### Fermi 2 4Q/2007 Plant Inspection Findings

### **Initiating Events**

Significance: Sep 14, 2007

Identified By: NRC Item Type: FIN Finding

#### failure to maintain adequate maintenance procedures to clean the '2B' Main Unit Transformer

A finding involving the failure to maintain adequate maintenance procedures to clean the '2B' Main Unit Transformer was self-revealed during an event. The procedure did not contain adequate controls over the removal of the cooling fans from service with the transformer energized. As a result, the licensee removed too many coolers which caused localized overheating subsequently resulting in the transformer failure, turbine trip, and reactor scram. The licensee entered the issue into their corrective action program as CARD 06-24046 and created procedures for cleaning the transformers. The inspectors determined that the finding was associated with cross-cutting aspect H.2(c), Human Performance - Resources.

This finding was determined to be more than minor because the improper transformer cleaning resulted in a reactor scram. This finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because it did not contribute to the likelihood that mitigation equipment or functions would not be available. No violation of regulatory requirements was identified

Inspection Report# : 2007007 (pdf)

Significance: G Jun 30, 2007

Identified By: NRC Item Type: FIN Finding

#### **Failure to Control Transient Combustibles**

The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance after the inspectors observed numerous fire hazards during a walkdown of several non-safety-related buildings located inside the protected area and the 120 kilovolt (kV) switchyard. These conditions increased the potential for a loss of offsite power from an external fire due to the loss of the 345 kV relay building and 120 kV relay building. The licensee removed the transient combustibles. The inspectors determined the finding was associated with cross-cutting aspect H.4(c), Human Performance, Work Practices.

This finding was considered more than minor because it increased the potential for a loss of offsite power due to an external fire. The finding was of very low safety significance because there was a reasonable potential for the licensee to identify and respond to a fire. Additionally, the emergency diesel generators were available and licensee control room staff were routinely trained in existing station procedures for addressing loss of offsite power. No violation of NRC requirements occurred.

Inspection Report#: 2007004 (pdf)

## **Mitigating Systems**

Significance: Oct 19, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

**Procedures Not Appropriate to the Circumstances for Evaluating Poetntial Tampering** 

Green: The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance and an associated Non-Cited Violation (NCV) of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings," when licensee personnel failed to establish a conduct of operations procedure appropriate to the circumstances for responding to potential tampering events. The conduct of operations procedure (MOP03) was deficient in that the guidance to

inform security personnel of potential tampering events in a timely manner and develop actions to perform equipment walkdowns was inadequate.

The finding was of more than minor significance because this issue was associated with the Equipment Performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. The inspectors determined that the finding was not suited for SDP evaluation, but has been reviewed by NRC management and was determined to be a finding of very low safety significance. The finding was not greater than very low safety significance because operations personnel took the necessary actions, although untimely, to notify security personnel and perform appropriate actions. The inspectors determined the finding was associated with a cross-cutting aspect in the area of H.2(c), Human Performance, Resources, because the licensee did not establish an adequate procedure for involving security in a more timely manner for potential tampering events. (4OA3.A.1)

Inspection Report# : 2007010 (pdf)

Significance: Oct 19, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Procedures Not Appropriate to the Circumstances for SRV Insulation Maintenance**

Green: The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance and an associated NCV of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Instructions, Procedures, and Drawings," when licensee personnel failed to establish procedures appropriate to the circumstances for removing insulation from SRVs. On October 11, 2007, a drilled hole and other indentations were discovered on SRV tailpipe discharge piping caused during removal of riveted insulation while performing a maintenance procedure for SRV removal. The procedure was deficient in that it did not specify actions to prevent damage to the underlying piping while removing or installing insulation.

