Over-winter migratory behavior of juvenile harbor seals from Glacier Bay National Park



Jamie N. Womble¹, Scott M. Gende^{1,} David C. Douglas² ¹National Park Service, Coastal Program, Glacier Bay Field Station 3100 National Park Road, Juneau, Alaska 99801 ²USGS Alaska Science Center, Biology & Geography Sciences, Juneau Office

3100 National Park Road, Juneau, Alaska 99801 Corresponding author: Jamie_Womble@nps.gov

Corresponding author: Jamie_womb

Background

•About 10% of the harbor seal population in Alaska is associated seasonally with glacial ice calved from tidewater glaciers.

•Little is known regarding the over-wintering migratory behavior of seals that occupy glacial fiords during summer.

 Glacier Bay is a recently deglaciated fiord comprised of several tidewater glaciers and historically has supported one of the largest breeding aggregations of harbor seals in Alaska.

•From 1992-2002, numbers of harbor seals declined by over 60% in Glacier Bay National Park (Mathews & Pendleton 2006, *Mar. Mamm. Sci.* 22).

Objectives

•Our objectives were to assess the over-winter migratory behavior of juvenile female harbor seals captured in Johns Hopkins Inlet (JHI) in Glacier Bay National Park (GBNP).



Figure 1. Satellite telemetry locations from harbor seals captured in Johns Hopkins Inlet, Glacier Bay National Park Plotted positions are from 11 Sept 2007 through 7 Jan 2008.

Methods

•In 2006 Sept, juvenile female harbor seals (n = 15) were captured in JHI in GBNP.

•Seals were equipped with head-mounted satellite transmitters (Spot 5, Wildlife Computers) that collect location and haulout statistics.

•Satellite transmitters were programmed to transmit for 24 hours every other day (duty cycle), yielding a 270-day battery life expectancy.

Data Filtering & Location Accuracy

•Data were filtered using the **Douglas Argos-Filter Algorithm** which ingests satellite tracking data and removes implausible locations based on distance, velocity, and turning angle thresholds.

•The following parameters were applied to the filtering algorithm:

-spatial redundancy = 5 km

-maximum sustainable rate of movement = 10 km/hr

-always retain Argos location class qualities \geq 1

Table 1. Argos satellite locations by location quality-class before and after accuracy filtering.

		All Argos locations		All filtered locations		Best filtered location/duty cycle	
Argos Location Class	Estimated Accuracy (meters)	N	%	N	%	N	%
3	<150 m	799	12.6%	799	13.8%	442	57.0%
2	150 m < 350 m	868	13.7%	868	15.0%	155	20.0%
1	350m < 1000 m	658	10.4%	658	11.4%	68	8.8%
0	> 1000 m	263	4.2%	219	3.8%	14	1.8%
A	no estimate	1283	20.3%	1166	20.1%	61	7.9%
В	no estimate	2403	38.0%	2059	35.5%	35	4.5%
Z	invalid location	34	0.5%	25	0.4%	0	0.0%
Total		6323	100.0%	5794	100.0%	775	100.0%

Acknowledgements: Funding was provided by NPS-NRPP-NRM. NPS, ADF&G, AK Sealife Center, Univ. of AK & Wyoming assisted in capture efforts. We are grateful to Josh London and Peter Boveng (NMML) for assistance with tag programming. Research was conducted under permit NMFS permit # 358-1585.





Figure 3. Satellite telemetry locations from harbor seal #PV07GB48 that departed Glacier Bay on 21 Sept 2007 and traveled to Prince William South by 31 Oct 2007. As of 7 Jan 2008, PV07GB48 remains in Prince William Sound. Plotted positions are the highest quality Argos location class per duty cycle (every other day), color-coded by month.

Over-winter Migratory Patterns

 Multiple over-winter migratory patterns have emerged and include movements by seals to Lynn Canal (n = 4), Taku Inlet (n = 1), and Tenakee Inlet (n = 1) in northern Southeast Alaska (Figure 1).

•Some harbor seals made more extensive movements to areas in the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) including Yakutat Bay (n = 4), Icy Bay (n = 2), outer Baranof Island (n = 1), and Prince William Sound (n = 1) (Figure 1 & 3).

•Three harbor seals have remained within the Glacier Bay/lcy Strait area; however, none of the seals have reoccupied the capture site in JHI.

•The mean cumulative distance traveled from 15 Sept 2007 to 7Jan 2008 was 1152 km \pm 433 (range 522-2162 km) (Figure 4).

•Average distance between the highest quality location per duty cycle (every other day) was 22 km ±29 (range 0–218 km) and began to decline in November (Figure 5).





Rates of Travel Relative to Oceanographic Features

•Rates of sustained movement by seals were highest (≥4.48 km/hr, Figure 2) primarily along the northwest coast of the GOA near the Sitka Eddy where swimming was likely assisted by surface currents (Figure 6).

•Eddies in the GOA have been shown to influence the foraging and travel patterns of other upper-trophic level predators (Ream et al. 2005, *Deep-Sea Res. II, 52*).



•Slower rates of travel occurred primarily in protected inside waters, bays, and fiords.

The Longest Migration: Glacier Bay to Prince William Sound

 Seal # PV07GB48 traveled from Glacier Bay (GB) to Prince William Sound (PWS), an estimated cumulative distance of 1717 km from 21 Sept 2007 to 31 Oct 2007 (Figure 3).

Migratory Timeline for Seal #PV07GB48

14 Sept 2007: Seal is captured in JHI and equipped with transmitter.
21 Sept 2007: Seal departs GB.

•23-27 Sept 2007: Seal makes first foray to continental shelf margin.
•7-9 Oct 2007: Seal makes second foray to continental shelf margin near the Sitka Eddy.

- •11-19 Oct 2007: Seal remains in Gulf of Alaska.
- •23-29 Oct 2007: Seal travels from Cross Sound area to Cape Suckling. •31 Oct 2007: Seal enters PWS.
- •7 Jan 2008: Seal remains in Port Gravina in eastern PWS.

 This extensive migratory movement by seal # PV07GB48 exceeds movements previously documented for harbor seals in Southeast Alaska.