Diablo Canyon 1 20/2007 Plant Inspection Findings

Initiating Events

Mitigating Systems

Significance:

Feb 16, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Update 480 V Switchgear Heat Dissipation Calculation

An NRC-identified, noncited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," was determined for the failure of engineering personnel to appropriately update the heat dissipation calculation for vital 480 V switchgear rooms. Since 1994, Calculation 90-DC, "Heat Dissipation of Electrical Equipment – 480 V Switchgear," Revision 4, had not been updated with changes in analyzed bus electrical loading. The calculation was input to other ventilation calculations to determine air flow balancing to 480 V switchgear and inverter rooms. This issue was entered into Pacific Gas and Electric Company's corrective action program as Action Requests A0688992 and A0689527.

The finding is greater than minor because it is associated with the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone attribute of procedure quality and affects the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Using the Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Phase 1 Worksheet, the finding is determined to have very low safety significance since it did not represent a loss of system safety function, an actual loss of safety function of a single train for greater than its Technical Specifications allowed outage time, or screen as potentially risk-significant due to a seismic, flooding, or severe weather initiating event.

Inspection Report# : 2007002 (pdf)

Significance: Jan 11, 2007

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Use Correct Design Inputs in Determination of a Potential for Choking Flow/Cavitation Across the **Auxiliary Service Water Throttled Butterfly Valves**

Green. The team identified a noncited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, Design Control, for the failure to translate design basis information into specifications and procedures. The team identified that a nonconservative flow rate was used as an input in engineering design calculations resulting in the potential for choked flow at the discharge valves for the Unit 1 auxiliary service water system. Choked flow turbulence is a wear concern for these components, and can result in system failure. The licensee entered this finding into their corrective action program as Action Requests A0678338 and A0678472.

The finding is more than minor because the error affected the Mitigating System Cornerstone objective (Design Control attribute) of ensuring availability, reliability, and capability of the auxiliary service water systems to respond to initiating events to prevent undesired consequences. Using the Manual Chapter 0609, Significance Determination Process, Phase 1 screening worksheet, the issue screened as having very low safety significance because 1) did not represent a loss of system safety function; and 2) did not represent an actual loss of safety function of one or more non-technical specification trains of equipment; and did not screen as potentially risk significant because of a seismic, flooding, or sever weather initiating event.

Inspection Report# : 2006011 (pdf)

Jan 11, 2007 Significance:

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Consider Instrument Uncertainty in Surveillance Requirements for Technical Specifications LCO

Green. The team identified a noncited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, Design Control, for the failure to demonstrate that the acceptance criteria for surveillance tests had conservatively accounted for uncertainties in determination of the minimum allowed ultimate heat sink temperature. Specifically, the team identified that the acceptance criteria specified in the Surveillance Test Procedure STP I-1A, Routine Shift Checks Required by the Licensee, Revision 101, did not correctly account for instrument uncertainty. The licensee entered this finding into their corrective action program as Action Request A0682398.

The finding is more than minor because the error affected the Mitigating System cornerstone objective (Design Control attribute) of ensuring availability, reliability, and capability of systems needed to respond to initiating events to prevent undesired consequences. Using the Manual Chapter 0609, Significance Determination Process, Phase 1 screening worksheet, the issue screened as having very low safety significance because 1) did not represent a loss of system safety function; and 2) did not represent an actual loss of safety function of one or more non-technical specification trains of equipment; and did not screen as potentially risk significant because of a seismic, flooding, or severe weather initiating event.

Inspection Report#: 2006011 (pdf)

Significance: Dec 31, 2006

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Inadequate Change to Auxiliary Saltwater Pump Routine Surveillance Test Acceptance Criteria

GREEN. An NRC-identified, non-cited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion III, "Design Control," was determined for the failure of engineering personnel to apply adequate design control measures. Specifically, on February 9, 2006, engineering personnel changed the acceptance criteria in the auxiliary saltwater pump surveillance test from greater than zero packing leak-off to zero packing leak-off with packing gland temperature less than 120°F. The acceptance criteria change was based on engineering judgment even though vendor documentation called for greater than zero packing leak-off to prevent packing and pump shaft damage. This issue was entered into Pacific Gas and Electric Company's corrective action program as Action Request A0684631.

