judge Member
- Job class 6, Legislators
- Job class 7, TRFA
- Job class 8, Elected/Appointed Officials
- Job class A, A-Election

Beneficiaries and alternate payees were also excluded, as the inclusion of these populations would skew the results due to the wide range of payout scenarios related to death and divorce benefits.

## Retirement Option Exclusions

Within job classes 1 and 2, additional records were excluded based upon retirement option. All lump sum benefit types were excluded due to the distorting effect of significant payouts at one time on replacement ratio results. These excluded retirement option codes are:

- L1 - Lump Sum Option 1: Refund of Account plus life annuity
- L2 - Lump Sum Option 2: Refund of Account Balance plus 100\% J/S
- L3 - Lump Sum Option 3: Refund of Account Balance plus 50\% J/S
- L7 - Total Lump Sum: Refund of employee and employer dollars
- L2A - Lump Sum Option 2A: Refund of Account Balance plus Pop-up or 100\% J/S
- L3A - Lump Sum Option 3A: Refund of Account Balance plus Pop-up or 50\% J/S


## Other Exclusions

- Any record which fell outside the range January 1990 - December 2005 range
- Any record that had a greater than one-year gap between end contribution date and retirement date
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## ASSUMPTIONS:

For the purposes of this study the following assumptions were used:

- Any account with a variable balance during the three years prior to retirement was considered a variable account member.
- For final average salary (FAS), we use the average salary of the highest three calendar years prior to the year in which the member retired. This definition of FAS may not match the definition on which the actual member's benefit was calculated.
- Monthly gross benefit includes HB3349 benefits for qualifying retirees.


