



Oregon Transportation Plan 2005

Modeling Alternative Policy Choices Becky Knudson, ODOT



Presentation Highlights

- Analysis Role in Decision Making
- Analysis Process
- Evaluation of Alternatives Policies
- Use of Performance Criteria





Integrated Analysis



Integrated analysis blends the features of land use, transportation and economic activity together to represent the interactions and reveal net effects of change



Value of Integrated Analysis

- Helps identify factors relevant to policy issues
- Allows prospective policies to be tested
- Reveals policy trade-offs
- Reveals synergies gained by grouping policies





Decision Making Using Integrated Analysis Four Step Iterative Process



Oregon Department of Transportation



Four Step Iterative Process

1. Steering Committee Identify Goals & Objectives Identify General Issues

Oregon Department of Transportation



Four Step Iterative Process

2. Steering Committee/ Staff

Refine Issues into Specific Policy Questions







Four Step Iterative Process

3. Staff

Determine Analysis Approach and Data Needs

Conduct Analysis

Conduct Peer Review





Four Step Iterative Process

4. Staff / Steering Committee
Presentation of Results
Discussion of Results





Second Iteration Four Step Iterative Process

1. Steering Committee Identify Goals & Objectives Identify General Issues





OTP Policy Analysis Process





Basic Evaluation Approach

- Identify performance criteria
- Identify alternatives
- Analyze how well each alternative satisfies performance criteria
- Judge the total effectiveness of each alternative in meeting each performance criterion





Example: Buying a Car Analyst Style

Performance Criteria	Model A	Model B	Model C	
Price	+ +	+++	+	
MPG	+ +	+++	+ +	
Reliability	+	-	+++	
Comfort	+++	++	+	
Safety	+ +	+	++	





New Car Evaluation Matrix

Performance Criteria	Model A	Model B	Model C
Price	++	+++	+
MPG	++	+++	++
Reliability	+	-	+++
Comfort	+++	++	+
Safety	++	+	++





New Car Evaluation Matrix

Performance Criteria	Model A	Model B	Model C
Price	++	+++	+
MPG	++	+++	+ +
Reliability	+	-	+++
Comfort	+++	++	+
Safety	++	+	++





Evaluation Matrix for OTP Alternative Scenarios

	Alternative Scenarios		
Performance Criteria	Alt. 1	Alt. 2	Alt. 3
Accessibility/ Mobility			
Economic Vitality			
Efficiency & Cost Effectiveness			
Equity			
Reliability/ Responsiveness			
Safety			
Sustainability			
Public Support/Financial Feasibility			





Evaluation Matrix for OTP Alternative Scenarios

Alternative Scenarios

Performance CriteAccessibility/ MobilitEconomic Vitality

Efficiency & Cost Eff
Objective: To maintain or improve travel time
Fourity

Equity

Reliability/

Safety

<u>Potential Performance Measures</u>: peakperiod travel time, off-peak travel time, duration of peak period, incident delay...

Sustainability

Public Support/Financial Feasibility





Evaluation Matrix for OTP Alternative Scenarios

		Alternative Scenarios				
Performance Criteria		Alt. 1		Alt. 2	Alt. 3	
Accessibility/ Mot	oility					
Economic Vitality	Things that affect reliability: transportation					
Efficiency & Co	incidents					
Equity	Example actions to affect reliability: incident					
Reliability/ Respo	signal coordination, road system					
Safety	improvements, transit system improvements,					
Sustainability	Analysis tools: models and other analysis					
Public Support/Fi						



Reference Scenario Elements

- Population and the Economy
- Land Use Planning
- Transportation System



Summary (Modeling Mission Restated)

Analysis will provide information to assist you in recommending the best plan to the Transportation Commission. You will be evaluating the information gained through analysis to understand the merits of individual actions and the effectiveness of different combinations of actions.





