1999 OREGON HIGHWAY PLAN

Including amendments November 1999 through January 2006



An Element of the Oregon Transportation Plan

Copyright © 1999 by the Oregon Department of Transportation

Permission is given to quote and reproduce parts of this document if credit is given to the source.

A copy of this plan and amendments as the Oregon Transportation Commission adopted them are on file at the Oregon Department of Transportation and online at: http://www.oregon.gov/ODOT/TD/TP/orhwyplan.shtm. Editorial changes for consistency have been made in this document.

Amendments Incorporated into August 2006 version of 1999 OHP

- 99-01: Highway Reclassification (9 November 1999)
- 00-02: Expressway Classification (11 May 2000)
- 00-03: Expressway Classifications and Technical Corrections (7 June 2000)
- 00-04: Alternate Mobility Standards; RVMPO and Metro (13 December 2000)
- 01-05: Expressway Classifications (11 April 2001)
- 01-06: Conditional Designation of STAs and Designation of UBAs (9 August 2001)
- 02-07: Jurisdictional Transfers (November 2002)
- 03-08: Bypass Policy (16 April 2003)
- 03-09: Amendment of Appendix E: NHS Intermodal Connectors (18 June 2003)
- 04-10: Amended Policy 1B (14 January 2004)
- 04-11: Highway Segment Designations (14 January 2004)
- 04-11: Highway Segment Designation Maps (14 January 2004)
- 04-12: Technical Corrections to the Oregon Highway Plan (2 July 2004)
- 04-13: Technical Corrections to the Oregon Highway Plan (20 December 2004)
- 05-14: Designation of Special Transportation Areas (10 January 2005)
- 05-16: Amendment of Policies 1B, 1C, 1F, 4A and Appendices C and D; Highway Segments, Freight Routes, Mobility Standards, Access Spacing and designating Florence UBA and STA and extending Grants Pass Expressway (17 August 2005)
- 05-17: Designation of Highway Segments (16 November 2005)
- 06-18: Interjurisdictional Relations and Infrastructure Cost Sharing (18 January 2006)

Oregon Department of Transportation Transportation Development Division Planning Section 555 13th St. NE, Suite 2 Salem, OR 97301-4178

Contacts: Michael Rock Nancy Murphy Telephone: (503) 986-3179 (503) 986-4128

Email: Michael.D.Rock@odot.state.or.us Nancy.E.Murphy@odot.state.or.us



TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION

May 1999

Governor Kitzhaber and Citizens of Oregon

FILE CODE:

The Oregon Transportation Commission presents the 1999 Oregon Highway Plan, an innovative plan that will guide how the state highways are developed and managed over the next 20 years. The Plan reflects the work and ideas of hundreds of people including state legislators, representatives of cities, counties, state agencies, user groups and environmental organizations, and citizens.

The challenge facing Oregon is to develop and use the highway system successfully in the face of major population growth and limited resources. The Plan responds to the challenge by emphasizing:

- investments consistent with state and local community priorities;
- efficient management of the system to increase safety and extend its capacity;
- · partnerships with other agencies and local governments;
- closer links between land use and transportation;
- · closer links with other transportation modes; and
- use of new techniques to improve road safety and capacity.

The investment policy places the highest priority on safety and managing and preserving the physical infrastructure. With improved funding, the state would improve bridge and pavement conditions and decrease traffic congestion.

The Plan affects plans, programs and projects of the Oregon Department of Transportation and regional and local governments. The Transportation Planning Rule requires regional and local transportation system plans to be consistent with the Highway Plan. Highway mobility standards and access management standards became effective upon adoption of the Plan on March 18, 1999. Local transportation system plans adopted after January 1, 2000, must be consistent with the new standards.

The Transportation Commission thanks the members of the advisory committees who developed the goals and policies and all those who attended meetings on the Highway Plan or shared their comments in writing. The result is a major step toward meeting the challenges facing the state highway system.

Oregon Transportation Commission

900 SW 5th Avenue Portland, OR 97204



Other Elements of the State Transportation Plan

	d 1992
Aviation System PlanAdopte	d 2000
Bicycle/Pedestrian PlanAdopte	d 1995
Corridor Plans	ental
Public Transportation Plan Adopte	d 1997
• Rail Plan	d 2001
Transportation Safety Action PlanAdopte	d 2004
Willamette Valley Transportation StrategyAdopte	d 1995

1999 Oregon Highway Plan



AN ELEMENT OF THE OREGON TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Adopted by the Oregon Transportation Commission

Originally Adopted March 18, 1999 Including Amendments through January 2006

Oregon Department of Transportation

Transportation Development Division

Planning Section

Plan produced by:

Transportation Development Division

Craig Greenleaf, Deputy Director

Planning Section

Ron Schaadt, Manager

Barbara Fraser, Interim Planning Group Manager

Carolyn Gassaway, Highway Plan Manager

Del Huntington, Access Management Program Manager

Linda Apple, Access Management Planner

Richard Arnold, Highway Needs Coordinator

Brian Gregor, Senior Transportation Analyst

Kimberly Jordan, Administrative Assistant

Robert Royer, Plan Advisor

John Williams, Transportation Planner

Cambridge Systematics

Steve Pickrell, Project Manager

Arlee Reno, Principal Responsible

With David Evans and Associates, Inc.HDR Engineering, Inc.Pacific Rim Resources, Inc.

