July 22, 1997

SECY-97-158

FOR: The Commissioners

FROM: L. Joseph Callan /s/ Executive Director for Operations

SUBJECT: QUARTERLY STATUS FOR THE PROBABILISTIC RISK ASSESSMENT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN

PURPOSE:

This quarterly report (Attachment 1) presents the status of activities for t he Probabilistic Risk Assessment (PRA) Implementation Plan, including the development of risk-info rmed standards and guidance.

BACKGROUND:

In a memorandum, dated January 3, 1996, from the Executive Director for Oper ations to Chairman Jackson, the staff committed to submitting quarterly reports on the status of its development of risk-informed standards and guidance. Previous quarterly re ports were sent to the Commission on March 26, June 20, and October 11, 1996, and on January 13 and April 3, 1997.

CONTACT: A. Thadani, RES 415-6641

The Commissioners

DISCUSSION:

Significant achievements in the past quarter include the following: Publication of a Federal Register Notice (FRN), "Use of PRA in Plant S ecific Reactor Regulatory Activities: Proposed Regulatory Guides, Standard Review Plan Sections, and Supporting NUREG," announcing the availability of four draft Regula tory Guides (RG), three draft Standard Review Plan (SRP) Sections, and a draft NURE G series report for public comment. These draft documents, are: General Guidance (DG-1061 and SRP) Inservice Testing (DG-1062 and SRP) Graded Quality Assurance (DG-1064) Technical Specifications (DG-1065 and SRP), and Reference Information on Expected Attributes of PRA Analysis (NURE G-1602) Copies of these draft documents can be viewed at the NRC Public Documen t Room or accessed electronically via the NRC Electronic Bulletin Board on FedWor ld, or the NRC's accessed Website. To facilitate solicitation of public comments, the staff will conduct workshop during the comment period to explain the draft documents and answer questions. тh e staff is preparing an FRN to announce that the workshop will be held on August 1 1-13, 1997 at the Doubletree Hotel in Rockville, Maryland. A workshop agenda will be included in the FRN. The draft RG and SRP section for risk-informed inservice inspection (R -ISI) were completed and copies were sent to the ACRS for review and comment. An overview of the documents was presented to the ACRS full committee on June 11, 1997 . Initial and detailed presentations to CRGR are scheduled for June 1997 and July 199 7, respectively. Presentations of the ISI RG and SRP section to the ACRS subcommittee and full committee are scheduled for July 1997.

The staff anticipates receiving three pilot plant applications to imple ment RI-ISI programs by the end of September 1997. The staff and the industry cont inue to develop methods and complete analyses. With regard to the pilot program for RI technical specifications (TS), the staff completed the safety evaluation, which provides the basis for granting amendments for TS allowed outage times (AOTs) for the safety injection tanks and 1 ow pressure safety injection system at the lead plant, Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2 (ANO-2). The safety evaluation was forwarded to the Commission in SECY-97-095, "Prob abilistic Risk Assessment Implementation Plan Pilot Application for Risk-Informed Technical Specifications," on April 30, 1997. On May 28, 1997, the Commission is sued a staff requirements memorandum (SRM) which stated that the Commission had not objected to the issuance of an amendment to the ANO-2 TS as described i n the safety evaluation attached to SECY-97-095. The SRM also stated that the Commi ssion noted the staff's intention to issue similar amendments for the remaini nq Combustion Engineering plants in cases for which the results are comparable to tho se for ANO-2. The staff completed five more maintenance rule baseline inspections, w ich included inspection of licensee methods for using PRA in maintenance programs an d in inspection of safety assessments performed by licensees when removing e quipment from service for maintenance in accordance with Paragraph (a)(3) of the maintenance rule. As of June 7, 1977 the staff has completed 26 inspections. On June 13, 1997 the Commission approved the staff's recommendation to accept the industry's proposed voluntary alternative to the Reliability Data Rule. The staff will (1) continue to work with industry to improve the content of the voluntary data, (2) periodically update the Commission on these efforts, and (3) advise the Commission

on whether the voluntary approach remains a viable method of meeting regulatory $% \left({{{\left[{{{\left[{{{\left[{{{c}} \right]}} \right]}_{{{\rm{c}}}}}}}} \right]_{{{\rm{c}}}}} \right)} \right)$

needs.

COORDINATION:

The Office of the General Counsel has reviewed this paper and has no legal o bjections to its issuance.

L. Joseph Callan Executive Director for Operations

Attachments: As stated

ATTACHMENT

QUARTERLY STATUS UPDATE OF THE AGENCY-WIDE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FOR PROBABILISTIC RISK ASSESSMENT (PRA) (from March 31, 1997 to June 30, 1997)

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS

(1) Regulatory Guide (RG) and Standard Review Plan (SRP) Development (Tasks
1.1 and
2.1)

On April 8, 1997, the staff sent to the Commission SECY-97-077, "Draft Regul atory Guides, Standard Review Plans and NUREG Document In Support of Risk-Informed Regulat ion for Power Reactors." SECY-97-077 requested Commission approval to publish for c omment four draft Regulatory Guides (RGs), three draft Standard Review Plan (SRP) sectio ns, and one draft NUREG series report that support implementation of risk-informed regulation for power reactors. By staff requirement memorandum(SRM), dated June 5, 1997, the Com mission approved publication of the draft documents. A notice was placed in the Fed eral Register announcing availability of the documents and requesting public comment on th em.

