



Office for Human Research Protections
The Tower Building
1101 Wootton Parkway, Suite 200
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Telephone: 301-402-5567
FAX: 301-402-2071
E-mail: mcarome@osophs.dhhs.gov

March 25, 2002

Bruce G. Lindsey, Ph.D.
Interim Vice President for Research
University of South Florida
4202 East Fowler Avenue, ADM 200
Tampa, Florida 33620-5950

RE: Human Research Subject Protections Under Federalwide Assurance (FWA) 00001669 and Multiple Project Assurance (MPA) M-1284

Research Project: A Multicenter, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study of Estrogen Replacement Therapy in Patients with Mild to Moderate Alzheimer's Disease: A Pilot Study of the Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study Unit

Principal Investigator: Eric Pfeiffer, M.D.

IRB Protocol #: 3932

HHS Project Number: U01-AG10483

Research Publication: Estrogen Replacement Therapy for Treatment of Mild to Moderate Alzheimer Disease: A Randomized Controlled Trial (Mulnard, et al. JAMA. 2000;283:1007-1015)

Dear Dr. :

The Office for Human Research Protections (OHRP) has reviewed the University of South Florida's (USF's) March 13, 2002 report that was submitted in response to OHRP's February 7, 2002 letter regarding the above-referenced research.

Based upon its review, OHRP has the following determinations regarding the above-referenced research:

(1) Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) regulations at 45 CFR 46.111(b) stipulate that in order to approve research, the Institutional Review Board (IRB) shall determine that when some or all of the subjects are likely to be vulnerable to coercion or undue influence, additional safeguards have been included in the study to protect the rights and welfare of the subjects. In its February 7, 2002 letter, OHRP expressed concern that the USF IRB may have failed to ensure that this requirement was satisfied for the above-referenced research.

OHRP finds that USF has adequately responded to this concern. Furthermore, OHRP acknowledges that the USF IRBs have implemented procedures to ensure consideration of additional safeguards for subjects who may be vulnerable as a result of impaired mental capacity.

(2) HHS regulations at 45 CFR 46.116(a)(1) require that when seeking informed consent, each subject be provided with, among other things, a description of the procedures to be followed and identification of any procedures which are experimental. OHRP finds that the informed consent documents approved by the USF IRB failed to include a description of the procedure for having the subject's caregiver fill out quality-of-life and pharmacoeconomic questionnaires related to the subject's condition and care.

Corrective Action: OHRP acknowledges that (i) the research has been completed; (ii) since the above-referenced research was reviewed by the USF IRB, USF has implemented orientation and training programs for IRB members and IRB review procedures that address the required elements of informed consent. OHRP finds these corrective actions to be satisfactory and appropriate under the USF MPA.

(3) OHRP finds that USF adequately addressed the additional concerns raised by OHRP in its February 7, 2002 letter.

As a result of the above determinations, there should be no need for further involvement of OHRP in this matter. Of course, OHRP must be notified should new information be identified which might alter this determination.

At this time, OHRP provides the following additional guidance in response to USF's March 13, 2002 report:

(4) HHS regulations at 45 CFR 46.116(a)(4) require that when seeking informed consent, each subject be provided with a disclosure of appropriate alternative procedures or courses of treatment, if any, that might be advantageous to the subject. In its February 7, 2002 letter to USF, OHRP expressed concern that the IRB-approved informed consent documents for the above-referenced research did not describe the alternative of receiving estrogen replacement therapy outside of the research.

USF's report stated the following in response:

“At that time, estrogen replacement therapy was not recognized as an appropriate treatment [for Alzheimer's disease], nor has it at any time since been recognized as standard treatment for this condition; therefore, the option of receiving estrogen replacement therapy outside the study was not disclosed to potential research subjects as an alternative to receiving estrogen replacement therapy through the study.”

OHRP acknowledges USF's statement. OHRP also notes that it may have been appropriate to disclose in the informed consent document the alternative of receiving estrogen replacement therapy outside of the research context for known standard indications in the study population (i.e., treatment of menopausal vasomotor symptoms, atrophic vaginitis, and osteoporosis).

Furthermore, where a particular marketed drug is being used by healthcare providers to treat patients for an indication which has not been approved by the Food and Drug Administration, it may be appropriate to disclose that use as an alternative treatment to subjects in the informed consent document.

OHRP appreciates the commitment of USF to the protection of human research subjects. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Carome, M.D.
Director, Division of Compliance Oversight

cc: Mr. Dennis Freeman, Director, Research Compliance, USF
Dr. Barry B. Bercu, Chairperson, IRB-01, USF
Dr. Louis A. Penner, Chairperson, IRB-02, USF
Dr. Eric Pfeiffer, USF
Dr. John Mather, Director, Office of Research Compliance and Assurance, Veterans Health Administration
Commissioner, FDA
Dr. David Lepay, FDA
Dr. James F. McCormack, FDA
Dr. Greg Koski, OHRP
Dr. Melody H. Lin, OHRP
Dr. Jeffrey Cohen, OHRP
Mr. George Gasparis, OHRP

Ms. Janice Walden, OHRP
Mr. Barry Bowman, OHRP