



GMS Report

Name of the State: Oregon

Federal Fiscal Year: 2003

Total Amount of Federal JABG Grant Award: \$1908754

State Activity Report

Summary Report

	Total Number of subgrantees	Total number of Purpose Areas	Amount	Total Performance Indicators	Output Indicators	Short-term Indicators	Intermediate- term Indicators
Reporting Grantee	37	13	1908754. 0	224	77	72	75
Total	37	13	1908754. 0	224	77	72	75

Report by Purpose Area

Purpose Area Activity	# of Subgrantees	Amount	Performance Indicators	Output Indicators	Short-term Indicators	Intermediate-term Indicators
PA13. School Safety	1	20000.0	3	1	1	1
PA8. Drug Courts	2	177801.0	8	3	2	3
PA14. Restorative Justice	4	58681.0	14	5	4	5
PA12. Risk/Needs Assessments	3	43073.0	9	3	3	3
PA4: Hiring Prosecutors	5	189913.0	15	5	5	5
PA6. Training law enforcement/Court personnel	1	20000.0	3	1	1	1
PA9. Juvenile Records	1	59829.0	3	1	1	1
PA3: Hiring court staff/Pretrial Services	2	68734.0	9	3	4	2
PA15. Court/Probation Programming	13	248866.0	51	17	20	14
PA2: Facilities	4	47064.0	16	6	5	5
PA10. Information Sharing	2	12400.0	7	3	2	2
PA1: Graduated Sanctions	5	128745.0	18	6	5	7





PA11. Accountability-	16	833648.0	68	23	19	26
based programs						





State Data Report

Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March			
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
PIT: 1. Number of graduated sanctions policies instituted		1					
	a. Number of graduated sanctions policies		1	1	1	1	0
PIT: 2. Number of juvenile justice units that are implementing graduated sanctions programs		1					
	a. Number of units implementing graduated sanctions programs		1	1	1	1	0
4. Number and percent of youth served to whom graduated sanctions were applied		3					
	a. Number of youth admitted to graduated sanctions program		38	19	3	12.67	8.5
	b. Number of youth admitted into any grantee program		67	45	3	22.33	21.2
6. Number and percent of youth who had a behavioral contract developed when they entered a program that was part of a graduated sanctions approach	c. Percent (a/b)	1	-	100	35.56	-	-





a. Num		111	111	111	111	0
youth v	with a					
behav	vioral					
contr	ract					
develope	ed when					
they enter	ered the					
progr	ram					





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005			
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
	b. Number of youth to enter the program		170	170	170	170	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	65.29	65.29	-	-	
10. Number of hours of service received per youth		2						
	a. Number of hours of service to youth		741	702	39	370.5	468.81	
	b. Number of youth		29	19	10	14.5	6.36	
11. Cost savings		1						
	a. Total cost per case not using graduated sanctions		1000	1000	1000	1000	0	
	b. Total cost per graduated sanctions case		800	800	800	800	0	
	c. Cost savings (a-b)		200	200	200	200	0	
13. Number and percent of cases that result in: community service, monetary restitution, and direct service to victims.		2						
	a. Number of cases to result in community service		79	61	18	39.5	30.41	





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.
						g	
	b. Number of		33	26	7	16.5	13.44
	cases to result in						
	monetary restitution						
	c. Number of		27	27	0	13.5	19.09
	cases to result in		_,		°,	1010	10.00
	direct service to						
	victims						
	d. Number of		246	170	76	123	66.47
	cases handled by						
	the grantee e. Percent of			35.88	23.68		_
	cases resulted in			55.00	25.00		_
	community						
	service (a/d)						
	f. Percent of		-	34.21	4.12	-	-
	cases resulted in						
	monetary restitution (b/d)						
	g. Percent of		_	15.88	0	_	_
	cases resulted in			15.00	0		
	direct service to						
	victims (c/d)						
16. Number and percent of		1					
youth who were monitored							
according to the terms in their behavioral contracts							
	a. Number of		29	29	29	29	0
	youth for whom		25	25	25	25	Ŭ
	staff followed the						
	guidelines of the						
	youth's						
	behavioral contract						
	b. Number of		47	47	47	47	0
	youth served		.,		17	17	Ū
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	61.7	61.7	-	-
17. Number and percent of		5					
successful program							
completions							





