

Browns Ferry 3

1Q/2006 Plant Inspection Findings

Initiating Events

Significance:  Dec 31, 2005

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

Requalification Program Simulator Exam Grading Error Resulted In Unidentified Individual Failure

Green. The inspectors identified a finding for licensee grading errors which resulted in a failure to identify an individual performance issue that would have resulted in an operational test failure during a biennial operating test requalification examination.

The finding is more than minor because if left uncorrected, it would allow less-than-competent operators to continue licensed duties and it affected the human performance attribute of the Initiating Event Cornerstone. The inspectors evaluated the finding using MC 0609, Significance Determination Process, Appendix I. Using the Operator Requalification Human Performance SDP flow chart, the finding involved the licensee's grading of an exam, in which the licensee failed to identify an individual performance issue which would have resulted in an operational test failure. Per the SDP flowchart, this finding is of low safety significance because it is likely that a single operator's potential error would be prevented or mitigated by the rest of the crew. (Section 1R11)

Inspection Report# : [2005005\(pdf\)](#)

Significance:  Nov 18, 2005

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Three Examples of Inadequate Implementation of Corrective Actions

The inspectors identified a finding involving a non-cited violation (NCV), with three examples, of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XVI, for inadequate implementation of corrective actions for two previously identified NCVs. The previous NCVs were associated with rigging deficiencies that resulted in the drop of a Reactor Building crane trolley and with a human performance error that resulted in the loss of a 480-volt Shutdown Board and inadvertent start of emergency equipment.

The finding was more than minor because it is associated with the Procedure Quality attribute and objective of the Reactor Safety/Initiating Event Cornerstone. In addition, if left uncorrected, this finding would result in a more significant safety concern because the failure to implement the corrective actions for the NCVs would result in more significant safety concerns. This finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because no related examples of significant rigging deficiencies or loss of power to shutdown boards caused by relay calibrations have occurred as a result of the inadequate implementation of corrective actions. The cause for all three examples were determined to affect the PI&R crosscutting area.

Inspection Report# : [2005011\(pdf\)](#)

Mitigating Systems

Barrier Integrity

Significance:  Dec 31, 2005

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Follow Procedure in Response to Automatic Rod Blocks

Green. The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of Technical Specifications 5.4.1.a when reactor operators failed to adequately implement all required procedural steps of 3-ARP-9-5A for Rod Block Monitor High, and 3-OI-92C, Rod Block Monitor, on numerous occasions when automatic rod blocks occurred during continuous rod withdrawals for Unit 3 power ascension.

The finding is more than minor because, if left uncorrected, it would result in a more serious safety concern during an actual rod withdrawal

error event, and it affected the human performance attribute for maintaining fuel clad functionality of the Barrier Integrity Cornerstone. However, this finding is of very low safety significance because the minimum critical power ratio safety limit was not violated or approached, and the associated control rods were withdrawn per the required sequence and not in error. The operators' failure to recognize and follow their annunciator response procedures was a cause of the finding and directly involved cross cutting aspects of Human Performance. (Section 1R14) Inspection Report# : [2005005\(pdf\)](#)

G

Significance: Jun 30, 2005

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Untimely and Ineffective Corrective Actions To Ensure RHR Keep Fill Containment Isolation Valves Fulfill Their Safety Function Per 10 CFR 50.65 (a)(1)

The inspectors identified a non-cited violation of 10CFR50.65(a)(1) in which the licensee has failed to implement timely and effective corrective actions to preclude multiple, repetitive failures of containment isolation valves in the Unit 2 and 3 Residual Heat Removal (RHR) Keep Fill System. These failures ultimately resulted in the failure of two containment isolation valves simultaneously for the same penetration, which created an open pathway from containment and a consequential loss of the maintenance rule safety function. Licensee monitoring and corrective actions per 10 CFR 50.65(a)(1) were ineffective at ensuring that containment isolation valves in the RHR Keep Fill System were capable of performing their intended safety function.

The finding is greater than minor because if left uncorrected it would become a more significant safety concern and because it affected the Containment Isolation SSC Reliability objective of the SSC and Barrier Performance attribute under the Barrier Integrity Cornerstone. The finding was assessed using the SDP, Manual Chapter 0609, Appendix H, Table 4.1. This assessment determined the finding to be of very low safety significance because, in the case of the most consequential containment isolation valve failures, the associated pathway was a small (i.e., 2-inch) line and would not have significantly contributed to Large Early Release Frequency (LERF). This finding had cross-cutting aspects associated with Problem Identification and Resolution.

