FREQUENT HUMPBACK WHALE SONGS RECORDED IN GLACIER BAY, ALASKA IN FALL 2000 and 2001

¹Christine M. Gabriele, ²Adam S. Frankel and ¹Tania M. Lewis Glacier Bay National Park and Preserve, P.O. Box 140, Gustavus, Alaska 99826

2 Marine Acoustics Inc., 901 North Stuart Street, Suite 708, Arlington, Virginia 22203 Email addresses: Chris Gabriela@mark.com $\label{eq:constraint} Email \ addresses: \ Chris_Gabriele@nps.gov, \ Adam.Frankel@marineacoustics.com, \ Tania_Lewis@nps.gov \\$

ABSTRACT

impback whate songs have rarely been recorded in Alaska waters. High-latitude humpback whate songs that occur outside the presumed inter mating season are interesting because song is thought to be a male mating-related display. Using a bottom-mounted hydrophone and purplerized monitoring system in Glacire Bay, southermastern Alaska (BP 22), N.1375 SV Wy ere corded 25 hours of humpback whate song n 29 different days between August and November in both 2000 and 2001. We compared the best Alaska recordings with songs recorded ff the whates' water range in the Hawaiian islands in winter 2000 and 2001, and measured their degree of similiarity on a variety of coustic parameters . Individual song units were extracted from the recordings, and computer program "Acoustat" quantitatively measured incipate components analysis to determine which measurements accounted for the most song unit variance. The first Bi principal amponents, accounting for 80% re discussion with a song ware, but also found that the model could cordinate system interplate found significant differences between units by region and year, but also found that the model could cordinate sostewed is proper significant differences between units by region and year, but also found that the model could cording classify units into large some significant differences between units by region and year, but also found that the model could cording classify units into large some some source and year. Most significant differences between units by region and year, but also found that the model could cording classify units into source first whate some source source and year. But also found that the model could cording classify units into source different whate movement out of the study area. We summise that we recorded many more humpback whate songs than previous Alaska usuals (e.g. McSeeney et al. 1999) because remote monitoring allowed us greater acoustic monitoring different in the fail regreates of mitted daylight and inclement weather. We speculate tha npbacks prior to the migration to the wi

INTRODUCTION

Humpback whale (Megaptera novaeangliae) song is thought to be a mating-related display that is performed by males on their tropical winterir grounds. This poster describes numerous observations of humpback whale song on the southeastern Alaska feeding grounds in late summer and utumn 2000 and 2001.

revious studies in the North Atlantic and North Pacific have detected high-latitude humpback whale song during migration (Clapham and Mattilia 1990, Abilean etal. 1996, Norris et al. 1999, Watkins et al. 2000, Charif et al. 2001). Song was also detected fairly often in the North tlantic on the Stellwagen Bank feeding grounds in November and May (Mattilia etal. 1987).

n southeastern Alaska, Baker et al. (1980) reported hearing singing from one or more whales in a group in late December 1979 and early uary 19

METHODS
Figure 2. ITC
8215A hydrophone
mounted on a
customized
aluminum anchor,
being installed in
Glacier Bay.

southeaste	rn Alaska was a rare occurrence.	s or numpi	Jack whate s	song in 5 sun	niners or e	errort, and	Concluded that		2000 g 2000	20	
ODS	1	Table 1. Statistics on Song Occurrences in Glacier Bay							A 0 5 10 15 20 25 B. 0 5 Time (seconds) B. Time (seconds)	10	
ITC Irophone			# Days Song	# Hours of Song	Date of First	Date of Last	Mean session length in minutes	Maximal session length in	Figure 4A & 4B. Similarity of phrases from Alaska and Hawaii 2000 and 2001 humpback whale songs.	N	
		Year	Observed	Observed	Song	Song	(std dev)	minutes	COMPARISON WITH OTHER STUDIES OF HIGH-LATITUDE SONG		
inchor,	and the second s	2000	18	21.9	29-Aug	16-Nov	73.1 (62.7)	270	The humback whale some we recorded in Glacier Bay occurred earlier and were much more pro	rovalent	
jiled in y.		2001	11	2.8	23-Aug	9-Nov	15.7 (13.1)	48	Greater of Topparts What Top Storm Torritor the Top 2000 previously documented in any Feeding area. We found that humpback whates appear quite commonly in late summer and fall in the study area, corroborating findings from Stellwage	to sing en Bank	
Humpback	whales songs were recorded during passive a	acoustic							(Mattila et al. 1987). However, it is not clear why the song in southeastern Alaska began in late	August	