Purpose Area:PA1: Gradu	ated Sanctions									
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of subgrantees	Data Values							
			Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.			
	a. Number of youth to complete successfully		150	69	1	30	28.34			
	b. Number of youth served		345	170	15	69	63.38			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	6.67	-	-			
18. Number of non- compliant events (e.g., missing appointments) and percent of all events that were non-compliant		1								
	a. Number of non-compliant events		15	15	15	15	0			
	b. Number of youth requirements		104	104	104	104	0			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	14.42	14.42	-	-			





Purpose Area:PA2: Facilit			Dete	a of Max-la	21 -+ 2005				
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005 Total number of Data Values							
		subgrantees				-			
			Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
PIT: 1. Number and percent of new secure detention beds		1							
	a. Number of secure residential beds		14	14	14	14	0		
PIT: 3. Number and percent of square feet of improved space		1							
	a. Total facility square footage		3522	3522	3522	3522	0		
6. Number and percent of staff salaries paid		3							
	a. Number of staff positions or FTE paid with JABG funds		3	1	1	1	0		
	b. Number of staff positions (or FTE)		35	20	1	11.67	9.71		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	5	-	-		
8. Amount spent on other operating costs and percent of total other operating costs		1							
	a. Dollar amount spent by program on other operating costs		8769	8769	8769	8769	0		





Purpose Area:PA2: Facilit Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of	Data Values						
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
	b. Total amount spent on other operating costs		278322	278322	278322	278322	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	3.15	3.15	-	-		
10. Amount and percent of staff time spent on behavioral management		1							
	a. Number of hours spent on behavioral management per week		5	5	5	5	0		
	b. Number of hours worked per week		168	168	168	168	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	2.98	2.98	-	-		
13. Percent of capacity		1							
	a. Average number of youth at facility		-	5	5	-	-		
	b. Average number of youth facility is licensed for		-	26	26	-	-		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	19.23	19.23	-	-		
14. Number and percent of youth fulfilling their court- determined length of stay		2							





Purpose Area:PA2: Facilit Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of			Data Value	s			
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
	a. Number of clients to leave at court- determined time		101	96	5	50.5	64.35		
	b. Number of clients to leave the facility		101	96	5	50.5	64.35		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-		
15. Number and percent of days operated at full capacity		1							
	a. Number of days operated at full capacity		1	1	1	1	0		
	b. Number of days elapsed		274	274	274	274	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	0.36	0.36	-	-		
18. Number of disciplinary actions against youth		1							
	a. Number of disciplinary actions against youth		8	8	8	8	0		
22. Number and percent of youth held in secure detention		1							
	a. Number of youth held in secure detention		96	96	96	96	0		





Purpose Area:PA2: Facilit	ies								
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005				
		Total number of	Data Values						
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
	b. Number of youth served		96	96	96	96	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-		
23. Number of hours youth were held in secure detention		2							
	a. Number of hours youth were held in secure detention		47080	45016	2064	23540	30371.65		
24. Number and percent of youth diverted from the facility		1							
	a. Number of youth diverted		0	0	0	0	0		
	b. Number of youth served		5	5	5	5	0		
	c. Percent (a/(a + b))		-	0	0	-	-		





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005			
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
2. Number and percent of each of the following types of staff hired: judges, probation officers, defenders, special advocates, pretrial service staff		2						
	a. Number of judges hired		0	0	0	0	0	
	b. Total number of judges		0	0	0	0	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	0	0	-	-	
	d. Number of probation officers hired		2	1	1	1	0	
	e. Total number of probation officers		6	5	1	3	2.83	
	f. Percent (d/e)		-	100	20	-	-	
	g. Number of defenders hired		0	0	0	0	0	
	h. Total number of defenders		0	0	0	0	0	
	i. Percent (g/h)		-	0	0	-	-	





