D.C. Cook 1 4Q/2004 Plant Inspection Findings

Initiating Events

Mitigating Systems

Significance: Jun 30, 2004 Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Inadequate Acceptance Criteria for Heat Exchanger Tube Blockage

A finding of very low safety significance and an associated Non-Cited Violation of 10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion XI, "Test Control," was identified for the failure to include adequate acceptance limits in the procedure for inspecting and cleaning the component cooling water system heat exchangers. This finding was more than minor because, if left uncorrected, the issue could become a more significant safety concern. Specifically, the testing acceptance limit deficiencies could have designated a component cooling water heat exchanger as acceptable, when the heat exchanger heat removal capability had actually degraded below its design requirements. The issue was of very low safety significance since the licensee had recently cleaned all four component cooling water system heat exchangers and operability limits were not challenged.

Corrective actions to address this issue included revising testing acceptance limits to adequately define what constituted a blocked heat exchanger tube.

Inspection Report# : 2004006(pdf)

Barrier Integrity

Emergency Preparedness

Occupational Radiation Safety

Significance: Dec 17, 2004

Identified By: NRC

Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure To Promptly Correct Radiological Survey Maps

A finding of very low safety significance was identified by the inspectors when licensee personnel failed to adequately address repetitive radiological posting errors. The issue was more than minor since it was associated with the Program and Process attribute of the Occupational Radiation Safety cornerstone and adversely affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring the adequate protection of worker health and safety from exposure to radiation.

The finding was of very low safety significance since the issue did not directly impact As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA) planning or work controls, was not associated with an overexposure or a substantial potential for an overexposure, or compromise the licensee's ability to assess dose. As part of the licensee's immediate corrective actions, areas with survey maps which were outdated were immediately updated to reflect the most recent survey results. One Non-Cited Violation of Technical Specification 6.8.1 was identified.

Inspection Report#: 2004014(pdf)

Significance: Jan 08, 2004 Identified By: Self Disclosing Item Type: NCV NonCited Violation

Failure to Follow the Radiation Work Permit and the Procedure Governing Radiation Worker Practices During Reactor Coolant Filter Change-Out Work

A self-revealed finding of very low safety significance and an associated Non-Cited Violation were identified when an individual continued to work through both accumulated dose and dose rate electronic dosimetry (ED) alarms, and failed to fully utilize intended radiation shielding while changing-out the Unit 2 reactor coolant filter. As a result, the worker received unintended dose for the work activity.

The finding was more than minor because the failure to stop work upon receiving ED dose and dose rate alarms, the failure to adequately use time, distance and shielding fundamentals in the execution of the filter change-out work coupled with inadequate radiation protection technician job coverage were associated with the "Human Performance" attribute of the Occupational Radiation Safety Cornerstone. The finding affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring adequate protection of worker health and safety from exposure to radiation. The finding was of very low safety significance because the worker's radiation exposure was low relative to regulatory limits, and because there was not a substantial potential for a worker overexposure; nor was the licensee's ability to assess worker dose compromised. To address this issue, the licensee implemented several corrective actions to ensure improved in-field oversight of work in high radiological risk areas, and to ensure workers better understand their responsibilities as radiation workers.

Inspection Report# : 2003016(pdf)

Public Radiation Safety

W

Significance: Jan 08, 2004

Identified By: NRC Item Type: VIO Violation

Failure to Prepare a Shipment of Radioactive Waste to Satisfy Department of Transportation External Package Radiation Level Limits

A self-revealed finding preliminarily assessed to be greater than Green and an associated apparent violation were identified for the failure to prepare a package of radioactive material for shipment, so that under conditions normally incident to transportation, the radiation level does not exceed 200 millirem/hour at any point on the external surface of the package. Package surface radiation levels in excess of 200 millirem/hour were identified by a waste processing contractor upon receipt of the shipment from the licensee.

The finding was more than minor because it was associated with the "Program and Process" attribute of the Public Radiation Safety Cornerstone, and affected the cornerstone objective of ensuring adequate protection of public health and safety from exposure to radioactive materials released into the public domain. Also, the issue involved an occurrence in the licensee's radioactive material transportation program that was contrary to NRC and Department of Transportation regulations. The finding was determined preliminarily to be of low to moderate safety significance because the transportation problem involved an external package radiation level that exceeded limits by 25 percent and because the area of elevated radiation on the package was determined to be accessible to a member of the public during conditions normally incident to transportation. To address this issue, the licensee planned to revise procedures to require load plans and to specify which survey instrumentation is to be used for package surveys, and to provide training to its staff involved in radioactive material shipments.

Final Significance Determination for a White Finding and Notice of Violation Letter Issued on March 12, 2004, EA-04-006.

The NRC performed this supplemental inspection to assess the licensee's evaluation of a White performance issue in the Public Radiation Safety Cornerstone. Specifically, the supplemental inspection assessed the adequacy of the licensee's evaluation, extent of condition/cause review and corrective actions associated with one White input in the public radiation safety cornerstone which resulted from a radioactive waste shipment problem in October 2003. Radiation Protection Inspection Report No. 05000315/2003016(DRS); 05000316/2003016(DRS) provided the details of the shipment problem. This problem was characterized as a White finding and was determined to involve a violation of Department of Transportation regulations, as documented in the NRC's final significance determination report (Inspection Report No. 05000315/2004005(DRS); 05000316/2004005(DRS)) dated March 12, 2004.