The finding was of more than minor significance because this issue was associated with the Equipment Performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. The finding represented a design or qualification deficiency that did not result in loss of operability; therefore, the finding screened as Green. The inspectors determined the finding was associated with a cross-cutting aspect in the area of H.2(c), Human Performance, Resources, because the licensee did not establish an adequate procedure for performing maintenance on the SRVs. (4OA3.A.2.4)

Inspection Report# : 2007010 (pdf)

Oct 19, 2007 Significance:

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Design Specification Not Adhered to for Installation of Pop Rivets to Join SRV Thermal Shield Sections Green: The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance and an associated NCV of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," for the failure to ensure that the design specifications for SRV tailpipe insulation were correctly translated into the maintenance procedure for SRV removal and installation. In particular, the design specification permitted the use of fasteners, such as buckles, but did not permit use of pop rivets.

The finding was of more than minor significance because this issue was associated with the Equipment Performance attribute of the Mitigating Systems cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. The finding represented a design or qualification deficiency that did not result in loss of operability; therefore, the finding screened as Green. The inspectors determined the finding was associated with a cross-cutting aspect in the area of H.2(c), Human Performance, Resources, because the licensee did not establish adequate design control measures for the SRVs. (4OA3.A.5)

Inspection Report# : 2007010 (pdf)

Sep 06, 2007 Significance:

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation **EDG Cable Design Deficiency** 

The inspectors identified a NCV of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," having very low safety significance (Green) involving inadequate cable design. Specifically, the inspectors identified that the licensee failed to implement licensing and design basis requirements when specifying and purchasing safety-related and nonsafety-related cables. The cables installed between the residual heat removal complex and the reactor building, which were located below the maximum ground water level, were not designed for continuous underwater service. The licensee performed an operability evaluation and concluded that the cables remained operable, but were nonconforming. The licensee entered this performance deficiency into their corrective action program for resolution. This finding also has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution associated with the corrective action program because the licensee did not thoroughly evaluate the conditions identified in previous corrective action documents.

The finding was more than minor because the failure of these cables could prevent both onsite and offsite power from energizing safety-related busses and could have affected the mitigating systems cornerstone objective of design control. The finding was of very low safety significance based on the results of the licensee's analysis and screened as Green using the SDP Phase 1 screening worksheet.

Inspection Report# : 2007003 (pdf)

Significance: Sep 06, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### HPCI Vortex and NPSHA Calculations Were Not Based on Maximum System Flow Rate

The inspectors identified a NCV of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," having very low safety significance (Green) involving the available Net Positive Suction Head (NPSHA) and vortex calculation for the high pressure core injection (HPCI) pump. Specifically, the inspectors identified that the licensee failed to evaluate the effect of the system controller set point being set to control the HPCI flow at 5250 gallons per minute (gpm); whereas the calculation established the NPSHA and vortex limits based on the nominal system flow of 5000 gpm. There was not an operability issue, as the licensee verified through calculations that there was still a positive margin available to ensure the pump would function as designed. The licensee entered this performance deficiency into their corrective action program for resolution.

The finding was more than minor because the failure of the pump could have prevented the HPCI system from injecting water into the reactor vessel as required and could have affected the mitigating systems cornerstone objective of design control. The finding was of very low safety significance based on the results of the licensee's analysis and screened as Green using the SDP Phase 1 screening worksheet.

Inspection Report# : 2007003 (pdf)

Significance:

Sep 06, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

### **HPCI Pump IST Acceptance Criterion Was Not Conservative with Respect with the System Performance Requirements**

The inspectors identified a NCV of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," having very low safety significance (Green) involving a non-conservative acceptance criteria used to verify that high pressure core injection (HPCI) pump could meet its Technical Specifications performance requirement. Specifically, the inspectors identified that the licensee failed to evaluate the effect of the instrument error. The licensee determined that the current acceptance criterion was non-conservative with respect to the system performance requirement. The licensee's review of previous test results identified that with the exception of one point, all previous tests conducted during past two and a half years exceeded the minimum required performance. The licensee entered this performance deficiency into their corrective action program for resolution with actions including addressing past reportability of the test point below the system performance requirement and development of the new acceptance criterion. This finding also has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution associated with the corrective action program because the licensee did not thoroughly evaluate the known low margin conditions existing in the system as noted in

previous corrective actions documents. (P.1(c))

The finding was more than minor because the failure of the pump to provide its design flow could have prevented the HPCI system from performing it safety function and could have affected the mitigating systems cornerstone objective of design control. The finding was of very low safety significance based on the results of the licensee's analysis and screened as Green using the SDP Phase 1 screening worksheet.