Purpose Area:PA3: Hiring Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005							
	Duturiciu	Total number of			Data Value	es				
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.			
	j. Number of special advocates hired		0	0	0	0	0			
	k. Total number of special advocates		0	0	0	0	0			
	I. Percent (j/k)		-	0	0	-	-			
	m. Number of pretrial service staff hired		0	0	0	0	0			
	n. Total number of pretrial staff		0	0	0	0	0			
	o. Percent (m/n)		-	0	0	-	-			
3. Number of cases per staff member		1								
	a. Number of cases		134	134	134	134	0			
	b. Number of court staff		5	5	5	5	0			
11. Number of hours per week and percent of staff time spent directly serving clients		2								
	a. Average number of hours judges spend in direct service per week		-	0	0		-			





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	S	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.
						g	
	b. Average		-	0	0	-	-
	number of hours						
	judges work per						
	week						
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	0	0	-	-
	d. Average		-	32	26	-	-
	number of hours						
	probation						
	officers spend in						
	direct service per						
	week						
	e. Average		-	40	40	-	-
	number of hours						
	probation						
	officers work per						
	week						
	f. Percent (d/e)		-	80	65	-	-
	g. Average		-	0	0	-	-
	number of hours						
	defenders spend						
	in direct service						
	per week						
	h. Average		-	0	0	-	-
	number of hours						
	defenders work						
	per week			_			
	i. Percent (g/h)		-	0	0	-	-
	j. Average		-	0	0	-	-
	number of hours						
	special						
	advocates spend						
	in direct service						
	per week						
	k. Average		-	0	0	-	-
	number of hours						
	special						
	advocates work						
	per week						
	I. Percent (j/k)		-	0	0	-	-





Purpose Area:PA3: Hiring		I Services	Def	C Mars 1	Data Field Data as of March 31st, 2005										
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Total number of	Data a	as of March	Data Value										
		subgrantees			Data value	25									
		Subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.								
	m. Average number of hours pretrial service staff spend in direct service per week		-	0	0	-	-								
	n.Average number of hours pretrial service staff work per week		-	0	0	-	-								
	o. Percent (m/n)		-	0	0	-	-								
12. Number and percent of youth screened		1													
	a. Number of youth screened		375	375	375	375	0								
	b. Number of youth in program		375	375	375	375	0								
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-								
13. Number and percent of youth assessed		1													
	a. Numbe r of youth assessed		375	375	375	375	0								
	b. Number of youth in program		375	375	375	375	0								
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-								





Purpose Area:PA3: Hiring	-	al Services						
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005					
		Total number of			Data Value	S		
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.	
						g		
17. Average time in days from case assignment to first meeting between staff member and youth or family		2						
	Average number of days from assignment to first meeting with staff		-	5	5	-	-	





Purpose Area:PA4: Hiring Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of	Data Values							
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.			
1. Number and percent of new prosecutors hired		2								
	a. Number of prosecutors hired		1	1	0	0.5	0.71			
	b. Number of prosecutors		9	8	1	4.5	4.95			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	12.5	0	-	-			
3. Number of cases involving violent offenders per prosecutor		3								
· ·	a. Number of cases involving violent offenders		403	216	42	134.33	87.49			
	b. Number of prosecutors that handled cases involving violent offenders		3	1	1	1	0			
	c. Number of cases per prosecutor (a/b)		-	216	42		-			
PIT: 4. Number and percent of specialized prosecutors		1								
	a. Number of specialized prosecutors		1	1	1	1	0			