To facilitate solicitation of public comments, the staff will hold a worksho p during the comment period to explain the draft documents and answer questions. The staff is pr eparing a Federal Register Notice to announce the exact date and location of the workshop. A workshop agenda will be included in the FRN. The staff is also finalizing a draft RG and SRP for risk-informed inservice inspection programs (RI-ISI) for piping. The staff is scheduled to meet with the ACRS subcommit tee on July 8, 1997, to discuss the RI-ISI documents. These documents are scheduled to be sent to the Commission on July 31, 1997. The draft RG and SRP section have been complet ed and copies have been sent to the ACRS for review and comment. The presentation of the ISI RG and SRP section to the ACRS sub-committee and full committee is scheduled fo r July 1997. Presentations to the CRGR are also scheduled for July 1997. (2) Pilot Applications (Task 1.2) The staff has actively engaged with South Texas Project (STP) personnel to e valuate their proposed approach for implementing graded quality assurance (QA). In respon se to staff questions, the licensee submitted on January 21, 1997, a revised operational QA program (OQAP). A management meeting was held on March 31, 1997, to discuss issues associated with the graded QA initiative. On the basis of the staff review that identi fied further questions and concerns on the graded QA description in the OQAP, another request for a dditional information (RAI) was issued to the licensee on April 14, 1997. In a meetin q with STP on April 21, 1997, the staff discussed the RAI and preliminary STP responses to the s taff questions. On May 5 through 8, 1997, the staff visited STP to review in greater detail the STP implementation

procedures, planned OQAP revisions to address staff concerns, corrective act ion processes, and details related to equipment categorization. STP subsequently submitted for staff review another OQAP revision and revised procedures for implementing facets of the graded QA program. The staff is awaiting submittal of a final OQAP that should addres s all issues raised by the staff. The staff has been preparing a safety evaluation (SE) for gra ded OA based on the reviews performed. Regarding the pilot program for RI technical specifications (TS), the staff completed the SE that provides the basis for granting amendments for TS allowed outage times (AOTs) for the safety injection tanks and low pressure safety injection system at the lead plant, Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2 (ANO-2). The safety evaluation was sent to the Commission in SE CY-97-095, "Probabilistic Risk Assessment Implementation Plan Pilot Application for Ris k-Informed Technical Specifications," on April 30, 1997. On May 28, 1997, the Commissi on issued an SRM which stated that the Commission had not objected to the issuance of an amendment to the ANO-2 TS as described in the safety evaluation attached to SECY-97-095. The SRM also stated that the Commission noted the staff's intention to issue similar amen dments for the remaining Combustion Engineering plants in cases for which the results are c omparable to those for ANO-2. As discussed in SECY-97-095, in approving the proposed ANO-2 TS changes, the staff is relying on a commitment made by the licensee with respect to using a RI conf iguration control technique to assess the risk associated with the removal of equipment from s ervice during the The staff stated that because this is a new commitment specif proposed AOT. ic to RI TS changes, the staff will ensure that the commitment is incorporated into the

ANO-2operating license. The staff and the Combustion Engineering Owners Group (CEOG) have generally agreed that the preferred method for incorporating this commitment into the license is through the addition of an administrative control TS. However, the staff and the CE OG have not yet reached agreement on the content of such a TS. The CEOG has indicated that it would be prepared to meet in late July or early August to discuss the resolution of t his issue. Once this issue is resolved with the CEOG, ANO-2 will need to submit a supplemental am endment request to add the new administrative control TS. Once the supplement is re ceived and reviewed, the ANO-2 amendments can be issued and the review of the remaining Combustion Engineering plants completed. The staff expects this process to be completed by the end of 1997, as indicated in the revised PRA Implementation Plan. As indicated in the last Quarterly Status Report for the PRA Implementation Plan (SECY-97-076), dated April 3, 1997, the staff expected to be able to issue S Es for implementation of RI-IST at Comanche Peak and Palo Verde by June 30, 1997. The staff has been interacting with the pilot licensees and developing SEs on their proposed RI-IST program The June s. 30, 1997, completion schedule was contingent upon timely receipt of the two pilo t plants' responses to staff RAIs. This includes responses to second-round RAIs issued in March 1997, which addressed several key areas of review as contained in the draft RI-RGs and S RP sections, as well as responses to final RAIs aimed at eliciting, in detail, how the pilot licensees comport with the draft RI-IST and general RGs. The staff has maintained interaction with the pilot licensees, and representatives from the pilots have attended some of the meetings betwe en the staff and ACRS during the development of the RGs and SRP sections. Shortly after the

March 1997 RAIs were issued, the staff discussed the questions with the pilot licensees and clarified them via teleconference. The staff's RI-IST team is currently working on the first drafts of SEs for Comanche Peak and Palo Verde. These drafts will be based on the licensees' proposed RI-IST pr ogram submittals in response to the staff's first-round RAI (Palo Verde has only partially re sponded to the staff's March 1996 RAI). However, open items in the draft SEs can only be resolved after the staff receives documentation from the licensees describing how their proposed RI-I ST program comports with the draft RI-IST RG or their rationale for any differences. I n late May 1997, the Comanche Peak licensee, Texas Utilities (TU) Electric, indicated that they w ould try to respond to both the second- and final- round RAIs within 60 days of receipt of the d raft RI RGs and SRPs. The staff plans to meet with TU Electric several weeks after the lice nsee receives the draft RI RGs and SRPs to obtain interim responses to the RAIs so that progre ss can continue towards completing the staff's SE. The final RAIs related to the RI-IST pro gram for both Comanche Peak and Palo Verde were sent to the licensees on June 9, 1997. It is expected that issuance of the Safety Evaluation on the Comanche Peak RI-IST program w ill be in October, 1997. Significant PRA-related technical support has been provided for the agency's maintenance rule (MR) baseline inspection. The goal of the MR baseline program was to conduc t a full team inspection at each reactor facility in the first two years following the imp lementation date of the

