Great Lakes Binational Toxics Strategy Integration Workgroup Meeting

March 23, 2005 Windsor, Ontario





Draft GLBTS Management Assessment for Benzo(a)pyrene (B(a)P)

Work Group Co-Chairs: Tom Tseng, Environment Canada Steve Rosenthal, U.S. EPA





B(a)P Challenge Goals

<u>Canada</u>

Seek a 90% reduction in releases*

■ By 2000

* Consistent with 1994 COA

United States

Seek reductions in releases that are within, or have the potential to enter, the Great Lakes Basin

By 2006





Progress Toward The Challenge Goals

- Both Canada and the U.S. have achieved reductions
- The U.S. has satisfied its commitment
 - Emissions in Great Lakes reduced by ~ 74% from 1996 to 2001
- Canada continues to pursue goal, but it is unlikely that 90% reduction goal will be met by 2006
 - Ontario releases reduced by ~ 45%, relative to 1988
 - Barriers to progress: 80% of releases are primarily from non-point sources, where it can to difficult to obtain reductions





Environmental Analysis: Environmental and Human Health Data

Do we have environmental or health data to assess the impact of the substance in the Basin?





Environmental Analysis:

Environmental and Human Health Data Available

- Fish
- Mussels
- Water and Sediments
- Air
- Food & Fish Consumption

Conclusion: There are sufficient data on B(a)P in multiple media to assess the impact in the Basin





Environmental Analysis: Criteria

Have sufficient riskbased criteria been established? Do levels in biota, air, water, etc. exceed criteria?





Environmental Analysis: Criteria

- Criteria developed for B(a)P in nearly all media (fish tissue, aquatic life, air, water, and suspended sediment)
- Levels in Great Lakes sediment exceed criteria (CCME guideline) at:
 - Fort Erie and Niagara-on-the-Lake from 1987 to 2000,
 - Wolfe Island from 1992 to 2000, and
 - Canadian tributaries to Lakes Erie and Ontario (2001-2003)
- No current exceedances of:
 - water quality criteria (US EPA guideline),
 - state ambient air criteria (except zero tolerance level), or
 - fish consumption guidance (no fish advisories in the Great Lakes)
- Levels below detection in fish tissue
 - Conclusion: B(a)P levels in Great Lakes sediment exceed criteria. B(a)P levels in fish tissue, air, and water are below available criteria











Environmental Analysis: Trends

- 35% decline in Lake Erie bottom sediment from 1997 to 2002 (data not available for other Great Lakes)
- Along the Niagara River, increasing B(a)P levels in suspended sediment, declining trends in the dissolved phase, and no apparent trend in whole water analysis (which combines sediment & water)
- No apparent trend in available water quality data collected on Lakes Superior, Huron, Erie, and Ontario and Georgian Bay from 1988 to 2002

Conclusions: No apparent trend in environmental levels over the past decade. Concentrations tend to be higher on Lakes Erie and Ontario than on the other Great Lakes























Environmental Analysis Conclusions

- Basinwide environmental data indicate little change in B(a)P concentrations in the Great Lakes over the past decade
- Higher concentrations on Lakes Erie and Ontario, sites near major population centers
- A recent declining trend reported in Lake Erie bottom sediment, the only lake with available lakewide sediment data





Environmental Analysis Conclusions (continued)

- Exceedances of Canadian sediment quality guidelines reported in the past few years in Canadian tributaries to Lakes Erie and Ontario, and along the Niagara and St. Lawrence Rivers
- B(a)P levels in Great Lakes fish tissue, air, and water below available criteria
- No fish consumption advisories for B(a)P in any of the Great Lakes





Sources: Ontario Inventory*

- Residential Wood Combustion (51%)
- Iron & Steel (21%)
- Wood Preservation (product use) (15%)
- Motor Vehicles (6%)
- Other (7%)
- Total estimated releases = 13,438 kg/year

*Anthropogenic sources, excludes forest fires Source: Environment Canada 2003 Release Update





Sources: Great Lakes Inventory

- Residential Wood Combustion (40%)
- Blast Furnaces and Steel Mills (29%)
- Petroleum Refining (9%)
- Other (22%)
- Inventory includes estimates for IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, WI, and Ontario (NY and PA data missing)
- Other apparent inventory omissions (e.g., creosotetreated wood preservation)

Source: 2001 Great Lakes Regional Air Toxic Emissions Inventory





Potential Current Sources

- Forest and Wildfires
- Residential Burning of Household Waste
- Scrap Tire Fires
- Prescribed Burning
- Mobile Sources
- Structure Fires
- Agricultural Burning

Sources: USEPA 1999 NEI, Environmental Health Strategies issue papers, & Environment Canada





Ability for the GLBTS to Affect Further Reductions

- Continue efforts to reduce emissions from residential wood combustion (e.g., wood-stove change-out & Burn It Smart programs)
- Continue to refine current B(a)P inventories for Great Lakes states and Ontario
- Support scrap tire abatement efforts in U.S. and Ontario
- Support Burn Barrel Subgroup
- Joint opportunities with Dioxin Workgroup





Management Outcomes

- Keep current challenge goals
 - Setting new challenge goals not practical due to lack of complete and accurate inventories
- Continue workgroup efforts
- Active Level 1 status with periodic reassessment by the GLBTS