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005			
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
	b. Number of prosecutors		1	1	1	1	0	
	c.Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-	
5. Length of employment in months per prosecutor		4						
	a. Cumulative number of months of prosecutors' employment		234	91	6	58.5	36.57	
	b. Number of prosecutors		4	1	1	1	0	
	c. Average length of employment (a/b)		-	91	6		-	
8. Average number of days from arrest to first court date		2						
	Average number of days from arrest to first court appearance for the arresting crime		57.29	32.29	25	28.65	5.15	
9. Average number of days from arrest to case disposition		3						
	Average number of days from arrest to case disposition		-	90	26		-	





Purpose Area:PA6. Traini		t/Court personnel					
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Total number of	Data a	as of March	31st, 2005 Data Value	S	
		subgrantees				-	
		_	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
1. Number and percent of law enforcement staff trained in preventing or controlling juvenile crime		1					
	a. Number of law enforcement staff trained		3	3	3	3	0
	b. Number of law enforcement staff		13	13	13	13	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	23.08	23.08	-	-
6. Number and percent of staff trained who take additional courses on prevention and control of juvenile crime		1					
	a. Number of staff to take more training		3	3	3	3	0
	b. Number of staff trained initially		13	13	13	13	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	23.08	23.08	-	-
13. Number of complaints about staff filed by youth		1					
	Number of complaints about staff filed by youth		0	0	0	0	0





Purpose Area:PA8. Drug (ourts						
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	S	
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
3. Number and percent of youth charged with drug offenses, who are assessed for participation in the drug court		2					
	a. Number of drug court assessments		295	293	2	147.5	205.77
	b. Number of drug-related arrests of juveniles		336	324	12	168	220.62
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	90.43	16.67	-	-
PIT: 6. Number of drug court slots		1					
	Number of drug court slots		18	18	18	18	0
PIT: 10. Number of service (non-clinical) slots offered through the drug court		1					
	Number of non- clinical service slots		228	228	228	228	0
12. Frequency of drug testing		1					
	a. Number of drug tests given		152	152	152	152	0





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March				
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
	b. Number of		20	28	28	28	0	
	drug court participants		28	20	20	20	0	
	c. Average number of days of drug court participation per		-	32	32	-	-	
	youth d. Frequency (c/(a/b))		-	5.89	5.89		-	
16. Number and percent of youth to test positive for drug use		1						
	a. Number of youth to test positive		10	10	10	10	0	
	b. Number of youth enrolled		33	33	33	33	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	30.3	30.3	-	-	
20. Number of days of youth enrollment in the drug court		1						
	Average number of days of court participation per youth		-	332	332	-	-	
21. Number and percent of youth to successfully complete treatment/services referred to as part of the drug court		1						
	a. Number of youth to successfully complete their treatment/servic es requirements		271	271	271	271	0	





Purpose Area:PA8. Drug (Purpose Area:PA8. Drug Courts											
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005										
		Total number of subgrantees										
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.					
						g						
	b. Number of youth enrolled in treatment/servic es		303	303	303	303	0					
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	89.44	89.44	-	-					





Purpose Area:PA9. Juveni	le Records									
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005								
		Total number of	Data Values							
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.			
4. Number and percent of staff trained to use the automated systems		1								
	a. Number of staff trained		24	24	24	24	0			
	b. Number of staff		24	24	24	24	0			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-			
PIT: 7. Number and percent of staff with access to the automated system		1								
	a. Number of staff with access		19	19	19	19	0			
	b. Number of staff		19	19	19	19	0			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-			
PIT: 17. Number of different standard reports that are programmed into the system		1								
	Number of standard reports possible		-	95	95	95	0			





Purpose Area:PA10. Infor	mation Sharing								
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of subgrantees	Data Values						
		Subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
PIT: 1. Number of partner agencies		2							
	Number of partner agencies		18	17	1	9	11.31		
PIT: 3. Number and percent of youth about whom there is a complete case file		1							
	a. Number of complete case files		37	37	37	37	0		
	b. Number of case files		37	37	37	37	0		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-		
6. Percent of staff time required to access client data from outside agencies		1							
	a. Number of hours staff spent on gathering data per month		20	20	20	20	0		
	b. Number of hours staff worked per month		160	160	160	160	0		
	c. Percent of time spent on gathering data (a/b)		-	12.5	12.5		-		





