# Thoughts on the presented statistical analysis

Daniel Gillen, PhD
Consultant to the Committee
Department of Statistics, UC Irvine

### Typical criteria for approval

 Submission of two independent wellcontrolled clinical trials as substantial evidence for effectiveness

 Goal of statistics is to quantify uncertainty in samples in order to make inference or generalize to the larger population

### Typical criteria for approval

- A primary reason for requiring consistent results on two independent trials is to broaden the generalizability of observed results
  - Clinical centers
  - Training
  - Patient pools / cohort effects

### Current reference standard for statistical evidence

- P-value Probability of observing results as or more extreme than those actually observed if the null hypothesis were true
  - In the current setting null hypothesis is equal rates of preterm births in each treatment arm
- Reference standard for a single trial is a onesided P-value of 0.025 or less

# Statistical evidence from a single confirmatory trial

■ In order to provide sufficient statistical evidence from a single confirmatory trial it has been suggested that one require a Pvalue of 0.025<sup>2</sup>=0.000625

(the threshold corresponding to 2 independent level .025 tests)

## Results reported by the study sponsor (ITT)

- 37 week endpoint
  - Obs proportions: 0.371 vs. 0.549
  - Obs difference: -0.178
  - 95% CI: -0.28, -0.07
  - Corresponding P-value: 0.0003

#### Results reported by the FDA

- FDA notes the use of an interim monitoring plan
  - 2-sided level .05 O'Brien-Fleming rule
  - 2 interim analyses one final analysis
- Adjusted results
  - Obs difference: -0.178
  - 95% CI: -0.28, -0.07

### Results adjusted for interim analyses

#### Assumptions:

- 2-sided level .05 O'Brien-Fleming boundary
- Three equally spaced analyses (actually took place at 15.2% and 70.2% of maximal sample size)
- Final analysis sample sizes: 310 vs. 153
- Baseline event rate of 0.549

### Results adjusted for interim analyses

 Adjusted results (based upon sample mean ordering)

■ Obs difference: -0.178

Bias adjusted diff: -0.165

Adjusted P-value: 0.0035

### Results adjusted for interim analyses

Adjusted results for other endpoints

| Endpoint | Obs Diff | Adj Diff | Adj P-value |
|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| 35 week  | -0.091   | -0.086   | 0.068       |
| 32 week  | -0.070   | -0.066   | 0.156       |
| 28 week  | -0.005   | -0.005   | 0.919       |

#### Final note

- P-values only represent one criteria of evidence
- Also need to consider clinical significance of observed point estimates
  - Observed rate of pre-term births in placebo arm
  - Mean time to birth
- Generalizability of findings
- Safety profile
- Urgency of clinical need