rule (July 10, 1996). As of June 7, 1997, the staff has performed 26 full inspections. These inspections were performed with the support of experienced staff and contra ctor personnel trained in the use of PRA, using an inspection procedure that focuses on the inspection and assessment of the relevant PRA-related technical aspects of the NRC-approved industry guideline for implementing the rule (i.e., NUMARC 93-01). Regarding RI-ISI pilot review, the staff is currently reviewing the Westingh ouse Owners Group (WOG) responses to staff RAIS. The staff completed its review of the Electr ic Power Research Institute (EPRI) methodology and issued its RAI to the industry. The staff has not yet received any of the three pilot plant submittals from the industry. These submittals to NRC are currently scheduled for September 1997. The staff continues to have working meetings with the industry on the WOG and EPRI methods and with Virginia Power on the Surry pilot. (3) Training for Inspectors (Task 1.3) The first Senior Reactor Analyst (SRA) training class has been completed. E ight SRAs have taken the training and qualification program, and managers are reviewing the ir certification Employees are being selected for the remaining two SRA positions packages. in Region III. (4) Individual Plant Examination (IPE) and IPE of Externally Initiated Even ts (IPEEE) Reviews (Task 2.5) TPE All 75 IPE submittals have been reviewed (Browns Ferry Unit-3, not incl 1. uded). Staff

evaluation reports (SERS) have been issued for all except five, two of which are in

progress (Susquehanna and St. Lucie). The other three submittals have

been redone by the licensees to account for either staff concerns brought out durin q the IPE review process (Byron and Braidwood) or plant changes that resulted in the ori ginal IPE submittal being obsolete (Ginna). SERS are scheduled to be issued for these IPEs by the end of July 1997. RES is evaluating the applicability of the TVA multi-unit PRA, (which i 2. s a PRA of Unit 2 given operation of Units 1 and 3) as an IPE of Brown's Ferry, Unit 3. RAIs were prepared and transmitted to TVA to support this evaluation. Draft NUREG-1560, "Individual Plant Examination Program: Perspectives o 3. n Reactor Safety and Plant Performance," was published in draft form in October (Vol. 1) and November (Vol. 2) 1996. Perspectives are presented on four major obje ctives as follows: The impact on reactor safety; The significant reactor design, containment performance and operat ional features relative to core damage, containment failure, and radionu clide releases; The different methods and models developed and quantified in perfo rming the IPEs; and The implication of the IPE results relative to the Commission's Sa fety Goals and the Station Blackout Rule. A workshop was held in Austin, Texas, on April 7-9, 1997, to present th e insights discussed in draft NUREG-1560. Approximately 100 participants attended from U.S. power utilities, reactor vendor owners' groups, industry consultants, a nd other Federal and State agencies. Based on written comments, and comments received a t the workshop, a final version of NUREG-1560 will be issued by September 30, 1997.

4. The IPE database has been completed and is available to the public (can be

downloaded from the NRC Web page). In addition, the user's manual for the IPE database, draft NUREG-1603 has been published.

IPEEE

Of 74 expected IPEEE submittals, the staff received 61 that were complete a nd 3 that were partially-complete. Currently, 41 submittals are under various stages of re view. Eleven additional submittals are expected to be received by the end of December 199 7, one by June 1998, and the submittal date of one IPEEE has yet to be determined. A preliminary IPEEE insights report has been developed that summarizes the i nformation presented in the first 24 submittals reviewed by the staff. This preliminar y report will be sent to the Commission in September 1997. In September 1998, a final report summari zing all IPEEE reviews will be sent to the Commission. (5) Risk-Based Trends and Patterns Analysis Task (3.1) As part of Task 3.1 (Rrisk-Based Trends and Patterns Analysis Task), a final report on the reactor core isolation cooling (RCIC) system study was issued in June 1997. In additiion, the fire events study report was also issued in June 1997. (6) Accident Sequence Precursor (ASP) (Task 3.2) The 1995 ASP report was published as NUREG/CR-4674, Volume 23. The ASP anal yses for 1982 and 1983 were completed and are documented in NUREG/CR-4674, Volume 24. The 1996 event analyses are nearing completion and analysis of 1997 events was b equn. (7) Reliability Data Rule (Task 3.5) The staff completed its evaluation of industry's proposed voluntary alternat ive to the rule on schedule. (The proposal was revised in March 1997 to resolve the staff's te chnical

concerns.) In May 1997, the staff sent a Commission paper describing its evaluation of the voluntary approach and various options for proceeding. In an SRM, dated June 13, 1997 , the Commission approved the staff's recommendation to accept the industry's prop osed voluntary alternative to the rule. The staff will (1) continue to work with the indu stry to improve the content of the voluntary data, (2) periodically inform the Commission of th e status of this work. and (3) advise the Commission on whether the voluntary approach remains a v iable method of meeting regulatory needs. (8) Staff Training (Task 3.6) The new PRA for Technical Managers course was added to the curriculum. This course is designed to provide all levels of staff managers with a basic understanding of PRA methods, strengths, and limitations needed to implement risk-informed, performance-ba sed regulations. Current plans are to present this course three time a year in headquarters. The first presentation of the new PRA Level 2 course, Accident Progression A nalysis, was held February 25, 26, and 27, 1997. This three-day course addresses accident phe nomenology under post-core damage conditions and development of PRA models for this severe-accident regime. Based on feedback for the first presentation of the course, the cou rse is undergoing significant modification. The next offering of the course will be in August 1997. Current plans are to present this course and the Level 3 course twice a year. The new course on external events has been completed. This three-day course will address external events (such as fires, floods, earthquakes, high winds, and transpo rtation accidents) and the development of external-event PRA models such as those used in the I