The finding is greater than minor because it is associated with the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone attribute of procedure quality and affects the associated cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Using the Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Phase 1 Worksheet, the finding is determined to be of very low safety significance because it did not represent an actual loss of system safety function, did not represent an actual loss of a single train for greater than its Technical Specification allowed outage time, and the finding did not screen as potentially risk significant due to a seismic, flooding, or severe weather initiating event. This finding has a crosscutting aspect in the area of human performance because engineering personnel failed to provide up-to-date design documentation to support a design change in surveillance test acceptance criteria.

Inspection Report# : 2006005 (pdf)

Significance: Oct 15, 2006

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Inadequate Temporary Modification to a Vital Battery

An NRC-identified, non-cited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," was identified for the failure to promptly correct a condition adverse to quality. Specifically, on October 15, 2006, Pacific Gas and Electric Company implemented a temporary modification to Vital Battery 1-1 contrary to ANSI/IEEE Standard 450-1987, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Maintenance, Testing, and Replacement of Large Lead Storage Batteries for Generating Stations and Substations." Additionally, surveillance tests to monitor the condition of the degraded battery cell were adversely affected by the installed temporary modification. This issue was entered into Pacific Gas and Electric Company's corrective action program as Action Request A0678820.

The finding is greater than minor because it is associated with the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone attribute of equipment performance and affects the associated cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Using the Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Phase 1 Worksheet, the inspectors determined that this finding is of very low safety significance because it did not represent an actual loss of safety function of a single train for greater than its Technical Specification allowed outage time. This finding has a crosscutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution associated with the corrective action program in that engineering staff did not thoroughly assess the operability of the battery and correct a condition adverse to quality in a timely manner.

_

Inspection Report# : 2006005 (pdf)

Significance: Sep 25, 2006

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Include Floor Drains Credited in the Flood Analysis Into the Maintenance Rule Program

An NRC-identified, noncited violation of 10 CFR 50.65(b) was determined for the failure of engineering staff to include the auxiliary feedwater pump room floor drains within the scope of Pacific Gas and Electric Company's program for monitoring the effectiveness of maintenance at the Diablo Canyon Power Plant. Specifically, Calculation 76060, "Flooding Analysis G Area and Auxiliary Building," Revision 1, assumes that at least two of the three floor drains in the auxiliary feedwater pump rooms would be able to remove up to 316 gpm of water in the event of a flood. Despite their credited function in the flood analysis, engineering staff did not scope them into their monitoring program. This issue was entered into Pacific Gas and Electric Company's corrective action program as Action Request A0678658.

The finding is greater than minor because it is associated with the Mitigating Systems cornerstone attribute of protection against external factors and affects the cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Using Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Phase 1 Worksheet, the inspectors determined that this finding is of very low safety significance because the condition did not represent a loss of system safety function, did not represent an actual loss of safety function of a single train for greater than its Technical Specification allowed outage time, did not represent an actual loss of one or more risk-significant non-Technical Specification trains of equipment for greater than 24 hours, and did not screen as potentially risk-significant due to seismic, flooding, or severe weather. This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution associated with operating experience because engineering personnel did not effectively incorporate pertinent industry operating experience into their program for evaluating the effectiveness of maintenance performed on AFW pump room floor drains.

Inspection Report# : 2006004 (pdf)

Significance: 6 Aug 23, 2006

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Promptly Identify that the Correct Equipment Necessary for Implementing EOP for Inadequate Core Cooling Was Not Pre-staged

An NRC-identified, noncited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Action," for the failure to promptly identify a condition adverse to quality. Specifically, Pacific Gas and Electric Company failed to promptly identify that it had prestaged the wrong equipment (a flange hose connection with the wrong tread pattern) necessary to cross-connect the fire main water system to the auxiliary feedwater system during a loss of core cooling event. This performance deficiency was entered into Pacific Gas and Electric Company's corrective action program as Action Request A0676729.