Inspection Report# : 2007003 (pdf)

Significance:

Jun 30, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### Restoration of Drywell Following SBO Event Does Not Control Rate of Heat Addition to EECW and No Analyses Were Performed for Potential Two Phase Flow and Water Hammer

The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance involving an NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control." The inspectors determined the licensee did not have analyses or adequate procedural guidance to ensure the emergency equipment cooling water (EECW) and emergency equipment service water (EESW) systems would be capable of operating with a high temperature in the drywell after a postulated station blackout event. The licensee entered the issue into their corrective action program to revise the station blackout procedure by providing additional guidance on restoring flow.

The finding was more than minor because the high temperature water in the drywell coolers and piping could cause two phase flow and water hammer in the EECW system. In addition, a procedure instructed operations to turn on numerous drywell cooler fans which could cause the EECW and EESW systems to exceed their design temperatures for pumps, heat exchangers, and piping. This finding was evaluated using the Phase 2 SDP and determined to be of very low safety significance (Green), because of the low probability of station blackout event at Fermi.

Inspection Report# : 2007004 (pdf)

**Significance:** Jun 30, 2007

Identified By: Self-Revealing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### Failure to Properly Install RCIC Mechanical Seal

An NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Procedures," for the failure to maintain adequate maintenance procedures to install the outboard mechanical seal for the reactor core isolation cooling pump was self-revealed when the seal failed. The procedure did not contain adequate guidance on the proper installation of the mechanical seal. As a result, the outboard seal was installed improperly and failed ten months later. The licensee replaced the seal and updated the maintenance procedure. The inspectors determined the finding was associated with cross-cutting aspect H.2(c), Human Performance, Resources.

This finding was considered more than minor because it contributed to a subsequent seal failure that affected pump operability as it increased pump unavailability more than a negligible amount. This finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because it did not represent a loss of high pressure safety injection, it did not result in an actual loss of the system for greater than 14 days, and it did not screen as potentially risk significant for external

Inspection Report# : 2007004 (pdf)

### **Barrier Integrity**

Significance: Sep 30, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### **Inadequate Preventive Maintenance Deferral Evaluations**

The inspectors identified an NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, "Procedures," for the failure to follow

procedural requirements for evaluating a preventive maintenance deferral for a main steam line drain valve. The inadequate deferral evaluation contributed to the inadequate response to the valve failing to close which led to conditions warranting a Notification of Unusual Event. The licensee entered the issue into their corrective action program as condition assessment resolution document (CARD) 07-24284, increased the level of management oversight, revised procedures, and trained personnel . The inspectors determined the finding was associated with cross-cutting aspect H.4(b), Human Performance - Work Practices.

This finding was determined to be more than minor because the failure to properly evaluate a preventive maintenance deferral contributed to a significant event, specifically high radiation levels exceeding the emergency action level limit for a notification of unusual event. This finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because it did not represent a degradation of the control room barrier, an actual open pathway in the physical integrity of reactor containment, or an actual reduction in defense-in-depth for the atmospheric pressure control or hydrogen control functions of containment. (Section 1R15.b.1).

Inspection Report# :  $\underline{2007005}$  (pdf)

Significance: Jun 30, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

# Residual Heat Removal/Feedwater Injection Check Valves Inadequate Goal Monitoring in 10 CFR 50.65(a)(1) Status

The inspectors identified an NCV of paragraph (a)(1) of 10 CFR 50.65, "Maintenance Rule," for the failure to monitor the performance of the feedwater and residual heat removal injection check valve component class against licensee-established goals when the licensee classified the system as (a)(1) under the maintenance rule. The licensee developed goals but failed to monitor the component class against those goals. Consequently, the licensee failed to take appropriate corrective action as evidenced by the local leak rate test failure of both containment isolation valves in a feedwater injection penetration. The licensee entered the issue into their corrective action program to review the issue and develop corrective actions as appropriate and returned the component class to (a)(1) status. The inspectors determined the finding was associated with cross-cutting aspect P.2(b), Problem Identification and Resolution, Operating Experience.

