

MEETING RECORD - "To Be" Process Design Meeting
STREAMLINING STATE AGENCY PERMITTING FOR REMOVAL-FILL PROJECTS
 June 8, 2005, DEQ Offices in Portland, 9 – Noon

REDESIGN GOAL → Best state agency removal-fill permit process in US for a **complex** project that

| REQUIRES | AND/OR |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1) Wetland Delineation | 4) CZM construction |
| 2) Individual Permit | 5) Fish Passage |
| 3) 401 Certification | 6) Water Right for Wetland Mitigation |

Assumptions:

- Design for 80% of the potential permits – see ATTACHMENT 1.
- Best process in the US for **simple** projects will be built after complex process is designed.
- The processes will also be used for general authorizations.

REDESIGN OPTIONS

| |
|--------------------------|
| Approved July 6, 2005 |
|--------------------------|

- Trained Application Consultants (minimizes state liability)
State publishes a list of consultants that have been trained.
Possibility: provide incentives to use consultants.
- State Staff Guides (state employees)
- Consultant Force
- Hybrid Approaches – provide different levels of training to multiple **state and private** agency staffs
 - Watershed Council
 - SWCD Model: assistance in local communities
 - Oregon Plan for Salmon & Watersheds

| |
|--|
| <i>Note: relate accurately to ATTACHMENT 1</i> |
|--|

EXPLORE

Preload state process to meet Corps requirements? Run sequentially? Find out: do projects with state approval go faster?

POINTS OF AGREEMENT

- Front Load Process with Multi-Agency Information/Clarity
- The process should be so transparent that it will be easy for a small property owner to navigate on their own, without assistance from state employees.
- A given: will need multi-disciplinary, veteran staff who can respond to variations in work volume and regional fluctuations.

KEY PLACES TO REDESIGN

- Information at the beginning
- Service level provided by the state
- Multi-agency involvement and coordination

PROPOSED NEW PROCESS TO OBTAIN AN OREGON REMOVAL-FILL PERMIT

See Attachment 2

NEXT MEETING

→ clarify steps if subsequent review is required

ATTACHMENT 1

REMOVAL-FILL PERMITS THAT ARE THE FOCUS OF THE WATER-RELATED PROCESS IMPROVEMENT TEAM (See Shaded Rows)

| FISCAL YEAR: | 1999-2000 | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 | 2002-2003 | 2003-2004 |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| INDIVIDUAL PERMITS | | | | | |
| Boat Ramp | 11 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 18 |
| Channel Relocation | 3 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Dam Related | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 8 |
| Dock | 6 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 9 |
| Dredging | 14 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 5 |
| Erosion | 44 | 27 | 8 | 11 | 12 |
| Fiber Optic | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| Miscellaneous Fill | 113 | 60 | 53 | 64 | 71 |
| Fish Habitat | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 6 |
| Gold Mining | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial Gravel Removal | 9 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| Log Salvage | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Pipeline/Cable/Utility | 47 | 40 | 26 | 30 | 32 |
| Ponds | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Roads/Bridges | 28 | 22 | 24 | 42 | 29 |
| Miscellaneous Removal/RF | 45 | 81 | 37 | 74 | 8 |
| Resource Enhancement | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Wetland Enhancement | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| SUBTOTAL | 336 | 285 | 194 | 269 | 208 |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| GENERAL AUTHORIZATIONS | | | | | |
| Tidegate | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Fish Habitat Enhancement | 219 | 184 | 173 | 172 | 136 |
| Erosion Control | 98 | 95 | 33 | 53 | 51 |
| Road Construction | 129 | 123 | 86 | 138 | 104 |
| Wetland Enhancement | 42 | 39 | 23 | 32 | 26 |
| Unknown | 13 | 10 | 3 | | |
| Wetland Fill | | | | | 1 |
| Piling | | | | | 17 |
| Minimal Disturbance | | | | 6 | 26 |
| SUBTOTAL | 503 | 456 | 319 | 401 | 361 |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATIONS | | | | | |
| Dam-Related | 0 | 3 | 0 | | |
| Erosion | 18 | 7 | 14 | 10 | 13 |
| Miscellaneous Fill | 12 | 2 | 0 | | |
| Pipeline/Cable/Utility | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Roads/Bridges | 3 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 3 |
| Miscellaneous Removal/RF | 12 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 12 |
| SUBTOTAL | 45 | 35 | 25 | 16 | 30 |

| | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| TOTAL TO THIS POINT | 884 | 776 | 538 | 686 | 599 |
| Recreational Small Scale Placer Mining | 426 | 426 | 270 | 497 | 782 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 1010 | 902 | 808 | 1183 | 1381 |

Source: Water-Related Permitting -- Improving the State of Oregon's Process for Permitting Development Projects that Affect Oregon's Water Resources – Recommendations. May 12, 2005

Draft No.1 - June 8, 2005

(Very High View)

PROPOSED NEW PROCESS TO OBTAIN AN OREGON REMOVAL-FILL PERMIT

| STEP | APPLICANT | CONSULTANT | STATE OF OREGON | OTHER PARTIES |
|---|--|------------|---|--|
| 1 ASSESS PERMIT REQUIRE- MENTS | 1a Seek Project Guidance: Written Verbal Web | | 1b Provide: - comprehensive information/roadmap - what it takes to get to yes - list of consultants - possible solutions to consider - caseworker for projects steers applicant to process for simple or complex project - where appropriate project manager assigned | |
| 2 SUPER APPLICATION | 2a Prepare and Submit Super-application | | | |
| 3 STATE REVIEW | | | 3a Multi-Agency Review 1) For completeness <i>[all necessary information from all agencies is present]</i> 2) To identify issues | |
| 4 PUBLIC NOTICE AND COMMENT | | | 4a Notify public of project and issues. Request comments. | 4b Submit Comments to state and applicant? |
| 5 ISSUE RESOLUTION | 5a Adjust application and submit revisions to state | | | |
| 6 PERMIT DECISION (End Product: Permission to Dig) | | | 6a <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ If yes go to step 7. ▪ If no, but with some changes permit could be granted, go to step __. ▪ If no, deny permit. | |
| 7 BEGIN REMOVAL- FILL | 7 Begin Work | | | |
| 8 | | | | |
| 9 | | | | |

Note: additional steps could be current process steps of appeal, compliance and enforcement