Hawaii 2001

Study Area: Humpback whales songs were recorded**during passive acoustic** monitoring To characterize ambient noise in Glacier Bay National Park (SB* 25 N, 135* 55 W), a glacial ford system in southeastern Alaska (Fig. 1). The seafforo in the area is remnant of a glacial moraine which is flat at depths of 40-60 meters

50-100 humpback whales inhabit the study area between June - August, and much smaller numbers of whales in September - May. 347 different humpbacks have beer ndividually identified in the area, including at least 36 known mature males.

stic Monitoring: May 20, 2000 - March 8, 2001 and July 13, 2001 Vorenied 24, 2001. Explainent proteinis prevences instantioning war Lin 2 June 11, so we missed the chance to detect singing as whates arrived in the area. The sustic monitoring system automatically collected hourly samples, but recordings of the vocalizations could only be made if a person was there to listen and make a ording. Acoustic monitoring effort was variable during the summer, but occurred rosimately 30–40 hours per week in September through March. 2001. so we mis

Coustic Monitoring System: We monitored underwater sound (0 to 40 kHz) using a bottom-mounted (depth = 32m), calibrated ITC 8215A hydrophone and preamplifier mear the mouth of Glacier Bay (Figure 1, 2). A submersible 9.1 km cable connects the hydrophone to a custom built computer control unit that provides DC power to the hydrophone to its he electrical interface between the hydrophone, the computer and the DAT recorder. The computer is equipped with a National Instruments 4451 Digital Signal Analyzer FFT boart to amplify and convert hydrophone signals to digital samples, displayed as a spectrogram on the computer screen.

Alaska Song Recordings: We recorded humpback whale vocalizations opportunistically with a Sony TCD-D1-Port I Digital Audio Tape recorder/player **48 kHz sampling rate**) or directly onto the computer hard disk **80 kHz sampling rate**). Recordings were digitized (DAT only) and archived onto CD for later analysis.

waii Song Recordings: The winter 2000 humpback whale song sample was recorded d generously donated for this studyby Dolphin Discoveries, a commerical torurism companyin Knoa, Hawaii. The 2001 humpback whale song was portunistically recorded aboard a Dolphin Discoveries charter on February 14, 2001 nd gene

Tala Analysis: Most previous studies have used song phrase- or theme-level analysis, put more recent studies have examined **song units** showing regional and inter-individual differences (Frankel, submitted). We extracted song units from digitized recordings, using customized energy detectors written in Matlab. A **song unit** is **defined** as the shortest unit of continuous sound discrable by human ear. Wich har arranged into phrases containing a series of units (Payne and McVay 1971). We used the computer program 'Accusat' (Fristrug and Watkins 1993) to make97 measurements of each unit's frequency, temporal and contour characteristics. We ace a SAS principal component's analysis to reduce the dimensionality of the ments, determining how many principal components accounted for 80% of song ance. A SAS discriminant analysis classified the resulting 18 principal

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

he Glacier Bay National Park underwater acoustic monitoring program is conducted in cooperation with the LS. Navy Naval Surface Warfare Center in Bremerton, Washington. Funding for this project came from the ational Park Service Fee Demonstration Program. We gratefully acknowledge the expertise of Blair Kipple (NSWC) and Russ Dukek (Mantech Inc.) for system segn and for their creativity and good humor during those black times when cable repair seemed impossible proving that it takes a willage to maintain a hydrophone. Hawaii humphack whale songs were made available by he generosity of Claudia Merrill or Dolphin Discoveries in Kona, Hawaii. Composite satellite photograph and athmetric image of Glacier Bay courtexy of USGS-BRD Glacier Bay Field Station.