PEEEs. The pilot presentation of course is scheduled for June 1997. The course is sched uled for its first regular presentation in August 1997. The new PRA Technology and Regulatory Perspectives course is under developme nt and scheduled for presentation in October 1997. Four of the 13 course modules have been reviewed to date. The course will replace the PRA Basics for Regulatory App lication course and the Insights Into IPEs course for some basic level users. REVISIONS TO THE EXISTING PRA IMPLEMENTATION PLAN Task 1.2 of the PRA plan states that the target schedule for completing the graded QA initiative is June 1997. The staff has focused on the South Texas Project (STP) as tha t is the only graded QA volunteer plant that submitted a revised graded QA program for sta ff review and The staff is working on a draft safety evaluation for the STP pro approval. gram that will be transmitted to the Commission in July 1997. Staff monitoring of activities at all three volunteer plants will continue beyond the June target date to observe the results of e quipment categorization for additional systems, and the results of the application of graded QA controls and to assess the integrity of the corrective action and operational perform ance feedback programs. This monitoring effort is expected to continue for an extended pe riod (several years) to provide the staff with lessons learned. The staff intends by working with the graded quality assurance (GQA) volunte er plants to learn from their implementation strategies, to evaluate their methodologies in rel ationship to staff prepared guidance documents, and to approve associated QA program changes wh ere necessary. For the purposes of the PRA Implementation Plan, this phase of v olunteer plant interactions will be considered complete when the GQA RG and inspection proc edure (IP) are issued in final form. In the future, the staff will continue to monitor the volunteer plant GQA implementation, gain feedback to revise the RG and IP as warranted, and eva luate GQA implementation strategies for other licensees who choose to pursue GQA. Is suance of the SER for the STP GQA program is expected by July 1997. The completion date f or the GQA pilot application has been revised to March 1998 to reflect the expected sch edule for issuance of the final GQA inspection procedure. In June 1997, the staff informed the Commission of a delay in the expected i ssuance of SEs for implementation of RI-IST at Comanche Peak and Palo Verde. The staff expects issuance of the SE on the Comanche Peak RI-IST program in October 1997. The schedule as sumes that TU Electric adequately responds to both the second- and final-round RAI s within 60 days, the staff completes its SE within 4 weeks of receiving the licensee's w ritten responses, and managers will review and the Commission will approve the SEs for issuan ce within 6 weeks of the staff's completion of the SE. The schedule for issuance of the SE on the Palo Verde RI-IST program remains uncertain until further commitments from the Palo Verde licensee are obtained. The schedule for issuance of Inspection Manual Chapters 9900 and 2515 (Task 1.3) has been extended to allow time for additional technical review based upon the guidan ce contained in the RI SRPs and RGs. Regarding core inspection procedures (Task 1.3), an additional item to compl

ete revision

to proposed core inspection procedures has been added to the PRA Implementation The Plan. expected completion date for this item is December 1997. The first SRA training class has been completed (Task 1.3). Eight SRAs have taken the training and qualification program, and managers are reviewing their certifi cation packages. Employees are being selected for the remaining two SRA positions in Region I This II. activity has been placed in an ongoing status to indicate the continuing need to trai n new SRAs as current positions become vacant owing to attrition or transfers. In case of Task 1.9, a brief overview of Accident Management (A/M) treatment in IPE studies is covered in NUREG-1560. Since A/M guidelines are generic in nature, both the generic and plant-specific IPE insights are useful to support evaluations of A/M program s, and future staff audits of implementation of these programs. A more efficient use of the sta ff resources can be achieved when A/M information is evaluated in concert with other IPE followup activities in Task 1.10. A detailed description of a plan for IPE follow-up activities is currently under development by the staff. Disposition of IPE insights to support staff A/M activities will be addressed under Task 1.10. The work for developing PRA methods (Task 4.1) for use in evaluating medical devices containing nuclear material has been interrupted, because of the loss of key staff and staff assigned to other, higher priority, PRA support activities. Development of methods for incorporating aging effects in PRA has been delay ed because of the loss of the contractor's principal investigator. The demonstration analysis portion of the human reliability work in Task 2.4 is being

delayed because the cooperating licensee had to allocate needed resources to other, higher priority, issues at the site. Work on the development of PRA methods for use on industrial devices contain ing nuclear material (Task 4.4) has begun using NRC staff and limited contractor support , and is proceeding more slowly than expected. The schedule for completing this work has been delayed from June 1997 to the end of FY 1998 in order to assign resources o n higher priority PRA activities. Options to accelerate this effort are currently being asses sed. The dates for the component study (Task 3.1) and the initiating event study (Task 3.1) changed due to technical issues that are being resolved and to allow for adequate pe er review. The date for determining the need to revise the LER rule (Task 3.5) was changed to co ordinate efforts with an update of NUREG-1022. REVISED TASK TABLES Attachment A2 provides updated to reflect the progress and revisions to the PRA Implementation Plan from April 3, to June 30, 1997. ATTACHMENT -REVISED PRA IMPLEMENTATION PLAN TASK TABLE (June 1997) 1.0 REACTOR REGULATION Regulatory Activity Objectives Methods Target Schedule Lead Office(s) 1.1 DEVELOP STANDARD REVIEW PLANS FOR

RISK-INFORMED REGULATION

Standard review plans for NRC staff to use in risk-informed regulatory decis ion-making.

