Transitional Model – Transportation Models

Fourth Oregon Symposium on Integrating Land-use & Transportation Models

November 15-17, 2005

Today's presentation

- Changes in transport models required due to transitional model structure
- PT Application framework
- PT Calibration status
- CT Update Rick
- Next steps



Transport Model Description

- "First generation" activity model
 - Explicit day-pattern generation 'hwshrh'
 - Hazard-based duration models
 - Nested destination & mode choice models
 - Micro-simulation application paradigm





Gen2 Model Specification

- Household Allocation (HA) model to simultaneously predict household residential location and workplace location
- Transitional Model Specification
 - Workplace location choice within Person Travel (PT) component



- Goals:
 - Predict workplace for every worker in population
 - Integrate model with aggregate labor flows from Production Interaction (PI) component
 - Consider all relevant mode and worker characteristics in impedance terms



Matrix Expansion Process

- Expand beta zone data to alpha zone data and convert to probability matrix for destination choice sampling
- Work location choice probabilities vary by:
 - Occupation
 - Market segment (3 income classes, 3 auto sufficiency classes)
 - Residential location (alpha zone)



Alpha zone & Beta zone system



PI works at beta (aggregate) zone level

PT works at alpha (small) zone level



Fourth Oregon Symposium on Integrating Land-Use and Transport Models – November 15-17, 2005





Matrix Expansion Process





Distributed Application Framework (DAF)

- DAF provides a way to distribute tasks among multiple processors and computers
- Uses ethernet connection to send 'messages' to 'workers' to accomplish 'tasks'
- Each worker is a java virtual machine (program) running on a processor





Model Application – Create Aggregate Mode Choice Logsums



teriti

PT Application Process





PT Calibration

	Tours Pe	Pattern			Activities p	er Pattern
Number of Tours	Observed	Estimated		Number of Activities	Observed	Estimated
0	12%	10%		1	12%	9%
1	48%	45%		3	19%	14%
2	32%	35%		4	19%	23%
3	7%	9%		5	17%	18%
4	1%	1%		6	15%	16%
5	0%	0%		7	11%	12%
6	0%	0%		8	4%	6%
7	0%	0%		9	1%	2%
8+	0%	0%		10+	0%	0%
Total	100%	100%		Total	100%	100%
Fourth Oregon Symposium on Integrating Land-Use and Transport 15 Models – November 15-17, 2005						



	Tours By		
Purpose	Observed	Estimated	Pui
Work	25%	29%	Wo
College	1%	2%	Col
K-12	13%	12%	K-1
Shop	18%	16%	Sho
Social/Rec	18%	18%	Soc
Other	20%	18%	Oth
Work-Based	5%	5%	Wo
Total	100%	100%	Tot

	Average Trip Rate per Tour By Purpose		
Purpose	Observed	Estimated	
Work	1.64	1.81	
College	-	-	
K-12	2.70	3.04	
Shop	2.59	2.94	
Soc/Rec	2.36	2.43	
Other	1.70	1.57	
Work-Based	1.30	1.20	
Total	2.19	2.30	



PT Calibration – Depart Hour





theroJdq



Frequency Plot of Total Total PT Tours vs. Targets





18







Fourth Oregon Symposium on Integrating Land-Use and Transport Models – November 15-17, 2005





pbCorsult

Commercial Transport (CT)

- Use production and consumption flows (annual \$) to depict origins and destinations by commodity
- Use a microsimulation process to generate discrete shipments of tours
- Capture important dynamics:
 - Trans-shipment
 - Trip chaining
- Package those tours for network assignment
- Resemble reality







CT Validation Targets

For each commodity:

Measure	Target Outcome	
Conserves intersector flows from PI	Verification, In-sample	
Match observed mode shares		
Match average trip distance		
Matches percent of trips for trans- shipment	validation	
Distribution of carrier type		
Distribution of vehicle type		
Matches payload weight distribution		
Matches Portland control totals	Out-of-type validation	
Matches observed daily truck counts		



CT Observed vs. simulated trip lengths





Fourth Oregon Symposium on Integrating Land-Use and Transport Models – November 15-17, 2005

CT Predicted vs. estimated flows





Fourth Oregon Symposium on Integrating Land-Use and Transport Models – November 15-17, 2005

Transport Supply (TS)

- Frank-Wolfe equilibrium capacity restraint highway assignment
- Multi-class assignment
- Strategic (Emme2) transit assignment
- Portland volume-delay functions



Conclusions & Next Steps

- Calibration is on-going but encouraging
 - May require additional segmentation for duration models, or replacement with logit framework and available time windows
 - Use CTPP date for work tour calibration
- Longer Term Enhancements
 - Move long-term decisions back to HA
 - Generalization or sampling of pattern types
 - Model long-distance travel (data!!)
 - Enhance reporting/visualization features