OTP Scenarios

Reference Scenario

- Sensitivity Scenario 1 High Fuel Prices
- Sensitivity Scenario 2 Relaxed Land Use Controls
- Alternate Scenario 1 Flat Revenue
- Alternate Scenario 2 Max Operations & Maintenance
 - without Pricing
- Alternate Scenario 3 Major Improvements
- Alternate Scenario 4 Pricing





OTP Performance Measures				
	Model Generated			
Performance Criteria	Output			
Accessibility/ Mobility				
Economic Vitality				
Efficiency & Cost Effectiveness				
Equity				
Reliability/ Responsiveness				
Safety				
Sustainability				
Public Support/Financial Feasibility				





OTP Performance Measures

Accessibility/ Mobility Performance Criteria

•Average annual recurring and non-recurring delay per capita

- average delay, Hh, region; average delay vehicle region

•Average travel time per trip (peak, off-peak, by purpose, region, income class)

-average travel time; average trip distance

• Variable passenger transportation user cost as percent of income (by region, income class)

-Passenger costs as percent of income by income category & region

•Percent of trips with viable transit, bicycle and walk options –Proportion of trips with transit as choice





OTP Performance Measures

Economic Vitality Performance Criteria

- •Change in economic output between alternatives –Total statewide production
- •Change in employment between alternatives
 - -Employment by industry
- •Variable passenger transportation user costs as percent of income
 - -Total labor income as percent of total transport costs by income group
- •Number of workers within 30 minutes of the average job –Number of HH (workers) within 30 min by region





OTP Performance Measures

Safety Performance Criteria

•Transportation-related fatalities per 100,000 population –HERS – total crash costs by FC and region

•Transportation-related crashes per 100,000 population –HERS – total crash costs by FC and region





Reference Case – Summary of Impacts

	Passenger		Pail		
_	Transport	Trucking	Freight	Aviation	Ports
Accessibility	\leftrightarrow	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	Ļ
Mobility	Ļ	Ļ	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	Ļ
Economic Vitality	\leftrightarrow	Ļ	Ļ	↔	Ļ
Effectiveness and Efficiency	\leftrightarrow	Ļ	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	↔
Equity	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	Ļ
Public Support & Financial					
Feasibility	+	↔	↔	↔	+
Safety	↔	↔	Ļ	↔	↔
Sustainability	\leftrightarrow	Ļ	Ļ	\leftrightarrow	Ļ





Maps Example

The Percent Change from '05 for, a



The Percent Change from '05 for, c

The Percent Change from '05 for, d





The Percent Change from '05 for, y



The Percent Change from '05 for, z



Key

a = Reference Case

c = Operations Case

d = Major Improvments 1

y = Major Improvments 2



The Percent Change from '05 for, a



The Percent Change from '05 for, c

The Percent Change from '05 for, d





The Percent Change from '05 for, y



The Percent Change from '05 for, z



Key

a = Reference Case c = Operations Case

d = Major Improvments 1

y = Major Improvments 2



The Percent Change from '05 for, a



The Percent Change from '05 for, c

The Percent Change from '05 for, d





The Percent Change from '05 for, y



The Percent Change from '05 for, z



Key

a = Reference Case

c = Operations Case

d = Major Improvments 1 y = Major Improvments 2



The Percent Change from '05 for, a



The Percent Change from '05 for, c

The Percent Change from '05 for, d





The Percent Change from '05 for, y



The Percent Change from '05 for, z



Key

a = Reference Case

c = Operations Case

d = Major Improvments 1 y = Major Improvments 2



The Percent Change from '05 for, a



The Percent Change from '05 for, c

The Percent Change from '05 for, d





The Percent Change from '05 for, y



The Percent Change from '05 for, z



Key

a = Reference Case

c = Operations Case

d = Major Improvments 1 y = Major Improvments 2



The Percent Change from '05 for, a



The Percent Change from '05 for, c

The Percent Change from '05 for, d





The Percent Change from '05 for, y



The Percent Change from '05 for, z



Key

a = Reference Case c = Operations Case

d = Major Improvments 1

y = Major Improvments 2





Lessons Learned

- Invest time explaining role of analysis
- Use real-world analogies when possible
- Automate runs as much as possible,
- Performance measures are the windows into the model performance, it is crucial they are sound
- Design a framework for evaluating model performance
- Spend time creating visual representation of output to review
- Beware of aggregate averages

Oregon Department of Transportation



Questions?

Becky Knudson Transportation Planning Analysis Unit Oregon Department of Transportation 555 13th St. NE, Suite 2 Salem, OR 97301 503.986.4113 rebecca.a.knudson@odot.state.or.us