## SPECIAL NOTE:

The averages and percentages displayed on the Replacement Ratio sheets are based upon the respective actual source data. The calculations on each Replacement Ratio sheet are not directly derived from one another. For instance, if the Average Monthly Gross benefit column is divided by the Average Monthly Salary column, it will not equal the Average Replacement Ratio column because the Average Replacement Ratio is derived from the average of replacement ratios in the source data. This method avoids distortions resulting from averaging averages. This is also the case with all of the median calculations. This method of analyzing the data provides a more accurate picture of the actual dataset being used.
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| AVERAGE | Year | Number of Retirements | Average Retirement Age | Average Monthly Salary | Average Annual Salary | Average Monthly Gross Benefit | Average Annual Gross Benefit | Average Replacement Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1,866 | 59 | \$2,457 | \$29,488 | \$1,186 | \$14,230 | 44\% |
|  | 1991 | 2,377 | 60 | \$2,578 | \$30,937 | \$1,242 | \$14,900 | 45\% |
|  | 1992 | 2,432 | 60 | \$2,737 | \$32,838 | \$1,430 | \$17,161 | 48\% |
|  | 1993 | 2,744 | 60 | \$2,851 | \$34,206 | \$1,464 | \$17,565 | 48\% |
|  | 1994 | 3,298 | 59 | \$3,018 | \$36,222 | \$1,582 | \$18,989 | 49\% |
|  | 1995 | 2,827 | 59 | \$3,067 | \$36,801 | \$1,553 | \$18,640 | 47\% |
|  | 1996 | 2,477 | 59 | \$3,144 | \$37,728 | \$1,650 | \$19,797 | 49\% |
|  | 1997 | 3,107 | 59 | \$3,244 | \$38,928 | \$2,008 | \$24,096 | 57\% |
|  | 1998 | 4,567 | 59 | \$3,393 | \$40,715 | \$2,347 | \$28,169 | 65\% |
|  | 1999 | 4,644 | 58 | \$3,555 | \$42,660 | \$2,452 | \$29,418 | 65\% |
|  | 2000 | 2,112 | 57 | \$3,504 | \$42,052 | \$2,369 | \$28,428 | 63\% |
|  | 2001 | 3,146 | 58 | \$3,811 | \$45,735 | \$2,633 | \$31,599 | 66\% |
|  | 2002 | 4,605 | 58 | \$4,083 | \$48,997 | \$2,882 | \$34,583 | 68\% |
|  | 2003 | 7,631 | 58 | \$4,146 | \$49,747 | \$2,835 | \$34,022 | 66\% |
|  | 2004 | 3,259 | 58 | \$4,273 | \$51,281 | \$2,440 | \$29,278 | 55\% |
|  | 2005 | 2,548 | 59 | \$4,368 | \$52,418 | \$2,293 | \$27,514 | 51\% |
|  | Average Totals: | 53,640 | 59 | \$3,389 | \$40,672 | \$2,023 | \$24,274 | 55\% |
| MEDIAN | Year | Number of Retirements | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Median Retirement } \\ \text { Age } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Median Monthly Salary | Median Annual Salary | Median Monthly Gross Benefit | Median Annual Gross Benefit | Median Replacement Ratio |
|  | 1990 | 1,866 | 60 | \$2,480 | \$29,757 | \$1,057 | \$12,680 | 45\% |
|  | 1991 | 2,377 | 60 | \$2,569 | \$30,823 | \$1,087 | \$13,048 | 45\% |
|  | 1992 | 2,432 | 60 | \$2,774 | \$33,283 | \$1,256 | \$15,071 | 49\% |
|  | 1993 | 2,744 | 60 | \$2,868 | \$34,413 | \$1,294 | \$15,528 | 48\% |
|  | 1994 | 3,298 | 59 | \$3,035 | \$36,426 | \$1,406 | \$16,866 | 50\% |
|  | 1995 | 2,827 | 59 | \$3,067 | \$36,810 | \$1,368 | \$16,422 | 48\% |
|  | 1996 | 2,477 | 59 | \$3,094 | \$37,127 | \$1,378 | \$16,536 | 49\% |
|  | 1997 | 3,107 | 59 | \$3,205 | \$38,462 | \$1,676 | \$20,108 | 57\% |
|  | 1998 | 4,567 | 58 | \$3,451 | \$41,410 | \$2,130 | \$25,560 | 66\% |
|  | 1999 | 4,644 | 58 | \$3,604 | \$43,245 | \$2,175 | \$26,097 | 65\% |
|  | 2000 | 2,112 | 57 | \$3,570 | \$42,836 | \$1,941 | \$23,288 | 61\% |