Purpose Area:PA10. Infor	mation Sharing						
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	S	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
7. Number of interagency information requests		1					
	Number of interagency information requests		19	19	19	19	0
13. Average time to service (days)		1					
	Average number of days from assessment to first service		-	0	0	-	-
16. Number and percent of services and treatments successfully completed by youth		1					
	a. Number of treatments/servi ces successfully completed		111	111	111	111	0
	b. Number of treatments or services in which youth are enrolled		118	118	118	118	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	94.07	94.07	-	-





Purpose Area:PA11. Acco Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of	Data Values						
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
1. Number and percent of staff trained in accountability programming		6							
	a. Number of staff trained		104	73	1	17.33	28.42		
	b. Number of staff		134	100	1	22.33	39.14		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	73	-	-		
2. Number of hours of training about accountability programming offered		3							
	Number of hours of training offered		359	337	4	119.67	188.35		
PIT: 3. Number of accountability programs in operation		8							
	Number of accountability programs operating		17	6	1	2.12	1.89		
PIT: 5. Number of accountability program slots		6							
	Number of accountability program slots		585	170	7	97.5	58.62		





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	S	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
6. Average number of supervision meetings per youth per month		8					
	Average number of supervision meetings per youth per month		-	6	0	-	-
7. Time in days from offender intake into the accountability program to receipt of a sanctions schedule		2					
	Average number of calendar days from enrollment to receipt of a sanctions schedule		-	60	6	-	-
8. Number and percent of youth with a behavioral contract developed at their intake into the accountability program		9					
	a. Number of youth with a behavioral contract at intake		1090	266	24	121.11	88.76
	b. Number of youth to enter the program		1202	268	40	133.56	86.32
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	50.91	-	-
10. Number and percent of sanctions that are successfully contested by youth or their families		1					
	a. Number of sanctions successfully contested		0	0	0	0	0
	b. Number of sanctions imposed		42	42	42	42	0





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of	Data Values							
	S	subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	0	0	-	-			
11. Number and percent of youth referrals across departments, organizations, agencies or units		1								
	a. Number of cross-agency client referrals		5	5	5	5	0			
	b. Number of client referrals		32	32	32	32	0			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	15.62	15.62	-	-			
12. Number and percent of eligible youth entering an accountability program		4								
	a. Number of youth in accountability programs		604	268	17	151	126.71			
	b. Number of youth processed by grantee		605	300	22	151.25	123.65			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	125	77.27	-	-			
14. Average percent of days youth received treatment/services		1								
	a. Average number of days youth receive a service		-	37	37	-	-			





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March				
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
	b. Average number of days youth are enrolled in accountability programming		-	90	90	-	-	
	c. Percent (b/a)		-	243.24	243.24	-	-	
15. Number and percent of youth assigned to alternatives to detention		2						
	a. Number of youth assigned to alternatives to detention		323	243	80	161.5	115.26	
	b. Number of youth to receive detention		138	138	0	69	97.58	
	c. Percent (a/(a+b))		-	176.09	0	-	-	
16. Average number of days of program participation per youth		3						
	Average number of days youth are enrolled in the program		-	455	46.33	-	-	
17. Number and percent of youth to complete their accountability program successfully		14						
	a. Number of youth to successfully complete accountability programming		1168	230	0	83.43	71.79	
	b. Number of youth to enter accountability programming		1773	274	1	126.64	81.43	





	Purpose Area:PA11. Accountability-based programs									
Performance Indicator Data Field Data as of March 31st, 2005										
		Total number of subgrantees	Data Values							
		Subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.			
						g				
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	104.41	0	-	-			