* Evaluate available industry guidance.

- * Develop a broad scope standard review plan (SRP) chapters and a series of application specific standard review plan chapters that correspond to industry initiatives.
- * These SRPs will be consistent with the Regulatory Guides developed for the industry.
- * Draft SRPs transmitted to Commission to issue for public comment

General IST ISI TS

* Issue final SRP

General IST ISI TS

7/97 4/97C 12/97 12/97 2/98

4/97C 4/97C

12/97

NRR

/RES

1.2 PILOT APPLICATION FOR RISK-INFORMED REGULATORY INITIATIVES * Evaluate the PRA methodology and develop staff positions on emerging, risk-informed initiatives, including those associated with: 1. Motor operated valves. 2. IST requirements. 3. ISI requirements. 4. Graded quality assurance. 5. Maintenance Rule. 6. Technical specifications. 6a. Commission Approval 6b. Pilot Amendments Issued 7. Other applications to be identified later. * Interface with industry groups. * Evaluation of appropriate documentation (e.g., 10 CFR, SRP, Reg Guides, inspection procedures, and industry codes) to identify elements critical to achieving the intent of existing requirements. * Evaluation of industry proposals. * Evaluation of industry pilot program implementation. * As appropriate, complete pilot reviews and issue staff findings on regulatory requests. 1. 2/96C 2.10/97 3. 4/98 (Surry) 12/98 (Others) 4. 7/97 (STP) 3/98 (others) 9/95C 5. 6a. 5/97C 6b. 12/97 NRR

1.3 INSPECTIONS
* Provide guidance on the use of plant-specific and

| generic | information | from | IPEs | and | other | plant- |
|----------|-------------|------|------|-----|-------|--------|
| specific | C PRAs. | | | | | |

- * Develop IC 9900 technical guidance on the use of PRAs in the power reactor inspection program.
- * Revise IC 2515 Appendix C on the use of PRAs in the power reactor inspection program.
- * Propose guidance options for inspection procedures related to 50.59 evaluations and regular maintenance observations.
- * Review core inspection procedures and propose PRA guidance where needed.
- * Complete revision to proposed core inspection procedures
- * Issue draft Graded QA Inspection Procedure for public comment
- * Issue final Graded QA Inspection Procedure 6/97

7/97

10/97

7/97

12/97

9/97

3/98 NRR

* Provide PRA training for inspectors.

- * Provide PRA training for Senior Reactor Analysts (SRA)
- * Identify inspector functions which should utilize PRA methods, as input to AEOD/TTD for their development and refinement of PRA training for inspectors.
- * Develop consolidated/comprehensive 2-3 week PRA for regulatory applications training course.
- * Conduct training for Maintenance Rule baseline inspections
- * Conduct training courses according to SRA training programs
- * Rotational assignments for SAS to gain working experience

7/96C

10/97

8/96C

Ongoing

Ongoing NRR

NRR/ AEOD

NRR

NRR/RES

* Continue to provide expertise in risk assessment to support regional inspection activities and to communicate inspection program guidance and examples of its implementation.

- * Monitor the use of risk in inspection reports.
- * Develop new methodologies and communicate appropriate uses of risk insights to regional offices.
- * Update inspection procedures as needed.
- * Assist regional offices as needed.
- * Conduct Maintenance Rule baseline inspections Ongoing

7/98 NRR

1.4 OPERATOR LICENSING

Monitor insights from HRAs and PRAs (including IPEs and IPEEEs) and operating experience to identify possible enhancements for inclusion in planned revisions to guidance for operator licensing activities (initial and regualification)

- * Revise the Knowledge and Abilities (K/A) Catalogs (NUREGs 1122 and 1123) to incorporate operating experience and risk insights.
- * Revise the Examiner Standards (NUREG-1021), as needed, to reflect PRA insights.

8/95C

3/97C

NRR

NRR

1.5 EVENT ASSESSMENT

* Continue to conduct quantitative event assessments

| of reactor events while at-power and during low power and shutdown conditions. * Continue to evaluate 50.72 events using ASP models. Ongoing NRR |
|--|
| * Assess the desirability and feasibility of conducting quantitative risk assessments on non-power reactor events. |
| * Define the current use of risk analysis methods and insights in current event assessments. * Assess the feasibility of developing appropriate risk assessment models. * Develop recommendations on the feasibility and desirability of conducting quantitative risk assessments. |
| TBD NRR |
| 1.6 EVALUATE USE OF PRA IN RESOLUTION OF GENERIC ISSUES * Audit the adequacy of licensee analyses in IPEs and IPEEs to identify plant-specific applicability of generic issues closed out based on IPE and IPEEE programs. * Identify generic safety issues to be audited. * Select plants to be audited for each issue. * Describe and discuss licensees' analyses supporting issue resolution. * Evaluate results to determine regulatory response; i.e., no action, additional audits, or regulatory action. |
| TBD NRR |
| 1.7 REGULATORY EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION * Assess the effectiveness of major safety issue resolution efforts for reducing risk to public health and safety. |

* Develop process/guidance for assessing regulatory

effectiveness.

