Model Development in Oregon: Past, Present, and Future

Bill Upton, Oregon Department of Transportation Rick Donnelly, Parsons Brinckerhoff

18 July 2000

Presentation Overview

- Why We're Here
- The Past (Gen1)
- The Present (Gen2)
- The Future (?)
- Symposium Program

Why We're Here

- Advance integrated land use-transporteconomic modeling
- Further USDOT's Travel Model Improvement Program (TMIP)
- Communicate with users
- Information exchange

First Steps

- Oregon Travel Behavior Inventory
 - Piloted in the Portland region
 - Extended to remainder of the state
 - Includes rural counties
 - Portland blazes new trails
 - Activity-based travel models
 - Commodity-based freight models
 - TRANSIMS case study

First Steps

- ODOT development of consistent urban models
- Early consideration of statewide models (1996)

Genesis of TLUMIP

- Transportation and Land Model
 Integration Program (TLUMIP) formed in 1996
 - Build useful prototype models
 - Proof of data and concept
- Parallel model development tracks
 - Established model for statewide (↓risk)
 - Original model for urban areas (↑learning)

Gen1 Major Products

- TLUMIP web site
 - Meeting notes
 - Technical reports
 - Development Guidelines
 - Development Protocol (draft)
 - Procedures Manual
 - Application Manual
- Databases

Gen1 Major Products

- Strategic Plan
 - Developed to guide ODOT efforts
 - Expand to MPOs
 - Incorporate state agencies
- The models themselves
 - Gen1 statewide model
 - Gen1 urban model

Gen1 Statewide Model

- Implemented in TRANUS
 - Profiled at first symposium
 - Most documentation on TLUMIP web site
- Reduce risk by using established platform
 - Aggregate equilibrium treatment
 - Fully integrated land use and transport models
 - Met some design goals (DCM, RUT, ...)
 - Closed source

Gen1 Statewide Model

- A qualified success
 - Validated well to available data
 - Limited by data availability and aggregation
 - Coarse zonal and network detail

Gen1 Urban Model

- Original development resulting in UrbanSim
 - Exogenous economic trends
 - Embedded transport model
- Embodied many original design goals
 - Random utility theory and discrete choice models
 - Dynamic disequilibrium formulation
 - Open source

Gen1 Urban Model

- Eugene-Springfield testbed
- Also a qualified success
 - Proved concept
 - Robust residential location model
 - Data availability

Major Outcomes

- Useful and successful prototypes
 - Functioning models
 - Knowledge base
- Data more difficult than anticipated
 - Land price and supply data required major work
 - Inconsistent coverages and definitions
 - GIS lag

Oversight and Collaboration

- Peer Review Panel
- Oregon Modeling Steering Committee
 - Peer review
 - Education and training
 - Communications
 - Technical
- Technical Users Committee
- University collaboration

Oversight Committees

OMSC

- Metropolitan planning organizations
- ODOT
- Other Oregon cabinet agencies (DEQ, DAS, DLCD, OECDD, OHCS)
- FHWA

Peer Review Panel

- Julie Dunbar (NCTCOG)
- Doug Hunt (Calgary) 1996-98
- Frank Koppelman (Northwestern)
- David Simmonds (DSC) 1998-present
- Gordon Shunk (TTI)
- Michael Wegener (Dortmund)
- Ed Weiner (USDOT)

Second Generation Models

- 1998 to present
- Build on successes and experiences from Gen1 models (good and bad)
- Work in progress
 - Gen1 review and Gen2 design
 - Eugene-Springfield case study
 - Training and implementation assistance
 - Gen2 data and model development
 - Statewide Model Application Program (SMAP)

Gen2 Design Goals

Rick's Idea

- Unify Gen1 streams
- Variable geographic scale
- Fully integrated model
- Quasi-dynamic
- Equilibrium approximation
- Tour-based extension of traditional models
- Survive to the end

Bill's Idea

- Unify Gen1 streams
- Single geographic scale
- Fully integrated model
- Fully dynamic
- Hybrid equilibriumdisequilibrium
- Activity-based travel model
- Affordable data

Gen2 Model Structure

Economicdemographic Household **Production** Land allocation development allocation Activity interaction Commercial Person transport transport **Transport** supply

Statewide Model Application Program (SMAP)

- First real application of Gen1 statewide model
 - Exercise technical abilities
 - Communicating model results
- Training and implementation assistance

Beyond Gen2

- Convergence of MPO and ODOT work
 - Further research and development
 - Difference in detail and scope
 - Complementary models exchanging information
 - Common data programs
- Augment/Lead TMIP Tracks E and F
- Useful policy decision-making tool
 - Accepted, practical, and useful
 - Broad and regular application

Symposium Program

- This afternoon
 - Advances in Portland
 - UrbanSim case study
- Wednesday morning
 - TMIP Update
 - Research in integrated land use-transport modeling

Symposium Program

- Wednesday afternoon
 - Statewide Model Application Program (SMAP)
 - Second Generation Models
 - Communicating Model Results
- Thursday morning
 - Applications of integrated land usetransport models
 - Panel discussion



- www.odot.state.or.us/tddtpau/ modeling.html
- www.urbansim.org