#### May 8, 2001

# COMMISSION VOTING RECORD

## DECISION ITEM: SECY-01-0066

# TITLE: PROPOSED RULE: MATERIAL CONTROL AND ACCOUNTING AMENDMENTS

The Commission (with all Commissioners agreeing) approved the subject paper as recorded in the Staff Requirements Memorandum (SRM) of May 8, 2001.

This Record contains a summary of voting on this matter together with the individual vote sheets, views and comments of the Commission.

Annette L. Vietti-Cook Secretary of the Commission

Attachments:

2. Commissioner Vote Sheets

cc: Chairman Meserve Commissioner Dicus Commissioner Diaz Commissioner McGaffigan Commissioner Merrifield OGC EDO PDR

<sup>1.</sup> Voting Summary

## VOTING SUMMARY - SECY-01-0066

## RECORDED VOTES

	NOT APRVD DISAPRVD ABSTAIN PARTICIP COMMENT	TS DATE	
CHRM. MESERVE	Х	Х	5/1/01
COMR. DICUS	X	Х	4/30/01
COMR. DIAZ	Х	Х	5/3/01
COMR. McGAFFIGAN	X	Х	5/1/01
COMR. MERRIFIELD	Х		4/25/01

#### **COMMENT RESOLUTION**

In their vote sheets, all Commissioners approved the staff's recommendation and some provided additional comments. Subsequently, the comments of the Commission were incorporated into the guidance to staff as reflected in the SRM issued on May 8, 2001.

#### **Commissioner Comments on SECY-01-0066**

#### Commissioner Dicus

I approve staff's recommendation to consolidate and modify the Category II facility and the generally applicable material control and accounting requirements currently contained in 10 CFR Part 70 into 10 CFR Part 74, and to make the necessary conforming adjustments to other impacted regulations. I recommend that the following edits be incorporated into the <u>Federal Register</u> notice prior to its publication:

- 1. Page 11, item (1), 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence. Revise to read as follows: "From a safeguards risk and graded approach perspective, **T**this would be consistent with the annual frequency for Category III facilities and semiannual frequency for Category I facilities."
- 2. Page 12, item (6), 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence. Revise to read as follows: "From a safeguards risk and graded approach perspective, T this compares to the annual requirement for Category I and the every two year requirement for Category III."