|  | 2001 | 3,146 | 58 | \$3,826 | \$45,913 | \$2,271 | \$27,257 | 65\% |
|  | 2002 | 4,605 | 58 | \$4,107 | \$49,289 | \$2,636 | \$31,632 | 69\% |
|  | 2003 | 7,631 | 58 | \$4,184 | \$50,204 | \$2,642 | \$31,707 | 66\% |
|  | 2004 | 3,259 | 57 | \$4,290 | \$51,480 | \$2,160 | \$25,916 | 54\% |
|  | 2005 | 2,548 | 58 | \$4,361 | \$52,336 | \$1,931 | \$23,177 | 47\% |
|  | Median Totals: | 53,640 | 59 | \$3,328 | \$39,936 | \$1,804 | \$21,642 | 52\% |
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| AVERAGE | Year | Number of Retirements | Average Retirement Age | Average Monthly Salary | Average Annual Salary | Average Monthly Gross Benefit | Average Annual Gross Benefit | Average <br> Replacement Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 146 | 57 | \$3,199 | \$38,386 | \$1,954 | \$23,445 | 61\% |
|  | 1991 | 217 | 56 | \$3,253 | \$39,031 | \$1,989 | \$23,871 | 61\% |
|  | 1992 | 205 | 56 | \$3,312 | \$39,744 | \$2,211 | \$26,531 | 67\% |
|  | 1993 | 289 | 56 | \$3,532 | \$42,382 | \$2,347 | \$28,169 | 66\% |
|  | 1994 | 302 | 56 | \$3,725 | \$44,703 | \$2,473 | \$29,679 | 67\% |
|  | 1995 | 304 | 55 | \$3,781 | \$45,376 | \$2,471 | \$29,656 | 66\% |
|  | 1996 | 281 | 56 | \$4,002 | \$48,025 | \$2,793 | \$33,515 | 70\% |
|  | 1997 | 295 | 56 | \$4,014 | \$48,162 | \$3,281 | \$39,377 | 83\% |
|  | 1998 | 465 | 55 | \$4,121 | \$49,447 | \$3,640 | \$43,685 | 89\% |
|  | 1999 | 548 | 54 | \$4,252 | \$51,020 | \$3,914 | \$46,968 | 93\% |
|  | 2000 | 273 | 54 | \$4,227 | \$50,729 | \$4,200 | \$50,399 | 100\% |
|  | 2001 | 391 | 54 | \$4,554 | \$54,644 | \$4,433 | \$53,196 | 99\% |
|  | 2002 | 670 | 55 | \$4,570 | \$54,842 | \$4,287 | \$51,450 | 96\% |
|  | 2003 | 942 | 54 | \$4,682 | \$56,190 | \$4,247 | \$50,961 | 93\% |
|  | 2004 | 471 | 55 | \$4,808 | \$57,691 | \$3,946 | \$47,356 | 84\% |
|  | 2005 | 393 | 54 | \$4,809 | \$57,703 | \$3,944 | \$47,328 | 84\% |
|  | Average Totals: | 6,192 | 55 | \$4,052 | \$48,630 | \$3,258 | \$39,099 | 80\% |
| MEDIAN | Year | Number of Retirements | Median Retirement Age | Median Monthly Salary | Median Annual Salary | Median Monthly Gross Benefit | Median Annual Gross Benefit | Median Replacement Ratio |
|  | 1990 | 146 | 56 | \$3,075 | \$36,902 | \$1,912 | \$22,947 | 61\% |
|  | 1991 | 217 | 56 | \$3,174 | \$38,092 | \$1,942 | \$23,301 | 62\% |
|  | 1992 | 205 | 56 | \$3,212 | \$38,540 | \$2,141 | \$25,689 | 66\% |
|  | 1993 | 289 | 56 | \$3,443 | \$41,317 | \$2,254 | \$27,051 | 66\% |
|  | 1994 | 302 | 55 | \$3,642 | \$43,701 | \$2,403 | \$28,835 | 66\% |
|  | 1995 | 304 | 55 | \$3,713 | \$44,551 | \$2,456 | \$29,466 | 65\% |
|  | 1996 | 281 | 55 | \$3,889 | \$46,663 | \$2,616 | \$31,387 | 67\% |
|  | 1997 | 295 | 55 | \$3,945 | \$47,337 | \$3,220 | \$38,641 | 80\% |
|  | 1998 | 465 | 55 | \$4,075 | \$48,898 | \$3,499 | \$41,985 | 87\% |
|  | 1999 | 548 | 54 | \$4,191 | \$50,296 | \$3,760 | \$45,124 | 91\% |
|  | 2000 | 273 | 54 | \$4,234 | \$50,804 | \$4,130 | \$49,561 | 98\% |
|  | 2001 | 391 | 54 | \$4,467 | \$53,603 | \$4,355 | \$52,266 | 98\% |
|  | 2002 | 670 | 54 | \$4,488 | \$53,861 | \$4,225 | \$50,701 | 96\% |
|  | 2003 | 942 | 54 | \$4,615 | \$55,384 | \$4,184 | \$50,212 | 93\% |
|  | 2004 | 471 | 54 | \$4,775 | \$57,301 | \$3,889 | \$46,667 | 83\% |
|  | 2005 | 393 | 54 | \$4,692 | \$56,310 | \$3,961 | \$47,530 | 84\% |
|  | Median Totals: | 6,192 | 55 | \$4,010 | \$48,118 | \$3,359 | \$40,313 | 81\% |