- * Apply method to assess reduction in risk.
- * Evaluate result, effectiveness of rules.
- * Propose modifications to resolution approaches, as needed.
- * Identify other issues for assessment if appropriate.

TBD NRR & RES

1.8 ADVANCED REACTOR

- REVIEWS * Continue staff reviews of PRAs for design
- certification applications.

* Continue to apply current staff review process. Ongoing NRR

* Develop SRP to support review of PRAs for design certification reviews of evolutionary reactors (ABWR and System 80+).

* Develop draft SRP to tech staff for review and concurrence.

* Finalize SRP.

6/98

12/99 NRR

- * Develop independent technical analyses and criteria for evaluating industry initiatives and petitions regarding simplification of Emergency Preparedness (EP) regulations.
- * Reevaluate risk-based aspects of the technical bases for EP (NUREG-0396) using insights from NUREG-1150, the new source term information from NUREG-1465, and available plant design and PRA information for the passive and evolutionary reactor designs.

12/96C

NRR & RES

1.9 ACCIDENT MANAGEMENT

* Develop generic and plant specific risk insights to support staff audits of utility accidents management (A/M) programs at selected plants.

* Develop plant-specific A/M insights/information for selected plants to serve as a basis for assessing completeness of utility A/M program elements (e.g., severe accident training)

TBD

NRR & RES

1.10 EVALUATING IPE INSIGHTS TO DETERMINE NECESSARY FOLLOW-UP ACTIVITIES

- * Use insights from the staff review of IPEs to identify potential safety, policy, and technical issues, to determine an appropriate course of action to resolve these potential issues, and to identify possible safety enhancements.
- * Determine appropriate approach for tracking the regulatory uses of IPE/IPEEE results.
- * Review the report "IPE Program: Perspectives on Reactor Safety and Plant Performance" and identify the initial list of required staff and industry actions (if any), including insights on A/M.

Finalize list of required staff and industry actions.

- * Audit licensee improvements that were credited in the IPEs to determine effectiveness of licensee actions to reduce risk.
- * Define use for information, clarify "regulatory use", and assess the most effective methods for data collection.

```
* If appropriate, develop approach for linking IPE/IPEEE data bases.
9/97
```

12/97

TBD

12/97

12/98 NRR & RES

NRR

2.0 REACTOR SAFETY RESEARCH

Regulatory Activity Objectives Methods Target Schedule Lead Office(s)

2.1 DEVELOP REGULATORY GUIDES

| Regulatory Guides for industry to use in risk-informed regulation |
|--|
| * Draft PRA Regulatory Guides transmitted to Commission for approval to issue for public comment. General IST ISI GQA TS |
| * Issue final PRA Regulatory Guides. General IST ISI GQA TS |
| C C 7/97 C C |
| 12/97 12/97 2/98 12/97 12/97 RES |
| 2.2 TECHNICAL SUPPORT * Provide technical support to agency users of risk assessment in the form of support for risk-based regulation activities, technical reviews, issue risk assessments, statistical analyses, and develop guidance for agency uses of risk assessment. * Continue to provide ad hoc technical support to agency PRA |
| * Expand the database of PRA models available for staff use, expand the scope of available models to include external event and low power and shutdown accidents, and refine the tools needed to use these models, and continue maintenance and user support for SAPHIRE and MACCS computer codes. |

- * Support agency efforts in reactor safety improvements in former Soviet Union countries.
- * Initiate PRA standards development

Continuing

Continuing

Continuing TBD RES RES RES

Regulatory Activity Objectives Methods Target Schedule Lead Office(s) 2.3 SUPPORT FOR NRR STANDARD REACTOR PRA REVIEWS * Modify 10 CFR 52 and develop guidance on the use of updated PRAs beyond design certification (as described in SECY 93-087). * Develop draft guidance and rule. * Solicit public comment. * Finalize staff guidance and rule. 5/98

11/98 12/99 RES RES RES

2.4 METHODS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION
* Develop, demonstrate, maintain, and ensure the quality of methods for performing, reviewing, and using PRAs and related techniques for existing reactor designs.
* Develop and demonstrate methods for including aging effects in PRAs.
* Develop and demonstrate methods for including human errors of commission in PRAs.
* Develop and demonstrate methods for including human errors

- * Develop and demonstrate methods to incorporate organizational performance into PRAs.
- * Develop and demonstrate methods for fire risk analysis
- * Develop and demonstrate methods for assessing reliablity/risk of digital systems

TBD

9/97

9/97

TBD

TBD

RES

RES

RES

RES

RES

- 2.5 IPE AND IPEEE REVIEWS
- * To evaluate IPE/IPEE submittals to obtain reasonable assurance that the licensee has adequately analyzed the plant design and operations to discover vulnerabilities; and to document the significant safety insights resulting from IPE/IPEEES.
- * Complete reviews of IPE submittals.
- * Complete reviews of IPEEE submittals.
- * Continue regional IPE presentations.
- * Issue IPE insights report for public comment.
- * Final IPE insights report
- * Issue preliminary IPEEE insights report

