# Crystal River 3 1Q/2005 Plant Inspection Findings

## **Initiating Events**

Significance:

Jun 26, 2004

Identified By: NRC

L. T. NOV.N.

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### Failure to Follow Procedures in 10 CFR 50.59 Screening

An NRC identified, Non-Cited Violation (NCV) of Technical Specification 5.6.1.1 was identified for failure to fully implement a procedure which required a 10 CFR 50.59 evaluation to be completed for a one-time test of the power operated relief valve (PORV). Because the required evaluation was not completed, the licensee was unaware that the test would result in opening the PORV. As a result, the PORV unexpectedly opened for a very short period while the plant was operating and caused a reactor pressure transient.

This finding is more than minor because it affected the Primary System Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) Initiator attribute of the Initiating Events Cornerstone. The issue was of very low safety significance because although PORV opened for a short period of time with the reactor operating at power, mitigating systems, including the PORV block valve, were available had the valve failed to shut. The cause of the finding involved the cross-cutting element of human performance. (Section 4OA3)

Inspection Report# : 2004004(pdf)

#### **Mitigating Systems**

Significance:

Sep 25, 2004

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure To Investigate Deficient Condition of Boric Acid Leakage Affecting The Low Pressure Injection System As Required By Boric Acid Corrosion Control Procedure

The inspectors identified a Non-Cited Violation (NCV) of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, for failure to follow boric acid corrosion control program procedures that required an investigation of boric acid leakage identified on decay heat pump DHP-1B.

This finding is more than minor because if left uncorrected it could become a more significant concern, that being loss of integrity of components in the low pressure injection system. The finding was of very low safety significance because only minimal corrosion was observed when inspected. (Section 1RO4)

Inspection Report#: 2004005(pdf)

Significance: G

Sep 25, 2004

Identified By: Self Disclosing

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Redundant Channels of A Post-Accident Monitoring Function Not Operable Due To Reversed Power Supplies Redundant channels of a post-accident monitoring function not operable due to reversed power supp

A self-revealing Non-Cited Violation (NCV) of Technical Specification 3.3.17 D was identified when both channels of the Degrees of Subcooling Monitor were found to have their respective power supplies crossed.

The finding was more than minor because the failure of degrees of subcooling monitor indication during certain LOCA scenarios could challenge the control room operators in taking timely action to establish the plant conditions (trip reactor coolant pumps within one minute) needed to assure safety. The finding was of very low safety significance because operators retained the ability to diagnose a loss of subcooling margin using emergency operating procedures had a loss of subcooling margin occurred. (Section 4OA3)

Inspection Report#: 2004005(pdf)

Significance: Sep 25, 2004

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

#### Failure To Establish Adequate Corrective Actions For Fire Brigade Response Results In A Recurrent Problem

The inspectors identified a Non-Cited Violation (NCV) of Crystal River 3 Operating License Condition 2.C.(9) when prompt corrective measures were not taken to ensure the availability of a fire brigade member to respond to a fire emergency.

This finding is more than minor because if left uncorrected, adequate fire response capability would be challenged which would be a more significant safety concern. A significance determination process review assumed fire confinement was affected with a low degradation rating which resulted in the finding being screened as having very low safety significance. The finding involved the cross-cutting element of problem and identification of resolution, in that interim corrective actions were narrowly focused and ineffective to prevent recurrence. (Section 1RO5)

Inspection Report# : 2004005(pdf)

## **Barrier Integrity**

## **Emergency Preparedness**

## **Occupational Radiation Safety**

## **Public Radiation Safety**

## **Physical Protection**

Physical Protection information not publicly available.

#### **Miscellaneous**

Significance: N/A Jul 02, 2004

Identified By: NRC Item Type: FIN Finding

#### **Problem Identification and Resolution**

The licensee's corrective action program was generally effective at identifying problems at an appropriate threshold level and entering them into the corrective action program. Evaluation of issues was generally comprehensive and technically adequate. Formal root cause evaluation for issues classified as significant conditions adverse to quality were especially comprehensive and detailed. Overall, corrective actions developed and implemented for issues were effective in correcting the problems. The inspectors generally found that the scope and depth of corrective actions implemented by the licensee were appropriate for the severity and risk significance of the problem identified. Industry operating experience items were effectively evaluated for applicability and entered into the corrective action program (CAP). Nuclear Assessment Section (NAS) audits and departmental self-assessments were effective in identifying issues and directing attention to areas that needed improvement. Licensee identified weaknesses and issues in self- assessments were appropriately entered into the corrective action program and addressed. Based on discussions conducted with plant employees from various departments the inspectors did not identify any reluctance to report safety concerns. Further, the inspectors concluded that the licensee was aggressive in addressing potential chilling effect issues. However, the inspectors observed from the more recent data reviewed that several lower threshold issues had not been entered into the CAP. In addition, several examples were identified where problem evaluations lacked thoroughness or were narrowly focused.

Inspection Report# : 2004007(pdf)

Last modified: June 17, 2005