Purpose Area:PA12. Risk/ Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of		<u></u>	Data Value	es	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.
						g	
PIT: 1. Number and percent of intake units using valid and reliable risk assessments		3					
	a. Number of units that use a validated risk assessment tool		91	79	3	30.33	42.25
	b. Number of units		91	79	3	30.33	42.25
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-
6. Of the total number of youth identified as needing substance abuse treatment, the percent identified through the screening/assessment process		2					
	a. Number of youth assessed as needing substance abuse treatment		20	19	1	10	12.73
	b. Number of youth identified as needing substance abuse treatment		23	23	0	11.5	16.26
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	82.61	0	-	-
10. Average time in days from assessment to first service receipt		1					
	Average number of days from assessment completion to first service		-	199	199	-	-





Purpose Area:PA12. Risk/	Needs Assessmen	ts					
Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of subgrantees			Data Value	S	
			Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
14. Number and percent of times services identified through youth assessment are actually received by the assessed youth		3					
	a. Number of times youth receive referred service		148	119	0	49.33	62.05
	b. Number of services youth were assessed as needing		198	169	0	66	90.37
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	0	-	-





Purpose Area:PA13. Schoo Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of		Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
PIT: 4. Number of different accountability programs operating		1							
	Number of different accountability programs operating		-	2	2	2	0		
PIT: 8. Number of school- justice partnerships		1							
<u> </u>	Number of justice partner agencies		1	1	1	1	0		
15. Number of crimes reported to the police		1							
	Number of crimes reported to the police		119	119	119	119	0		





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005			
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
2. Number and percent of youth to participate in any of the following events: victim offender mediation/dialogue; family group conferencing; peacemaking circles; restitution; personal services to victims; community services; apologies; victim/community impact panels; community/neighborhood impact statements; victim empathy groups/classes		4						
	a. Number of youth to participate in any of the listed events		379	220	15	94.75	91.76	
	b. Number of youth served by grantee		524	233	44	131	77.88	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	94.42	11.45	-	-	
3. Amount of funds allocated to restorative justice programming		1						
	Number of dollars spent on restorative justice programming		3320	3320	3320	3320	0	
7. Number and percent of times restorative justice is part of case dispositions of juvenile offenders		1						
	a. Number of case dispositions that include restorative justice		220	220	220	220	0	
	b. Number of case dispositions		233	233	233	233	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	94.42	94.42	-	-	





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data	as of March	31st, 2005		
		Total number of			Data Value	S	
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.
8. Number and percent of target youth to receive restorative justice programming		2					
	a. Number of youth to participate in restorative justice		60	47	13	30	24.04
	b. Number of youth served		66	53	13	33	28.28
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	88.68	-	-
11. Number and percent of crime victims to participate in restorative justice programming		1					
	a. Number of crime victims to participate in restorative justice		124	124	124	124	0
	b. Number of crime victims		152	152	152	152	0
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	81.58	81.58	-	-
17. Number and percent of ordered and actual offenders to pay monetary restitution		3					
	a. Number of offenders ordered to pay restitution		52	24	13	17.33	5.86
	b. Number of offenders that pay restitution		57	24	13	19	5.57





Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005								
		Total number of subgrantees	Data Values							
			Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.			
	c. Number of offenders processed		279	233	13	93	121.66			
	d. Percent ordered (a/c)		-	100	10.3	-	-			
	e. Percent to comply (a/b)		-	100	75	-	-			
19. Number and percent of youth to successfully complete their restorative justice requirements		2								
	a. Number of youth to successfully complete their restorative justice requirements		64	49	15	32	24.04			
	b. Number of youth to have restorative justice requirements		65	50	15	32.5	24.75			
	c. Percent (a/b)		_	100	98	-	-			