Humpback whales frequently sing while they are in the Glacier Bay area in August - November (Table 1). We heard no song earlier than Augustdespite the presence of whales. We heard no song later than November, probably due to the absence of whales. The songs we heard were soles, not the charusing that is typical in wintering grounds. We rarely heard other whale vocalizations in the background, although feeding whales can be quite vocal.

Song sessions were considerably shorter than reported in the Hawaii wintering grounds (Frankel 1994), where whales commonly sing continuously for hours. The longest song session observed durin, this study was 4.5 hours, on November 8, 2000 when a single whale sang almost continuously from This study was 4.5 notes, of involvement 6.2000 where (Table II). Song sessions were quite variable in 1217-184.3, but most sessions were much short (Table II). Song sessions were quite variable in length and were significantly longer in 2000 than in 2001, (Mann-WhitnyU = 23.5, p = 0.0002, Figur 3). Song sessions were sometimes (n=8) pre-celled with episodes of unstructured vocalizations

The apparent decrease in singing in 2001 (Table 1) was probably due to lack of whales in the area, based on population monitoring in lower Glacier Bay during the summer and fall. Singers recorded i 2001 also tended to be farther away from the hydrophone than singers in 2000, based on the appar loudness and quality of the recordings. od in

Song units from Hawaii and Alaska 2000 and 2001 songs were distinct from one another as show by the discriminant analysis Mahalanobis Distances between songs from each year and area (Table 2). The similarity between the highest sample size areas of Alaska 2000 & 2001 (Table 3) argues against individual differences accounting for all the variance shown.

Song units from Hawaii 2001 and Alaska 2000 & 2001 were similar to one another as shown by the number of times that song units from one area and year were misclassified as being from a different area or year (Table 3). Posterior probabilities of misclassifications show that Alaska 2000 and 2001 were the most frequently mistlaken for one another. Hawaii 2000 song units were so distin from the other areas and years that they were rarely misclassified. Small sample sizes of Hawaii sor (n=1 recording for each year) prevent definitive conclusions on which areas and years were most

LITERATURE CITED



Hydrophone

Figure 1. Hydrophone location in Glacier Bay, Alaska



Table 2. Song unit distinctiveness by region and year shown by discriminant analysis Mahalanobis Distances (and their probabilities). All region-year combinations were statistically significant except Alaska 2001 vs. Hawaii 2001, probably due to small Hawaii sample

FROM TO	Alaska-2000	Alaska-2001	Hawaii-2000	Hawaii-2001
Alaska-2000	605 (60.87)	238 (23.94)	0 (0)	151 (15.19)
Alaska-2001	7 (33.33)	14 (66.67)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Hawaii-2000	9 (9.00)	3 (3.00)	86 (86.00)	2 (2.00)
Hawaii-2001	4 (30.77)	2 (15.38)	1 (7.69)	6 (46.15)

Table 3. Song unit similarity by region and year shown by ncipal components classification of song units. Cells contain the number (and %) of observations classified from each region-year (rows) to all other region-years (columns). Misclassifications of song units from one region-year into a principa

different region-year indicate similarity. Song units for a given region-year vere correctly classified 46-86% of the time. Hawaii 2000 song units were rarely misclassified.



(whit is a rai, 'ray). Howeven, rich not clear win' rite Song in sou rikes teil rikes/a degain in ater Augus while the Stellwayen Bank song was not observed until November, since humpback are present in both areas throughout that time period. Details of acoustic monitoring effort in the Stellwagen Bank study may reveal the source of this difference.