The finding is greater than minor because it is associated with the Mitigating Systems Cornerstone attribute of procedure quality and affects the associated cornerstone objective to ensure the availability, reliability, and capability of systems that respond to initiating events to prevent undesirable consequences. Using the Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Phase 1 Worksheet, the inspectors determined that this finding is of very low safety significance because the condition did not represent a loss of system safety function, did not represent an actual loss of safety function of a single train for greater than its TS allowed outage time, did not represent an actual loss of one or more risk-significant non-TS trains of equipment for greater than 24 hours, and did not screen as

potentially risk-significant due to seismic, flooding, or severe weather. This finding has a crosscutting aspect in the area of human performance associated with resources because the licensee did not ensure that equipment needed to perform an EOP was available and adequate to assure nuclear safety.

Inspection Report# : 2006004 (pdf)

Barrier Integrity

Significance: Sep 29, 2006

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Adequately Evaluate Operability of Auxiliary Building Ventilation Control Panels

An NRC-identified, non-cited violation of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, "Corrective Actions," was determined for the failure of engineering and operations personnel to promptly identify and correct a condition adverse to quality. On two occasions between September 29 and November 9, 2006, operations and engineering personnel (1) failed to address operability when using manual actions in place of automatic actions associated with the auxiliary building ventilation system and (2) failed to fully address the impact of debris between the circuit card and the panel connections of the auxiliary building ventilation system. This issue was entered into Pacific Gas and Electric Company's corrective action program as Action Request A0678429.

The finding is greater than minor because it is associated with the Barrier Integrity Cornerstone attribute of structure, system, and component and barrier performance and affects the associated cornerstone objective to provide reasonable assurance that physical design barriers protect the public from radio-nuclide releases caused by accidents or events. Using the Inspection Manual Chapter 0609, "Significance Determination Process," Phase 1 Worksheet, the finding is determined to have very low safety significance because the finding only represents a degradation of the radiological barrier function provided for the auxiliary building. This finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of problem identification and resolution because operations and engineering personnel did not adequately evaluate operability of the auxiliary building ventilation system due to the failure to fully encompass all aspects of the degraded conditions and corresponding compensatory measures.

Inspection Report# : 2006005 (pdf)

Emergency Preparedness

Occupational Radiation Safety

Public Radiation Safety

Significance: Aug 29, 2006
Identified By: Self-Revealing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Survey Material Unconditionally Released

The team reviewed a self-revealing, non-cited violation of 10 CFR 20.1501(a) that resulted in an unconditional release of radioactive material from the radiologically controlled area. Specifically, the contents of a vehicle cab were not removed and surveyed, resulting in the release of a contaminated safety harness from the radiologically controlled area. The safety harness remained in the protected area. The licensee determined the inadequate survey of the vehicle and its contents was caused by inadequate procedural guidance. As corrective action, the licensee plans to revise

Procedure RCP D-614, "Release of Solid Materials from Radiologically Controlled Areas," Revision 9, to include instructions for the removal of such items from vehicles and the survey to detect contamination.

The failure to adequately survey a contaminated item to prevent its release from the radiologically controlled area is a performance deficiency. This finding is greater than minor because it was associated with a Public Radiation Safety cornerstone attribute (material release) and it affected the associated cornerstone objective in that the failure to control radioactive material decreases the licensee's assurance that the public will not receive unnecessary dose. Using the Public Radiation Safety Significance Determination Process, the team determined that the finding had very low safety significance because: (1) the finding was a radioactive material control finding, (2) it was not a transportation finding, (3) it did not result in public dose greater than 0.005 rem, and (4) radioactive material was not released from the protected area more than five times. Additionally, this finding has a cross-cutting aspect in the area of human performance associated with resources because the licensee did not have complete procedures, in that, the procedures did not provide sufficiently detailed guidance to ensure the surveying of vehicle contents prior to removal of the vehicle from the radiologically controlled area.

Inspection Report# : 2006013 (pdf)

Physical Protection

Although the NRC is actively overseeing the Security cornerstone, the Commission has decided that certain findings pertaining to security cornerstone will not be publicly available to ensure that potentially useful information is not provided to a possible adversary. Therefore, the <u>cover letters</u> to security inspection reports may be viewed.

Miscellaneous

Last modified: August 24, 2007