* Issue draft final IPEEE insights report

9/97 3/99 Ongoing 10/96C 9/97 9/97 12/98 RES RES RES RES RES RES RES RES RES

2.6 GENERIC ISSUES PROGRAM
* To conduct generic safety issue management activities,
 including prioritization, resolution, and documentation,
 for issues relating to currently operating reactors, for
 advanced reactors as appropriate, and for development or
 revision of associated regulatory and standards
 instruments.
* Continue to prioritize and resolve generic issues.
Continuing
RES

3.0 ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION OF OPERATING EXPERIENCE, AND TR

AINING

Regulatory Activity Objectives Methods Target Schedule Lead Office

| | 3.1 RISK-BASED TRENDS AND PATTERNS ANALYSIS * Use reactor operating experience data to assess |
|--------|---|
| | the trends and patterns in equipment, systems, |
| | initiating events, human performance, and important |
| | accident sequence. |
| | * Trend performance of risk-important components. |
| | * Trend performance of risk-important systems. |
| | * Trend frequency of risk-important initiating events |
| cs. | * Trend human performance for reliability characteristi |
| | 12/97 9/98 |
| | 12/97 |
| | TBD AEOD |
| safety | * Evaluate the effectiveness of licensee actions taken to |
| | resolve risk significant safety issues. * Trend reactor operating experience associated with specific |
| | issues and assess risk implications as a measure of safety |
| | performance. |
| | As Needed AEOD |
| in | * Develop trending methods and special databases for use |
| | AEOD trending activities and for PRA applications in |
| s for | other NRC offices. * Develop standard trending and statistical analysis procedure |
| | |

identified areas for reliability and statistical applica tions. * Develop special software and databases (e.g. common cause failure) for use in trending analyses and PRA studi es. С CCF-C Periodic updates AEOD 3.2 ACCIDENT SEQUENCE PRECURSOR (ASP) PROGRAM * Identify and rank risk significance of operational eve nts. * Screen and analyze LERs, AITs, IITs, and events identified from other sources to obtain ASP events. * Perform independent review of each ASP analyses. Licensee s and NRC staff peer review of each analysis. * Complete quality assurance of Rev. 2 simplified plant specifi С models. * Complete feasibility study for low power and shutdown mo dels. * Complete initial containment performance and consequence models. Ongoing Annual

> report, Ongoing

> > 3/97C

11/96C C AEOD AEOD RES RES RES

* Provide supplemental information on plant specific

performance.

* Share ASP analyses and insights with other NRC offices and

Regions.

Annual rpt

AEOD

Regulatory Activity

Objectives Methods Target Schedule Lead Office

3.3 INDUSTRY RISK

| S | TRENDS * Provide a measure of industry risk that is as complete a | | | | |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | possible to determine whether risk is increasing, | | | | |
| | decreasing, or remaining constant over time. * Develop program plan which integrates NRR, RES, and AEOD | | | | |
| ss the | activities which use design and operating experience to asse | | | | |
| SS LIIE | implied level of risk and how it is changing. * Implement program plan elements which will include plant- | | | | |
| m | specific models and insights from IPEs, component and syste | | | | |
| | reliability data, and other risk-important design and operati | | | | |
| dustry | data in an integrated frame work to periodically evaluate in | | | | |
| | trends. C | | | | |
| | 9/98 | | | | |
| | AEOD | | | | |
| | 3.4 RISK-BASED PERFORMANCE | | | | |
| | * Establish a comprehensive set of performance indicators | | | | |
| e | and supplementary performance measures which are mor | | | | |
| | closely related to risk and provide both early indication | | | | |
| | and confirmation of plant performance problems. * Identify new or improved risk-based PIs which use componen | | | | |
| t and | system reliability models & human and organizational perf | | | | |
| ormance | evaluation methods. * Develop and test candidate PIs/performance measures | | | | |
| | * Implement risk-based PIs with Commission approval. C | | | | |
| | | | | | |

3/99 9/99 AEOD

3.5 COMPILE OPERATING EXPERIENCE DATA * Compile operating experience information in database systems suitable for quantitative reliability and risk analysis applications. Information should be scrutable to the source at the event level to the extent practical an d be sufficient for estimating reliability and availability parameters for NRC applications. * Manage and maintain SCSS and the PI data base, provide ove rsight and access to NPRDS, obtain INPO's SSPI, compile IPE failu re data, collect plant-specific reliability and availabilit y data. * Develop, manage, and maintain agency databases for reliability/availability data (equipment performance, initiat ing events, CCF, ASP, and human performance data). * Revise reporting rules to better capture equipment reliability information. * Evaluation of voluntary approach for collecting reliabili ty data * Final reliability data rule (if necessary) * Determine need to revise LER rule to eliminate unnecessa ry and less safety-significant reporting. * Determine need to revise reporting rules and to better ca pture ASP, CCF, and human performance events.