Inspection Report# : [2005003\(pdf\)](#)

Emergency Preparedness

Occupational Radiation Safety

G

Significance: Jun 30, 2005

Identified By: Self-Revealing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Two Examples of Failure to Comply with Radiation Work Permit Requirements

The inspectors reviewed two examples of a self-revealing, non-cited violation of TS 5.4.1 for the failure of workers to comply with radiation work permit (RWP) requirements. The first example occurred on March 22, 2004, when an operator entered a posted high radiation area on an RWP that did not allow entry into high radiation areas. The operator received a electronic dosimeter dose rate alarm. Radiation dose rates in the area were 600 mrem per hour on contact and 300 mrem per hour at 30 cm from the radiation source. The second example occurred on October 4, 2004, when a craft worker entered an area in the overhead, greater than 6 feet, of the Unit 1 reactor building 593-foot elevation without contacting radiation protection personnel as required by the RWP. The worker did not review the planned work with radiation protection personnel prior to entry and did not monitor electronic dosimetry prior to reaching the dose alarm setpoint. A survey of the overhead area indicated dose rates of 200 mrem per hour on contact, 60 mrem per hour at 30 cm, and 25 mrem per hour general area from overhead piping. The finding is greater than minor because it was associated with the Occupational Radiation Safety cornerstone attribute of program and process and it affected the associated cornerstone objective to ensure adequate protection of worker health and safety from exposure to radiation. Using the Occupational Radiation Safety Significance Determination Process, the finding was determined to be of very low safety significance because it did not involve (1) ALARA planning and controls, (2) an overexposure, (3) a substantial potential for overexposure, or (4) an impaired ability to assess dose. In addition, this finding had cross-cutting aspects associated with human performance when personnel failed to follow radiation work permit instructions.

Inspection Report# : [2005003\(pdf\)](#)

Public Radiation Safety

Physical Protection

[Physical Protection](#) information not publicly available.

Miscellaneous

Significance: N/A Nov 18, 2005

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: FIN Finding

Problem Identification and Resolution (PI&R) Inspection - U2/3 Results

Overall, the licensee maintained an effective program for the identification and correction of conditions adverse to quality. Site management was purposely active and involved in the Corrective Action Program (CAP) and focused appropriate attention on significant plant issues. The licensee was effective at identifying problems at a low threshold and entering them into the CAP. In general, the licensee consistently prioritized issues in accordance with their CAP and routinely performed adequate evaluations that were technically accurate and of sufficient depth. However, several issues were identified related to ineffective implementation of corrective actions for previously identified NRC violations and other corrective action issues identified by the licensee.

Formal root cause evaluations for significant conditions adverse to quality were thorough and detailed. Corrective actions developed for lower level root and contributing causes were generally timely, effective, and commensurate with the safety-significance of the issue. Improvement was noted in management oversight to ensure all contributing causes were being adequately considered for broader corrective actions, extent of condition reviews, and enhanced trending.

Self-assessments, audits performed by the Nuclear Assurance (NA) organization, and Multi-site CAP Self-Assessments, were effective in identifying issues and entering them into the CAP. These audits and self-assessments were self-critical and identified substantive issues, numerous lower level problems, and areas that needed improvement. The audits and self-assessments reviewed appeared to be comprehensive and thorough. However, several identified repeat issues from previous self-assessments and audits in which prior corrective actions had proven ineffective. Although new Problem Evaluation Reports (PERs) were issued to address each specific repetitive problem, the licensee did not always clearly delineate the repeat nature of the PER and thereby lost an opportunity to bring additional attention to the problem or take action to determine why the previous corrective actions were ineffective.

Based on review of the licensee's Concerns Resolution Program (CRP) and discussions conducted with plant employees from various departments, the inspectors did not identify any reluctance to report safety concerns. Increased program usage in conjunction with the increase in Unit 1 recovery activities was being adequately managed. Based on the samples reviewed, the depth of issue evaluations were adequate to address the identified concerns raised to the CRP. Oversight of contractor CRPs was being implemented in an appropriate manner.

Inspection Report# : [2005011\(pdf\)](#)

Last modified : May 25, 2006