During this "Inspection for One or Two White Inputs in a Strategic Performance Area," performed in accordance with Inspection Procedure 95001, the inspector determined that the licensee performed an adequate evaluation of the specific performance issue and that comprehensive corrective actions were completed to address each of the specific causes. The licensee identified the specific causes as inadequate loading of the package and inadequate radiation surveys, precipitated by organizational/programmatic failures and deficiencies with worker skills/knowledge. Corrective actions included procurement of additional instrumentation, procedural changes, the development of a new procedure, expanded supervisory involvement/oversight in shipment activities and training for staff involved in shipments.

The inspector did not identify any findings or significant concerns associated with the licensee's evaluation of the specific performance issue; however, deficiencies with the scope of the licensee's overall evaluation and the depth of its extent of cause review were disclosed. In particular, the licensee's evaluation failed to explore the potential for programmatic causes or look for indications of higher level problems with those processes or systems intended to identify issues at an early stage such as the corrective action and oversight programs.

Given the licensee's progress in evaluating and correcting the problems with the radioactive material transportation program that resulted in the White finding, this public radiation safety cornerstone performance issue will not be held open beyond the normal four quarters provided in NRC Manual Chapter 0305, "Operating Reactor Assessment Program."

Inspection Report# : 2004005(pdf)
Inspection Report# : 2004008(pdf)

Inspection Report# : 2003016(pdf)

Physical Protection

Physical Protection information not publicly available.

Miscellaneous

Significance: N/A Dec 17, 2004

Identified By: NRC Item Type: FIN Finding

Problem Identification and Resolution

The inspectors concluded that the licensee's corrective action program was adequately identifying, prioritizing, evaluating and resolving problems. The conclusion of inspectors, largely born out in the opinions of the licensee staff who were interviewed, was that the identification of issues was good, but that problem resolution, though improved, needed further improvement. Licensee efforts through a Recovery Plan appeared to have a positive effect on problem resolution and the issues identified by the inspectors were of very low significance. The inspectors also concluded, based on the activities performed, that there was no evidence to support that management did not foster an environment where workers felt free to raise safety issues.

Inspection Report# : 2004014(pdf)

Significance: SL-III Jun 04, 2004

Identified By: NRC Item Type: VIO Violation

Failure to Provide Complete and Accurate Information to the NRC Which Impacted A Licensing Decision.

D. C. Cook management personnel informed NRC Region III by letter dated March 24, 2004, that one senior reactor operator had a pre-existing medical condition (since 1996) that required the presence of another qualified individual (i.e., "no solo") when performing licensed duties and requested a "no solo" license restriction for the individual. The letter from the company physician also described a medication the individual was taking for the medical condition. The medical condition described by the physician was considered a disqualifying condition in accordance with American National Standards Institute/American Nuclear Society (ANSI/ANS)-3.4 - 1983, "American National Standard Medical Certification and Monitoring of Personnel Requiring Operator Licenses for Nuclear Power Plants." On December 28, 1999, the licensee provided information to the NRC regarding the medical status of the same individual applying for a renewal of the individual's senior reactor operator license with no recommendation for a "no solo" license. The individual's license was renewed by the NRC on February 1, 2000, based on the information provided by the licensee on December 28, 1999. Again, the medical condition was considered a disqualifying condition in accordance with ANSI/ANS-3.4 - 1983, and should have been reported to the NRC on NRC Form 396 for the renewal of the applicant's license requesting a "no solo" restriction on the individual's license. Therefore, the information provided to the NRC on December 28, 1999, was material to the NRC licensing action. [Note: The information concerning the individual's specific medical condition is considered medical privacy information under 10 CFR 2.390(2)(6) and is not specifically discussed here.]

As noted above, Region III received a letter from the D. C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant dated March 24, 2004, requesting a "no solo" license restriction for the individual. Region III received another letter from the D. C. Cook Nuclear Power Plant dated May 20, 2004, notifying the NRC that the recommendation of the "no solo" license condition for the individual not be implemented. The letter stated that upon further review of the individual's medical records, the company physician determined that the individual met ANSI/ANS-3.4 - 1983 to work as an operator in a multi-person facility; therefore, no license condition for solo operation was required. The NRC's medical officer again determined on May 26, 2004, that the operator required a "no solo" restriction to the operator's license. Since NRC intervention was required to identify the requirement for the operator to have a "no solo" restriction, this apparent violation was considered NRC identified.

Because the issue affected the NRC's ability to perform its regulatory function, it was evaluated with the traditional enforcement process. The finding was determined to be of low safety significance because the operator had not acted in a solo capacity prior to the license being amended. However, the regulatory significance was important because the incorrect information was provided under a signed statement to the NRC and impacted a licensing decision for the individual. The issue was preliminarily determined to be an apparent violation of 10 CFR 50.9.

AV Closed. Notice of Violation Issued September 29, 2004.

Inspection Report# : 2004007(pdf)

Last modified: March 09, 2005