Ongoing Ongoing 10/97 С 6 mo. After Decision on Vol. Approach. 6/98 6/98 AEOD Regulatory Activity Objectives Methods Target Schedule Lead Office(s) 3.6 STAFF TRAINING \ast Present PRA curriculum as presently scheduled for FY 1996 * Continue current contracts to present courses as schedu led. * Maintain current reactor technology courses that include P RA insights and applications. * Improve courses via feedback. * Review current PRA course material to ensure consistency with Appendix C. Ongoing Ongoing

AEOD * Develop and present Appendix C training courses. * Prepare course material based on Appendix C. * Present courses on Appendix C. C C RES and AEOD * Determine staff requirements for training, including analysis of knowledge and skills, needed by the NRC staff. * Review JTAs performed to date. * Perform representative JTAs for staff positions (JTA Pilot Program). * Evaluate staff training requirements as identified in the PR Α Implementation Plan and the Technical Training Needs Surv ey (Phase 2) and incorporate them into the training requiremen ts analysis. * Analyze the results of the JTA Pilot Program and determine requirements for additional JTAs. * Complete JTAs for other staff positions as needed. * Solicit a review of the proposed training requirement s. * Finalize the requirements. С С С Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing

* Revise current PRA curriculum and develop new trainin

Ongoing AEOD

Ongoing Complete g program to fulfill identified staff needs. * Prepare new courses to meet identified needs. * Revise current PRA courses to meet identified needs * Revise current reactor technology courses as necessary t 0 include additional PRA insights and applications. 12/9712/97 С 3/96 AEOD * Present revised PRA training curriculum. * Establish contracts for presentation of new PRA curricu lum. * Present revised reactor technology courses. * Improve courses based on feedback. Ongoing Ongoing Ongoing AEOD 4.0 NUCLEAR MATERIALS AND LOW-LEVEL WASTE SAFETY AND SAFEGUARDS REGULATION Regulatory Activity Objectives Methods Target Schedule Lead Office(s) 4.1 Validate risk analysis methodology developed to assess most likely failure modes and human performance in the use of industrial and medical radiation devices. * Validate risk analysis methodology developed to assess the relative profile of most likely contributors to misadministrations for the gamma stereotactic device (gamma knife). * Hold a workshop consisting of experts in PRA and

HRA to examine existing work and to provide

recommendations for further methodological

development.

* Examine the use of Monte Carlo simulation and its application to relative risk profiling.

* Examine the use of expert judgement in developing

error rates and consequence measures.

8/94 C 9/95 C

9/95 C NMSS

- * Continue the development of the relative risk methodology, with the addition of event tree modeling of the brachytherapy remote afterloader.
- * Develop functionally based generic event trees.

TBD RES/ NMSS

* Extend the application of the methodology and itsfurther development into additional devices, including teletherapy and the pulsed high dose rate afterloader. *Develop generic risk approaches. TBD

> RES/ NMSS

4.2 Continue use of risk assessment of allowable

radiation releases and doses associated with low-level radioactive waste and residual activity. * Develop decision criteria to support

regulatory decision making that

incorporates both deterministic and riskbased engineering judgement. * Conduct enhanced participatory rulemaking to establish radiological criteria for decommissioning nuclear sites; technical support for rulemaking including comprehensive risk based assessment of residual contamination.

* Work with DOE and EPA to the extent practicable to develop common approaches, assumptions, and models for evaluating risks and alternative

> remediation methodologies. (Risk harmonization). 8/94 PR C Final Rule Published 5/97

> > Ongoing

RES & NMSS

4.3 Develop guidance for the review of risk associated with waste repositories.
* Develop a Branch Technical Position on conducting a Performance Assessment of a LLW disposal facility.

* Solicit public comments
* Publish final Branch Technical Position 5/97 C. TBD, Dependent

on Resources NMSS & RES 4.4 Risk assessment of Material uses. * Develop and demonstrate a risk assessment for industrial gauges containing cesium-137 and cobalt-60 using PRA and other related techniques. * The assessment should allow for modification based on changes in regulatory requirements. * Use empirical data as much as practicable. * Develop and demonstrate risk assessment methods for application to medical and industrial licensee activities. * Develop and demonstrate methods for determining the risk associated with industrial gauges containing cesium-137 and cobalt-60. * Final report as NUREG 7/98

10/98

5.0 HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE REGULATION

> Regulatory Activity Objectives Methods Target Schedule Lead Office(s)

5.1 REGULATION OF HIGH-LEVEL NUCLEAR WASTE \ast Develop guidance for the NRC and CNWRA staffs in the use of PA to evaluate the safety of

HLW programs.
* Assist the staff in pre-licensing activities and in

license application reviews.
* Develop a technical assessment capability in total-

system and subsystem PA for use in licensing and

pre-licensing reviews. * Combine specialized technical disciplines (earth

sciences and engineering) with those of system

modelers to improve methodology.

Ongoing NMSS

* Identify significant events, processes, and

parameters affecting total system performance.

* Perform sensitivity studies of key technical issues

using iterative performance assessment (IPA). Ongoing NMSS

* Use PA and PSA methods, results and insights to evaluate proposed changes to regulations

governing the potential repository at Yucca

Mountain. * Assist the staff to maintain and to refine the

regulatory structure in 10 CFR Part 60 that pertains

to PA.

* Apply IPA analyses to advise EPA in its

development of a Yucca Mountain regulation * Apply IPA analyses to conform 10 CFR 60 to EPA's regulations Ongoing NMSS * Continue PA activities during interactions with

DOE during the pre-licensing phase of repository development, site characterization, and repository design.

* Provide guidance to the DOE on site

characterization requirements, ongoing design work and licensing issues important to the DOE's

development of a complete and high-quality licens

application.

* Compare results of NRC's iterative performance

assessment to DOE's TSPA-95 to identify major

differences/issues. Ongoing NMSS

5.2 APPLY PRA TO SPENT FUEL STORAGE FACILITIES * Demonstrate methods for PRA of spent fuel storage facilities. * Prepare user needs letter to RES

* Conduct PRA of dry cask storage \$4/97C\$

9/99

RES/NMSS

е

,

End of FY 99

6/99 NMSS