Humpback whale song in mid-summer appears to be rare or non-existent although other vocalization: raniposa, wale song in mine-sommer appeals to be rate of non-existent antiogh of the vocalization are sheard. Although accustic monitoring effort wale solver in the summer, we do not believe this accounts for the tack of songs in May through fate August. In contrast, **humpbacks probably continue** to **humps and the second s** this continue to

We predict that with moderate acoustic monitoring effort, **song recordings could be made in any area where humpback whales congregate in the autumn.** It appears that (presumably male) humpbacks sing spordically in between Feeding boots in the autumn. Since we have no visual observations of the singer we recorded, we can say very little about their behavior or the presence, proximity or identity of other whales in the vicinity.

Two aspects of our methods likely account for differences from previous work in southeastern Alaska by McSweeney et al. (1989). First, we suspect that these investigators did not continue monitoring in September and October, although the dates of their accustic monitoring of fort were not specified. Secondly, our study used passive acoustic monitoring of a remote hydrophone, which allowed us a much greater accustic monitoring effort and gave us much greater flexibility with regard to weather, sea conditions and daylight.

WHY DO HUMPBACKS SING IN THE FEEDING GROUNDS?

We speculate that the increase in song in late summer and fail corresponds with the beginning of seasonal hormonal activity in male humpbacks proior to the migration to the winter grounds. Studies o the reproductive tracts of male humpbacks revealed that tests weights in the wintering areas are much greater than in the feeding areas (Chitteborough 1955, Nishiwaki 1959). Behavioral indications of increased male hormonal activity in the autum are probably of the subtle, but over tobservations have included singing and agonistic behavior between whales in Sitka Sound in December and January (J. Straley pers. comm) and a known mature male apparently pursuing a known mature female in Glacier Bay in September (J. Doherty pers. comm).

We do not know whether autumn humpback whale songs or other behaviors directly result in reproductivi success. The prevalence of humpback whale song in Alaska may also indicate that the full range of mating behavior occurs in the autumn and winter in high-latitude waters I it has been suggested that overwintering of females in high latitudes may account for sighting blases against females in the winter grounds (Brown et al. 1995, Criaj and Herman 1997), but this hypothesis is not consistent with the mixe aga-sex classes of overwintering humpbacks in southeastern Alaska (Straley 1999). The occurrence in southeastern Alaska of humpback whale singing and there halveror lypical of the mating season may indicate that even when mature males and females forgo migration they may not be sacrificing the opportunity to mate.

Martin, D. & Lewis, S.D. 1996. Long-range acoustic detection and tracking of the h Hawaii-Alaska migration. MTS/IEEE Oceans 96 1:373-377 lation characteristics of summer and late-season humpback whales (Megaptera novaeangliae) in southeastern Alaska. Marine Mammal Science 1(4):1 er, C.S., et al. 1985. Popu ittleborough, R.G. 1955. Aspects of the reproduction of the male humpback whale Megaptera nodosa, (Bonsterre). Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research 6: 1-29.

narif. R.A. Claoham. P.J. and Clark. C.W. 2001. Acoustic detections of singing humpback whales in deep waters off the British Lisles. Marine Mammal Science 17:729-750.

In the program of the program of

istrup, K. M. and Watkins, W.A. 1993. AcouStats: acoustic measurements for marine animal sound characterization. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 3 pp.

HIMPAD Contracts LN & Mays CA 1997. Handback whole song on the North Attacht Feeding grounds. Journal of Mammadules (JS 808-883).
KSeneerey, D., Chu, KC, Dolphin, WF, and Guines, LN 1999. North Heith Handback whate song as comparison of subtenet Alaskan feeding ground songs with Hanalian wintering (Johnaux). A subtenet Alaskan feeding ground songs with Hanalian wintering (Johnaux). ce 5-129-149

Norris, T.F., Mc Donald, M. & Barlow, J. 1999. Acoustic detections of singing humpback whales (Magaptera r 106:506-514. angliae) in the

vne and McVay 1971. Songs of humpback whales. Science 173:585-597.

ask, J.M. 1999. Overwintering North Pacific humpback whales in Alaskan waters. Poster presented at the 13th biennial meeting of the Society for Marine Mammalogy, Kihel, Maui, Hawaii, December 1999