This project was funded in part by the Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. Graphic Design provided by Mark Falby, ODOT Reprographic and Design Services

Table of Contents

1999 OREGON HIGHWAY PLAN

Preface	
Executive Summary	1
The Vision	13
Vision Statement	17
Transportation Forecasts	18
Future Technologies	2 1
Policy and Legal Context	23
The Planning Process	
Description of the Highway System	
Policy Element	37
Goal 1: System Definition	39
Policy 1A: State Highway Classification System	
Policy 1C: State Highway Freight System	
Policy 1D: Scenic Byways	
Policy 1E: Lifeline Routes	
Policy 1F: Highway Mobility Standards	
Policy 1G: Major Improvements	
Policy 1H: Bypasses	91
Goal 2: System Management	100
Policy 2A: Partnerships	
Policy 2B: Off-System Improvements	
Policy 2C: Interjurisdictional Transfers	
Policy 2D: Public Involvement	
Policy 2E: Intelligent Transportation Systems	
Policy 2F: Traffic Safety	
Policy 2G: Rail and Highway Compatibility	

Goal 3: Access Management	117
Policy 3A: Classification and Spacing Standards	120
Policy 3B: Medians	
Policy 3C: Interchange Access Management Areas	131
Policy 3D: Deviations	
Policy 3E: Appeals	134
Goal 4: Travel Alternatives	137
Policy 4A: Efficiency of Freight Movement	139
Policy 4B: Alternative Passenger Modes	
Policy 4C: High-Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) Facilities	
Policy 4D: Transportation Demand Management	
Policy 4E: Park-and-Ride Facilities	
Goal 5: Environmental and Scenic Resources	149
Policy 5A: Environmental Resources	
Policy 5B: Scenic Resources	155
System Element	157
State Highway Needs Analysis	157
Investment Policies and Scenarios	
Implementation Strategies	
-	
Performance Measures	187
Appendices	193
Appendix A: Glossary	193
Appendix B: Highway Program Definitions	203
Appendix C: Access Management Standards	207
Appendix D: Highway Classification by Milepoint	215
Appendix E: Intermodal Connectors on the National Highway S	ystem259
Appendix F: Environmental Laws and Regulations	263
Appendix G: Members of Steering and Policy Advisory Committee	tees269
Appendix H: Oregon Highway Plan Findings Of Compliance	273
Index	243
Highway Classification Maps	245

List of Tables

Table 1:	US and Oregon Population and Employment, 1980-2020 19
Table 2:	Location of Highway Segment Designations
Table 3:	Highway Segment Designating Process
Table 4:	Elements of Strategies to meet the Objectives of the Land Use and
	Transportation Policy
Table 5:	Designated Freight Routes(omitted per Amendment 05-16)
Table 6:	Maximum Volume to Capacity Ratios for Peak Hour Operating
	Conditions Through a Planning Horizon for State Highway Sections
	Located Outside the Portland Metropolitan Area Urban Growth
	Boundary 83
Table 7:	Maximum Volume to Capacity Ratios for Two Hour Peak Operating
	Conditions Through a 20–Year Horizon for State Highway Sections
	within the Portland Metropolitan Area Urban Growth Boundary 84
Table 8:	Summary of Feasible Needs Analysis
Table 9:	Implications of Scenarios for Transportation System
Table 10:	Projected State and Federal Highway Revenues, 1998-2017 183
Table 11:	Examples of Tax Increases Needed to Match Projected Revenues
	with Needs
Table 12:	Interchange Spacing
Table 13:	Access Management Spacing Standards for Statewide Highways. 208
Table 14:	Access Management Spacing Standards for Regional Highways 208
Table 15:	Access Management Spacing Standards for District Highways209
Table 16:	Minimum Spacing Standards Applicable to Freeway Interchanges
	with Two-Lane Crossroads
Table 17:	Minimum Spacing Standards Applicable to Freeway Interchanges
	with Multi-Lane Crossroads
Table 18:	Minimum Spacing Standards Applicable to Non-Freeway
	Interchanges with Two-Lane Crossroads
Table 19:	Minimum Spacing Standards Applicable to Non-Freeway
	Interchanges with Multi-Lane Crossroads
Table 20:	Access Management Spacing Standard Minor Deviation Limits
	for Statewide Highways(omitted per Amendment 04-13)
Table 21:	Access Management Spacing Standard Minor Deviation Limits
	for Regional Highways(omitted per Amendment 04-13)
Table 22:	Access Management Spacing Standard Minor Deviation Limits
	for District Highways(omitted per Amendment 04-13)

List of Figures

Figure 1: Oregon Population Trends	18
Figure 2: Age Distribution in Oregon, 1995 and 2020	19
Figure 3: Integrated Transportation Planning	26
Figure 4: Public Road Jurisdiction in Oregon, 1997	33
Figure 5: Trends in VMT and Lane Miles in Oregon (all jurisdictions)	34
Figure 6: Average Daily Traffic on Oregon's Urban Roadways (all jurisdictio	ns)34
Figure 7: Means of Transportation to Work in Oregon	35
Figure 8: Major Multimodal Commodity Flow Corridors in Oregon	36
Figure 9: Location of Highway Segment Desinations	62
Figure 10a: Designated Freight Routes	68
Figure 10b: Designated Freight Routes	69
Figure 11: Designated Scenic Byways	71
Figure 12: Overall State Highway Pavement Condition, 1997	157
Figure 13: History of State Highway Pavement Conditions	157
Figure 14: Original Construction Year of ODOT Bridges	158
Figure 15: Typical Pavement Deterioration Pattern	159
Figure 16: Summary of Investment Scenarios	180
Figure 17: Projection of 20-Year Highway Needs and Revenues	184
Figure 18: Measurement of Spacing Standards for Table 16	210
Figure 19: Measurement of Spacing Standards for Table 17	211
Figure 20: Measurement of Spacing Standards for Table 18	212
Figure 21: Measurement of Spacing Standards for Table 19	213