This finding was considered more than minor because it was similar to a more than minor example in Appendix E of IMC 0612. Specifically, the component class was in (a)(1) status because the valves already exhibited significant equipment problems. This finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because the measured leakage rate was much less than 100 percent containment volume per day.

Inspection Report# : 2007004 (pdf)

Significance: G Jun 30, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### Failure to Perform an Adequate Root-Cause Evaluation

The inspectors identified an NCV of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," for the failure to identify the cause and take appropriate corrective actions for a significant condition adverse to quality. The licensee failed to perform an adequate root cause related to an event when the total leakage through a containment penetration exceeded the maximum allowable Technical Specification limit. After the issue was identified by the NRC, the licensee entered the issue into their corrective action program to further review the issue and develop additional corrective actions as appropriate. The inspectors determined the finding was associated with cross-cutting aspect P.1 (c), Problem Identification and Resolution, Corrective Action Program Evaluations.

This finding was considered more than minor because if left uncorrected, the finding would become a more significant safety concern. Specifically, because the licensee did not arrive at the proper root cause, the licensee could not provide assurance that appropriate corrective actions to prevent recurrence were implemented. This finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because the measured leakage rate was much less than 100 percent containment volume per day.

Inspection Report# : 2007004 (pdf)

### **Emergency Preparedness**

Significance: Oct 19, 2007

Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding
Late NOUE Classification

Green: The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance involving failure to follow the requirements of the Fermi 2 Radiological Emergency Response Preparedness (RERP) Plan during a Notice of Unusual Event (NOUE) level event on October 11, 2007. During this event, the control room crew received a report of possible tampering or vandalism in the owner controlled area from Security and failed to declare an NOUE in a timely manner.

The finding is greater than minor because it was associated with the Emergency Response Organization Performance attribute of the Emergency Preparedness cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective of implementing adequate measures to protect the health and safety of the public in the event of a radiological emergency. The finding was of very low safety significance because Fermi 2 failed to implement a risk significant planning standard (RSPS) in a timely manner during an actual NOUE. No violation of regulatory requirements was identified. The inspectors determined the finding was associated with a cross-cutting aspect in the area of H.4(b), Work Practices, Resources, because the licensee did not implement its emergency classification and action level scheme to classify an emergency when conditions warranted as required in the Fermi 2 RERP Plan in a timely manner. (4OA3.B.1)

Inspection Report# : 2007010 (pdf)

**Significance:** Mar 31, 2007

Identified By: NRC Item Type: FIN Finding

### **Inadequate Verification of Alternate Emergency Operations Facility Readiness**

The inspectors identified a finding associated with the failure to verify adequate compensatory measures were in place while the Emergency Operations Facility (EOF) was unavailable. The licensee removed the EOF from service for remodeling and planned to use their Alternate EOF (AEOF) for emergency response if required as a compensatory action. However, locks placed on the doors to the AEOF and the lack of continuous staffing of the facility could have delayed activation of the facility. After the issue was identified by the inspector, the licensee took prompt interim corrective actions and entered the issue into their corrective action program.

This finding was determined to be more than minor because it was similar to an example in IMC 0612, Appendix E, in that the AEOF and the procedures for activating the AEOF were in a condition that could have delayed the licensee's response to an emergency. The finding was of very low safety significance because adequate compensatory measures were put in place within seven days. (Section 4OA3)

Inspection Report# : 2007002 (pdf)

### **Occupational Radiation Safety**

Significance: Mar 31, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Perform a Complete Calibration of the Containment High Range Area Radiation Monitor

The inspectors identified a finding of very low safety significance and an associated Non-Cited Violation (NCV) of NRC requirements for the failure to maintain adequate procedures for the calibration of the containment high range area radiation monitors (D11-K816 A and B). Specifically, the licensee had revised its procedures in 2001 to remove

the requirement to calibrate the detectors with a radioactive source of known activity. Consequently, the monitor had not been adequately calibrated since April 2000. Following that identification, the licensee performed an evaluation and determined that the monitor was functional based on its adequate response to ambient radiation levels.