Purpose Area:PA15. Court	t/Probation Progra	mming								
Performance Indicator	Data Field	Data as of March 31st, 2005								
		Total number of	Data Values							
		subgrantees	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.			
						g				
PIT: 1. Number of different accountability programs in operation		2								
	Number of different accountability programs in operation		4	2	2	2	0			
PIT: 2. Number of types of accountability programs		3								
	Number of types of accountability programs in operation		-	7	1	-	-			
3. Amount of funds allocated to accountability programming		6								
	Number of dollars spent on accountability programming		106289	56402	1500	17714.83	20077.4			
PIT: 5. Number of accountability program slots		6								
	Number of accountability slots		1034	595	3	172.33	218.95			
6. Number and percent of cases for which accountability options are used as part of the court/probation process		2								
	a. Number of case dispositions that include accountability programming		124	120	4	62	82.02			





Performance Indicator	Data Field							
		Total number of subgrantees	Data Values					
			Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev	
	b. Number of case dispositions		124	120	4	62	82.02	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-	
8. Number and percent of youth that participate in accountability programming through the court or probation system		7						
	a. Number of youth to participate in accountability programming		465	144	4	66.43	60.74	
	b. Number of youth processed		672	166	4	96	63.05	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	137.93	17.56	-	-	
PIT: 10. Number and percent of juvenile justice offenses for which accountability programs are an option		2						
	a. Number of offenses for which accountability programming is an option		76	72	4	38	48.08	
	b. Number of offenses on the books		204	200	4	102	138.59	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	36	-	-	
PIT: 11. Average number of youth per probation officer		2						





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data a	as of March	31st, 2005			
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.	
	a. Number of open cases		745	697	48	372.5	458.91	
	b. Number of probation officers		21	19	2	10.5	12.02	
	c. Average number per officer (a/b)		-	36.68	24	-	-	
12. Average number of supervision meetings per youth per month		4						
<u> </u>	a. Number of supervision meetings in preceding month		98	75	4	24.5	33.83	
	b. Number of youth served in preceding month		65	30	3	16.25	11.5	
	c. Average number of meetings per youth (a/b)		-	4	0.2	-	-	
13. Number and percent of non-compliance events (e.g., missed court dates, positive drug tests)		1						
	a. Number of non-compliance events		14	14	14	14	0	
	b. Number of youth requirements		90	90	90	90	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	15.56	15.56	-	-	





Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data	as of March	31st, 2005			
		Total number of	Data Values					
		subgrantees	Total	Мах	Min	Mean/Av	Std Dev.	
						g		
14. Number and percent of probation contacts that are proactive		1						
	a. Number of proactive probation contacts		963	963	963	963	0	
	b. Number of probation contacts		1073	1073	1073	1073	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	89.75	89.75	-	-	
15. Number and percent of youth to have a behavioral contract developed at intake		1						
	a. Number of youth with a behavioral contract at intake		24	24	24	24	0	
	b. Number of youth to go through intake		24	24	24	24	0	
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	100	-	-	
17. Number and percent of modifications that resulted in more restrictive conditions		1						
	a. Number of times modifications were for more strict sanctions		3	3	3	3	0	
	b. Number of modifications to release conditions		9	9	9	9	0	

OJDP

Juvenile Accountability Block Grant (JABG) Data Collection Technical Assistance Tool



Purpose Area:PA15. Court Performance Indicator	Data Field		Data as of March 31st, 2005							
		Total number of	Data Values							
			subgrantees _	Total	Max	Min	Mean/Av g	Std Dev.		
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	33.33	33.33	-	-			
18. Number and percent of youth to have revocation hearings		3								
	a. Number of youth to have revocation hearings		23	20	0	7.67	10.79			
	b. Number of youth in the program		116	64	23	38.67	22.14			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	31.25	0	-	-			
19. Number and percent of youth to complete their justice requirements successfully		10								
	a. Number of youth to successfully complete program requirements		690	186	1	69	68.61			
	b. Number of youth served		913	200	4	91.3	68.12			
	c. Percent (a/b)		-	100	16.67	-	-			