The finding was more than minor because it was associated with the Occupational Radiation Safety cornerstone attribute of Plant Facilities/Equipment and Instrumentation and affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring adequate protection of worker health and safety from exposure to radiation from radioactive materials during civilian nuclear reactor operation. Since the finding involved area radiation monitors, the inspectors utilized Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix C, "Occupational Radiation Safety SDP," to assess its significance. Given that instrument functional response was determined through electronic calibration and a qualitative response to radiation, and since the issue did not involve as-low-as-is-reasonably-achievable planning or work controls, there was no overexposure or substantial potential for an overexposure to the worker, nor was the licensee's ability to assess dose compromised; the inspectors concluded that the SDP assessment for the finding was of very low safety significance (Green). The licensee's planned corrective actions included revising the applicable procedures to perform a full detector calibration utilizing a known source of radiation and including specific acceptance criteria, and clarifying Technical Specifications and the bases.

Inspection Report# :  $\underline{2007002}$  (pdf)

### **Public Radiation Safety**

### **Physical Protection**

Although the NRC is actively overseeing the Security cornerstone, the Commission has decided that certain findings pertaining to security cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that potentially useful information is not provided to a possible adversary. Therefore, the <u>cover letters</u> to security inspection reports may be viewed.

### **Miscellaneous**

Significance: Oct 19, 2007

Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding **Delayed Security Response** 

Green: The inspectors identified a finding of very low security significance when licensee personnel failed to follow an NRC approved safeguards contingency plan for responding to threats, thefts, and radiological sabotage related to nuclear facilities in a timely manner. The Fermi 2 Security Contingency Response Plan, Revision 3, directed procedures to be followed in response to the identification of potential and/or confirmed tampering, vandalism, or malicious mischief (event response).

The inspector determined the finding was greater than minor significance because it was associated with the Contingency Response attribute of the Safeguards cornerstone and affected the cornerstone objective of providing assurance that the licensee's security system and material control and accountability program use a defense-in-depth approach and can protect against: (1) the design basis threat of Radiological Sabotage from external and internal threats, and (2) the theft or loss of radiological materials. The Vital Area (VA) was the affected area because the failure to initiate appropriate security contingency response to potential tampering of equipment in vital areas could have allowed potential tampering to have occurred in other locations to equipment that could have impacted the safety of the plant and personnel. Using Inspection Manual Chapter (IMC) 0609, Appendix E, the inspectors concluded that this event was of very low security significance because this finding consists of one Tier I element, Protective Strategy, 05-02.02 (a), and one Tier III element, Contingency Response Events, 05-02.01, affecting Contingency

Response. The total point value was 4, resulting in a Green assessment. No violation of regulatory requirements was identified. The inspectors determined that the finding was associated with a cross-cutting aspect in the area of H.4(b), Work Practices, Resources, because the licensee did not initiate a timely security contingency event response, in accordance with the Fermi 2 Safeguards Contingency Plan. (4OA3.C.2)

Inspection Report# : 2007010 (pdf)

Significance: N/A Sep 14, 2007

Identified By: NRC
Item Type: FIN Finding

**PI&R Summary** 

In summary, the inspectors concluded that the corrective action process was generally effective at identifying and resolving issues as indicated by the conditions observed in the plant, process meetings observed, and the issues that had been entered in the process that inspectors reviewed. The licensee had program managers monitoring performance of the program and pursuing improved implementation. Operating experience was reviewed for plant applicability and also used in issue evaluations. The audit and self-assessment programs were functioning adequately, but had not identified some broad trends such as an NRC substantive crosscutting issue. The licensee periodically monitored the safety conscious work environment and results were acceptable and consistent with input to the corrective action process and employee concerns program. Two areas of concern were identified by inspectors based on issues in corrective action documents. Licensee staff had previously identified that there was acceptance of inadequate procedures and work instructions that inspectors concluded was continuing based on recent issues. Also, inspectors observed that some activities that required interfacing with off site organizations had problems due to lack of ownership by station personnel.

Inspection Report# : 2007007 (pdf)

Last modified: February 04, 2008